The Reality News

Reality News Media Group LLC

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com June 2021 Vol. 12, No. 6

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner

Reality News
Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11



Archbishop Viganò discusses the Great Reset, gives hope in light of Our Lady of Fatima

By Maike Hickson

Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò kindly granted me an interview that we conducted for Schloss Rudolfshausen, a fine Catholic apostolate in Germany, run by Mrs. Helene Walterskirchen and her daughter, Alexandra. They are publishing translations from Archbishop Viganò, as well as of LifeSite and many others. Mrs. Walterskirchen and her daughter also publish the journal Kultur-Magazin, for which this new interview with the Italian prelate was conducted.

Archbishop Viganò covers in this lengthy interview many aspects of the Great Reset, its connections with China and its harsh lockdown policies; its connections with key global players such as the World Economic Forum (WEF), the Bilderberger Group, the Rockefellers, and the Rothschilds; and its essentially "Masonic matrix." As we see, it is a replacement of Christianity with a masonic world rule that is similar to that of older Communist experiments in the world. What connects the older experiments with this new international one is indeed Freemasonry.

Archbishop Viganò presents the talk of a former French Freemason, Dr. Pierre Gilbert, who 25 years ago predicted that there would be an illness that would then be used for political purposes:

continued on page 18

Follow the science, at least on nutrition

By Paul Dreissen

If we've learned anything from the Covid-19 pandemic, it's the importance of solid, up-to-date scientific information – and the regulatory flexibility necessary to respond quickly to new information. At least with some aspects of Covid prevention and treatment, "follow the science" became the guiding mantra, as sustained inquiry into the corona virus progressed at warp-speed, leading to scientific breakthroughs and three vaccines in only months, rather than the normal years or even decades.



However, related research also confirmed the truth that a

continued on page 7

Leaked Emails Show Dr. Fauci Deliberately Dismissed China Lab Leak Theory

MammothNation.com

While the media censored the news surrounding the COVID-19 origin theory, former President Trump insisted that China was behind the pandemic and it most likely escaped from one of their labs. Instead of investigating the matter, the media and Democrats labeled Trump as racist, and who could forget Dr. Fauci himself dismissed the theory as nothing more than a conspiracy. Yet time always reveals the truth, as now leaked emails obtained by the Washington

continued on page 16

Modern Monetary Theory: Creating a Banana Republic with No Bananas

By Williams Kovacs

Does anyone care about the massive increase in the debt being created? Now with the Progressives in total control of the federal government, far-Left economists and socialist politicians like Bernie Sanders advance Modern Monetary Theory ("MMT") as a justification to continue unlimited spending without any consequences to the nation. If the Progressives are correct, there is a free lunch for all, most of the time. If the Progressives are wrong, the U.S. becomes a "Banana Republic."



continued on page 11

No, Joe, Electric Cars Will Never Power America!

Dr. Jay Lehr and Tom Harris

One of the most unjustifiably hyped innovations of our lifetime is the electric vehicle (EV). The federal government and the state of California are wrong to think that the internal combustion engine is destined to end up in the dustbin of history in the next few decades. It will not happen for many





continued on page 4



Beijing Power Players Poised to Pull Plug on Prospects to Go 'All-Electric'

By Larry Bell

Feckless far-left fantasies of trading away the 80% of American fossil-fueled energy independence and prosperity for technologies that are 80% dependent on China rare earth materials makes for a gullible green new deal that only Beijing beneficiaries can love.



Included are 17 indispensable metals including lithium, that will be needed to supply those so-called green "technologies to decarbonize industry and power."

continued on page 5

U.S. Military Arrests Dr. Deborah Birx

By Michael Baxter @ realrawnews.com

The United States military has arrested Dr. Deborah Birx, also known as "the scarf lady," after authenticating reports that she and her partner in crime, the still at-large Dr. Anthony Fauci, had conspired with the CDC to deceive the American public into believing that face masks were an effective method to mitigate the spread of Covid-19.

As reported in February, Birx's name was placed on a sealed indictment after President Donald J. Trump obtained a lengthy email chain between her and Deep State Dr. Anthony Fauci. In it, Birx and Fauci discussed whether face

continued on page 21

Fauci exposed: Emails reveal bid to divert attention from his 'gain-of-function' research, Wuhan

By WorldTribune Staff

In February 2020, as the coronavirus was spreading from China across the world, Dr. Anthony Fauci had already begun to craft a narrative to divert attention from "gain-of-function" research that his agency funded and the Wuhan lab which may have created and released the virus, emails obtained under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) by The Washington Post and Buzzfeed

continued on page 16

U.S. Military to hold "Military Tribunals" in Tierra del Fuego

By Michael Baxter @ realrawnews.com

The U.S. military and the Navy's Judge Advocate General's Corps have established a new venue to hold military tribunals for the myriad Deep State players whose names appeared on sealed indictments that Donald J. Trump and former acting AG Jeffrey Rosen had written in the waning days of Trump's first presidential term.

Until recently, most tribunals had been held at the military's detention center in Guantanamo Bay,

continued on page 9

Steve's Say . . .

Lots of Great Things Happening in America and Around the World



Hopefully you all have been enjoying Dave Mazipso's X22 report I instructed you to watch in the last edition. You've now become additionally informed on the reality of our current situation. So much happened since the May edition confirming that the American people will not stand for the totalitarian tyranny attempting to be imposed by the foot soldiers of the New World Order (NWO).

Parents continue to challenge school boards across Wisconsin and the whole country. These Patriots demand an end to the bogus mask mandates for school children and schools of all types imposing the racist and marxist indoctrination of Critical Race Theory (CRT). In fact, my neighbor just announced last night that the contract the Sussex-Hamilton school district signed to integrate CRT into those schools has been rescinded. It's officially dead. And the same thing continues to occur all over America.

A couple of Iowa moms got tired of their kids being bullied by school staff regarding masking requirements and took on their school system. While they got plenty of very spiteful push-back from the public school personnel, their efforts grew into a massive parental support group, gaining national attention and also the attention of the state legislature. Within two days of meeting with some of the Iowa elected officials, the legislature passed a law prohibiting mask mandates in schools signed by the Governor. Wow! That's what citizen activism can do!



Lying Dr. Fauci and the rest of the Deep State Corporate Pharma Medical Complex, who sold the fear and falsehood which underwrote the plandemic, have no where to hide. Abandoned by their puppet masters, Fauci, Birx and the rest will be the fall guys. And frankly they deserve it. Their advocacy of lies and misinformation destroyed millions fo businesses, dreams, plans and lives. One close friend lost 3 family members; one to suicide, one to cardiac failure due to fear of going to the doctor, and one as a direct result of the vaccine.

All the while Fauci and his Big Pharma buddies knew we have very effective treatments in Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine and a host of others. Yet they continued to lie about the dangers and effectiveness of each. Hundreds of thousands of people died needlessly. That sounds like a whole lot of murder counts to me!

Well, it looks like the mainstream media (MSM) can't protect "America's Doctor" any longer. We know from last edition he's bought property out of the country. He better make a break for it soon and fortify his complex to repel Navy Seals. Good Luck with that Mr. Fauci. Did you really believe that your puppet masters would protect you when the heat came? News flash: When your masters refer to you they use the tern "Useful Idiot."

The Arizona forensic audit is nearly complete, projected to be finished on June 14th, Flag day. Which also HAPPENS to be the birthday of Donald J. Trump. This will be interesting seeing how the MSM decides to play this one. Alot will be revealed next Monday.

The bottom line: THE PEOPLE own this Republic! THE PEOPLE decided that they've had enough of corrupt politicians, the Deep State, the banking cabal and all that goes with it. The faux Biden-Harris administration demonstrated EXACTLY what the NWO world looks like and the vast majority of Americans and people of the world want no part of it.

So off we go into a very hot summer! Enjoy your time, enjoy your family, and most of all enjoy the show.

God's Justice will always rain down on those who mock Him.

Remember, God's already won. The Savior reigns today and forever after.

WWG1WGA!

REALITY NEWS
RealityNewsMedia.com
Steven Welcenbach *Owner/Editor*
414-491-3421
We'd like to hear from you.
Please e-mail article content to realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com
For advertising information call 414-491-3421
We reserve the right to edit or reject content.
Printed by a Local Company; 414-840-0299

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____
Mailing Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____
☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ DISCOVER ☐ AMERICAN EXPRESS
Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____
Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link:
https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen..."



Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



China Funds Africa's Fossil Fuel Renaissance To Africa's and the World's Peril

By Vijay Jayaraj

China is pushing major advances in Africa's energy sector. It will inevitably use the African fossil fuel sector as security for its own future energy needs. The geopolitical consequences could be serious.

Countries in Africa are in dire need of economic uplift for which a fossil-fuel supported energy sector is indispensable. In a world of growing opposition to fossil fuels, China has become Africa's key fossil fuel enabler.

Africa Must Move Forward, and Fossil Fuels Are an Absolute Necessity

The African continent is at a critical phase of development. Decades of slow economic development are proving to be a major hurdle in tackling poverty, expanding access to basic utilities, and overall improvement of living standards.

To break free from this persistent lack of growth, Africa countries must adopt the tried and tested method of economic growth and industrialization used in Europe, North America, and Asia: a strong energy sector that aids a budding industrial economy.

Developed economies across the globe achieved phenomenal success during the 20th century by using fossil fuels to provide the energy required for the industries, households, and transportation.

But the anti-fossil fuel lobby threatens Africa's ambitions. Even Africa's primary funders, like the African Development Bank, have stopped funding fossil-fuel projects. This is a big blow to Africa's ambitions to break free from centuries of poverty and the existing $50 billion per annum investment gap in Africa's energy sector.

Denying African countries' energy sovereignty will perpetuate widespread poverty and delay economic progress. China is stepping in to aid African countries with fossil fuel development.

The Fossil Fuel Genie: China

Despite signing the Paris climate agreement, and even aiming to achieve "Net Zero target by 2060," China has been an active enabler of fossil fuel production and technology deployment in Africa.

Since 2000, China has funded $51.8 billion for coal projects globally, and its total contribution to foreign energy is valued at $245.8 billion. Through its $1 billion "Belt and Road" initiative, China is involved in fossil-fuel projects with over 70 countries and international organizations, including in Africa. China's trade with Africa is already worth $200 billion per year, and its fossil fuel investments are expected to take this number higher.

China's interest in Africa's fossil fuel sector helps secure its imports for the future. Beijing's own oil reserves are depleting rapidly, and the power demand from fossil fuels is projected to surge in coming decades. China has provided funds for at least 13 coal projects in Africa and plans to fund nine more.

In Nigeria alone, China's investment in oil and gas is estimated to be more than $16 billion. It is expected to help Nigerian authorities achieve their target of producing three million barrels a day by 2023.

Three-fourths of the revenue for Nigeria's federal budget comes from its oil industry. Continued Chinese interest (since 2005) is helping the country's race against poverty. Around 40 percent of the population in Nigeria lives in poverty. Nigeria has also been benefiting from domestic interest in fossil-fuel expansion. Nigeria's richest man is building a multi-billion dollar oil refinery that will process 650,000 barrels per day and create 230,000 indirect jobs once it starts operating in the first quarter of 2022.

Oil reserves off the coast of South Sudan hold a promising future and are considered the third largest in Africa. Again, China is the leading oil and gas operator in the region despite its sociopolitical instability. To make itself immune from that instability, China has hired private security to help expedite its oil and gas installations in Africa.

Chinese energy interests in South Sudan date back to the mid-1990s It has maintained a near monopoly in the region since then. Dr. David H. Shinn, adjunct professor of international affairs at George Washington University, noted, "China wants to retain its substantial petroleum investment in South Sudan to take advantage of current oil production and on the assumption that better days will come ….When that happens, Chinese companies will be well placed to develop new oil fields in the country. This is part of China's long-term strategy even if it means tolerating short-term losses."

In poverty-stricken Zimbabwe, Chinese investment in a coal project has raised hopes for a brighter future. Zimbabweans have experienced power blackouts as lengthy as 18 hours a day, because the government has not been able to produce more electricity and lacks funds to import it. The $4.2 billion Chinese investment in a coal project near Lake Kariba will improve the energy and economic situation.

Zimbabwe's neighbor South Africa has been battling its own problems with the aging and underperforming Eskom, the state power utility company. China has stepped with a $4.5 billion investment in a power plant.

The list of China's energy investments in Africa is quite long. Beijing has invested in most other countries in Africa, including some of the poorest like the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Central African Republic, Niger, Ethiopia, and Mozambique.

The anti-fossil lobby in Europe and North America has been observing China's fossil fuel enablement in Africa. The powers in Brussels and Washington may see China's carbon footprint in Africa as an impediment to their global renewable energy mandate.

But for those who are concerned about the development of Africa — the conquest of poverty there — like Dr. Mehari Taddele Maru, "China is already winning the hearts and the minds of Africans." He argues that China has enabled the "African governments to meet their people's rapidly growing demands for services and infrastructure more quickly… China has lifted about 800 million people out of poverty through its untraditional path of development."

China's growing presence in Africa and the increasing indebtedness of African nations to it could prove a geopolitical threat to Western powers and to democracy in Africa. The last thing the world needs is a Chinese-controlled African continent. International funders should resume funding fossil-fuel projects, providing economic and democratic stability in the region.

When wildlife and the economy flourish together: A case from India

By Vijay Jayaraj

Imagine driving from the heart of a city for 50 miles and finding elephants blocking your road! That was the childhood I grew up in, a stone's throw away from some of the most dense tropical forests of Asia.

Though the forests were lush and the environment pleasant, there was a constant stress on the local communities to fight poverty and attain financial stability. This was the story of India during the 1990s.

Two decades later, India has not only grown economically stronger, but has also managed to save many of its species through effective, data-driven conservative programs—and is continuing to find ways to save species that are in danger.





The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

China's "Artificial Sun" beats fusion record



By Craig Rucker

China set the fusion reaction record on May 23rd by sustaining a temperature of 120 million degrees C (216 million degrees F) for 101 seconds; far hotter than the temperature of the sun.

The experiment took place at "EAST," the Experimental Advanced Superconducting Tokamak in Hefei, China.

South Korea held the prior record achieving a 100 million degree C fusion reaction for 20 seconds in December.

If future fusion reactions can be controlled at a rate at which the energy produced exceeds the amount needed to sustain the reaction, humanity's quest for clean, abundant, affordable energy will be achieved. Fusion power is emissions free (if that's your thing).

According to the International Atomic Energy Agency, "it is expected that fusion could meet humanity's energy needs for millions of years. Fusion fuel is plentiful and easily accessible: deuterium can be extracted inexpensively from seawater, and tritium can be produced from naturally abundant lithium. Future fusion reactors will not produce high activity, long lived nuclear waste, and a meltdown at a fusion reactor is practically impossible."

China's reactor is a "Tokamak" designed to contain fusion's incredible heat within an incredibly powerful magnetic field.

In 2019, CFACT met with scientists and engineers at the ITER, or International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor, in Saint-Paul-lès-Durance, France. The ITER is an incredibly large Tokamak being constructed by an international consortium, which includes China, Europe and the U.S. The ITER is a marvel of technology, but has been slowed by cost overruns and bureaucracy, including a French demand to shield the reactor to the same standards as Fission reactors despite the absence of commensurate radiation.

China studied the ITER and constructed its own version much faster in Hefei.

Nuclear fission, the reaction which powers today's reactors, involves splitting an atom's heavy nucleus into two lighter ones. In the process massive energy is generated along with nuclear waste.

Fusion does the opposite. Fusion reactions join two lighter nuclei into one heavier, again generating massive energy, but with little waste.

Some doubt whether useful fusion will ever be achieved, while others see it as the energy that will power the future. It certainly offers far greater promise than intermittent, inefficient, huge footprint wind and solar.

Can fusion succeed? It seems there's little harm from doing research to find out.



ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



"Walk toward the fire. Don't worry about what they call you."
ANDREW BREITBART

No, Joe *cont. from page 1*

obvious reasons that governments are blind to, and some reasons that are not so obvious.

The most obvious one is that EVs are so expensive that the average American cannot afford to own one. Vehicles powered by internal combustion engines will always be significantly cheaper and will transport you much farther.

Regardless, EVs can never be produced in the numbers the government wants because of a lack of necessary rare earth elements needed for EV battery production, minerals that are mostly held hostage in China. Fortunately, the situation has improved slightly in recent years, as the below figure shows, but China still has the largest know deposits of rare earths.

In addition, as The Wall Street Journal reported on May 21:

"China is tightening its grip on the global supply of processed manganese, rattling a range of companies worldwide that depend on the versatile metal—including the planet's biggest electric-vehicle makers. China produces over 90 percent of the world's manganese products."

Availability of charging stations will also never be adequate. And the time required to recharge on a long trip will so frustrate most drivers that they will undoubtedly return home and, if they still have one, begin the trip anew with an internal combustion engine-driven car.

The cost of a battery replacement will certainly be a turn-off for many would-be EV owners, as well.

Here are the even less obvious deterrents. If you remain an EV fan after reading about these problems, please write to us and explain why. We commit to writing another article explaining your point of view.

TESLA

Let's first get Elon Musk and Tesla out of the way. While he did make many cars in the $40k to $50k range in the past year, Tesla is now and will remain a car for the affluent, with his primary models checking in around $100k. Nevertheless, Musk will always be successful because he really is the smartest person in the room. He is now unbelievably wealthy with a net worth of $156 billion because so many people recognize his brilliance and so buy Tesla stock at nonsensically high values.

BIDEN AND NEWSOME

To support the president's goal of a nation powered by EVs, on April 22, the Biden administration announced that $15 billion will be devoted to building a national network of 500,000 charging stations [by 2030], to "increase confidence for drivers that they will always have a charging option when they need it."



Also, embedded in Biden's proposed $1.7 trillion infrastructure plan is a whopping $174 billion to boost the domestic EV market with tax credits and grants for battery manufacturers, and other incentives.

Things are equally crazy in California, where, on September 23, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom issued an executive order requiring sales of all new passenger vehicles to be zero-emission by 2035.

For the reasons below, running American on EVs cannot happen. But fear not, Biden and Newsom will be long gone and replaced by more reasonable folks long before the years of their directives come to pass.

AVAILABLE ELECTRICITY

The Laurence Livermore Laboratory of the US Department of Energy states that America's current electrical generation capacity is 11.4 trillion kilowatt-hours per year. The energy used for transportation today is equivalent to 8.5 trillion kilowatt-hours per year, of which only an infinitesimal part is already electric. Where would the additional power come from? Were all cars to be electric? The same governments in charge plan for no more energy from oil, natural gas, coal, or nuclear. The obvious answer, liberals tell us, is wind and solar power, which currently produce a mere 0.7 trillion kilowatt-hours of electricity per year. Where and how will we build enough solar and wind installations to keep us all driving EVs? We have neither the economic resources nor land area to even consider this.

RANGE

Just as golf carts may be fun and legal to run around your neighborhood if you are inclined, so are electric cars. However, few normal people would want to plan a long trip in one, but let's try. We will go first class in a Tesla on a trip of 270 miles which only a Tesla can now do on a single charge. It will take us 4.5 hours to drive 270 miles and about 6.5 hours to recharge the battery unless you can find the rare supercharging station. If you cannot, you

continued to p. 5

Beijing Power *cont. from page 1*

Such 21st Century technologies are used in the manufacture of domestic and strategic military airplanes, computers and smart phones; electricity generation and transmission systems; advanced weapon guidance systems; and yes, those solar panels, wind turbines, and batteries for utility-scale energy storage and electric vehicles (EVs).

To the inevitable delight of Beijing oligarchs, Biden's new policy priority focuses on a singular issue above all else that plays entirely to their advantage … namely to forego American energy independence for China's support in promoting the administration's climate crisis-premised agendas.

China is reportedly known to be looking into strategies to leverage its control of rare earths against competitive Western interests. Last January, according to the Financial Times, their Ministry of Industry and Information proposed draft controls where industry executives were asked to assess how U.S. and European companies could be affected if Beijing decided to cut rare-earth exports during a bilateral dispute.

A Chinese government adviser told the Financial Times that one of the topics discussed was whether the U.S. "may have trouble making F-35 fighter jets if China imposes an export ban."

Meanwhile, as President Biden and his handlers have re-upped the U.S. in the Paris Climate Accord and called for slashing our greenhouse emissions by 50% or more by 2030 over the 2005 level, China, the largest CO2-emmitter gets a free pass to continue ramping up its coal development until 2030.

Last year, China built over three times as much new coal power capacity as all other countries in the world combined — the equivalent of one large coal plant per week.

The Biden administration's vainglorious holy war on hydrocarbons exemplified by capping off the Keystone XL pipeline, cancelling oil and gas permitting on federal lands and waters, and this month suspending drilling leases in Alaska's Arctic National Wildlife Refuge will vastly increase Beijing's rare earth leverage and control over our national economy and security.

An unnecessary irony is that many of those "rare earths" aren't truly all that rare.

Whereas China only actually possesses about an estimated one-third of global rare earth reserves, America also has an abundance of these natural resources.

The big problem is that environmental opposition to mining these dirty materials needed to create that "clean energy" has resulted in a regulatory minefield of local, state, and federal rules that has turned permitting into a costly decades-long process.

Lawmakers have all but banned rare earth mineral exploration and development on materials-rich federal lands, and the few once-active mines have been shuttered largely due to compliance costs.

Making matters even worse, many of the rare earths mined in the USA are processed in the People's Republic of China because it's cheaper to have them do it than to pay for American regulatory environmental and workplace safety costs.

Shortage pains will worsen as Democrat plans to transform America's transportation to 100% EVs dramatically add to power demands, dependence on rare earth imports, and auto industry trade deficits.

In January 2020, Tesla Inc. kicked off production of its first vehicle manufactured outside the U.S. in its new $2 billion Shanghai, China factory.

GM, China's second-biggest foreign automaker, is aiming to offer four models as it looks to improve its brand image and support a sales recovery: Chevrolet's Tahoe and Suburban, Cadillac's Escalade and the GMC Yukon Denali.

Ford announced plans last January to manufacture its Mustang Mach-E, an all-electric SUV, in China.

In addition to providing a large automotive sales market, China will maintain a chokehold over batteries needed for U.S. EV and hybrid production everywhere.

A report from Securing America's Future Energy indicates that China currently controls nearly 70% of electric vehicle battery manufacturing capacity, compared to just 10% by the U.S.

The report projects that 107 of the 142 EV battery manufacturing projects scheduled by 2021 will be in China… only nine in the U.S.

Add to this disaster-in-waiting that Taiwan – a central target of exploitive Chinese Communist Party territorial ambitions – produces 92% of the world's most sophisticated sub-7 nanometer semiconductor computer chips which form the backbone of many sectors in the rapidly evolving digital economy, ranging again from automotive - to smartphones - to advanced weapons systems.

The island is home to Taiwan Semiconductor CO. (TSMC), the world's largest foundry and go-to producer of chips for Apple Inc. smartphones, artificial intelligence and high-performance computing.

