Reality News Media Group LLC

The Reality News

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com January 2022 Vol. 13, No. 1

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner

Reality News
Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11



Dr. Malone calls for 'accountability' after 'most massive, globally-coordinated propaganda campaign in the history of the human race'

By WorldTribune Staff, January 4, 2022

With totalitarianism, populations are hypnotized into obedience. Matthias Desmet, a professor of clinical psychiatry, calls it "mass formation psychosis."

According to Desmet, a "mass formation" requires four conditions to take root:

- The masses must feel alone and isolated.
- Their lives must feel pointless and meaningless.
- The masses then must experience constant free-floating anxiety.
- They must experience free-floating frustration and aggression.

Since there is no discernible source for the anxiety or aggression, Desmet contends, the person begins to irrationally crave a remedy, no matter how absurd or destructive it may be.

These conditions were met with the Covid pandemic in 2020 and 2021. Following lockdowns, mask mandates, and the

Continued on page 17

Channeling Dan and Jane to confront Biden policies

What could be better than SNL for examining Biden Administration energy policies?



By Paul Driessen

As Covid lockdowns eased this past year, people all over the world went back to work and play. But while oil, natural gas, coal and electricity demand predictably shot up, President Biden canceled and hyper-regulated pipelines, leases and drilling permits. European leaders took similarly shortsighted actions.

Continued on page 7

9-year-old's Mom: FBI waited 15 months before arresting CNN producer

By WorldTribune Staff, December 28, 2021

The biological mother of a 9-year-old girl who was allegedly sexually abused by longtime CNN producer John Griffin said she has "lost hope in the system completely" after pointing out that the FBI waited over 15 months to arrest Griffin.

In an interview with Fox News, the mother said: "The fact that they knew this man,

Continued on page 8

Leading cardiologist warns: '16,000 kids injured by the vaccine'; Emerging 'public health threat'

By WorldTribune Staff, December 29, 2021



Peter McCullough

In a lecture delivered in Fresno, California on Dec. 22, Dr. Peter McCullough warned of life-threatening reactions in children receiving the COVID-19 vaccine, a preventative, he says, that holds zero benefit for the young.

"The CDC in June had 200 cases and everybody

Continued on page 19



Memo to the vaccinated: Do the right thing

Commentary for WorldTribune, December 26, 2021

[Cognitives dissonance, according to Wikipedia, is the perception of contradictory information. According to this theory, when two actions or ideas are not psychologically consistent with each other, people do all in their power to change them until they become consistent.]

Admit it. You screwed up. Your actions were perfectly justifiable in accord with the principles of self interest which govern life in the real world. But they were wrong.

You heeded the "authorities" who assured you that survival from Covid-19 was guaranteed by science in the form of vaccines provided by major pharmaceutical firms closely aligned with U.S. and world health organizations. You failed to follow the money and you did not connect the dots.

Your actions influenced billions worldwide who were looking to Americans for guidance in how to respond to this surreal pandemic.

Now you know. You should have had faith in God and science, not in bureaucrats and media bought and paid for by vested interests.

Your actions have compromised your own health and that of billions.

There is only one recourse. Say you are sorry. Loudly. Blame no one but yourselves for having faith in false gods rather than your own common sense and conscience.

The facts are available through the surviving Free Press in the United States of America. Read and act based on John 8:32: "You shall know the Truth and the Truth shall set you free." There is no other way to reduce the cognitive dissonance resulting from taking an unknown substance into your body with global implications.

A "Civil" Civil War, for Now



By William L. Kovacs

This Part IV of "Can the U.S. Unite, if not, How Does it Divide? discusses actions taken by states to resist federal diminishment of state sovereignty. For now, it's a "Civil" Civil War but if the federal government continues to trample on state sovereignty, the conflict could lose its civility.

In just eight months Biden issued 52 Executive Orders, 92 Proclamations, and 26 Presidential Memoranda. The federal government is literally swallowing whatever is left of state sovereignty. Many of the so-called "red" states are now fighting back. As of August 4, 2021, there were 13 multi-state lawsuits

Continued on page 11

Report: More than 150,000 in U.S. killed by Covid vaccines; H1N1 vaccine stopped after 32 deaths

By WorldTribune Staff, December 27, 2021

An independent study has confirmed the analysis by the Vaccine Safety Research Foundation that more than 150,000 Americans have died as a result of the Covid vaccines between February and August, the executive director of the foundation reported.

The Vaccine Safety Research Foundation's analysis of the Vaccine

Continued on page 20

EPA Auto 'Fuel Efficiency' Scam Overlooks EV Recharging Costs



By Larry Bell

Agencies of the Biden administration are pushing green gullibility and gushers of auto maker subsidy greed premised on mythical wind/solar capacities.

The purpose of this push is to foist electric vehicles (EVs) on legitimately reluctant free-market consumers and already

Continued on page 5

Steve's Say . . .
Refuse to Participate in Idiocy

By Steve Welcenbach

A chilling draft of conflict and fear undermined the warmth of Christmas in many homes this year, including mine. The continuing media-generated covid hysteria nullified many a Christmas dinner or family gift opening with arguments about proper quarantine times, vaccination status and "testing." And every part of this nonsense originated from the collection of lies put forth by the Deep State/New World Order plandemic minions, which set this whole thing in motion to usher in "The Great Reset."

There is not one component of this covid thing that is not a lie.

Most people with common sense felt the initial Wuhan virus origin tale of some bad wet market bat soup just sounded bat-shit crazy. They were right. They also suspected the Wuhan Institute of Virology to be the actual source of whatever started this "pandemic" which strangely followed the exact course outlined in the Gates simulation of October 2019 and the 2010 Rockefeller Foundation paper. Everything touted by Fauci and the MSM about its origin proved to be total lies. Fauci and the Wuhan Lab share the same straightjacket. We know they're attached at the hip. Fauci gave them alot of cash over the years, laundered of course.

But Steve. It's a real disease. People are dying all over.

Really? BY covid or WITH covid? And how do you even know any of them HAVE covid? Oh, that's right. The PCR test. The foundation upon which the entire plandemic rests.

You were duped. It's a fraud. In fact, the FDA and the CDC don't even hide it anymore.

Who says