Taiwan's importance to the international auto industry recently became too important to ignore as shortfalls of chips used for everything from parking sensors to emission reduction recently forced carmakers including the U.S. Ford Motor Co., Germany's Volkswagen AG, and Japan's Toyota Motor Corp. to halt production.

Although the United States lacks a formal diplomatic relationship with Taiwan, we maintain unofficial ties with the self-ruled island under a 1979 Taiwan Relations Act which authorizes the U.S. to provide defensive military equipment through a nonprofit corporation called the American Institute in Taiwan which serves as a de facto American embassy.

Nevertheless, Washington has always been cautious about provoking a major confrontation with Beijing over Taiwan's sovereignty, a circumstance which can be expected to be particularly true with the current White House.

President Biden and his B Team are transparently desperate in seeking China President Xi Jinping's symbolic support for their obsessive Paris partnership.

We can also but imagine that Biden Family Inc. isn't anxious to risk ugly Beijing extortion blowback regarding legendary foreign influence peddling demons known to be residing in Hunter's laptop from Hell.

Altogether, team Biden's clueless climate crisis-premised carbon-cutting cooperation canard awards huge gifts to China.

First, Beijing gains leverage over America in establishing unserious and unrealistic CO2 emission concessions as key bargaining chits in bi-lateral economic and trade policies.

In concert with a weak and compliant U.S. president, Chinese oligarchs then gleefully watch as America abandons its rich hydrocarbon resource advantages to eliminate competition from an economic and military adversary.

On top of all this, the Chinese Communist Party gains control to hold hostage over the commercial, industrial, and military materials needed to power Biden's fossil-free fantasy future.

Such destructively dunderheaded green new dealings will not end well for America.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture and the graduate space architecture program. His latest of 10 books, "What Makes Humans Truly Exceptional," (2021) is available on Amazon along with all others.

No, Joe *cont. from page 4*

have brought your average trip speed, including charging time, down to under 25 mph.

Unless you have planned adequately, you will always be driving with what is called range anxiety. But still, they are great in the neighborhood, but they definitely cost more than a golf cart.

MILES PER GALLON

The term mph is disappearing as an advantage when buying an EV. The reason is that in order to increase the range of EVs, a great deal of extra weight in batteries has had to be added. That has largely canceled the claim to yield less carbon dioxide (CO2) than internal combustion engines. Yet, less CO2 emissions remain the primary selling point for EVs, even though the production of these batteries generates huge amounts of CO2. In fact, because of the greater manufacturing demands of EVs over normal gas-power cars, by the time an EV arrives at the showroom, it has already double the 'carbon footprint' of traditional vehicles (although climate campaigners will eventually be concerned about this, we are not—after all, CO2 is wonderful as it is greening our world).

On top of that, everyone seems to assume the energy that flows from the wall or recharging station is free. In fact, back at the power station, someone is burning a lot of coal or natural gas to create it. Perhaps your electric car should more accurately be called a coal or natural gas car. And, oh yes, the tax on your gasoline to keep our roads maintained will soon have to be replaced by a special tax on your electric vehicle registration, and well, it should be.

COLD CLIMATES

The nature of batteries is that they yield less energy in cold climates. EV owners will all learn this soon enough. Advertised ranges on a fully charged battery will not be achieved. The shortfall will be significant and good luck trying to charge your EV battery overnight if the temperature in your garage gets too low.

THE CALIFORNIA JOKE

California plans to have 25 million EVs in the not-too-distant future. It already has 50% of the nation's EVs. Thus far, the utility companies have had little to say about the alarming cost projections or the certain increased power rates that will be required to charge their customers. It is not just the total amount of electricity required, but also the transmission lines and fast charging capacity that must be built at existing filling stations. Neither wind nor solar can support any of it.

To match the 2,000 cars that a typical filling station can service in a busy 12 hours, the station would require 600, 50-watt chargers at an estimated cost of $24 million and a supply of 30 megawatts of power from the grid. That is enough to power 20,000 homes. And, it can take 30 minutes to 8 hours to recharge a vehicle between empty or just topping off. Will charging station hotels have to be built along our highways for drivers awaiting a full recharge?

By the way, the 49 states not named California have the other 50% of EVs, an average of about one percent each. It would appear that those states understand the problems California faces.

USED CAR MARKET

The average used EV will need a new battery, pricing them well above used internal combustion cars. The average age of an American car on the road is 12 years. A 12-year-old EV will be on its third battery. A Tesla battery costs $10,000, so there will not be many 12-year-old EVs on the market.

EVs PER BLOCK IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

A home charging system for a Tesla requires a 75-amp service. The average house is equipped with 100-amp service. On most suburban streets, the electrical infrastructure would be unable to carry more than three houses, each with a single Tesla. For half the homes on your block to have electric vehicles, the system would be wildly overloaded, or massive electrical infrastructure rebuilds would be required.

BATTERIES

Although the modern lithium-ion battery is four times better than the old lead-acid battery, gasoline still holds 80 times the energy density. The great lithium battery in your cell phone weighs less than an ounce, while the Tesla battery weighs 1,000 pounds.

EMERGENCY VEHICLES MUST CONTINUE TO BE POWERED BY INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES

The electric automobile will remain a niche market for the foreseeable future, likely never exceeding 10% of the cars on the road. Automobile manufacturers that are heavily investing in EVs will be very disappointed in their sales. Perhaps they know this and instead will soon make only what they know they can sell. And then President Biden and Governor Newsom's plans will crumble with the inevitable return of the gasoline-powered vehicle.

In the meantime, consumers who made the leap to EVs will increasingly find themselves freezing in the dark on the side of remote highways with their EV batteries depleted. If they are lucky, they will be rescued by a tow truck operator who had the common sense to stockpile gasoline and keep their internal combustion engine-driven trucks tuned up and ready to go.

Dr. Jay Lehr is a Senior Policy Analyst with the International Climate Science Coalition and former Science Director of The Heartland Institute. He is an internationally renowned scientist, author, and speaker who has testified before Congress on dozens of occasions on environmental issues and consulted with nearly every agency of the national government and many foreign countries. After graduating from Princeton University at the age of 20 with a degree in Geological Engineering, he received the nation's first Ph.D. in Groundwater Hydrology from the University of Arizona. He later became executive director of the National Association of Groundwater Scientists and Engineers.

Tom Harris is Executive Director of the Ottawa, Canada-based International Climate Science Coalition, and a policy advisor to The Heartland Institute. He has 40 years experience as a mechanical engineer/project manager, science and technology communications professional, technical trainer, and S&T advisor

Digital Handcuffs

By Viv Forbes

A passport was once highly valued by travellers, but not compulsory. Signed by the sovereign, it said: "The bearer of this passport has my protection. He is free to travel anywhere. Do not pester him (or her)."

Gradually passports became compulsory bureaucratic tools to control and track international travellers.

Now the IL-LIBERAL government of Australia is proposing digital travel passports within our own country, available only to the guinea-pigs who participate in their Covid vaccine experiments.

If digital airline passports become mandatory we may just decide to stay at home and fly NOWHERE. Travel bubbles will implode, ALL the flying kangaroos will be grounded, and suddenly we will have achieved "zero emissions" in the aviation industry. All Greens (except climate conventioneers) will surely celebrate.

Where does this nonsense stop? Flu kills more people than COVID – must we present Ekka-flu passports to policemen at the gates, and pub passports to bouncers in the bar? Do they restore the border gates between states with uniformed officers demanding "passports please". And why stop at planes – buses and trains may also carry the Un-vaxxed.

Exhibition, a large annual event displaying livestock, fireworks, product displays and sideshows running for 10 days and attended by thousands of city and country people. Running in late winter it usually spreads the current flu virus which will probably be called "Covid" this year.)

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was May 29, 2021

Quote of the Week:

"Two decades ago, when I was in the private sector," Koonin writes, "I learned to say that the goal of stabilizing human influences on the climate was 'a challenge,' while in government it was talked about as 'an opportunity.' Now back in academia, I can forthrightly call it 'a practical impossibility.'"-Rupert Darwall

Number of the Week:

$3 Trillion

This Week:

By Ken Haapala, President, Science and Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

Sandia National Laboratories: Steve Koonin was the second Undersecretary of Energy for Science to be confirmed by the Senate, the first was Raymond Orbach. The person holding this position is responsible for overseeing the Sandia National Laboratories, which is America's Nuclear Weapons Engineering Laboratory. Fully understanding of proper computer modeling (computer simulation) is crucial for this position.

"Sandia's primary mission is ensuring the U.S. nuclear arsenal is safe, secure, and reliable, and can fully support our nation's deterrence policy. Sandia is the engineering arm of the U.S. nuclear weapons enterprise. We weaponize the nuclear explosive package to create an effective and sustainable nuclear deterrent.

"The nation's nuclear weapons must always work when commanded and authorized by the president of the United States and must never detonate otherwise. Nuclear weapons must survive extremely complex and often harsh environments. They may remain dormant for decades yet must be immediately available during high alert/readiness levels.

"These highly complex technical challenges require a multi-disciplinary approach of systems engineering supported by deep science.

"Sandia's foundation is science-based engineering, in which fundamental science, computer models, and unique experimental facilities come together so researchers can understand, predict, and verify weapon systems performance.

"Sandia is responsible for nuclear weapon systems and components over their entire lifecycle, from original design through final dismantlement and disposal.

"Each year, Sandia assesses the reliability and safety of every active stockpile weapon type and documents its findings in a letter from the Laboratories president to the secretaries of energy and defense and the chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council. This assessment becomes a key part of the annual formal report to the president of the United States on the overall condition of the stockpile."

In 1992, the US conducted its last test of a nuclear explosive. The nation's ultimate defense relies on being able to properly simulate with computer models the effects aging on the stockpile and the effects of using updated components have on the reliability of the stockpile. Without proper computer modeling, the US would have to physically test its nuclear weapons.

Verification and Validation: Proper modeling requires both verification and validation of the computer models used. In a report released by Sandia Labs, two physicists from the Sandia National Laboratories and one from the Department of Fluid Mechanics, Vrije Universiteit Brussel describe well what verification and validation mean. The abstract begins:

"Developers of computer codes, analysts who use the codes, and decision makers who rely on the results of the analyses face a critical question: How should confidence in modeling and simulation be critically assessed? Verification and validation (V&V) of computational simulations are the primary methods for building and quantifying this confidence. Briefly, verification is the assessment of the accuracy of the solution to a computational model. Validation is the assessment of the accuracy of a computational simulation by comparison with experimental data. In verification, the relationship of the simulation to the real world is not an issue. In validation, the relationship between computation and the real world, i.e., experimental data, is the issue."

To TWTW, if a model is to be used for policy of any duration, it needs to be validated, that is it needs to be consistent with the real world. Often, economic models that are not validated are used to justify certain policies. Then if they are followed by economic disasters, corrective polices may be implicated. To declare a climate crisis, or a climate emergency, based on long-term projections from unvalidated climate models can cause significant harm that is avoidable by simply verifying and validated the models before using them to make policies. See links under Seeking a Common Ground.

From the Transcript: In alphabetical order, the experts making presentations at the APS workshop introduced themselves as: John Christy, professor of atmospheric science at the University of Alabama in Huntsville; William Collins, head the weather science department at Berkeley and one of the lead authors of chapter 9 [Evaluation of Climate Models] in the fifth IPCC report [assessment of the physical science]; Judith Curry, professor of earth and atmospheric sciences, Georgia Tech; Isaac Held, NOAA's Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory; Richard Lindzen, emeritus professor at MIT in atmospheric sciences; and Benjamin Santer, from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

During the presentation by Santer, Christy and Santer got into an exchange on the speed with which temperatures bounced back after volcanoes. Christy asserted it was a matter of months, Santer asserted it was years. If Christy is correct the aerosol effects used to adjust models are short-term and do not affect temperatures over the long term. This is important because the modelers use aerosols (which volcanoes emit) to adjust their models to track surface temperature trends.

After Santer's presentation, Koonin brought up the scaling, adjusting the models to track historic data and then dropping these adjustments for centennial (100-year) projections (starting on 257 in the transcript [below page numbers refer to the transcript]).

"So, to me, it looks like they set a calibration against the historical data and then they wiped out that calibration in doing the centennial projections resulting in probably a 25, 30 percent overprediction of the 2100 warmings.

So, is that right? Am I reading IPCC right or have they done what I would have thought is the scientifically correct thing to do?" [p. 259]

After some clarification, a response comes from Collins:

"DR. COLLINS: The models had to be scaled down in their greenhouse gas component, which is the dominant thing by 2100, leading you to conclude that one should apply similar scaling for the projections into 2100.

"DR. KOONIN: Probably 25, 30 percent, maybe more. I don't know. So, have I understood what they did right or not? Have I correctly understood what they did and if so, is that the right thing to have done?" [p. 261]

In effect, the issue Koonin brings up, is that the models are adjusted to be valid against surface temperature data, but then in making forecasts the adjustments are discarded. The models used for projections of dangerous warming a hundred years hence are not valid. After more discussion Koonin states after comments by Santer:

"You said you are not representative of what IPCC does. Certainly, some modelers are well-focused on tuning, and they discovered they need .6, .5, .7 in the greenhouse gas response in order to tune properly. And what bothers me is that they throw away that tuning when they project out a century. That's what I am worried about." [Note the adjustments needed are 6, 5, 7 tenths (0.6, 0.5, 0.7) of the calculated effect.]

No wonder Koonin was so disturbed by the responses he got during this workshop. The actions of the climate modelers are unsuitable as seen by for someone responsible for assuring the reliance of America's nuclear arsenal. At the end, the participants could not work out an agreed upon statement. In 2020 Santer has left Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and recently announced cutting off all ties with it after Koonin was invited to give a talk there.

Note that TWTW asserts that to understand the influence of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, the approach taking by IPCC climate modeling will not produce satisfactory results will produce predictions that are wrong. The far more direct approach of calculating the greenhouse effect in the atmosphere will.

Other presentations, particularly those of Christy and Lindzen will be discussed in an upcoming TWTW. See links under Challenging the Orthodoxy and Seeking a Common Ground.

Ice-Cores Revisited: A paper by Pascal Richet of the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris begins by discussing that science advances as new evidence is compiled, and old concepts are discarded. His introduction begins:

"Perhaps the most important feature evidenced by the history of science is how ideas that were unanimously accepted for very long periods of time have eventually been firmly rejected. Among innumerable examples, two of the most famous are the central position of the Earth in the universe and the theory of the four elements (fire, air, water, and earth) mutually transforming through exchange of their four qualities (hot, cold, dry, and wet). Both theories remained uncontested for 2 millennia in spite of shortcomings that were pointed out early on. For geocentrism, the 24 h rotation of the most distant fixed stars around the pole, for instance, flatly contradicted the firm rule that, from the 27.3 days of the moon to the 29.4 years of Saturn, sidereal periods of revolution markedly increase with the distances of celestial bodies from the Earth. Similarly, the four-element theory was already criticized by Theophrastus (4th–3rd c. BCE), who pointed out that fire is 'unable to persist without fuel. Hence, it seems foolish to speak of fire as a first substance and original element.'"

Richet's essay challenges the use of climate models to assert that changing concentrations of carbon dioxide are a primary cause of climate change. Using Antarctic ice cores taken at Vostok covering the past 423,000 years, he asserts in the abstract:

"The validity of models will, in particular, not be demonstrated as long as at least the most important features of climate changes, namely the glacial–interglacial transitions and the differing durations of interglacial periods, remain unaccounted for. Similarly, the constant 7 kyr time lag between temperature and CO2 decreases following deglaciation is another important feature that needs to be understood.

continue reading at www.sepp.org

Number of the Week: Paul Homewood posted a video of GOP Senator John Kennedy (R-LA) asking EPA Administrator Michael Regan by how much would the US spending $3 Trillion on President Biden's climate plan lower world temperatures. See link under Change in US Administrations.

Science *cont. from page 1*

healthy diet is key to preventing, managing and recovering from Covid-19 (and other diseases).

That's why it's surprising, and exasperating, that many suggestions in the 2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans – the official government recommendations for a healthy diet – are based on outdated data. Rather than following the science, they have too often lagged behind recent findings or been subjected to apparently politicized science. That needs to change.

Dietary Guidelines are more than advice on how to eat. They form the basis of federal food policies and programs, nutrition education, and various public and private disease prevention initiatives. Formulated in consultation with the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, the Guidelines serve as a reference tool, influence what's on food labels, and dictate what foods are allowed in school lunch programs.

Just as important, health-care professionals and policy makers determine nutritional recommendations for especially vulnerable groups – such as pregnant women, young children and adolescents. All these people depend on (and assume there is) sound science behind the Guidelines.

Given the link between nutrition and health, one would think the congressionally required dietary Guidelines would be of high scholarly quality, accurate and scientifically up-to-date, particularly since they are published just once every five years. Indeed, the statute that mandates publicationspecifically requires that they be based on "the preponderance of current scientific and medical knowledge."

Unfortunately, updates that include Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) values for various nutrients receive less attention.

Part of the science behind the guidelines is Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) that tell us how much of any given nutrient a healthy person needs, from macronutrients (proteins, fats and carbohydrates) to vitamins and minerals. Surprisingly, except for sodium and potassium, none of the DRIs have been updated in the last ten years; others, like magnesium and vitamin C, have had no updates since the 1990s. (Potassium, for example, is vital for heart and other muscle health, but found only in a few foods.)

So, on closer look, the "new" 2020 dietary guidelines turn out to be the fifth consecutive congressionally-mandated iteration of old, often outdated science, just packaged in a new cover. Not surprisingly, the 2020 Advisory Committee recommended urgent extensive updates to existing DRIs, for most nutrients, for all age and sex groups and life stages, to better characterize potential risks of dietary inadequacy and excess.

If these official dietary recommendations are to mean anything, the underlying science must be current and the DRIs must be updated – right now, and more regularly in the future.

The 2020 Advisory Committee report on that point is clear: "The DRIs are essential resources for evaluating the nutritional quality of current dietary patterns for the American public, and the Committee has identified where updates are needed for the DRIs to be relevant in the Dietary Guidelines process."

That's why it should have been big news when former Deputy Undersecretary of Agriculture for Food Nutrition and Consumer Services Brandon Lipps' team secured DRI research funding before leaving office. For some reason, though, there was hardly any media coverage.

Instead, ahead of the 2020 Guidelines' publication, misguided news reports announced an expected reduction in RDAs for alcoholic drinks and added sugars – in the midst of the Covid lockdowns, when millions of Americans were increasing their intakes, as a way to cope with their isolation and boredom. But without new studies to justify them, USDA and HHS made no changes to the 2015 recommendations.

By contrast, a big change that was introduced in the 2020 Guidelines involved recommendations for young infants from birth to two years of age. For example, they suggested that babies and toddlers shouldn't have any sweets in their first 24 months, even though there is no new science to support that new guideline. Making that change even more troubling, the very young are probably more vulnerable to the effects of using outdated DRIs than any other age group.

In another example, it's been a long time since the last DRI update for choline, a nutrient that particularly affects infants. Certain animal source foods – such as eggs – provide sufficient amounts of choline, which appears to improve cognitive development that begins during infancy and lasts into school-age years.

Researchers at Cornell University recently discovered that women who consume twice the recommended intake of choline during their third trimester of pregnancy – a time of rapid brain development – deliver lasting neuro-protective benefits to their babies. Enhanced cognitive effects observed in the children (increased attention, memory and problem-solving skills) were still maintained at age seven.

Surprisingly though, since choline was first granted a DRI in 1998, the DRI for infants across all domestic food policy has not been based on even one actual clinical trial. It's been based on the average level of choline in breast milk. That certainly appears to make the choline-intake recommendation entirely random and inadequate, and a special concern with regard to parents who follow vegan diets

DRIs have to be kept up-to-date, and ongoing, relevant research on any nutrition-health nexus must be incorporated into them.

In yet another example, the Guidelines also mention tooth cavities as a significant diet-related chronic disease. And yet the Guidelines ignore the large body of evidence showing the role that chewing sugar-free gum can play in improving oral health by increasing saliva production and discouraging snacking.

In fact, the Guidelines almost entirely overlooked the importance of oral health to overall health, even though wider health benefits linked to a healthy oral biome include the prevention of heart disease, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia (a pregnancy complication characterized by high blood pressure), periodontitis (a serious gum infection that can destroy gums and even jawbones) and diabetes.

Chewing sugar-free gum has been associated with faster post-cesarean recovery. Researchers have even suggested a link between oral health and Covid: coronavirus may spread into the bloodstream through infected gums, causing a more severe disease in people with poor oral hygiene.

To restate the obvious, if the government is going to publish Dietary Guidelines for Americans every five years, agencies need to follow the science. Rather than regurgitating and reprinting old information, and expecting us to follow it on faith, these highly influential Guidelines need to be based on current scientific knowledge. Our health and the health of our children are too important for anything less.

Whether it's nutrition, Covid or climate change, the last thing we need is more sloppy politicized science, and more policies, laws and regulations dictated by "woke" or "cancel culture" agendas that censor certain news and put our well-being way down on the list of government priorities.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate, health and human rights issues.

A Cyber attack crisis may be planned in July as a major disruption

Back in April an article titled 'Globalists Will Need Another Crisis In America As Their Reset Agenda Fails'. In it I noted an odd trend which many of us in the liberty media have become aware of over the years – Almost every major man-made catastrophe in the US and in many other parts of the world in the past couple decades has been preceded by a government or globalist "exercise". These exercises and war games tend to mimic the exact disaster that would eventually strike the public only days or weeks later. Sometimes the mock disaster exercises and the real events happen at the same time.66

UI have specifically outlined the bizarre "coincidence" of the World Economic Forum's Event 201 exercise, a war game co-funded by Bill Gates and Johns Hopkins and launched in October of 2019. Event 201 simulated a global novel zoonotic coronavirus pandemic (supposedly spread from bats to people) that "required" a global lockdown response. Only two months later the real thing actually happened. Almost every aspect of the Covid event has played out exactly as was practiced during the WEF war game.

One very disturbing element of the covid response has been the coordinated suppression campaign by Big Tech platforms from YouTube to Facebook and Twitter. This campaign has sought to undermine or destroy any facts, data and opinions which run contrary to the government narrative on covid, even if the official narrative on covid ends up being completely wrong. The strategy was described in detail during Event 201 and it was executed with extreme efficiency among supposedly disconnected companies and governments around the world. It's almost as if they KNEW a coronavirus pandemic was about to happen, and they were already staged to control the public reaction well in advance.

And let's be clear because I do not want to seem ambiguous; the World Economic Forum and their globalist partners have been the prime beneficiaries during the pandemic. As WEF head Klaus Schwab has excitedly noted over and over again, the pandemic is a perfect "opportunity" for globalists to fast track what they call the "Great Reset" agenda – A plan to completely dismantle the current political and economic framework of the world and rebuild it into a highly centralized socialist civilization in which they are in complete control and personal freedom is a faded memory.

This is why recent revelations of Covid's probable lab origins are not at all surprising. Just mentioning this idea a year ago in social media was enough to get you banned. And, if you want to know where to find the truth, always look first to the subjects you are not allowed to discuss. As I stated in my article 'How Viral Pandemic Benefits The Globalist Agenda', published in January of 2020 at the very beginning of the outbreak:

"I have a hard time ignoring the strange "coincidence" of the high level biohazard labs in Wuhan in favor of the idea that the virus was launched by chance due to the odd diets of central Chinese people. Given the evidence it appears that the coronavirus was gestated in a lab, not in someone's bat and snake soup. In 2017, scientists outside of China warned that these labs were not secure and that a virus might escape one of the facilities…..I would use the term "escape" loosely, as there is a possibility that this event was created intentionally…"

Elites like Dr. Anthony Fauci (a close associate of Bill Gates and Bill Gates SR.) directly funded studies at the Wuhan Lab through the NIAID since 2015, and specifically funded the study of the infectious transfer of coronaviruses from bats to other mammals including humans. And yes, the NIAID was in fact involved in "gain of function" experiments using SARS and coronavirus variants at this time, despite Anthony Fauci claiming otherwise. The National Institute Of Health's own website confirms this.

All of these facts support the argument that covid is a lab created bioweapon, and in my view according to the evidence so far it was released deliberately in close alliance with the Chinese government. Fauci even somehow "predicted" in 2017 that Donald Trump would face a "surprise infectious disease outbreak" during his presidency, stating that "We will definitely get surprised in the next few years…"

The scheme has certainly worked to an extent. In large portions of Europe, Asia and Australia the WEF is getting what it always wanted.

That said, some things did not go as planned. For example, Event 201 predicted an initial 65 million people dead within the first year of the pandemic; this did not happen, and it was not because governments saved any lives. In fact, government lockdowns and restrictions did nothing to stave off the spread of covid and independent studies have proven mask mandates to be completely ineffective in stopping the virus. The reason for the comparatively small body count is the fact that Covid's death rate is only 0.26% among otherwise healthy people. The only place wear covid is a true threat is in nursing homes among elderly people with preexisting conditions.

Because of the miscalculations of the elites, the reset agenda appears to be failing in some parts of the world. In the US, resistance to the lockdowns as well as the experimental vaccines has grown exponentially to the point that dozens of states are now passing laws which prohibit enforcement of covid restrictions and "medical passports".

The alternative media has also proven resilient against censorship and information suppression, and we have been proven to be right time and time again. We were the first people to warn that the death rate of covid was being exaggerated (the WHO and other establishment institutions predicted a death rate of at least 3%, FAR above the reality). We were the first people to warn that the lockdowns and mask rules did nothing to stop the spread (states that removed restrictions ended up with FALLING infections and deaths). And, we were the first people to warn that the virus was behaving more like a bioweapon, and that its origin was more likely the Level 4 lab in Wuhan, China, right down the street from the animal market that the Chinese government originally claimed was the source of the outbreak.

We were also the first people to warn that the pandemic would be used as a rationale for the enforcement of vaccine passports, which would create a two tear society designed to force people who do not want to take the mRNA vaccines into compliance. We have been proven right once again as the state of Oregon has become the first in the US to demand proof of vaccination (a passport) before residents are able to enter any businesses or establishments.

We have consistently been called "conspiracy theorists" throughout this event by government bureaucrats and the media. But, we were were right about almost everything, and the mainstream media has been wrong about almost everything. Either that, or they have been knowingly lying about almost everything.

This dynamic is important to understand because I believe the situation is far from over

continued to p. 8

A Metaphor For Every Failed Liberal Policy Everywhere



By Gary Wickert

The Milwaukee Streetcar playfully referred to as "The Hop" is owned by the city of Milwaukee but operated by a very chic French-based international private-sector company which operates public transport in 17 countries. In the face of extreme criticism and conservative prognostications of low ridership and skyrocketing costs, the Hop began operation in November of 2018. It putters around a very modest 2.1 mile-line which connects an intermodal station used primarily by prostitutes and hobos and bears an expensive paint job which counsels Milwaukeeans to "mask up." Two years later, the Hop faces—wait for it—low ridership and skyrocketing costs. Public sector mass transit junkies were lured by the pied piper siren song of federal funds. But those funds have now run dry, and the Hop is facing a $3.2 million budget shortfall which the city must now steal from the city's parking-centric transportation fund to keep the silly thing alive. Much more than simply the little train that could not—the Hop has become a metaphor for failed liberal policies across the planet.

The Hop saga would be funny if it were not all so prevalent in today's ultra-Progressive and self-destructive urban cesspools. It is symptomatic of the liberals' love affair for all things on rails. Their infatuation with high-speed rail and trolley systems is exceeded only by their rampant and illogical idealism. Perhaps driven by a religious belief that the planet is going to burst into flames imminently and trains will prevent it; perhaps as the result of a gene buried deep within their chromosomes which forces them to think about humanity solely in terms of its collective nature. They love it when we are all doing the same thing; all brought down to the same common denominator…whether wearing masks or sitting in the classless seating arrangement aboard a train with few or no riders.

The damn-the-arithmetic-full-speed-ahead approach to the Milwaukee trolley was but one example of liberal ignorance winding up in the historical dumpster of idealism. The California high-speed rail project is the mother of all examples. In 2008, the Democratic machine in California persuaded a narrow majority of state voters to provide $9.95 billion in bond seed money for what was initially promised to be a $33 billion high-speed rail network linking Northern and Southern California, with operations beginning in 2020. But when a plan was finally released, it ominously warned that the ballot promise that the train would not need future subsidies turned out to be a big of a lie as anthropogenic global warming or systemic racism. Democratic lawmakers and promised that the train would attract so many riders that it would actually turn a profit. But it turned out that profit is not only something the radical left despises; it is something they know absolutely nothing about.

The $33 billion project became an $80 billion project. The 2008 bond act has an explicit ban on subsidies, promising that "the planned passenger train service to be provided by the authority, or pursuant to its authority, will not require operating subsidy." Two years after the silly train was supposed to be completed, the state the state was forced to whittle it down to a single-track, 171-mile route from Bakersfield to Merced — at a staggering cost of $22.8 billion. And even this looks like it may not be able to be completed. Governors Jerry Brown and Gavin Newsom have inhaled deeply, blindly forging on with a project that is just a fraction of its original scope. The liberals in the federal government rushed to the rescue. Rep. Jim Costa, D-Calif., sponsored the High-Speed Rail Corridor Development Act. This bill would allow printing of $32 billion in more money we do not have to complete even the mini-bullet train. It was an addendum to the $1.9 trillion pandemic stimulus bill that the White House is trying to disguise as an "infrastructure" measure. It turns out the American Rescue Plan is simply a rescue operation for failed liberal pipe dreams.

Back in Milwaukee, right-thinking conservatives have started campaigning against the black hole known as the Hop. A Facebook account entitled "Stop the Milwaukee Streetcar" are replete with evidence of how it is a waste of Milwaukee residents' precious and limited property tax dollars. It seems that about 30 years ago Milwaukee got a big pot of federal money for a bus-only highway. The only problem was, Milwaukee did not want a bus-only highway. The next 25 years were spent trying to figure out how to spend this federal money. The Milwaukee Streetcar was the answer. To justify their fanatical devotion to the Hop, Milwaukee's mayor said that "The federal government is paying a lot of dollars for this." The liberal logic here—as always—was completely backwards. Instead of seeing where the need was and then responding to the need, government deduced that if it built a trolley which required tearing up narrow streets which were already narrowed by winter snow, the businesses would build along the trolley route. Not understanding profit or business in the least, what the left did not understand is that a silly trolley running in front of a building does not make it a great place to put a business.

The strings tied to the federal money also determined the silly route on which the trolley was eventually built. Decades ago, the federal government also gave Milwaukee money for construction of a downtown transit center. Milwaukee County later decided to tear down the transit center, only it would have required they repay $8 million to the federal government, unless there was an ongoing transportation function tied to that land. So, they decided to build the track to a dead end at the transit center. The streetcar was disfavored by 70% of Milwaukee residents, but government knew better. The local paper held a competition wherein reporters on the Hop raced the length of the Hop's route using multiple other forms of transportation (including bicycles), and the Hop finished last.

The Hop is one of the biggest wastes of taxpayer dollars in Wisconsin history. But streetcars are the new fad in urban planning. The notion that it would increase job access and mobility for city residents showcased a gross misunderstanding of how transit works at best; and more likely, a deliberate misrepresentation to Milwaukee voters. So here it sits; with no riders, no income, and a subsidy which must be borne by Milwaukee taxpayers who do not use it.

The fact is that streetcars running in mixed traffic have been proven time and time again to have no impact on mobility and job access when they parallel existing bus routes. The boondoggle was designed for expansion, does not attract Millennials, does not even make a scratch in the needs of minorities or the transit-dependent, and has not and won't boost economic development. But its proponents were well-intentioned; and they were spending federal dollars. America has a crack-like addiction to federal subsidy money because the illusion is that it is free money. It is not. The federal government spends billions of dollars annually on unnecessary urban rail systems. Without federal subsidies, cities and local governments would make better choices for their local transit needs. High-speed rail loses money and services only a very small number of intercity passengers. It reminds me of the wise words of the man who wrote the U.S. Constitution:

"Having considered the bill this day presented to me entitled 'An act to set apart and pledge certain funds for internal improvements,' and which sets apart and pledges funds 'for constructing roads and canals, and improving the navigation of water courses' . . . I am constrained by the insuperable difficulty I feel in reconciling the bill with the Constitution of the United States to return it with that objection to the House of Representatives. The legislative powers vested in Congress are specified and enumerated in the eighth section of the first article of the Constitution, and it does not appear that the power proposed to be exercised by the bill is among the enumerated powers."

James Madison, March 3, 1817. Veto of federal transportation spending.

Inflation Revisionism

By Brian S. Wesbury & Robert Stein

Talk about revisionist history! A recent tweetstorm from an opinion leader at the NY Times says that, looking back, he wonders what all the fuss was about inflation in the 1970s. It wasn't "that high," he says, and so the risk of returning to that kind of inflation should not be a serious concern today, because it wouldn't even be that bad if we went back there.

Just so we get our facts straight, here are the consumer price inflation rates per decade looking backward. The 1950s - 2.2%, 1960s – 2.5%, 1970s -7.4%, 1980s – 5.1%, 1990s – 2.9%, 2000s – 2.6% and 2010s – 1.7%. So, while the US in the 1970s and early 1980s was not Zimbabwe, it was the worst sustained inflation in US history. Prices were doubling every 10 years and the Fed had to push interest rates up to 20% to stop the damage.

Nonetheless, the "revisionists" say that the inflation of the 1970s was good for many people. They argue that home ownership rose, student debt got wiped out, and inflation reduced the value of the national debt. In other words, if you can see these benefits, why don't we do it again?

First, it is true that home ownership rose in the 1970s, but it was rising even faster in the second half of the 1960s. Moreover, Savings & Loans provided assumable mortgages in the 1970s, which allowed buyers to assume a lower, pre-inflation mortgage rate. Eventually, this led to the collapse of the Savings & Loan Industry.

Second, because student debt has fixed interest rates, of course it would disappear faster with higher inflation. In addition, people with student debt tend to have higher lifetime incomes than people without that debt. Is he really arguing in favor of using inflation to redistribute wealth to people with above-average lifetime incomes?

Third, the national debt did fall as a share of GDP after World War II. But almost all of the decline happened between 1946, when debt was 118% of GDP, and 1969 when it fell to 36%. It only fell by another 4 percentage points of GDP, to 32%, by 1981. And from 1946 through 1969, inflation averaged 2.5% per year. In other words, the inflation of the 1970s was not the key behind reducing the national debt.

The primary problem, with 1970s-style inflation is that everyone involved in the economy – every business owner, every worker, every investor, every manager, every entrepreneur – would have to spend time trying to forecast it. Borrowing and investing would pose danger on both sides of the transaction. The same goes for labor contracts.

Here's what the 1970s-inflation apologists don't say: unemployment averaged 4.6% in the 1950s and 1960s then averaged 6.2% in the 1970s. It was even higher in the 1980s, but that's because the early part of the decade had to be dedicated to a tight monetary policy to wrestle inflation under control.

Ultimately, inflation is a "hidden" tax that doesn't stay hidden. People adapt by redirecting resources away from production and toward inflation-hedging, which doesn't raise standards of living over time.

The problem is, at this point, you have to be relatively old to remember the 1970s. With each passing year, a smaller share of the population actually lived through it. And so we forget the pain it caused. Hopefully, we are not condemned to repeat it.

Cyber Attack *cont. from page 7*

and that more crisis events are about to be engineered (or they will magically happen by coincidence).

My biggest concern right now is the upcoming 'Cyberpolygon' exercise being headed by the WEF this July. The war game is meant to "simulate" a cyberattack on vital infrastructure that would lead to a shutdown of the global supply chain, or at least the supply chains within certain nations. As I warned in April, the timing of Cyberpolygon is suspicious. As the covid lockdown agenda is breaking down in the US and the vaccine passports are not winning favor among a large percentage of Americans, the globalists will need another crisis even if they hope to achieve their goals for their Great Reset.

Only weeks after I published my initial concerns about Cyberpolygon, a massive cyberattack was reported which struck the 5,500 mile Colonial Pipeline. The pipeline supplies gasoline to most of the eastern seaboard and after a week of being shut down numerous states were reporting gas shortages. The story has since quietly faded from mainstream news cycles.

In the past few days, yet another major cyberattack has been reported against JBS, a meat company that supplies around 23% of all US beef and pork. Production at JBS has shut down, and now there is the possibility of meat shortages across the country if the problems are not solved soon.

Again, is it just coincidence that these large scale cyberattacks are happening with greater frequency in the lead-up to a WEF's Cyberpolygon simulation? Or, is Cyberpolygon another Event 201? Is it a beta test for a disaster that is planned for the near future? The WEF is openly comparing future cyber attacks to covid outbreaks, so I'm inclined to suspect the latter:

The supply chain issue has come to the forefront in the wake of the pandemic as retailers have had to deal with intermittent shortages, and manufacturers are facing a lack of components. Not only that, but inflationary troubles are also weighing on supplies. That said, a cyberattack is another animal entirely; whether or not the event is real or staged, the supply chain is fragile because of global interdependency. In the US, there are many necessities that rely on foreign manufacturers or the "just in time" freight system. Preparedness and long term storage are not a part of the vocabulary of the common US business.

I don't really know if the supply chain could be shut down completely using a cyberattack, but in combination with inflation and draconian pandemic restrictions, it is possible to disrupt the flow of goods for weeks at a time. It is also impossible to predict how many people are prepared for such a calamity. My hope is that more than 30% of Americans have at least begun the path to prepping, but undoubtedly a majority of the country has not. All it would take is a couple weeks of shortages or a grid down scenario and most people would be facing starvation, among other things.

If Event 201 is any indication, then we should remain vigilant and watch carefully for another major cyberattack affecting the supply chain within two months of the WEF's Cyberpolygon exercise in July.

Treated like 2001 terrorists, '1-6ers' in D.C. jail keep spirits up by loudly singing national anthem

Trib247.com

The "1-6ers", or suspects who were detained in connection to the breach of the U.S. Capitol on Jan. 6, are held in closet-sized cells in solitary confinement for 23 to 24 hours a day and allegedly assaulted and degraded by D.C. jail guards, a report said.

The D.C. Central Detention Facility "has become Guantanamo Bay for American Citizens," one lawyer told DailyMail.com in a report published on June 1.

One of the detainees, Richard "Bigo" Barnett, who was photographed with his feet up on the desk in Speaker Nancy Pelosi's office, said the "1-6ers" keep their spirits up by reciting the Pledge of Allegiance and loudly singing the national anthem.

Joseph McBride, Barnett's lawyer, claimed that jail guards are "roughing up" the detainees to show solidarity with law enforcement who protected the Capitol.

"In the eyes of the Federal Government, the 2021 Trump supporter is the 2001 religious Muslim. This is demonstrated by the fact that Trump supporters, type-casted as extremists, are being illegally detained pretrial by the United States Government," McBride said.

McBride said guards told his client and other "1/6ers" that "the world hates them," "they'll be forgotten" and "they'll spend the rest of their lives in here," among other disparaging remarks.

There's a pending grievance against one of the guards who allegedly threatened to sexually assault Barnett's wife, the Daily Mail report noted.

Barnett said the detainees were locked down 23 hours a day with one hour of recreation time, which could be anywhere from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m.

Barnett, who was released under house arrest in April after three months in the D.C. jail, told the Daily Mail that the days started for the "1/6ers" at 3 a.m., when they were woken up and given "slop" for breakfast.

Lunch was 11 a.m. and "is the best meal of the day," he said. Dinner came at 6 p.m.: "It was baloney and cheese 70 percent of the time. Dinner was almost always not edible."

Reciting the Pledge of Allegiance and singing the national anthem were the only thing keeping the detainees' heads above water while they were in jail, Barnett said.

Someone "would stand in the middle of our area and recite the Pledge of Allegiance. We would join in. It boosted moral," Barnett said.

"At some point we started singing the National Anthem every night at 7 p.m. I would start it off because I had the loudest voice. We did it for unity, love of country, and because we are patriots."

Another "1/6er", Guy Reffitt, wrote in a jailhouse letter (see below): "While our lawyers do our bidding and the judges do their duties, we remain resolute, reciting The Pledge of Allegiance and singing The National Anthem, all in unison, loud and proud most everyday."

The jail has a women's wing where the same type of treatment was described in detainee Lisa Eisnehart's court documents.

She was in solitary 23, sometimes 24, hours a day, and she was shackled and chained when she left her cell, according to court documents.

Her lawyer filed a motion to move her out of "restrictive housing" and into general population. For one day, Eisenhart was accidentally moved to general population. In that one day, she noticed "a vast difference."

"She had an ability to exercise on a treadmill that is present in the general population area, instead of being given just five hours per week (less than one hour per day) that she had to spend alone after simply being moved to a slightly larger cell to 'exercise,' " court documents say.

In the general population, she could shower whenever she wanted, wash clothes, heat up food, visit a library, and begin legal research, according to court documents.

"She got her first good night's sleep since arriving (into general population), as the cells in the general population area are far warmer than her previous cell (where the temperature appears to be about 55 degrees Fahrenheit). And perhaps most importantly, she was able for the first time in weeks to interact and talk with other human beings who were not assigned to monitor her movements and activities as prison guards," court documents say.

After a day, Eisenhart was transferred back to restrictive housing for her safety, according to court documents.

"The Federal Government has a long history of scapegoating entire groups of people in order to erode our constitutional rights and protections while simultaneously grabbing power," McBride noted.



Reffitt's full letter:



Case 1:21-cr-00032-DLF Document 24-2 Filed 05/12/21 Page 1 of 4 ①

The 1/6 er's

Perhaps the most tragic event of January 6th was the focal point was lost. Many things transpired that day, this country may never truly understand. A massive amount of people, known only to each other now, came together in the resting place of the founding documents. A place created for a challenge, the kind of challenge only the heart of the American spirit could know.

Did we forget "God Bless America" from the mountains to the prairies, to the oceans, white with foam? These battle cries meant we would and we should never shed tears because of enemies or tyranny.

Our founding fathers knew they could come from the outside and from within. They supplied a protection order so all Americans would know when the time came to protect against the unseen enemy. We have been labeled the enemy, yet clearly we see tyranny as the enemy. The People of The Republic watched through many years, the power rise as the people slipped away. The clock ticked inside as we watched and waited.

More than half a century slipped by as the nations core values eroded under old political constituents. The day came to notify Congress of these transgressions [redacted] against a free nation. When people say out loud "America isn't free", thats another reason.



Case 1:21-cr-00032-DLF Document 24-2 Filed 05/12/21 Page 2 of 4 ②

It has been widely argued that a single man caused that proverbial clock to strike the hour. Let us tell you now, we are the ones to ask. Presidents come and go every 4-8 years yet Congress, at least this one, has mostly been there for more than half a century. Some new ones, but many old ones and are embedded into a system they are now literally building around themselves. We and a great many of you can see through the hoodwinked narrative.

Changing history and distorting the past only brings clarity to the future for those who knew this would happen one day. We watch the people of other countries rise up against authoritarianism and think, how sad they must be to want freedom and liberty so much. Here, the more you try to divide, bend or even break America. The more The Republic of The People will stand indivisible and resolute.

Nobody in here bought the lies "it can't happen here because we all know it can happen anywhere.

January 6th was nothing short of a satirical way to overthrow a government. If overthrow was the quest, it would have no doubt been overthrown. Ask the Capitol Police for thier opinion of how it could have been. They are grateful it wasn't a real insurrection complete with mind, body and soul. There was isolated overly emotional individuals but



Case 1:21-cr-00032-DLF Document 24-2 Filed 05/12/21 Page 3 of 4 ③

the magority stayed focused. Ask yourself the same question the Japanese had conceded. Isoroku Yamamoto was supposed to have said "I would never invade America, there is a gun behind every blade of grass" this was a serious consideration of the Soviets as well years later. Removal of this element is an assured destruction of the greatest advantage against any enemy.

January 6th was a "historical day" in this country and people of both sides (if there is aside) made the ultimate sacrifice. While this government kills 10's of 1,000's in the name of prosperity. Five people died that day in the name of posterity.

"If you choose not to decide, you still have made a choice"

Let it ring in your heart, the real reason that clock struck the hour. We all heard the thunderous ticking in our ears. It couldn't be ignored. I hope that was the only day in American history we would without doubt, feel the need to notify our government, they have transgressed much too far.

When all the lies and hyperbole have been peeled away, the world will know the truth. There was no insurrection, no conspiracy, no sinister plan and no reason to think otherwise.



Case 1:21-cr-00032-DLF Document 24-2 Filed 05/12/21 Page 4 of 4 ④

While our lawyers do our bidding and the Judges do thier duties. We remain resolute, reciting The Pledge of Allegiance and singing The National Anthem, all in unison, loud and proud most everyday. All because we are us, We are you, we are all Americans and in here, we have no labels....

May God bless us all,
May God bless America,
Signed:
The 1/6 er's

Tierra del Fuego *cont. from page 1*

Cuba, but overcrowding and limited space forced JAG to expand its operational scope, as it ramps up efforts to capture and incarcerate Deep State assets who have committed unspeakable crimes against humanity.

"The arrests will be coming fast and furious soon, and GITMO simply lacks enough court space to handle thousands of cases coming down the pipeline," said a JAG official, speaking on condition of anonymity. "We actually have 2 additional locations, one of which is Tiera del Fuego."

Tierra del Fuego, Spanish for "Land of Fire," is an archipelago off the southernmost tip of the South American mainland, across the Strait of Magellan. The U.S. military has had a secret but not-so-secret presence in the area for many years; it is the clandestine home to several B-2 Spirit Stealth Bombers and was an attack drone monitoring facility under the Obama regime.

During Obama's illegitimate presidency, he and the Argentine government reached an agreement, which granted the U.S. permission to build new bases in the Tierra del Fuego region. While Obama asserted that the base would be used mainly for scientific work, he wanted access to the region for reasons that had nothing to do with science. He sought a secluded drone station, and he wanted access to the Guaraní Aquifer, one of the world's most significant sources of potable water.

"It's ironic that the very place Obama funded may be the place he is tried and executed for crimes against America," our source said.

The current U.S. military is choosing to hold tribunals at the Tierra del Fuego base for several reasons; it has been built; its remote location is a near guarantee that the prying eyes and lying mouths of the mainstream media will not get near it; it has several spacious and empty hangers, one of which already has been converted into a courtroom. It has no prison, and the military plans to imprison convicted parties elsewhere.

"There's enough jail space in other places to house the traitors. They built FEMA camps across the United States in hopes of imprisoning law-abiding Americans. It is they who will now end up in their own FEMA camps," our source said.

In closing, our source said that several high-profile figures, most of whom were Hollywood elites, are awaiting tribunals at Tierra del Fuego. The names he dropped include Kevin Spacey, Lady Gaga, Tom Hanks, and Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg, among others.



GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

July 3rd Lakefront fireworks show cancelled, but not because of COVID. Milwaukee County doesn't have enough workers.

This week, Milwaukee County cancelled their 4th of July fireworks display for the second year in a row -- not as a result of the pandemic, but because they could not find workers to put on the display for the community. While the far left portrays this as insignificant, it embodies the pattern of similar if not more impactful roadblocks to come.

While businesses are struggling, Tony Evers is refusing to help Wisconsinites get back to work by joining the dozens of other states who have opted out of additional federal unemployment benefits. Today, it is the cancellation of an event celebrating our American history, but later on it could be businesses closing, factories shutting down, or local venues declaring bankruptcy because Wisconsin cannot find people to employ.

While Republicans are fighting to get Wisconsin working again, Tony Evers is fighting to keep government in the way.

Tony Evers did enough damage with his crippling lockdowns that devastated Wisconsin businesses. Now, we need your help to stop Tony Evers from putting the final nail in the coffin for small business owners across the state.

Anna Kelly
Communications Director
Republican Party of Wisconsin

Legislation Introduced To Prohibit Critical Race Theory In Wisconsin Schools.

Wisconsin Republicans are introducing legislation that would prohibit schools from teaching Critical Race Theory in Wisconsin. Three pieces of legislation are reportedly being introduced to prohibit the teaching of Critical Race Theory at various levels of government across the state.

One of the authors of the legislation and organizer of today's Press Conference, Representative Chuck Wichgers (R-Muskego) said "We are introducing this legislation at the request of hundreds of parents who have talked to us in our districts about the growing concern of the materials being taught to their children in their primary and secondary schools. It has come to our attention that a growing number of school districts are teaching material that attempts to redress the injustice of racism and sexism by employing racism and sexism, as well as promoting psychological distress in students based on these immutable characteristics."

According to a draft of one of the bills shared with MacIver News Service, if a school does teach Critical Race Theory, DPI must withhold up to 10% of their state funding. If DPI chooses not to penalize the school district, parents can sue the district.

In the press conference introducing the bills, a mother of students in the Madison Metropolitan School District spoke about how teachers in schools are promoting division. She said, "Civil rights helped us advance, and now my children are being made to think of themselves as perpetual victims. To think of the white race as perpetual oppressors. This isn't right."

MacIver's original series, Questionable Curriculum: Critical Race Theory in WI K12 Education, has highlighted the use of Critical Race Theory in school districts across the state. Read the original story, here.

A grandmother said that Critical Race Theory is a cancer that has invaded our schools and needs to be eradicated. She said that our children and grandchildren deserve better.

Representative Rick Gundrum (R-Slinger) spoke in favor of the bills by saying our schools should be focused on teaching students the basics and teaching them to be proficient. He said that "We should not be teaching them divisive content."

As the MacIver Institute has previously reported, proficiency scores in the state remain very low. In the most recent data available, only 43% of Wisconsin students are proficient in math and 41% are proficient in English Language Arts.

The full text of the legislation is set to be released later this week. MacIver will continue to update you as more information about the bills becomes available.

Monica Wichgers says Madison School District teachers are promoting racial division through Critical Race Theory. Republican lawmakers announced new legislation that would ban Wisconsin schools from teaching the divisive, Marxist ideology.

See additional CRT articles on page 20

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin, a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES

Concealed Carry & Home Defense
Sat., June 12; 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM

Handgun Fundamentals
Tues., June 19; 9:00 AM - 1:00 PM

Women's Handgun & Self Defense Fundamentals
Sat., June 26; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM

Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.

12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005
262-226-2900
info@wifirearms.com

★★★★★ Five-Star Confidence

Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm

wifirearms.com
An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex

WISCONSIN FIREARMS WFTC TRAINING CENTER

Modern Monetary

cont. from page 1

While our Constitution does not mandate any specific economic system, it does specify how government acquires, values, and spends our money. Politicians should understand how MMT squares with our constitutional structure before promising unlimited free money without increasing taxes or interest rates.

The U.S. recently finished an election for president, the entire House of Representatives, and one-third of the Senate. Not one candidate for federal office in 2020 made serious mention of our $28 trillion national debt. $24 trillion or 84% of our $28 trillion national debt has been created since the presidency of George W. Bush. On top of the current debt, President-elect Biden is proposing $11 trillion in new spending. Every president has an excuse for massive debt. Trump had the pandemic; Obama the 2009 financial crisis; George W. Bush had 9/11. Biden just wants to spend for every Progressive cause. Unfortunately his spending does not include the military.

MMT argues government debt is irrelevant

MMT asserts when a nation has a sovereign currency, debt can be accumulated since it is owed to itself. Money can be simply credited to another's account (printed in old-fashioned terms) without having to sell bonds that require interest payments. This magic process involves keystrokes on a computer. New digits are created electronically on a balance sheet allowing government to spend whatever it needs.

The foundation for such MMT magic is the belief that government creates all wealth by spending money into existence. Government spends to incentivize citizens to want more of what government wants us to have. And by commanding that all taxes be paid in the currency controlled by the government, everyone needs to earn money to pay taxes for the government services they want.

MMT economists view money creation as a valuable economic tool that does not automatically devalue currency when used to address an underperforming economy. By creating new money, jobs are created, and productivity increases. According to Federal Reserve chairs, "…government can print all the money it needs, and nothing bad happens." Simply, MMT economists posit that when an economy has unemployment, government is not spending enough money and can spend until full employment is reached.

Under MMT, inflation is the primary risk of spending more than needed to reach full employment. When inflation occurs, MMT recognizes it will need to raise taxes and interest rates to control inflation, yet proponents ignore the implications of such recognition. However, as long as the Federal Reserve keeps interest rates near zero, there is not a need to repay the debt since no interest is due. But is there a point where the accumulated debt is so great that it cannot reasonably be serviced if interest rates rise?

Our Constitution does not authorize free money by keystroke

Our constitution starts with the words "We the People of the United States" have created a government with certain limited powers. As to currency, our government is authorized to coin money, and regulate its value and relation to foreign currency. Our government can borrow money on the credit of the United States however, money cannot be drawn from the treasury unless Appropriations are made by law and all expenditures accounted for. These limits impose constitutional accountability by limiting government action.

Since the currency of the United States is only as valuable as the credit of the government supporting it, there is no provision allowing government to magically create an unlimited money supply by a keystroke and then by another keystroke use the money for other purposes.

In the world of finance, MMT resembles a money-laundering scheme, i.e., concealing the origins of money, then passing it through a complex sequence of transfers to make the money appear legitimate. By illustration, the Federal Reserve creates money from" thin air" and transfers it to the Federal government through a series of transactions. It works like this - the Social Security Trust Fund collects more real money in taxes than it pays out. Social Security uses its excess money to purchase U.S. Treasuries from the federal government. This transaction immediately gives the federal government real money to spend. The Federal Reserve then buys the U.S. Treasuries from Social Security using the money it created out of thin air. The only real money is collected by Social Security and it goes to the Federal government which immediately spends it. The risk of this money laundering scheme is that Social Security, its pensioners, and the Fed own promises based on the creditworthiness of the U.S. which is constantly printing more money out of thin air and transferring it through the system.

As more dollars are made out of thin air, they become less valuable unless needed as a source of exchange in the U.S. Since the Constitution contemplates international commerce and a valuable currency supported by the credit of its government, MMT is far outside the Constitutional framework that requires a strong currency for trading with the nations of the world. In fact, MMT will force the U.S. to be an insular society to ensure its currency remains valuable to citizens.

It is easy to see why Progressives are so enthralled with MMT. They believe since government creates all wealth, it has the right to tax back all wealth, after all, it's the federal government's money. Citizens merely exist to do what the government wants them to do. Citizens merely buy the services government wants them to buy. Such a system could be termed communism but MMT is too misleading for such a serious term. A better description is that MMT promotes a Banana Republic without any bananas to back its currency.

William L. Kovacs, author of Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens (Winner of 2021 Independent Press Award for Social/Political change) and The Left's Little Red Book on Forming a Green New Republic. Also, former senior vice president, U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

William L. Kovacs receives national recognition through the INDEPENDENT PRESS AWARD®!



(Great Falls, VA) -- The INDEPENDENT PRESS AWARD recognized Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens, by William L. Kovacs as the 2021 winner in the category of Social/Political Change.

The competition is judged by experts from different aspects of the book industry, including publishers, writers, editors, book cover designers and professional copywriters. Selected award winners and distinguished favorites are based on overall excellence.

Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens, by William L. Kovacs, winner of the Independent Press Awards, 2021 Social/Political Change category, sets out how the federal government transformed itself from one of limited powers to one of immense power without any constitutional changes. Kovacs states, "These changes in institutional power fundamentally affect the relationship of citizens to their government. Government is now the master and citizens the servants of government. The loyalty of today's elected officials is to political party and ideology, not to solving problems for citizens." Unlike many books on government reform, Reform the Kakistocracy does not let the reader dangle with fuzzy proposals. It presents clear, thought-provoking ideas for reforming government to make it work for citizens, not politicians. Proposals range from electing officials who will function as fiduciaries to citizens, not as politicians serving interest groups; devolution of power to states; Congress reclaiming powers it delegated to the executive and courts; creative ways to reduce federal debt; mechanisms to ensure government transparency and many other innovative principles of governance.

In 2021, the INDEPENDENT PRESS AWARD had entries worldwide. Participating authors and publishers reside in countries such as Australia, Canada, India, Ireland, Japan, Singapore, Switzerland, United Kingdom, and others. In addition, books submitted included writers located in U.S. cities such as Atlanta to Santa Fe; Chicago to New York; from Boise to Honolulu, and others.

"We congratulate this year's 2021 winners and distinguished favorites in the annual INDEPENDENT PRESS AWARD. The quality and quantity of excellent independently published books hit a record. Independents recognized are thriving around the world. We are so proud to announce these key titles representing global independent publishing." said awards sponsor Gabrielle Olczak.

For more information, please visit independentpressaward.com; and to see this year's list of IPA's Winners, please visit the website page: https://www.independentpressaward.com/2021winners.



Why You Should Not Get The COVID-19 "Vaccine"

Created by Mary-Jean Harris

The 16 minute video at https://childrenshealthdefense.org/transcripts/what-we-know-and-may-never-know-about-covid-vaccines/ sums up things well. The 10 minute interview with an expert at https://omny.fm/shows/on-point-with-alex-pierson/new-peer-reviewed-study-on-covid-19-vaccines-sugge about his new peer reviewed study on COVID-19 injections that suggests why heart inflammation, blood clots and other dangerous side effects are apparently occurring is well worth the time to listen in. My daughters and I are not getting the injection and my wife has cancelled her second injection.

Thanks for all you do! -Tom

What's Going On?

- Governments around the world are embarking on mass marketing campaigns to push vaccines on the public.

There is much more misinformation regarding COVID testing, masks, lockdowns, etc., but here, we will just focus on vaccinations.

Stats to keep in mind!



First of all, it is NOT a Vaccine

From Dr. David Martin:

This is not a vaccine. We're using the term "vaccine" to sneak it under public health exemptions. This is mRNA packaged in a fat envelope that is delivered to a cell. It is a **medical device** designed to stimulate the human cell into becoming a pathogen creator.

Vaccines are a legally defined term under public health law, they're legally defined term under the CDC and FDA standards. And a vaccine specifically has to stimulate both an immunity within the person who is receiving it, but it also has to disrupt transmission.

That is not what this is. They have been clear in saying that the mRNA strand that is going into the cell is **not to stop transmission**. It is a treatment.

But if it was discussed as a treatment, it would not get the sympathetic ear of the public health authorities, because then people would ask: what other treatments are there?

https://healthimpactnews.com/2021/dr-david-martin-on-experimental-mrna-covid-vaccines-this-is-not-a-vaccine-it-is-a-medical-device/
https://fbrv.tv/@AdamCast:4/Dr-David-Martin-Explains-Covid-Vaccines-are-not-Vaccines-are-Medical-Devices-b

Adverse Effects

Reports of Adverse Events After COVID Vaccines Surpass 200,000, Including 943 Among 12- to 17-Year-Olds (updated May 14, 2021)

20% of the deaths were related to cardiac disorders



https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-cdc-adverse-events-covid-vaccines-surpass-200000/?utm_source=salsa&eType=EmailBlastContent&eId=56d56c2e-0252-4b71-b7c3-4f603b8742ce

Adverse reactions to vaccines are underrepresented

According to a Harvard Study, less than 1% of all adverse reactions to vaccines are submitted to the National Vaccine Adverse Events Reports System (VAERS)

> *"VAERS data released today showed 50,861 reports of adverse events following COVID vaccines, including 2,249 deaths and 7,726 serious injuries between Dec. 14, 2020 and March 26, 2021."*

Those many just be ONE PERCENT of all adverse reactions!

https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine?fbclid=IwAR06OBoCjli6xicJsSzROLsUohax1FfNJy225sDNmAaaBncJciaolJGHxzc

GENOCIDE in the U.S. continues: 3,848 DEATHS and 118,902 injuries following COVID injections – Children now being reported as dying





"Many believe there is solid evidence that the CDC is holding back much of the data, and that the number of deaths and injuries reported is much higher."
"the total deaths now recorded following experimental COVID injections since December 2020 **is more than the recorded deaths following vaccines for the previous 15 and a half years**."

https://vaccinedeaths.com/2021-05-03-genocide-in-the-us-continues-covid-injections-deaths.html

Vaccination Injuries (Dr. Jessica Rose, PhD, MSc, BSc)

"Analysis suggests the vaccines are likely cause of reported deaths, spontaneous abortions, anaphylactic reactions, cardiovascular, neurological, and immunological adverse events."

"mRNA platforms are new to humans with regard to mass injection programs in the context of viruses. There is currently no way to predict potential detrimental outcomes with regards to SAE [serious adverse effect] occurrences in the long-term. Also, with regards to short-term analysis, this data is limited based on reporting that likely significantly underestimates actual events."

https://www.americasfrontlinedoctors.org/frontline-news/study-analysis-suggests-the-vaccines-are-likely-cause-of-reported-deaths-spontaneous-abortions-anaphylactic-reactions-cardiovascular-neurological-and-immunological-adverse-events/?fbclid=IwAR2wMtGkYBPFqs_5W-vyJtcb2Vd_slfwvKaZCY-aPgDSsNrzVLkNQOHsqU

wo-year-old baby DIES during Pfizer's Covid-19 accine experiments on children

Las Vegas teen undergoes three surgeries to remo blood clots after getting J&J vaccine

oung pastor DIES not long after receiving, promoting Moderna's experimental vaccine protocol

Famous Indian actor Vivekh dies day after prom and taking COVID vaccine

ennsylvania woman left paralyzed after getting jected with Pfizer vaccine

Nashville woman PARALYZED after taking Pfize experimental covid vaccine

8-year-old PhD physical therapist DEAD 2 days after eing injected with COVID experimental mRNA vaccine

Vax deaths continue to mount up as Oregon woman dies from blood clot after getting J&J vaccine

And many, many more...

https://vaccinedeaths.com/

More Headlines





https://www.thelastamericanvagabond.com/dr-suchart-bhakdi-interview-covid-vaccine-blood-clot-risk-was-known-ignored-buried/?fbclid=IwAR0PGHGEYVfmAKDYzAnWYTb-u2FBshhUUJaH7qLI_Fo6mrpQhoBoy4zoyyI

Death Rates after Vaccination (Video)



Watch this short video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x5rc_s2Gqfw

Trends in Vaccinations and Deaths (ISRAEL)

Israel was the one of the first countries to start vaccinations (19-Dec-2020). Since vaccinations began, both CV19 cases & deaths continued to increase for ~5 weeks.





https://twitter.com/Metabo_PhD/status/1387548104073912324

BAHRAIN

CV19 cases & deaths start rising as vaccinations start. Also, as vaccinations ramped up just before March (2021), you see both cases + deaths follow with rising numbers.

IRAN

Iran has also experienced increases in CV19 cases after vaccinations started. Vaccine doses administered sharply increased March 19 (2021).





https://twitter.com/Metabo_PhD/status/1387548104073912324

INDIA is experiencing a significant increase in both CV19 cases & deaths. The graphs of CV19 cases, deaths, and share of people receiving their first vaccine dose are virtually indistinguishable





https://twitter.com/Metabo_PhD/status/1387548104073912324

CLOSER TO HOME: **ONTARIO**



This is only a small selection of the disturbing trends with vaccinations and deaths

https://twitter.com/Metabo_PhD/status/1387548104073912324

America's Frontline Doctors Caution Against it

- "Vaccine safety requires proper animal trials and peer-reviewed data, neither of which has occurred during operation warp speed."
- "The COVID-19 vaccines are still experimental. They are currently being used on an 'emergency' basis and are not FDA approved."
- "This is the first time that an mRNA mechanism is being used in a vaccination"
- "Despite trying for decades, scientists have never been able to create a successful coronavirus vaccine. Whenever they think they have, the experimental coronavirus vaccine has failed and animals who got the experimental vaccine died."
- "There are entire populations for whom we don't know the data…we have no knowledge of the immune response in vaccinated individuals who later contract the disease, and we also do not know the effects on disease course in vaccinated individuals with waning immunity. We do not know the effects on the elderly. We do not know the effect on the pregnant or soon to be pregnant."

https://assets.website-files.com/5d6d3a50c62e4433b0e303d/607e4afd8bde4213707298e7_Vaccine-PP.pdf

America's Frontline Doctors Caution Against it

- "The trial data on the vaccinations released so far has not addressed the issue of transmission of the virus. That is, the efficacy data is primarily based on symptoms…Could the vaccine create asymptomatic carriers that can unknowingly transmit the virus? The scientists are very upfront about the fact that they don't know if the vaccine even stops the spread of the virus!"
- "Strong vaccine advocates Dr. Offit and Dr. Hotez…have not really endorsed these new experimental vaccines, because previous coronavirus vaccines have a long history of failure due to antibody dependent enhancement. Antibody Dependent Enhancement (ADE), is when anti-COVID antibodies, created by a vaccine, instead of protecting the person, cause a more severe or lethal disease when the person is later exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in the wild…It may only be seen after months or years of use in populations around the world."

https://assets.website-files.com/5d6d3a50c62e4433b0e303d/607e4afd8bde4213707298e7_Vaccine-PP.pdf

America's Frontline Doctors Caution Against it

- "On December 1, 2020, the ex-Pfizer head of respiratory research Dr. Michael Yeadon and the lung specialist and former head of the public health department Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg filed an application with the Medicine Agency responsible for EU-wide drug approval, for the **immediate suspension of all SARS CoV 2 vaccine studies**."
- "America's Frontline Doctors' holds that it is unethical to advocate for the vaccine to persons under 50. The risk and safety evidence based upon trials cannot be justified in younger persons."



https://assets.website-files.com/5d6d3a50c62e4433b0e303d/607e4afd8bde4213707298e7_Vaccine-PP.pdf

America's Frontline Doctors Caution Against it

- "Pfizer expects to collect $15 billion in 2021 from sales of its mRNA experimental COVID vaccine."
- "The same companies (and executives) that profit from this vaccine are immune from all liability."
- "This new biotechnology introduces something called a 'spike protein' instead of the traditional attenuated antigen response in a conventional vaccine."
- "Spike proteins and the lipid nanoparticles which carry the mRNA…are both capable of passing through the 'blood-brain barrier' which typically keeps the brain and spinal cord completely insulated from entrants into the body. There simply has not been enough time to know what…problems will develop."
- "Traditional vaccines do not pass through the blood-brain barrier. Crossing the blood-brain barrier places patients at risk of chronic inflammation and thrombosis (clotting) in the neurological system, contributing to tremors, chronic lethargy, stroke, Bell's Palsy and ALS-type symptoms. The lipid nanoparticles can potentially fuse with brain cells, resulting in delayed neuro-degenerative disease. And the mRNA-induced spike protein can bind to brain tissue 10 to 20 times stronger than the spike proteins that are (naturally) part of the original virus."

https://www.americasfrontlinedoctors.org/action-alerts/identifying-post-vaccination-complications-their-causes-and-treatments/?fbclid=IwAR0ZCo6S5zMyvKzzpgYR-DoymAXGBmLBJE_JQVjKKbwbfEaejBrUYauIEA

continued on page 24

Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

Either way I will find a way, or I will make one. - *Philip Sidney*

We always love those who admire us, but we do not always love those whom we admire.
-Francois de La Rochefoucauld

Real happiness is cheap enough, yet how dearly we pay for its counterfeit.
-Hosea Ballou

It is impossible to repent of love. The sin of love does not exist.
-Muriel Spark

The earth is the very quintessence of the human condition.
-Hannah Arendt

I owe much to mother. She had an expert's understanding, but also approached art emotionally.
-David Rockefeller

If evolution really works, how come mothers only have two hands?
-Milton Berle

The one thing I do not want to be called is First Lady. It sounds like a saddle horse. *-Jackie Kennedy*

Adopt the pace of nature: her secret is patience.
-Ralph Waldo Emerson

The violets in the mountains have broken the rocks.
-Tennessee Williams

He who angers you conquers you.
-Elizabeth Kenny

I like to pretend that my art has nothing to do with me.
-Roy Lichtenstein

The best way to keep one's word is not to give it.
-Napoleon Bonaparte

It is an ironic habit of human beings to run faster when we have lost our way.
-Rollo May

You want a friend in Washington? Get a dog.
-Harry S Truman

Life is a zoo in a jungle.
-Peter De Vries

Wisdom to Live By

"The liberties of a people never were, nor ever will be, secure when the transactions of their rulers may be concealed from them."
-Patrick Henry



THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Whip
5. Cereal grass
10. Bit of gossip
14. Teller of untruths
15. Throw with effort
16. Killer whale
17. Pot
18. Aggravation
20. Tartarus
22. Rout
23. Buff
24. Requested
25. They keep dozing off
32. Ill-gotten gains
33. Seaweed
34. Female sheep
37. Circle fragments
38. Donated
39. Stigma
40. Tiny
41. Keepsake
42. Courtyards
43. Deckhands
45. Mystic
49. Mug
50. Remedy
53. Devilfish
57. Militant
59. Electrical unit
60. Stink
61. French for "After"
62. Sea eagle
63. Makes a mistake
64. Utilizers
65. Harvest

DOWN
1. Banner
2. Connects two points
3. Pledge
4. Welcomers
5. Neigh
6. Sister and wife of Zeus
7. Hearing organ
8. Keen
9. French for "Head"
10. Smidgens
11. Deception
12. French school
13. Anagram of "Amend"
19. Demean
21. Back of the neck
25. Shredded cabbage
26. Attraction
27. Behold, in old Rome
28. Japanese verse
29. Santa's helpers
30. Emissary
31. One of the tribes of Israel
34. Beige
35. Pause
36. Historical periods
38. Slime
39. Layover
41. A very short time
42. Adjoin
44. Admission
45. Surplus
46. Bet
47. Gall
48. Performance scores
51. Brother of Jacob
52. Vipers
53. Not under
54. Minute opening
55. Forearm bone
56. Stair
58. Fury



Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

	8		2		9		6	
7		9				2		4
	4		8		7		9	
2			6		3			7
5			7		4			1
	9		3		8		7	
8		7				3		9
	3		9		2		5	

Leftists Democrats know all about anger and resentment on immigration – they are pushing it and benefitting from it.

By Andrew Zuelke

We hear from leftists about how the political right exploits the immigration issue for political partisan gain and the anger and hate coming from white Americans against immigrants.

If leftists are serious about tamping down the "violent, hateful rhetoric" and "racism" from the right and in fighting human exploitation, they would do the following –

• Stop insisting that American citizens obey federal law (pay our income taxes, etc.), then get outraged when we expect other people (immigrants) to obey the rules, too. Stop cheering on Democrat mayors and governors who create sanctuary city and state policies and start calling for those who harbor those here illegally to be prosecuted.

• Stop calling everyone who doesn't agree with you on immigration racists, fascists, and bigots, then say we "all need to come together to pass common sense immigration reform." How does calling someone names and attacking their character persuade them to want to work with you?

• Stop allowing non-citizen lawbreakers the power to have any say with our elected officials and in public policy. Does a squatter or even an invited house guest get a say in the house rules?

• Stop acting like lawfully entering America isn't possible when millions already have. Back on December 1st. 2020, Democrat Mary Anne Marsh was rather upset because Marco Rubio and Lindsey Graham got vaccinated "…before millions of their own constituents." She said that it's "…really unfair for people to jump the line like that when you haven't been practicing what you preach." Go explain to immigrants who are obeying our laws how they shouldn't have any problem with those cutting in line ahead of them.

• Stop using the phrases "undocumented immigrants" or "undocumented workers" when talking about people here illegally. When people enter a nation without proper documentation without registering with immigration officials, they are there illegally.

• Stop attacking citizens who say English is our official language and demanding government forms be printed in multiple languages to accommodate non-citizens.

I saw an ad in my local free paper recently which read, "Dairy Farm Help wanted. Milking, barn chores, tractor driving, maintenance. Pay based on skills and teamwork. Valid driver's license required. Must speak English." Tell me, leftists, should that farm owner just hire anyone and be expected to learn their language?

• Stop saying there are eleven million undocumented migrants in America. So, we are to believe that that number has not increased in all these decades? According to a May 3rd, 2021 NewsMax article, there are 14.4 million illegal immigrants and five million "anchor babies" in the U.S. now. If that article is correct and President Biden and Democrats in Congress want to give citizenship status to "eleven million undocumented immigrants living in the country," what about the other 8.4 million? We all know Democrats won't say to anyone who comes in after the eleven million, "Sorry, you newer undocumented workers are out of luck." They will say to us, "We are a compassionate people. It's only a few million more. We can't turn them away."

• Stop calling us "anti-immigration." We are anti-illegal immigration. Senator Marco Rubio said that "A million people a year come into the U.S. legally. No other nation even comes close to that figure." Even Politifact, hardly a right-wing website, rated Rubio's statement as True. https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2012/jun/20/marco-rubio/marco-rubio-says-us-admits-1-million-immigrants-ye/. If America were xenophobic and institutionally racist, why would we allow any legal immigration, let alone one million immigrants entry each year?

• Stop using the phrase "dreamers" when referring to the DACA children as if American children don't have dreams, as if American parents don't care about their children's futures.

• Stop bussing those who came in illegally to cities across America, putting strain on their local schools, hospitals, and other services, then expect the local residents to pay for it without even reimbursement from the federal government. Leftists will never stand for illegal immigrants being bussed to their gated, posh communities.

• Stop saying we are "breaking up families" when it's the parents who come to our nation illegally who are causing the break up of their families through their illegal actions. When testifying before a House Committee, ICE Director Thomas Homan was pressed by Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez about family separation. He said, quote, "…the same as it is when every U.S. citizen parent gets arrested when they are with a child. If I get arrested for DUI, and I have a young child in the car, I will be separated."

Americans aren't forcing citizens of other nations to come here.

• Stop saying you only want a "path to citizenship"; your wordplay isn't fooling us, leftists. We know you mean amnesty. Whatever promises leftists in Congress give us on border security to secure an amnesty bill, we know they won't follow through. In 1986, then-President Ronald Reagan signed the Immigration Reform and Control Act (IRCA), giving nearly three million illegal immigrants citizenship. In exchange, there was to be more robust border security and strict penalties on employers who knowingly employed illegal workers. We know now that stricter enforcement was a leftist Democrat lie to get Reagan to sign the bill. The tough penalties in the IRCA Act were removed from the bill so it would pass.

• Join us on the political/social right in condemning child abuse, leftists. It is child abuse for any parent to send their unaccompanied children through deserts with human smugglers who could do God knows what to them. Call it what it is.

Leftists don't want to have a reasoned dialogue; they don't want this issue solved. The future of the Democrat Party partly relies on low-skilled workers coming here and also becoming dependent on government services. Leftists know that their actions (or by not allowing laws to be enforced their inactions) will make our side angry because we want equality under the law and we become frustrated when we see exceptions in the law being made for certain groups over others. Then, leftists can say, "See, Millennials, Generation Z's, and independent voters, we told you the alt-right are extremists. They are angry xenophobes pushing hate speech. America's demographics are changing, and white America is scared. You should ignore them and listen to us."

We, on the right, want lawful immigration. We, on the right, don't want people risking their lives to be brought here by human smugglers who will put them to work in sweatshops and in the sex trade to "pay off their debts." It is shameful to advocate open borders. It incentivizes poor, desperate people to come here in rickety boats, hot trucks, or walk hundreds of miles with no guarantee they will even survive the journey. The Left's push to fundamentally transform America into their socialist, government-ruled, elitist image is detestable and immoral. Leftists, if you wish to root out and expose the source of racism, exploitation, and division in America, look no further than the nearest mirror.

Andrew Zuelke is the State Chairman of the Constitution Party of Wisconsin. Learn more about us by requesting your free, no-obligation information packet today.

Blood in the Bricks

"Let love be without dissimulation. Abhor that which is evil; cleave to that which is good." Romans 12:9

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The "cult of love," apostate "Christianity" is being wiped off the face of the earth. Either through violence or in America through apathy as people now are sleeping in on Sunday mornings. It is obvious that most "Mainstream protestant Churches" and "Black Churches" are openly anti-Bible. To promote what God calls sin, is to un-Christian your confession. This is true in many areas, and especially regarding the pre-born baby.

Today, most Christians are either "prayerfully pro-choice" or undermine the pro-life cause, "in the name of love," to wit, what is easy for the murderers. And yes, mothers who abort their children are murderers, and most have no extenuating circumstances like a pimp dragging them to the "clinic." Roman Catholics are 100% Pro-life, on paper, and there are Catholic leaders in the anti-abortion movement. Yet, for every pro-life Catholic, there is a "liberation theology" pro-abort in the RC Church. There is no perfect Church, but the subject of this article is the Evangelical and Fundamentalist Churches.

How can these Churches have blood in their bricks? Billy Graham is a prime example. On page 594, of A Prophet with Honor, by William Martin, Graham is quoted as saying, "The Bible doesn't support the indiscriminate practice of abortion," and "an exception might be made incest, rape or when the mother's life is in danger." Graham adds, "this is about the same position Pope John Paul II takes." Graham also asserts, "I am a strong advocate of birth control. When you travel through India, Pakistan etc., you have to believe in some form of control of the population. The population explosion is a very serious thing."

What Bible was Graham reading? The New GOP translation? Because the Bible, doesn't make any exceptions for abortions, but Evangelicals do because the GOP does. I read no scripture verse where God says it is okay to abort a baby. Then there is the way the evangelical undermines being prolife. They ask, like the adulterer Bill Clinton, when does the baby have a soul? John the Baptist recognized Jesus when both men were babies in their mother's wombs. David, Jeremiah and Samson's lives were explicitly ordained by God before the mothers got pregnant. To ask such a question is to be a Devil's advocate.

The next question is do babies go to heaven when they die? I should like to think so. David said his dead child via Bathsheba was with the Lord. It is in the hand of God, and He will do right, regardless of my opinion. Evangelicals want dead babies to be "graced" into heaven so they do not have to lift a finger to protect them. The question is a two-pronged fork which they stab themselves with. If that baby is in heaven, then why didn't you help your Christian brother? Being as "loving" as you purport to be. If that baby is in hell, then why didn't you help save his life so he would have opportunity to hear the gospel?

Other excuses are "What about the Man?" My response is "What about him?" If he raped a woman, punish him as a rapist, and not the baby. Since 1973, it has been Materfamilias in America, as opposed to Paterfamilias in Ancient Rome. Then there is the famous, "So if I don't help, you think I am not saved?" If you were saved, you should care about your neighbor.

Then there is the religious neutralism of evangelicalism. "We are pro-life, but to take a public stand for the preborn would detract from the Gospel." The Bible they purport to affirm tells how Jesus healed people, rebuked sin, confronted corrupt leaders and still managed to preach the Gospel. So did the Apostles and every other real Christian since 33AD. Silence in the face of sin, and in this case, a government orchestrated and abetted crime, is apostasy, though you call that "love." The Milwaukee County Constitution Party puts out the Pre-Born Can't Wait Petition every year, asking the Governor of Wisconsin to take immediate action to end the practice here, as opposed to making eternal excuses to keep it in place. There have been several Churches whose Pastors and members have signed, Jubilee Family Church, Mercy Seat Christian Church, and Wild Wood Baptist come to mind. There may be more, but for the most part, asking evangelical Christians to sign the petition is like pulling teeth, dragging mules uphill and wading through a blithering storm of excuses. Worse yet, most petitions quickly find their way into the round file, thrown in the trash by a "church secretary" whose ears are deaf to the truth.

Gerrymandering Restricts Competition and Entrenches a Two-Party Duopoly

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin (CPoW) condemns the practice of gerrymandering. After every census, elected officials in state legislature are charged with redrawing US House and State Assembly Districts based upon losses or increases in population. After the 2020 Census, some states will lose House seats and others will pick up House seats.

"It is a fact that both the Democrat and Republican Parties, when in the majority in a given state, draw up legislative and Congressional districts in ways which best ensure a majority of their supporters will reside in any given district so as to keep that district red or blue," said Andrew Zuelke, CPoW State Chairman.

"This is one of the main ways Democrats and Republicans have dominated American politics, wielding power for so long. Gerrymandering ensures a perpetual two-party duopoly, keeping districts from being competitive for alternative party and independent candidates."

Zuelke added that lawsuits almost always follow the redrawing of district maps. "After every redistricting, the party in the minority often take the new maps to court, stating that the new maps are unconstitutional and partisan. It is laughable and absurd for the party in the minority in a state to suggest their party never employs this tactic whenever they are in the majority. Many of the layouts of these districts are obviously partisan." https://www.cnn.com/2017/10/03/politics/redistricting-supreme-court-gerrymandered/index.html https://www.ranker.com/list/most-gerrymandered-districts-in-america/eric-vega

The Constitution Party believes in a republican form of government, meaning that voters should have more choices, not fewer. We believe voters should expect and demand a fresh infusion of newly elected officials, not the same, tired politicians who see public service as a career, not a privilege.

The Muslim Report: Mozambique

"That thy way may be known upon the earth, thy saving health among all nations." Psalm 67:2

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Islam is only 18% of this nation's population, but they are now a majority in the north of the country. Muslims have succeeded in creating a no-go zone. Northern Mozambique has been attacked by Muslims since 2017. Christians and renegade infidels are their targets, but especially Christians. Over 1,000 people have been murdered since 2017. Thousands have fled, in an act terrorism and ethnic cleansing, seeking safety in the bush, off shore islands and the Capitol of Pemba. The Province of Cabo-Delgado is now an Islamic-Caliphate. All this in under five years.

The victims have typically been beheaded and had their bodies hacked to pieces by their peaceful, scientifically advanced Islamic neighbors. According to a witness named Mani, "When they captured us they set aside the Christians and non-Muslims," Mani grew up around Muslims and could answer their trick questions, when others could not. "We had to watch the non-Muslims and Christians be decapitated," and "From there they took us to a mosque to test our knowledge of Islam."

For two days between Friday November 6th, after Friday prayer at the Mosque, until Sunday November 8th, 2020, Muslims celebrated the weekend by attacking unresisting Christians in the village of Muatide. Barnabas-AID says more than 50 people were murdered at the stadium. Those who were caught were taken to the stadium, where they were beheaded, and hacked to pieces. In Nanjaba village, witnesses say the gunmen were shouting "Allah Akbar." Two people were murdered and several women were kidnapped there.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that Islam is not "a religion of Peace" but a tyrannical and sadistic worldview. They are not peaceful men, and even those that are not armed terrorists still advance and profit from the evil deeds of those who are.

The Muslim Report: Somalia

"Be sober, be vigilant; because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour."" I Peter 5:8

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Somalia is a dangerous place. It is even more dangerous to be a Christian. The population, is according to the government 100% Muslim, and there are no Church buildings. Article 2 of the Somali Constitution declares that no law can be enacted that contradicts Islam, so the wrong religion has been enshrined into that governing document.

On September 21st, 2020 police raided the home of a Christian couple. They were accused of apostasy, and evangelism by their Islamic neighbors who snitched on them. By a miracle, or a clerical error, the couple was released from prison. They and their children fled to another country.

Another Christian couple, with a newborn baby were turned into the police four months later. They were jailed, and later deported. Barnabas-AID hasn't stated where they were deported to, or if their family is still together. Even still, the outcome would have been worse under the auspices of Al-Shabaab, and their brand of Sharia. Al-Shabaab controls large areas of southern and Central Somalia, and raises as much revenue as the GOS itself.

Study finds 'staggering' number of American lives could have been saved with hydroxychloroquine

Special to WorldTribune, May 6, 2021
Analysis by R. Clinton Ohlers

A new study provides further evidence that the drug hydroxychloroquine saves lives and could have been doing so on a large scale in the United States throughout the pandemic.

Estimates suggest at least 100,000 could have been saved.

The study headed by Dr. Stephen Smith, a graduate of the Yale University School of Medicine and a former research scientist at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases under Dr. Anthony Fauci, found that when properly adjusted for a patient's weight, the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azythromycin together improved patient survival rates by more than 100 percent.

Smith was reluctant to give a specific number when asked how many might have been saved had the drug not been banned in hospitals last year, in an interview with Fox New's Laura Ingraham, but he called the number "staggering."

When pressed further, he agreed that 100,000 was a reasonable number of lives that might have been saved.

Ironically, Smith is unable to prescribe the drug at his own hospital, Saint Barnabas Medical Center in Livingston, New Jersey, where the study was conducted. That hospital banned use of the drug for COVID-19 back in December, after earlier restricting it for clinical studies only in March of 2020. Smith does however prescribe it in his clinic, the Smith Center for Infectious Diseases and Public Health.

The finding is particularly significant since patients in the study were severely ill, already requiring intubation. Most were also over age 60 and had high rates of hypertension, diabetes, and obesity.

However, what they had in their favor was that many arrived at the hospital and received hydroxychloroquine within 10 days of their initial symptoms, placing them within the ideal window for the drug to be effective.

Original dosage recommendations for hydroxychloroquine, Smith says, "were very low." His study adjusted dosage more appropriately for weight.

The only significant differences between the 201 patients who died and the 54 who lived was who received hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin proportional to their weight. Those who did survived at just shy of 3x the rate of those who didn't.

The study also found hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin outpaced survival rates for convalescent plasma. Those who received convalescent plasma survived at just under twice the rate as those who did not.

Just over a year ago when hydroxychloroquine was first suggested as a treatment for COVID-19, critics echoed concerns that the drug, previously deemed highly safe, might increase heart arrhythmias. Smith and his co-researchers found that increasing the paired drugs in proportion with a patient's weight did not increase any negative side affect on heart rhythm.

This additional finding, was not unexpected, as it matched earlier studies performed last year. In addition to being a very safe drug, hydroxychloroquine, which accumulates in a person's body, is also administered safely at much higher cumulative rates to Lupus and Rheumatoid arthritis patients.

Smith described the banning of hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 by hospitals such as his as extraordinary. Normally a drug would be banned if unsafe, but a safe drug would not be banned for a single application only. Normal medical practice is to allow doctors latitude in prescribe drugs in such manners.

"If I decided I thought hydroxychloroquine worked just to treat migraines, I could use it for that," but "not for COVID-19," Smith said in the interview. "That was a unique ban in American medicine."

Smith and his cohorts are not new to the hydroxychloroquine controversy. Smith spoke out pointedly in defense of the drug in March of 2020 when New York area hospitals, including St. Barnabas, restricted prescription of the drug only for clinical trials.

"I have never heard of a hospital doing something like this," Smith said in an interview with WND at the time. "It goes against all my understanding of medical ethics in research."

"It's totally unethical. You are not allowed to steer people into a clinical study," Smith added. "That is strong-arming people."

"It's never happened before," he said of the hospital policy in March, calling it "a bad sign for medicine going forward."





Fauci Exposed *cont. from page 1*

News show.

Human Events editor Jack Posobiec noted in an Instagram post that the Biden administration is "discussing an exit strategy" for Fauci, the highest-paid federal employee, who has been praised as a "truth-teller" by Democrats and venerated by an adoring mega media matrix.

Along with the gain-of-function diversion tactics, the production of Fauci emails also show efforts to cover up the lab leak theory, disinformation on the asymptomatic spread of the virus, and knowledge of the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine in treating Covid that was also covered up.

Gain of function research according to Wikipedia "is a term used to describe any field of medical research which alters an organism or disease in a way that increases pathogenesis, transmissibility, or host range (the types of hosts that a microorganism can infect".

According to Sky News host Sharri Markson, Fauci should be considered "the Godfather" of gain-of-function research after she uncovered a 2012 scientific paper of his that said the benefits of the research were worth the risk of a pandemic.

Fauci was informed in February 2020 that Covid-19 exhibited characteristics that suggested it could have been genetically altered in a lab, the emails show. (Telegram link to all released emails here.)

"It is an extraordinary acknowledgement; it is incomprehensible for a public health official, charged with protecting public health to take this position, but that's what he has done," Markson said. "These emails show that in the very early stages of the pandemic — in early 2020 — he became concerned that the finding for gain-of-function research in the U.S. had flown to Wuhan."

A flurry of emails also show that Fauci was "trying to find out whether he could be responsible or whether the NIH could be responsible for funding the research that might have created the virus that has leaked," Markson said, adding that it was "a real possibility" American funding under Fauci "funded a virus that might have leaked that has resulted in this global pandemic".

Gain-of-function

Fauci has repeatedly denied that any federal funding went to support gain-of-function research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology, but at least one major virologist has stated that funding supplied by Fauci's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) funded experiments at the Wuhan lab were then used in gain-of-function research.



Email from Fauci to Hugh Auchincloss, the NIAID Deputy Director, on Feb 1, 2020:

Subject: IMPORTANT

Attachments: Baric, Shi et al - Nature Medicine - SARS Gain of function.pdf

He attached a 2015 paper from Nature Medicine describing gain of function research on coronaviruses and told the NIAID Deputy Director:

"Hugh, it is essential that we speak this AM," "Read this paper... You will have tasks today that must be done."

In a later email that day Hugh responds to Fauci,

"The paper you sent me says the experiments were performed before the gain of function pause but have seen been reviewed and approved by NIH."

The paper to which Fauci refers in the email to Auchincloss was not available in the FOIA data production, but the attachment was visibly titled "Baric, Shi et al — Nature medicine - SARS Gain of Function." The title appears to be a reference to Ralph Baric and Shi Zhengli, two coronavirus scientists who have figured prominently in suspicions that the Wuhan lab may have played a role in the pandemic.

Fauci's email came several hours after he received a message from Kristian Andersen, an immunology professor at Scripps Research Institute, in which Andersen relayed assessments from both himself and several of his colleagues that the SARS-Cov-2 (Covid-19) virus looked "potentially" engineered.

Andersen and several of his colleagues "all find the genome inconsistent with expectations from evolutionary theory," Andersen wrote to Fauci, while acknowledging that there was "still further analyses to be done" on the matter before a determination could be made.

Up until last month, Fauci had publicly insisted that Covid jumped from animals to humans and had not escaped from a lab.

Kentucky Republican Sen. Rand Paul declared May 25 that Fauci committed perjury on May 12 over his gain-of-function comments related to communist China's lab.

Paul was asked on Real America's Voice, "Do you believe he [Fauci] perjured himself?"

"Absolutely," Paul said. "He lied to the American people."

Paul demanded May 26 that Fauci should be "made to testify under oath" about if the (NIAID) funded the Wuhan Institute of Virology's gain-of function-research.

This is how the virus was created

A March 11, 2020 email from Adam Gaertner to Fauci was sent under the heading: "Subject: Coronavirus bioweapon production method."

Gaertner writes: "Hello Anthony, This is how the virus was created."

Lab leak cover up

On April 18, 2020, Peter Daszak, the president of Wuhan Lab funder EcoHealth Alliance, emailed Fauci to thank him for dismissing the lab leak theory.

Daszak not only funded the gain-of-function research at the Wuhan lab, but was also one of the lead investigators sent by the World Health Organization to investigate the lab.

Daszak writes: "As the Pl of the ROl grant publicly targeted by Fox News reporters at the Presidential press briefing last night, I just wanted to say a personal thank you on behalf of our staff and collaborators, for publicly standing up and stating that the scientific evidence supports a natural origin for COVID-19 from a bat-to-human spillover, not a lab release from the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

"From my perspective, your comments are brave, and coming from your trusted voice, will help dispel the myths being spun around the virus' origins. Once this pandemic's over I look forward thanking you in person and let you know how important your comments are to us all."

Asymptomatic was a lie

In September of 2020, Fauci said: "It is now clear that about 40%-45% of infections are asymptomatic."

Following Fauci's comment, Lew Kaplan, president of SCCM, told Medscape Medical News: "That really supports the universal wearing of masks and the capstone message from that — you should protect one another."

But a Fauci email from February 2020 shows that the asymptomatic comment was a lie.

Hydroxychloroquine

In a March 2020 email, physicist Erik Nielsen speaks about recommended treatments to battle Covid. One of the drugs was hydroxychloroquine.

In July 2020, Fauci stated publicly that all the "valid" scientific data showed hydroxychloroquine isn't effective in treating the coronavirus, contradicting President Donald Trump's opinion of the drug.



Leaked Emails *cont. from page 1*

Post shows the doctor deliberately covered up Trump's claims. The leaked emails present an entirely different picture than what Dr. Fauci himself tried to persuade the American people to believe. From the start, Dr. Fauci, who is the director of the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, was appointed lead doctor for the federal government's response to COVID-19. On several occasions, Dr. Fauci bucked against President Trump's advice and even his response to the virus, but as you are about to see - much of what came out of the doctor's mouth was scripted.

One of the most notable emails to be leaked was from U.S. researcher Dr. Peter Daszak, who was the leader of a nonprofit that had close ties to - the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Not only did Dr. Fauci have correspondence with the doctor in 2020, but Daszak himself thanked Fauci for publicly dismissing the COVID-19 lab leak theory.

Again in 2020, Fauci shared emails with an infectious disease expert who investigated the COVID-19 virus. Apparently, the expert initially believed that a small portion of COVID-19 looked engineered.

And that's just the surface of what Fauci was hiding. When questioned by Kentucky Senator Rand Paul about NIAID's potential shared research with the Wuhan Institute of Virology, Fauci denied having any knowledge. Again, this was congressional testimony and he blatantly lied because another email showed he had at least some knowledge of the research.

As for who might just be behind the current COVID-19 narrative, Fauci's emails also showed him in constant communication with CEO Mark Zuckerberg and a high-level executive at the Gates Foundation. A Chinese health official was also attached to the emails and was told on more than one occasion by Fauci that they would "get through this together."

Can We Finally Admit That Mask-Wearing Was Pointless?

By MacIver Institute

Dan O'Donnell follows the emerging science that is beginning to prove what common sense told us all along: Wearing masks did not stop the spread of COVID-19.

June 4, 2021

Perspective by Dan O'Donnell

Lost in the firestorm over what Dr. Anthony Fauci knew about the possibility that his agency was funding research that might have allowed COVID-19 to escape from a Chinese lab was a bit of a bombshell: The nation's preeminent infectious disease expert never really believed that mask-wearing was effective.

In an email to former Health and Human Services Secretary Sylvia Burwell on February 5, 2020—as concerns over the new Coronavirus in China were just starting to make their way to the United States—Fauci assured her that wearing a mask was unnecessary.

"Masks are really for infected people to prevent them from spreading infection to people who are not infected rather than protecting uninfected people from acquiring infection," he wrote. "The typical mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective at keeping out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material."

A little more than a month later, he said the same thing publicly in an interview with "60 Minutes."

"Right now in the United States, people should not be walking around with masks," he said.

"You're sure of it?" asked CBS News Chief Medical Correspondent Dr. Jon LaPook. "Because people are listening really closely to this."

Fauci was adamant.

"There's no reason to be walking around with a mask," he answered. "When you're in the middle of an outbreak, wearing a mask might make people feel a little bit better and it might even block a droplet, but it's not providing the perfect protection that people think that it is. And, often, there are unintended consequences — people keep fiddling with the mask and they keep touching their face."

Three days later, though, Fauci's advice went unheeded as mass panic took over when the NBA suspended its season and Tom Hanks announced he had contracted the virus. Masks started getting hoarded right along with hand sanitizer and toilet paper.

When the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) abruptly changed course on April 3 and began recommending mask-wearing in public, Fauci went along with it, but it was rather obvious he didn't really believe it.

"Well, we have to make sure we don't forget that the most important thing is to keep the six-foot physical distance from individuals," he told CNN that same day. "But it's become clear that even when you try to do that, with certain necessities of life, going out to get food or going to a pharmacy to get your medications, that you may inadvertently come into closer contact. "

To Fauci, masks were pointless unless the wearer was planning on getting cheek to jowl with a fellow Walgreen's customer. Even then, as he said a month later, they were good for little more than virtue signaling.

"I wear it for the reason that I believe it is effective," he said in a CNN interview. "It is not 100 percent effective. It's sort of respect for another person and have that other person respect you. You wear a mask, they wear a mask, you protect each other.

"I do it when I'm in the public for the reasons that, A, I want to protect myself and protect others and also because I want to make it be a symbol for people to see that's that the kind of thing you should be doing."

Emotion and politics—not science and common sense—were clearly driving the nationwide push for mandatory mask-wearing, and even Fauci succumbed to it. Now, though, science is starting to prove that masks did nothing more than make the public feel a little better.

A new study from University of Louisville researchers that is in pre-print (and thus not yet peer-reviewed) is the first to measure the effectiveness of mask-wearing and has concluded that "mask mandates and use are not associated with lower SARS-CoV-2 spread among US states."

"Case growth was independent of mandates at low and high rates of community spread, and mask use did not predict case growth during the Summer or Fall-Winter waves," the researchers found.

In Wisconsin, this most certainly was the case. A MacIver Institute analysis tracked COVID-19 cases from March 15, when the state first started tracking daily cases; to July 31, the day before Governor Tony Evers' statewide mask mandate went into effect; and compared the spread of the virus to the first 139 days that Wisconsinites were required to wear masks.

In the 139 days before the mask mandate, there were 52,940 total COVID-19 cases and an average of new cases 380.8 per day. After the mandate went into effect, there were 395,501 cases in the 139 days from August 1 to December 18 for an average of 2,845.3 per day.

Obviously, the primary reason for the massive surge in cases was the onset of the colder fall and winter months (when respiratory illnesses generally spread more rapidly in Wisconsin), but it was nonetheless impossible to claim that the mask mandate had any discernible impact in slowing the spread of COVID-19.

The University of Louisville researchers concur.

"Mask mandates and use were poor predictors of COVID-19 spread in US states," they concluded. "Case growth was independent of mandates at low and high rates of community spread, and mask use did not predict case growth during the summer or fall-winter waves."

And for more than a decade, every single scientific study of masks has found that they don't prevent the spread of illnesses like the cold or flu.

In 2009, the American Journal of Infection Control concluded "face mask use in health care workers was not demonstrated to provide benefit in terms of cold symptoms or getting colds."

A year later, a study in Epidemiology and Infection entitled "Face masks to prevent transmission of influenza virus: A systematic review" found that "none of the studies reviewed showed a benefit from wearing a mask, in either health care workers or community members in households."

In 2012, researchers published a study called "The use of masks and respirators to prevent transmission of influenza: a systematic review of the scientific evidence" and found "There were 17 eligible studies. … None of the studies established a conclusive relationship between mask/respirator use and protection against influenza infection."

In 2016, a study in the Canadian Medical Association Journal "found no significant difference between N95 respirators and surgical masks in associated risk of (a) laboratory-confirmed respiratory infection, (b) influenza-like illness, or (c) reported work-place absenteeism."

A 2019 study in the Journal of the American Medical Association determined that "among outpatient health care personnel, N95 respirators vs medical masks as worn by participants in this trial resulted in no significant difference in the incidence of laboratory-confirmed influenza."

And even a study published in the Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine on February 12, 2020, a month before America's COVID-19 panic set in, concluded that "there were no statistically significant differences in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza, laboratory-confirmed respiratory viral infections, laboratory-confirmed respiratory infection, and influenza-like illness using N95 respirators and surgical masks."

None of this should come as much of a surprise. Dr. Fauci, the nation's most trusted expert on infectious diseases, has been saying that masks are largely useless for more than a year.

Much of the country just chose to ignore him.





Archbishop *cont. from page 1*

There is a very interesting and revealing declaration by Pierre J. Gilbert (here) that dates back to 1995, which lists all of the steps that today we see taking place before our eyes with the tragic farce of Covid, from mass inoculation with a vaccine to the creation of detention camps for dissenters. This converted Freemason revealed the aims of the infamous sect 25 years ago.

But in light of these disturbing news, the Italian archbishop does not leave us without hope; he points out that these revolutionary elements often also turn against each other, and thus he does not believe that yet the time has come for the Great Reset with its evil underpinnings.

U.S. Canada World Catholic

One sign that he could be right here is the fact that one of the major leaders in this corona agenda, Bill Gates, is currently under much criticism for his closeness to the then-already known sex offender, Jeffrey Epstein. More and more information is currently coming out into the light, and this information is seriously undercutting Gates's credibility.

Viganò trusts Our Lady of Fatima's words, according to which the Consecration of Russia will take place late, but it will come, and then there will be "a time of peace."

"The failure to consecrate Russia to the Immaculate Heart of Mary," Viganò explains, "led to the spread of Communism throughout the world; today we see Communism allied with the other sworn enemy of Christianity, Liberalism." He continues by saying:

This infernal alliance is meant to lead to the establishment of the New Order and the advent of the Antichrist. But let's not forget that Our Lady has said that, before the final persecution, the world will enjoy a period of peace. I think that the Great Reset and the Satanic project of the New Order under the Masonic Synarchy has not yet managed to impose itself, even if we have come very close to it.

But while we await Our Lady's victory, Archbishop Viganò calls upon us to lead lives in accordance with Christ's Kingship, admonishing us to "seek to make Christ reign above all in our hearts, and, as a result, in our families, so that he may also reign in our societies."

We have much gratitude to Archbishop Viganò for this illuminating and encouraging interview.

Please see here the full interview, as it was first published in German and herewith is presented in English.

1. What does the Great Reset mean?

"The Great Reset" is an expression coined a few years ago by the Masonic elite that dominates the world. It means a sort of global revolution that has been decided on by this elite in order to "reset" the entire social fabric, imposing a series of changes on the masses that are intended to prepare for the reign of the Antichrist, which in the absence of calamitous events would be difficult to have democratically adopted with their consent. The ideals of a better world, respect for the environment, fraternity between peoples, and inclusivity are only a hypocritical and deceptive way of delivering this revolution and cloaking it with an alleged nobility of purpose which in practice hides the true ends of the elite: by their own admission, "nothing will be as it was before."

2. Who are the key people behind the Great Reset? What do we know about their personal beliefs?

The elite which promotes the Great Reset is composed of the main world organizations, from Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum to the O.N.U., from the Trilateral Commission to the Bilderberg Group, with the support of their servants in governments, high finance, multinational corporations, and the media. This process has gone on for centuries, led by the great dynasties of capital such as the Rothschilds and Rockefellers, who heavily interfere in the politics of nations thanks to their immeasurable wealth.

The matrix is essentially Masonic, both in the principles they express as well as the hatred they display towards religion, and even more towards Our Lord Jesus Christ. If we consider the requests promoted by the supporters of the Great Reset, we can trace them back to the triad of the French Revolution and Freemasonry: liberty, equality, and fraternity. Any Catholic who is instructed by the Magisterium of the Roman Pontiffs knows what infernal subversion is implied by these principles: liberty means rebellion against the Sovereignty of God and His Holy Law; equality places all people on the same level, the lowest, denying the differences and individuality of each person, and above all annulling the fundamental distinction between those who recognize Christ as the One God and Lord and those who reject Him; and finally fraternity seeks to establish a society in which men can be brothers without any reference to the divine paternity of God or belonging to the family of the redeemed in Christ.

Let us consider an important thing: man is made in the image of God in the sense that he reflects, in his faculties, the attributes of the Most Holy Trinity: the power of the Father, the wisdom of the Son, the love of the Holy Spirit. The Great Reset wants to overturn this connatural correspondence of man with his Creator, Lord, and Redeemer in a blasphemous parody: unhinging his memory, distorting his intellect, and perverting his will.

Everything that is done in the name of globalist ideology has this unacknowledged but very evident purpose: we must no longer remember our past and our History, we must no longer know how to recognize Good and Evil, we must no longer desire virtue and reject vice; indeed, we are driven to condemn the Good as intolerant and to approve Evil as a liberation and redemption from Christian morality. And if God is rejected as Father, there must no longer be paternity even in the natural order, because natural fatherhood is a mirror of divine paternity. This is why there is this theological hatred against the natural family and against unborn life. If God did not die for us on the Cross, there must be no more suffering, no more pain, no more death, because in pain we are able to understand the meaning of sacrifice and accept it for love of Him who shed His Blood for us. If God is not Love, there must no longer be love among men but only fornication and the satisfaction of pleasures, because if we desire the good of others, we are led to share with them the most precious gift that we have, Faith, and we cannot abandon them to fall into the Abyss in the name of a perverse concept of freedom. They are not atheists; they do not deny that God exists; rather, they hate Him, just as Lucifer hates Him.

3. Is it a battle between Good and Evil, in your opinion?

The Great Reset is not only the last stage prior to the establishment of the reign of the Antichrist, but it has acquired all of the connotations of a true religion, borrowing its language, creating ceremonies, appointing its own priests. The rituality of the present pandemic is quite obvious, especially in the way they have wanted to give the vaccine a sacramental value, to the point of resorting to priests and bishops – and even the pope himself – to promote it, even preaching that it is indispensable for salvation, identifying it as a "moral duty" for every believer. Thus, in prohibiting the Holy Sacrifice to the true God and banning the administration of the true Sacraments, the new Covid religion has imposed itself with new hygienic rituals and new sacraments of health.

The faith of the disciple of the Coronavirus in the media narrative is the grotesque parody of the act of faith required of the Catholic, with the difference that the dogmas of the health religion to which unconditional assent is required are totally irrational, unreasonable, and illogical; there is not an adhesion to a truth that transcends reason but rather to a dogma that contradicts it, showing that, like all false religions, Covid crosses the line into superstition. Those who believe in Covid thus find themselves in the position of having to give proof of their submission to its sacred ministers, even in the face of concepts that are repugnant to medical science and common sense: the use of masks is obligatory even if they do not protect against contagion; the vaccine is imposed even if it does not give immunity, treatments not approved by the Health Sanhedrin are prohibited even if their effectiveness is obvious. And we should add: the more absurd the order that is given, the more the disciple feels that he is a member of the sect precisely by the very act of obeying.

It is disconcerting that those who today abdicate from reason in the face of the proclamations of the virologist-pontiffs declare that they are "rationalists" and convinced supporters of science against any sort of dogmatistic fideism. On the other hand, when people do not believe in God, they end up believing in anything.

4. In light of the fact that the World Economic Forum supports the strong lockdown policies implemented in light of the Corona virus, even praising the benefits of the lockdowns for the clearing of the air, it seems that the Corona crisis corresponds with their plans very well and is a useful tool for their Great Reset. Do you see any ties there between the WEF and the managers of the Corona crisis?

I believe that I was one of the first Bishops to denounce the intrinsic tie between the pseudo-pandemic and the intentions of the Great Reset. There is a very interesting and revealing declaration by Pierre J. Gilbert (here) that dates back to 1995, which lists all of the steps that today we see taking place before our eyes with the tragic farce of Covid, from mass inoculation with a vaccine to the creation of detention camps for dissenters. This converted Freemason revealed the aims of the infamous sect 25 years ago. At the time, his denunciation was branded as the absurd rant of a conspiracy theorist, but today it is shown to be true in all its raw and terrible reality, demonstrating that the plan of the Great Reset ordered by the enemies of God is not limited to merely economic aspects that it uses as a tool to keep the world of finance linked to it, but which extends to the very essence of our lives as individuals and members of society, in order to cancel every vestige of Christianity. Behind all this there is the Evil One, who today counts on a highly organized group of followers.

We ought to free ourselves once and for all from the absurd narrative of the mainstream media, which says that Covid-19 is a deadly virus in the face of which nations have to organize themselves to confront an unexpected emergency pandemic that is difficult to contain.

First of all, according to eminent experts, Covid is said to be the result of manipulation carried out in a Wuhan laboratory;

Secondly, this virus, which in itself is not lethal, could be effectively fought with existing drugs and inexpensive therapies, while the WHO has given erroneous and misleading directives, suggesting protocols with devastating outcomes, preventing home care and increasing complications by imposing therapies for a respiratory syndrome rather than a circulatory one.

It further ordered the registration of all the dead as having died due to Covid, regardless of the real cause of death, discouraging autopsies and even going so far as to recommend the cremation of corpses.

On the basis of these inflated figures, the media have created a social alarm, an action of real terrorism towards the entire population, imposing unjustified closures, useless masks, and social distancing. In order to detect the supposed positivity of the virus, swabs and tests have been used that are totally inappropriate for diagnostic purposes and that give easily falsifiable results, as has been reported by their creator. Finally, it has promoted the use of an alleged vaccine, which is actually a genetic serum, admittedly ineffective as regards viral immunity and with widely demonstrated short-term side effects, while the long-term effects are still to be seen. It is a vaccine that, having been produced to combat a mutant virus, is destined to have to be periodically renewed on the basis of the phantom Covid "variants;" a vaccine that rudimentary medical science would discourage being given in the middle of a pandemic, because it could lead to forms of immunological resistance. In this criminal plan, science has turned into esoterism, doctors into sorcerers, and dissenters into heretics to be excommunicated or subjected to compulsory medical treatment.

The same errors – for example, the decision to hospitalize the elderly in nursing homes, thereby spreading the contagion and exterminating thousands of fragile people, after having weakened their immune systems – have been committed at different times and in various different contexts, even in the presence of unequivocal precedents, following a common plan. It is clear that there is a single script under a single direction, with actors who are reciting the part that has been given to them.

I would add, as proof of what I have been saying for a year now, that the nations that have not applied the containment measures and treatments imposed by the WHO are the ones that have reported the lowest number of deaths; and some nations that have not accepted the diktats of the world organizations have suffered coups, efforts at corruption, or have been eliminated: I am referring for example to Belarus (here) or to Tanzania (here), to cite only two of the most notable cases. Without forgetting that the official statistics of the total number deaths in 2020 are almost everywhere below the average number in recent years: if Covid was a true pandemic, then there ought to be numbers similar to what happened between 1918 and 1920 with the Spanish Flu.

In conclusion, Covid is a pretext with which to give the semblance of legitimacy to restrictions on natural freedoms and fundamental individual rights, in such a way as to create an economic and social crisis with which to make the Great Reset irreversible. The present state of economic exhaustion in European countries – in particular those of Catholic tradition like Italy, Spain, Portugal, Ireland, and Poland – forces them to submit to the blackmail of the European Union and to be despoiled by American, Chinese, German, and French… multinationals. In tandem with the unhinging of the economic fabric, it was decided to concentrate profits in a few multinational companies, such as Amazon, Just Eat, Ikea, and others – including large retailers – which have benefitted enormously from the closure of small and medium-sized businesses and restaurants. Not to mention the profits of the pharmaceutical companies, behind which are investment funds headed by, among others, Microsoft, Amazon, and Facebook.

Another sector that has benefited enormously from the lockdown has been pornography: the multinational Mindgeek has increased its turnover, contributing to the corruption of millions of young people and adults forced to stay at home by the pandemic emergency, by means of promotional offers and free subscriptions, as a result of which the number of its clients has increased enormously and consequently also the price of third-party advertising. Consider that this site gets more online traffic than Amazon, Twitter, and Facebook (here) with 3.5 billion visitors per month (here). As we can see, the pandemic offers a precious opportunity for those who cultivate the vices and perversions of the masses in order to be able to better

continued on page 23

Fr. Altman implores the faithful to 'drive out the ...godless vipers' who seek to destroy America

In a stirring homily, the devoted priest contrasted 'the Gospel of the World' with 'the Gospel of Jesus.'

By Dorothy Cummings McLean

LA CROSSE, Wisconsin, June 1, 2021 (LifeSiteNews) — Fr. James Altman, who rose to fame after saying last year that a Catholic cannot be a Democrat, gave a rousing homily to his parish family on Memorial Day weekend despite his bishop's request that he resign.

"So I'm surprised as anybody that I have the privilege to be with you again today," Altman remarked cheerfully on Sunday at St. James the Less Catholic Church.

"A privilege it is, indeed. And like a father loves to do, to feed you, the only precious children I'll ever have."

The lively Wisconsin priest continues to refuse Bishop William T. Callahan's request to resign. He was thus still in the pulpit and in fine form as he honored the memory of soldiers who died in the service of American freedom.

U.S. Canada World Catholic

"As a profound saying goes, 'Our flag does not fly because the wind moves it; it flies with the last breath of each soldier who died protecting it,'" Altman stated.

"We are forever indebted to those who have given their lives that we might be free."

Altman also quoted President Franklin Delano Roosevelt on the forgetfulness of those who enjoy their freedoms "that men have died to win them." He enjoined his congregation not to dishonor the "courageous" dead by giving away their freedoms, as too many Americans have done during the madness of the coronavirus crisis.

"Let us then not dishonor their sacrifice as so many in this country have done over the past 14 months by willingly, readily, happily giving away so much of our freedom to those who would seek to dominate and destroy the glory of the United States of America," he said.

Altman then alluded to a more recent president before launching into a spirited denunciation of America's enemies, using Biblical invective.

"To those that died that we might be free, we the grateful faithful are dutybound to say that we will work to make America great again and to drive out the many ungrateful, graceless, godless vipers who seek to destroy it," he said.

Altman's homily contrasted Gospel narratives of healings performed by Our Lord Jesus Christ with versions he suggested were compatible with the "Gospel of the World." He noted that St. Peter's mother-in-law had had a "severe fever" when Jesus had come personally to pray over her. The priest said that this is a reason why St. James wrote that if anyone in the Christian community was sick, they should call for a priest to say prayers over them in Jesus's name and to anoint them with oil. But in the "Gospel of the World" version of the story, St. Peter's mother-in-law has a "great fever," the local health department puts her house under quarantine, the governor sends in a respirator that begins to kill her, and Jesus stands outside wearing a mask trying to look at her through the window.

"So Jesus and the apostles had to stand outside because they were afraid of offending the staff," Altman said with heavy irony, "and having the staff call the police and arrest them for violating health department protocols."

The priest them made a barely-veiled reference to the utility of such ordinary drugs as ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine in fighting COVID-19.

"Sadly, down at the local Walgreens there were some very cheap, tried-and-tested, readily available medicine, medicine that real science had already proven was helpful," said Altman, continuing the "Gospel of the World" version of the Scriptural story.

"But the people were swayed by, indeed duped by, the godless media, and even any mention of the real science behind it strictly was forbidden, and anyone who did bring it up was cancelled immediately."

In this worldly version, St. Peter's poor mother-in-law dies "alone and lonely without being given Last Rites and the apostolic pardon." The Lord goes home to self-quarantine for 14 days.

Altman also took a jab at the COVID-19 vaccines, saying that from the worldly point of view, the sad part of the story was that St. Peter's mother-in-law died before she could be injected with "an experimental gene manipulation."

"Oh, wait a minute: if they had done that while she had a fever, it would have compromised her immune system and made her doubly sick, so she would have died twice as fast," he said.

The priest underscored that this story is "the Gospel of the World as we have seen for 14 months", the Gospel "according to Fauci, Birx, Cuomo, Biden, and health departments pretty well anywhere."

Altman then read another passage of Scripture describing the Lord's healing mission, noting that Jesus went around to "all the towns and villages" and to synagogues that were neither empty, nor locked, preaching the Gospel and curing "every disease and illness."

Altman noted also that people crowded to the Lord, without wearing masks. He pondered that Jesus had fed crowds of 5,000 men, which implies 5,000 or more women and children as well.

"CNN would have had a cow at the sight of such a super-spreader event," the homilist said.

Altman underscored also that Jesus's example shows us that unrestricted church worship is "essential."

"Jesus taught in churches that were full, and not subject to some capacity restrictions because he knew and taught us then — and we should know now — that this is essential," he said.

In the final part of his homily, Fr. Altman noted that American are approaching "graduation season" and that parents will soon send their children out into the world, where they are in danger from the "contagious and deadly virus of this world." He asked his congregation if they had immunized their children against "the Gospel of the World by vaccinating them with the Truth, Beauty, and Goodness of the real Gospel, the Catholic faith."

After Mass, LifeSiteNews reporter Jim Hale spoke with a young couple who had driven for three hours with their children to hear Fr. Altman, "a priest speaking very prophetically."

Purifying your Mind

By Carol Pociecha-Palm

A few months ago, my friend asked if I knew about PureFlix movies. I admitted that I had not, but then I became curious about them so I decided to watch a few their movies. I chose to watch The Book of Ruth and The Book of Esther. It was a pleasant surprise when I discovered the movies more than met my expectations. The biblical stories of Ruth and Esther came alive for me.

Living in the information age, it is may be overwhelming for most people to decide which media to tune into. There are so many entertainment, educational and news options. Researching this topic opened a door for me. As a result, I am in conversations with trusted friends and colleagues about truthful and honest entertainment, educational and news media.

Entertainment Reviews

Deciding on family entertainment is easier if you take some time to consider The Dove Foundation reviews. Dove.org main mission is to help families by providing conservative insight and information for wholesome family entertainment. The reviews you will find on Dove.org are based on Christian values so that families can make informed decisions about which movies, DVDs and books best suit their interests and values.

Dove offers a content grid for fast and easy assessment of various criteria. The criteria include: Faith (positive measure), Integrity (positive measure), Sexuality (negative measure), Language (negative measure), Violence (negative measure), Drugs (negative measure), Nudity (negative measure), and Other i.e. disrespect for authority, lying, cheating, stealing, illegal activity etc.(negative measure). I recommend you check this site out when you are deciding on your next movie or book choice for either yourself or a family member. Truthful News

As far as news content, the AGENDA Weekly agendaweekly.com is an informative weekly briefing which provides a concise summary of truthful news. So if you don't have the time to review numerous conservative news sites, Curtis Bower will do the research for you. He summarizes the top 10-15 main issues happening in America each week. He provides the sources so if you are interested in delving deeper into a topic you will have the source. There is a weekly video interview with Curtis Bower, helpful resources, prayer lists and action bullets. If you want to hear the current events from a spiritual perspective I highly recommend you subscribe for only $5.00 per month.

Hillsdale College Free Online Courses — America's Public Education

Do you or your family want to learn more about politics, history, literature, philosophy, religion, economics or the natural sciences? Then, check out Hillsdale College. Hillsdale College accepts no government funding.

"These free, not-for-credit courses are taught by Hillsdale College faculty and are patterned after the education offered on the Hillsdale College campus. They aim to provide students with an education that pursues knowledge of the highest things, provides insight into the nature of God and man, forms character, and defends constitutional government."

When I was a child, I remember my grandmother taking me to a movie theater to watch "The Sound of Music". After the movie ended, my grandma told me how nice it was to watch such a wonderful movie together. The right choices in entertainment, news and educational media can result in lasting memorable moments in our life and that of our family.

Carol Pociecha-Palm was an occupational therapist for more than 45 years. She now lives at Advent Christian Village in Dowling Park, Florida 32064 . carol.ACVillage@use.startmail.com.

Puzzle Answers

F	L	O	G	■	W	H	E	A	T	■	I	T	E	M
L	I	A	R	■	H	E	A	V	E	■	O	R	C	A
A	N	T	E	■	I	R	R	I	T	A	T	I	O	N
G	E	H	E	N	N	A	■	D	E	B	A	C	L	E
■	■	■	T	A	N	■	■	■	■	A	S	K	E	D
S	L	E	E	P	Y	H	E	A	D	S	■	■	■	■
L	U	C	R	E	■	A	L	G	A	E	■	E	W	E
A	R	C	S	■	G	I	V	E	N	■	S	C	A	R
W	E	E	■	T	O	K	E	N	■	A	T	R	I	A
■	■	■	■	R	O	U	S	T	A	B	O	U	T	S
S	W	A	M	I	■	■	■	■	C	U	P	■	■	■
P	A	N	A	C	E	A	■	O	C	T	O	P	U	S
A	G	G	R	E	S	S	I	V	E	■	V	O	L	T
R	E	E	K	■	A	P	R	E	S	■	E	R	N	E
E	R	R	S	■	U	S	E	R	S	■	R	E	A	P

3	8	1	2	4	9	7	6	5
7	5	9	1	3	6	2	8	4
6	4	2	8	5	7	1	9	3
2	1	8	6	9	3	5	4	7
9	7	4	5	2	1	8	3	6
5	6	3	7	8	4	9	2	1
4	9	5	3	1	8	6	7	2
8	2	7	4	6	5	3	1	9
1	3	6	9	7	2	4	5	8



Public School Curriculum Transparency Legislation Key to Battling Politics in the Classroom

Reform proposal would arm parents with the ability to access, review controversial curriculum material

WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR LAW & LIBERTY

The News: A new report from the Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty (WILL) is urging the adoption of curriculum transparency legislation to arm parents and taxpayers with the ability to access and review controversial curriculum material in public schools. WILL recently issued identical open records requests to nine large Wisconsin school districts and experienced, first-hand, the cost, time, and difficulty of accessing curriculum material.

The Quotes: WILL Policy Associate, Jessica Holmberg, said, "America's culture wars are finding their way into public school classrooms. With curriculum transparency legislation, we can arm parents and taxpayers with access to curriculum material to ensure that local school districts are held accountable."

Scarlett Johnson, a Hispanic American parent in the Mequon-Thiensville School District, said, "Parents like myself are at a disadvantage when school districts are not forthright about philosophies like Critical Race Theory being taught in the classroom. More transparency is vital for parents to ensure that our students are not being taught propaganda that denies the fundamentals of the U.S. Constitution or losing precious learning time in critical topics like math, science, and reading."

Diving Deeper: Opening the Schoolhouse Door: Promoting Curriculum Transparency, by Will Flanders, Ph.D, and Jessica Holmberg, provides an in-depth look at some of the controversial curriculum material being presented in Wisconsin classrooms, along with the difficulty of accessing the material. The findings include:

Political Agendas in the Classroom Are Pervasive: This report includes an appendix with examples gathered from WILL's open records requests.

Gathering Information About What is Being Taught is Expensive: To fulfill our request for teaching materials, Madison requested more than $5,000. Other districts were extremely slow and not compliant. The average parent or taxpayer cannot be expected to go through this process.

Gathering Information About What is Being Taught is Difficult to Access: Open records requests sent to 9 large Wisconsin school districts more than two months ago have not all been fulfilled.

Parents Are Concerned About Culture Wars in the Classroom: In both national and state polls, parents expressed opposition to components of the 1619 agenda, and the creep of politics into schools.

Curriculum Transparency Reform: Wisconsin should create an access to curriculum statute that is clearly part of the duty of school districts and fundamentally tied to the expectations of education for Wisconsin parents. Based on model legislation from The Goldwater Institute, this proposal would increase the ability for parents and taxpayers to be aware of what is being taught in their local schools without providing for an outright prohibition on any particular material. A proposal would include:

Each semester districts are required to provide an overview of any learning materials, such as PowerPoints, videos, and article citations, used for student instruction or student activities.

Complying with the statute would not be a reproduction of any copyrighted materials. Include the information necessary to identify the specific learning materials used, including the title and the author, organization, or internet address associated with each material and activity.

Nearly all materials produced in a public school are subject to Wisconsin's Public Records laws.

What the Critical Race Theory Left Seeks Is the 'Dictatorship of the DOA'

By Mark Tapscott

When Hitler wrote Mein Kampf (My Struggle) in 1925, he clearly described his intent to gain revenge, especially against France, for the Treaty of Versailles that ended World War I and imposed a host of humiliating limitations on Germany's ability to regain its military might.

There was also no question that Hitler would do anything required for his National Socialist Party to replace the tottering democratic Weimar Republic with a Nazi dictatorship. And he left no doubt about his tragically murderous intentions toward the Jews.

But nobody took him seriously until 14 years later when the German Army invaded Poland in 1939, leaving Britain and France no choice but to declare war, with a result that Europe was embroiled in World War II. Lesson? When an ideologue tells us his plans, we do well to believe him.

That is why it is imperative that Americans take seriously the proposal of Ibram X. Kendi, one of the most prominent and influential advocates of Critical Race Theory (CRT).

That's the social justice ideology that says that beginning in 1619, whites established what became the American regime as a tool to protect their privilege by oppressing all people of color (POCs), but especially the African blacks they brought over as slaves.

Because of their "privilege" and the comprehensively oppressive hegemony they've established at every level of American society to maintain it by systematically oppressing POCs, whites are irredeemably blind to the incurable racism that their victims can never be guilty of practicing. (Go here for a concise summary of CRT's four main tenets from Voddie Baucham.)

Kendi appeared in a Politico Magazine video in which he said:

To fix the original sin of racism, Americans should pass an anti-racist amendment to the U.S. Constitution that enshrines two guiding anti-racist principals: Racial inequity is evidence of racist policy and the different racial groups are equals. The amendment would make unconstitutional racial inequity over a certain threshold, as well as racist ideas by public officials (with "racist ideas" and "public official" clearly defined).

It would establish and permanently fund the Department of Anti-racism (DOA) comprised of formally trained experts on racism and no political appointees. The DOA would be responsible for preclearing all local, state and federal public policies to ensure they won't yield racial inequity, monitor those policies, investigate private racist policies when racial inequity surfaces, and monitor public officials for expressions of racist ideas.

The DOA would be empowered with disciplinary tools to wield over and against policymakers and public officials who do not voluntarily change their racist policy and ideas.

One suspects upon reading these words and watching the Politico video of Kendi intoning them that the influential author of How To Be An Anti-Racist was at least somewhat aware of the telling irony of his proposal's acronym.

But he surely means for his words to be taken seriously, being a CBS correspondent and contributor to The Atlantic, a New York Times best-selling author, and a Harvard University scholar. He is also the founder and former director of American University's Antiracism Center, and is now repeating that developmental exercise at Boston University as founder and director of BU's Center for Anti-Racist Research.

And his proposal is indeed a deeply serious one because if what he describes is ever actually implemented in this country, America will be locked in a totalitarianism every bit as terrifying as the Soviet Union on its worst day.

Where the Politburo of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union was the unquestioned highest authority in that suffering nation, Kendi's Department of Antiracism (DOA) would be no less destructive.

This new American Politburo would be staffed solely by "formally trained experts on racism," which is to say a new priesthood of Kendi clones armed with absolute rule answerable to no one. Kendi's pointed "no political appointees" reference is a telling indication of how utterly insulated the DOA would be from any accountability remotely resembling a democratic process.

No part of American public or private life would be exempt from the DOA's power "for pre-clearing all local, state and federal public policies" to ensure that they "won't yield racial inequity." (Understand that in the CRT vision of the American future, "equity" means equal outcomes for all the animals on the farm.)

And no law that survives pre-clearance would be forgotten because the DOA would be continually monitoring compliance with such laws, while also enforcing total thought and speech conformity with investigations of "private racist policies" and enforcement against public officials linked to "expressions of racist ideas."

Be assured that the DOA would require an army of informers in every neighborhood to ensure the exposure of all private racist policies and one can easily imagine the Stalinesque DOA show trials of all public officials found to have tweeted unapproved thoughts in the years prior to the CRT revolution that creates the DOA.

But perhaps the scariest line of Kendi's Politico proposal is its concluding notice that the DOA's experts would "be empowered with disciplinary tools" against all public officials "who do not voluntarily change their racist policy and ideas."

With that mentality in power, do not doubt that the DOA's newly established California's Death Valley re-education camps would be the final destination of every Republican public official from obscure rural county commissioners to famous GOP senators and congressmen who refuse to "voluntarily change."

By that time, of course, Trump will have been stood up against a wall and shot. Or worse. Defacing and tearing down all those statues and dragging them through the streets in recent years was mere practice for the real thing.

In other words, what we see in Kendi's DOA is the Left's fascination with and inevitable succumbing to the totalitarian temptation.

We live in an age of lies or at the least, twisting of truth.

Robert Ruark: 1955 Novel: 'Something of Value.'

"When we take away from a man his traditional way of life, his customs, his religion, we had better make certain to replace it with SOMETHING OF VALUE" Think Woke and CRT.

I read that and 'Uhuru,' Poor No More,' and the "Honey Badger.' Each encompassed human psychology. The link below is another author, Jordan Peterson: '12 Rules for Life.' This is definitely worth passing on.

Cheers

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7R-FUA0ZBJc

Alan L. Stewart





Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

It is with an aching, heavy heart that we mourn the loss of a dear friend, a champion of conservatism, and a true American patriot: Foster S. Friess.

I vividly remember the moment I first met Foster. At the 2012 Republican National Convention in Tampa, I was walking through stairwell after stairwell looking for hope and a reason to keep the TPUSA dream alive.

It was there that I stumbled into a familiar face with a cowboy hat: Foster. I recognized him from his many news appearances, and I immediately introduced myself. I was 18 at the time, and Turning Point USA had zero donors and no real presence. I was just a kid from Chicago with a dream to change the world.

Foster warmly greeted me and told me the way to remember his name "was that you have a Foster's beer and a pile of fries, then add an 'S' to it." He told me his current portfolio of jokes, his favorite Bible verses and asked me what I wanted to do with my life. For those of you who knew Foster, that introduction makes perfect sense!

I clumsily told Foster my vision for TPUSA and how I felt young people needed to hear the conservative message. A few weeks later, he sent TPUSA $10,000—our first investment and the resources that got TPUSA started.

From there, Foster remained a steady and charming influence on my life and TPUSA's biggest champion. He generously supported our mission time and time again, shocking the world when he would offer a matching gift of millions of dollars and inspire thousands of others to match his generosity and love for TPUSA.

I have thousands of pictures and stories I can share of Foster… His disgust for abbreviations without explaining what they mean. His commitment to only one person talking at a time at dinner. His unlimited inventory of jokes. His million-dollar smile that was built for Hollywood. His flair for the unpredictable. His unmatched generosity. His humble beginnings. His reverence for his closest friends. His love for America. His humble service to the Lord. His love for Lynn.

As a champion of entrepreneurship, Foster embodied the American Dream. From start to finish, his life story is threaded with countless moments of hard work, determination, true grit, and success. He led a life that serves as a beacon of hope for numerous young Americans searching for inspiration in a world that can often seem dim and hopeless.

Foster's wisdom was rooted in his love of God, nation, family, and the eternal beauty around us.

That brief moment on a staircase in 2012 flourished into a deep friendship. His legacy will remain in the hearts of Americans for many decades to come, and Foster has left an indelible mark on my own life.

My heart goes out to Lynn and the entire Friess family during this difficult time. I am praying for you and your family.

America has lost one of its greatest champions, and TPUSA has lost one of our most incredible friends. The world is a little dimmer without you in it, Foster. It is now up to us to continue your larger-than-life commitment to the best of our nature. You can count on us to continue carrying the torch of freedom and American greatness.

We miss you, Foster, and we pray that we will never let you down. You will be so dearly missed, Foster.

Sincerely, Charlie Kirk
Founder and Chief Executive Officer









Dr. Birx *cont. from page 1*

masks mitigated the spread of Covid-19, and they admitted to one another that masks were completely ineffective at preventing Covid-19 from entering the human respiratory system. To the contrary, they acknowledged that protracted "masking" had serious side effects on human physiology, especially among the children, the elderly, and immunocompromised people.

Nonetheless, Birx wrote in an email to Fauci that "regardless of POTUS's stance, we must continue to encourage and enforce masking because it will give people a sense of calm and make them feel safe."

That illusion of safety was a major contributing factor in the deaths of 15,000 Americans, according to a military study that evaluated all alleged Covid-19 fatalities in the United States.

For example, a masked 13-year-old boy suddenly dropped dead while playing football in 90-degree heat in Reno, Nevada. It turned out he was asthmatic but had never received a clinical diagnosis. His mother, a mask advocate, had heard Dr. Birx say on television that outdoor activities did not preclude wearing a mask when social distancing was impossible. Since football is a contact sport, she feared her child might contract Covid-19 from another non-masked child, and thus demanded her son mask up anytime he was around other children. Her irrational fear, coupled with Birx's hysteria, resulted in the boy's death. Incidentally, the CDC claimed he died of Covid-19, even though the boy had never received a test.

Another example: A 26-year-old Long Island woman died after a short battle with Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, more commonly known as MRSA, a type of flesh-eating bacteria that is highly resistant to most antibiotics. She developed the illness, which attacked her face, days after her employer, citing CDC guidelines, imposed a mask mandate. The bacteria literally ate her face, leaving her unrecognizable. She expired three days later, and the CDC listed her cause of death as Covid-19.

Those are only 2 of 15,000 evaluated cases.

A confidential source involved in Donald J. Trump's Deep State War said: "Along with Fauci, who will soon be apprehended too, the U.S. Navy Judge Advocate General's Corps is holding Birx responsible for all the fatalities. It's mass medical malpractice and mass murder. They acted on the indictment Wednesday morning."

On 26 May, plain-clothed JAG investigators arrived at the multigenerational Pennsylvania home where Birx resides with her husband and the family of their eldest daughter. JAG, our source said, informed Birx she was being placed under arrest by order of the United States military and in accordance with Section 252 of the U.S. Insurrection Act of 1807. Birx reportedly appeared confused, and her husband, a lawyer, protested the "unlawful intrusion" of their home, saying that neither JAG nor Donald Trump had authority over the citizenry.

Birx was handcuffed and escorted from the home, and her family was issued a gag order forbidding them from discussing Birx's arrest with the media. Violating the order, JAG told them, would carry a stiff penalty, including prison.





One Nation Under God

With Supreme Court taking up Mississippi case, Wisconsin's abortion ban could be reinstated

By Riley Vetterkind

ith the U.S. Supreme Court announcing it will revisit the issue of abortion bans, the implications could be profound for Wisconsin, which still has an anti-abortion law on the books.

The nation's high court announced Monday it will hear a case about whether states can ban abortions before a fetus can survive outside the womb. Abortion rights advocates say banning such pre-viability abortions would be in direct contradiction to one of the key holdings in the court's landmark 1973 Roe v. Wade decision and subsequent cases that states cannot ban abortion before a fetus can survive outside of the womb, generally viewed as between 24 and 28 weeks.

Follow along as Phil Hands shows you how he draws a recent editorial cartoon

The case the court will hear involves a Mississippi law that bans most abortions after 15 weeks.

If the 6-3 majority conservative court were to issue a broad ruling that fully overturns the Roe v. Wade decision, that could lead to abortions in Wisconsin being banned.

Overturning the Roe v. Wade decision could allow the law to be reinstated in Wisconsin, although it would be up to district attorneys to enforce it. Without a full repeal of the decision, abortion rights advocates say it would be unlikely that Wisconsin's law would go back into effect.

Abortion rights advocates say it's uncertain whether the U.S Supreme Court would go so far as to fully repeal the Roe decision, but in the meantime, they say it remains a possibility.

Riley Vetterkind | Wisconsin State Journal

"No one actually has a legal crystal ball to know exactly what will happen with this decision," said Mike Murray, executive director of Planned Parenthood Advocates of Wisconsin. "The results are not guaranteed by any stretch of the imagination, but it definitely is concerning that the court would be willing to take a look at this law."

Murray said the court could decide to only look at Mississippi's 15-week ban or could decide there isn't a constitutional argument for prohibiting pre-viability abortion bans, which could allow bans at any fetal age and likely reinstate Wisconsin's abortion law.

"This is where the uncertainty lies," Murray said. "We just don't know how broad of a stroke the majority will write the opinion with."

Either way, Democratic lawmakers are reminding their constituents of Wisconsin's abortion ban and the stakes of the U.S. Supreme Court taking up the Mississippi case.

"If the Supreme Court were to overturn Roe v. Wade, abortion would be immediately illegal in the state of Wisconsin," said Rep. Lisa Subeck, D-Madison, who, along with Sen. Kelda Roys, D-Madison, introduced a bill this year that would repeal Wisconsin's existing abortion ban.

In a statement, Roys called the Supreme Court's decision to take up the Mississippi case "an emergency for Wisconsinites' reproductive justice" and called on the Legislature to repeal the abortion ban.

Subeck said banning abortions in Wisconsin might lead to women seeking them underground, increasing the risk of death, loss of fertility and other significant health consequences of illicit abortions.

"The real risk is illegal abortions happen, individuals who are desperate to terminate a pregnancy seek out a way to do that, and often the results are devastating," Subeck said.

Wisconsin anti-abortion advocates want the U.S. Supreme Court to use the Mississippi case to overturn Roe v. Wade.

"Should this decision come out in favor of life, tens of thousands of children would be saved," said Heather Weininger, executive director of Wisconsin Right to Life, an anti-abortion organization. "It certainly shows us why we fought all these years to protect life." Riley Vetterkind | Wisconsin State Journal

Weininger said her organization will continue to advocate for the state's anti-abortion law to remain on the books and be reinstated if Roe is repealed. Weininger said if abortion again becomes illegal in Wisconsin, her organization would double down on educating people about alternatives to abortion to prevent people from seeking abortions through illegal channels or going out of state for an abortion.

"It's always unsafe for the unborn child when it comes to an abortion," Weininger said. "Their consequence is death."

Eric Toney, a Republican candidate for attorney general and Fond du Lac County district attorney, said he would seek to enforce Wisconsin's abortion ban if Roe v. Wade were overturned.

Spokespersons for Democratic Attorney General Josh Kaul and Republican attorney general candidate Ryan Owens didn't respond to a request seeking comment.



Even if the Supreme Court didn't repeal Roe v. Wade, the eventual decision could allow for more limitations on abortions that the Legislature could pass.

Wisconsin Republicans passed a series of abortion restrictions over the past decade when they controlled the governor's office and Legislature. Those include laws that prohibit state health insurance plans for public employees from covering abortion procedures, with some exceptions. They also include banning abortion after 20 weeks, restricting funding to Planned Parenthood, requiring an ultrasound before an abortion and requiring abortion doctors to have hospital admitting privileges, though that last law was blocked by a federal court.

In 2019, Democratic Gov. Tony Evers vetoed a series of Republican bills that would have restricted access to abortion, including one that would have imposed criminal penalties on doctors who fail to give medical care in the extremely rare circumstance when a fetus is born alive following an abortion attempt.

Other vetoed bills would have cut off Medicaid funding for Planned Parenthood; prohibited abortions based on the fetus' race, sex or defects; and required providers to tell women seeking abortions using the drug mifepristone that the process may be reversed after the first dose.



Pro-life display at the Milwaukee School of Engineering vandalized

On the morning of May 4th, the pro-life student group at the Milwaukee School of Engineering (MSOE) set up a memorial display for the more than 19 million black lives that have been taken by abortion since 1973.

MSOE Right to Life students had received prior permission from school administration to place 3,000 flags, along with pro-life signs that read "Black Baby Lives Matter" on the grounds outside of the campus science building.

According to MSOE Right to Life, "The idea for this display came about because of the increased prevalence of discussion of BLM [Black Lives Matter] politics in MSOE's classrooms this semester. The side of the story that was left out of this discussion was the over 19 million black lives that have been taken by abortion since 1973. So, to raise awareness of this atrocity occurring predominantly in minority communities in the U.S., MSOE Right to Life set up a display with 3,000 pink and red flags, each one representing 6,333 black lives. The display also served to identify the hypocrisy of those who identify as 'pro-choice' and simultaneously identify as 'pro-BLM.' It served its purpose, as the display could not stay up for 24 hours without vandalism."

Per Campus Life regulations, displays from campus groups are limited to a 24-hour window. However, according to MSOE Right to Life members, an anti-Trump, pro-BLM display was allowed to remain in one of the campus's newest buildings for several weeks.

The first sign of vandalism came from the new Libertarian group on campus, who attached stickers to the signs to promote their new group, without permission from MSOE Right to Life or the school. These stickers were removed, but when members of MSOE Right to Life came to take down the display the next morning, they saw evidence of new vandalism – numerous flags had been pulled out and stomped on, black tape had been applied to certain words on the signs, and one of their signs had been stolen.

MSOE Right to Life released a statement, which said, in part, "Our organization welcomes freedom of speech, expression, and difference of opinion. However, there are rules in place for conduct as it relates to organization events and displays. We are disappointed by the inability for some students to recognize these rules and to respect the freedoms of other students on campus. While what happened was unfortunate, we are working actively with public safety and Campus Life to identify the perpetrators and discuss repercussions for this behavior, as it broke several codes of conduct put in place by MSOE."

The students responsible for both instances of vandalism have issued apologies to MSOE Right to Life, and members from the group continue to work with campus officials to ensure that this does not happen to them or another group in the future.

Rylie Gill, the group's president, stated, "I have a strong passion for the pro-life movement, because I believe that life is sacred and created in the image of God, and as such, it should be protected at all costs. For me, being pro-life isn't only associated with the unborn; it is applicable at conception and remains applicable throughout life until the point of natural death. As the president of my pro-life group, I stress the importance of this truth, and others like it. It is my duty to live out these truths on my college campus for all to see, and encourage others to unashamedly do the same . . . If anything, this display spoke for students who share these same beliefs but may feel alone in their endeavors to stand for life. Now these same students are aware that there is a pro-life community on campus that they can be a part of. This is why we will continue to stand for life—to build a greater pro-life presence and educate others about pro-life issues on MSOE's campus."

VOICE OF JERUSALEM

The Price of Friendship with Biden's Washington

By Caroline Glick

In a week of unprecedented political upheaval, it's hard to pay attention to anything other than what is before our face. As Naftali Bennett and Ayelet Shaked's voters watch in shock as they betray every single thing that they claimed to stand for and form a government that gives unprecedented powers not only to post-Zionist parties but to the anti-Zionist Islamist party, it is hard to think about larger issues – like how Israel's posture on Iran is about to change and what it means for the country.

But on Tuesday, in the midst of everything, the key strategic difference between Prime Minister Benjamin and members of the camp defined by hatred of Netanyahu came into full view.

In his speech at the ceremony marking the changing of the guard at the Mossad, as director Yossi Cohen transferred the baton of leadership to David Barnea, Netanyahu referred to Iran's nuclear program as an "existential threat." He then stated frankly, "If we must choose, and I hope this won't happen, between our great friend the US and eliminating an existential threat – eliminating an existential threat takes precedence."

Shortly thereafter, Defense Minister Benny Gantz, (long a core member of the Netanyahu haters camp), rejected Netanyahu's assertion. "The US was and will remain Israel's most important ally in preserving its security and its security superiority in the region," Gantz said.

He went on, "The Biden administration is a true friend of Israel. Israel does not have and will not have a better partner than the US. Even if there are disputes, they will be solved in a direct dialogue, behind closed doors and not with defiant statements that are liable to harm Israel's security."

In other words, Gantz accused Netanyahu of endangering Israel's national security by speaking openly of the dispute with the Biden administration regarding Iran's nuclear program.

The disparity between the two men's views on US nuclear diplomacy with Iran isn't new. Gantz served as IDF chief of general staff while the Obama administration was negotiating what became the 2015 nuclear deal, otherwise known as the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action or JCPOA. That is the same deal that the Biden administration is now seeking to resuscitate.

Throughout his service, Gantz refused to back Netanyahu when the premier attacked the JCPOA for giving Iran the right to build a nuclear arsenal.

When Gantz completed his military service in the summer of 2015, the battle in the US over Senate approval of the deal was at its height. As tens of thousands of American Jews took to the streets to protest the deal, Gantz flew to Washington to support it. In a speech at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, Gantz said of the deal: "I see the half-full part of the glass here. Keeping away the Iranians [from a nuclear arsenal] for 10 to 15 years is a good thing."

He also hinted that Netanyahu was "hysterical" in his response to JCPOA saying, "I refuse to get hysterical."

It's important to note that the nuclear deal did not postpone Iran's acquisition of military nuclear capability for 10-15 years. It placed limitations on Iran's nuclear operations, but made them largely unenforceable by empowering Iran to decide which nuclear installations would be open to UN inspectors and which they would be barred from entering. And even with their limited access, UN inspectors acknowledged that Iran was not abiding by the limitations the deal placed on its nuclear activities.

Moreover, even if Iran had abided by the deal, the agreement gave the UN Security Council's stamp of approval to Iran becoming a nuclear power in 2030. Then-president Barack Obama himself acknowledged that at the end of the deal's lifespan, the time Iran would require to develop a nuclear arsenal would have dropped to "essentially zero."

Gantz's address in Washington poured cold water on efforts to build opposition to the deal among Democrat senators. After all, they had no reason to defy the president if Israel's own chief of staff said the deal was a good thing.

Gantz's position – that Israel must publicly back Washington's approach to Iran's nuclear program – is shared by large swathes of the IDF senior echelons and by the media. It is also shared by Yair Lapid and Naftali Bennett. In an interview with Israel's Channel 20 in April, Bennett expressed full faith in the Biden administration. He referred to President Joe Biden as "a true lover of Israel." He argued, "We need to work with the administration to craft the conditions for a return to the [2015 nuclear] deal."

Are Gantz, Lapid and Bennett right? Is Netanyahu "hysterical?" Is this just a dispute between two friends about how to reach a common goal? Or are the US and Israel aiming for different outcomes?

This week, the US and Iran held their fifth round of nuclear negotiations in Vienna. To get a sense of whether the Biden administration shares Israel's goals on Iran but has a different way of going about it, or if it has a different end in mind, it is important to consider the make-up of the US negotiating team.

The chief US negotiator is Robert Malley. Malley is a pro-Iranian ideologue. He has never hidden his desire to replace America's traditional Middle East alliance structure, which is based on Israel and the Sunni Arab states, with a structure reliant on Iran and the Muslim Brotherhood. And he isn't a lone voice either in the administration or in his delegation.

This week, a new administration official joined the US delegation in Vienna. Ariane Tabatabai joined the administration in February as senior advisor to the Undersecretary of State for Arms Control and International Security.

According to the Iranian exile group Iranian Americans for Liberty, Tabatabai's father lives in Iran where he serves as a member of the regime's inner circle in the university system. The American negotiator's family ties to the Iranian regime leads naturally to the conclusion that the Iranian regime was represented – or at least warmly regarded – on both sides of the negotiating table.

The current status of the Jewish American pro-Israel lobby AIPAC is also relevant to the question of whether Netanyahu is right to publicly oppose the deal or Gantz, Lapid and Bennett are right in claiming that Israel must follow America's lead.

From the first days of his presidency, Obama placed AIPAC in an untenable position. For decades before Obama rose to power, Israel enjoyed robust support from both US political parties. AIPAC rode the bipartisan wave to a position of power and influence in Washington. But upon entering office, Obama and his advisors worked to diminish Democratic support for Israel and to undermine AIPAC. At his first meeting in the White House with Jewish leaders, Obama stunned his guests by asking J Street to participate. J Street had been formed the previous year by Obama supporters to castigate AIPAC as a right-wing group and to provide Jewish cover for Obama's anti-Israel policies.

AIPAC had two options for contending with the new situation – Netanyahu or Netanyahu's haters. If it had chosen Netanyahu's approach, AIPAC would have exacted a price from politicians who undermined US-Israel ties by ending its support for them. It would have stuck to its guns, criticized the administration for adopting policies that endangered Israel and weakened the US-Israel alliance and supported politicians on both sides of the aisle that stood in stalwart support for a meaningful US-Israel alliance.

AIPAC however, chose the second option. Rather than fight, it tried to cover over differences with platitudes. When Republicans sponsored resolutions and other Congressional actions to oppose Obama's policies, AIPAC tried to tone down their rhetoric and weaken their initiatives to enable less pro-Israel Democrats to co-sponsor them.

To its credit, AIPAC led a significant fight against Senate approval of the nuclear deal. But when it lost the fight, AIPAC took no action against lawmakers who supported the deal. On the contrary; shortly after the Senate Democrats failed to block approval for the deal that gave Iran the bomb by 2030, AIPAC members held a fundraiser for Senator Cory Booker despite the fact that he voted in favor of the deal. The fallout was quick and brutal.

In the six years since the fight over the Iran nuclear deal, the position and power of AIPAC among Democrats and Republicans alike has sunk dramatically. During the 2008 presidential race, Obama himself felt compelled to attend the AIPAC annual conference and express his deep commitment to US-Israel ties and appreciation for AIPAC's work to strengthen the US-Israel alliance.

Ahead of the 2020 elections, the only Democrat presidential candidate that agreed to attend the AIPAC conference was former New York mayor Michael Bloomberg. AIPAC was only able to get Biden to speak by canceling its own rule barring politicians from sending taped addresses rather than showing up in person. Five Democrat presidential candidates attended the J Street conference.

The pro-Israel lobby's position among Republicans isn't much better.

As one senior Republican put it in a moment of frustration, "It's more important for AIPAC to present a false picture of Democrat support for Israel than to work with us to actually support Israel.

"So often, when we try to advance a significant effort on behalf of Israel, AIPAC lobbyists show up and demand that we water it down so that a few Democrats will join us. The most important thing for them is to hide Democrat hostility. The most important thing for us is to support Israel."

It's true that Netanyahu cannot change the Democrats' position on Iran. But Lapid, Bennett and Gantz can't influence it either. On the other hand, Netanyahu's outspoken opposition to Obama's nuclear deal with the ayatollahs empowered Republicans to oppose it as well. Netanyahu's unapologetic stance made him a hero for Republicans who admired his courage and trusted him.

Recently, Netanyahu remarked that having political leaders willing to approve operations against Iran's nuclear installations is no less important than having security forces capable of attacking them. Leaders who believe that maintaining friendly ties with the Biden administration is their most important goal will necessarily be less willing to approve operations that will anger the likes of Biden, Malley and their team.



Archbishop *cont. from page 18*

manipulate them.

5. More concretely, as it turns out, the West has imitated a lockdown policy that was first used by China, a totalitarian country. Does this not show us how much we in the West are already influenced by China? How else can we explain why the West would imitate Chinese methods?

The Chinese communist dictatorship is certainly one of the protagonists of the tragic pandemic farce: there is the concrete suspicion that it produced the virus, and the certainty that it spread it abroad, banning domestic flights but permitting international ones. China is also certainly taking advantage of the economic crisis, in whose wake Chinese financial groups are acquiring infrastructures, strategic companies, hotels and prestigious real estate, beginning in Italy. Beijing is aware that the establishment of the New World Order obtained via the Great Reset will entail a "communization" of liberal ideology, and it is taking advantage of this to expand its power in the world, also thanks to the fifth columns it finances in various nations: this is exactly what Edward Luttwak has denounced in the past few days in saying that Italian ministers and undersecretaries are in the pay of China (here).

6. You were one of the early voices warning us that the Corona virus is being used for political purposes. I remember how in the very early stages, last year in March I was heavily influenced by people such as the immunologist Dr. Richard Hattchet, who stated that "this is the most frightening disease I have encountered in my entire career." compared the Corona virus with the situation of World War II (minute 11.45) and praised the successful and "incredible sets of interventions" undertaken by the Chinese Communists in Wuhan. Now I realize that he is working on a vaccine for this virus and is funded for it with $20 million by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Could

continue reading at www.lifesitenews.com

Vaccine *cont. from page 12*


Photographer: Roberto Schmidt,

Prognosis

World's Most Vaccinated Nation Activates Curbs as Cases Rise

By Kamlesh Bhuckory and Antony Sguazzin
May 4, 2021, 5:11 PM EDT *Updated on May 5, 2021, 5:07 AM EDT*

- Seychelles has fully vaccinated 62.2% of its population
- Covid-19 has hammered island nation's tourism economy

LISTEN TO ARTICLE 1:48

SHARE THIS ARTICLE Share Tweet Post Email

Sign up to our Next Africa newsletter and follow Bloomberg Africa on Twitter

Seychelles, which has fully vaccinated more of its population against Covid-19 than any other country, has closed schools and canceled sporting activities for two weeks as infections surge.

The measures, which include bans on the intermingling of households and the early closure of bars, come even as the country has fully vaccinated more than 60% of its adult population with two doses of coronavirus

Seychelles: 62.2% of population currently vaccinated

https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-05-04/world-s-most-vaccinated-nation-reintroduces-curbs-as-cases-surge

Reporting Bias in Vaccine Clinical Trials

"A critical appraisal of phase III clinical trial data for the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine BNT162b2 and Moderna vaccine mRNA-1273 shows that absolute risk reduction measures are very much lower than the reported relative risk reduction measures. Yet, **the manufacturers failed to report absolute risk reduction measures in publicly released documents.**"



https://www.mdpi.com/1648-9144/57/1/99/htm?fbclid=IwAR2catoR1OG4J5-_DhPJ4vkGdNoczrnPzUoDVegGLmEtEC8DcNCtst2zRFck

From England's "Roadmap" regarding vaccine rollouts

"The resurgence in both hospitalisations and deaths is dominated by those that have received two doses of the vaccine, comprising around **60% and 70% of the wave** respectively. This can be attributed to the high levels of uptake in the most at-risk age groups, such that immunisation failures account for more serious illness than unvaccinated individuals."

So…
60% of the new hospitalisations are from vaccinated people
70% of new deaths are from vaccinated people

Due to the fact that it is the oldest age groups that have received the vaccine first, which would account for some (but not all) of the high numbers.



https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/975509/S1182_SPI-M-O_Summary_of_modelling_of_easing_roadmap_step_2_restrictions

Vaccination Transmission

- Pfiezer has stated: "An occupational exposure occurs when a person receives unplanned direct contact with a vaccine **test subject [i.e. any vaccinated person]**, which may or may not lead to the occurrence of an adverse event. These people may include health care providers, family members, and other people who are around the trial participant."
- **So vaccine study participants may become super spreaders of something that triggers secondary adverse events in people that never had the vaccine.**
- "The vaccine produces many trillions of particles of spike proteins in the recipient. Patients who are vaccinated can shed some of these (spike protein) particles to close contacts. The particles have the ability to create inflammation and disease in these contacts."
- "A person's body is being suddenly flooded with 13 trillion of these particles and the spike proteins bind *more* tightly than the fully intact virus. Because of the biomimicry (similarity) on the spike, shedding appears to be causing wide variety of autoimmune disease (where the body attacks its own tissue) in some persons. Worldwide cases of pericarditis, shingles, pneumonia, blood clots in the extremities and brain, Bell's Palsy, vaginal bleeding and miscarriages have been reported in persons who are near persons who have been vaccinated."

https://beforeitsnews.com/eu/2021/04/stay-away-from-the-vaxxed-it-is-official-from-pfizers-own-documents-3671454.html and https://www.americasfrontlinedoctors.org/action-alerts/identify

Vaccination Transmission

- Pfiezer has stated: "An occupational exposure occurs when a person receives unplanned direct contact with a vaccine **test subject [i.e. any vaccinated person]**, which may or may not lead to the occurrence of an adverse event. These people may include health care providers, family members, and other people who are around the trial participant."
- **So vaccine study participants may become super spreaders of something that triggers secondary adverse events in people that never had the vaccine.**
- "The vaccine produces many trillions of particles of spike proteins in the recipient. Patients who are vaccinated can shed some of these (spike protein) particles to close contacts. The particles have the ability to create inflammation and disease in these contacts."
- "A person's body is being suddenly flooded with 13 trillion of these particles and the spike proteins bind *more* tightly than the fully intact virus. Because of the biomimicry (similarity) on the spike, shedding appears to be causing wide variety of autoimmune disease (where the body attacks its own tissue) in some persons. Worldwide cases of pericarditis, shingles, pneumonia, blood clots in the extremities and brain, Bell's Palsy, vaginal bleeding and miscarriages have been reported in persons who are near persons who have been vaccinated."

https://beforeitsnews.com/eu/2021/04/stay-away-from-the-vaxxed-it-is-official-from-pfizers-own-documents-3671454.html and https://www.americasfrontlinedoctors.org/action-alerts/identify

COVID Vaccines May Bring Avalanche of Neurological Disease (summary)

- The typical vaccine takes 12 years to develop, and of all the vaccines in development, only 2% are projected to make it through all Phase 2 and 3 clinical phases of testing.
- The COVID-19 vaccine was developed in less than one year, which makes it impossible to assess safety and efficacy.
- In the next 10 to 15 years, we are likely to see spikes in prion diseases, autoimmune diseases, neurodegenerative diseases at younger ages, and blood disorders such as blood clots, hemorrhaging, stroke and heart failure. **While sudden death is one apparent side effect, the vast majority of side effects won't be known until a decade or more from now.**
- There is the potential for vaccine to become part of your DNA, which will last forever and cause unforeseeable consequences.



https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2021/05/23/stephanie-seneff-covid-vaccine.aspx?ui=2d524b35e0b7268bee3a8ca065226486 6cccd9cd8a83e97e7fc65d886469a37&sd=20201029&cid_source=dnl&cid_medium=email&cid_content=art1ReadMore&cid=20210523_&mid=DM891901&rid=1265319898

Counting COVID Cases Changed

CDC Changes Rules for Counting Breakthrough Cases as More Fully Vaccinated People Test Positive

A breakthrough case is recorded if a person tests positive for COVID after being vaccinated. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will report **only** those breakthrough cases resulting in hospitalization or death. This will result in a lower overall number of breakthrough cases reported in the US, giving a false sense of vaccine safety.

The CDC has also lowered the testing cycle threshold (CT) to 28, but **only** for the fully vaccinated. Currently, a patient is considered positive for COVID if the CT is below 35 (the recommended value). This refers to the number of cycles needed to amplify viral RNA to be detected. Lowering the CT threshold to 28 for vaccinated people may lead to missing infectious persons, so breakthrough numbers may not be recorded.

https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/cdc-changes-rules-counting-breakthrough-cases/?utm_source=salsa&eType=EmailBlastContent&eId=4d1a5a92-4213-46f8-a5f5-c34b564d90cf

From Dr. Saeed Qureshi

We haven't seen the virus, we haven't isolated it, and we have no test for it.

No virus identified:
The virus has not been isolated. When we use the PCR test to try to identify it, we are not comparing it against anything. Without a virus identified, there is no reference material at hand, and so it is not a valid test. This disease identification is in no way scientific, and so we should not be prescribing solutions without proper knowledge of what we are dealing with.



http://www.drug-dissolution-testing.com/blogjfiles/science-of-medicines.pdf

From Dr. Saeed Qureshi (ctd)

Clinical Trials:
"There is no possibility of developing an appropriate and valid vaccine as neither a valid reference virus nor a valid test is available to monitor the efficacy of the vaccine."

There were no COVID patients available for clinical trials, and there is no isolated virus able to be used to induce COVID in a group of participants. The clinical trials performed were on healthy people, half inoculated with the vaccine and half without.

These trials were not performed scientifically. Fewer people showed symptoms and were PCR positive who had the vaccine compared to those who didn't. Yet we already know that the PCR test does not test for COVID. So numbers about the vaccine's "effectiveness" are unfounded.

http://www.drug-dissolution-testing.com/blogjfiles/science-of-medicines.pdf and http://www.drug-dissolution-testing.com/blogjfiles/UnderstandingHazoclinicalMastrials.pdf

Gaps in vaccine trial data

There are many gaps in the data regarding vaccine safety and efficacy. There are no data for

- Anyone younger than 18 or older than 55
- Pregnant or lactating mothers
- Auto-immune conditions
- Immuno-compromised individuals
- Transmission of COVID-19
- Preventing mortality from COVID-19
- Duration and protection from COVID-19



https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine?fbclid=IwAR06OBoCjil6xic1sZrROL5Uohax1FfNJy2259DNmAaaBncJciaoljGHxzc

Geert Vanden Bossche (leading scientist in vaccines and immunology)

Getting the vaccine destroys your innate immune system to fight coronaviruses
Our immune system contains **nonspecific** antibodies that can target any COVID variant.
Yet antibodies induced by the COVID vaccine are **specific**. They are long lived and will stay in our bodies permanently. These antibodies only respond to a specific virus, not variants. They dominate over our natural nonspecific antibodies and outcompete them. This is a big problem because **our natural immunity is suppressed permanently.**

So, getting the vaccine ensures we have no natural immunity and protection against variants and any coronavirus, which makes the pandemic MORE deadly.



https://rumble.com/vgw14h-you-dont-want-to-miss-this.html?mref=gwgt&mrefs=4 and https://3fbb31f5a-6e9b-4d6d-b3ea-5ec54a8ed9e0.filesusr.com/ugd/18df8e_2f6029aebb2344f6988c32adecg0d1dc.pdf

Case Reporting of Vaccinated and Non-vaccinated People



Watch this short video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pSRzy_kpnEo

A Video Summary of the COVID Vaccine



Watch this video: https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/what-we-know-may-never-know-about-covid-vaccines/

Conclusion

- I hope that the sources presented has given you the information to conclude that getting the COVID vaccine is NOT in your best interest. We can stay healthy and safe without experimental injections in our bodies.



More resources

- https://www.geertvandenbossche.org/
- https://exopolitics.blogs.com/files/canada-order-to-cease-desist---covid-vaccination-genetic-biowarfare.pdf
- https://nojabforme.info/
- https://urgentactnow.com/genocide/
- https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247(21)00069-0/fulltext?fbclid=IwAR1q3lgE3mT8I209qj6hPGp4MLjStensrgi-uSMKUj1AUcPIqIccF8oCxmY
- https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine?fbclid=IwAR06OBoCjil6xicJ1SzROL5Uohax1FfNJy2259DNmAaaBncJciaoljGHxzc
- https://vaccinedeaths.com/2021-05-03-extermination-machine-unmasked-vaccinated-people-are-making-healthy-people-sick.html

- Please see footnotes on each slide for links to specific information and graphs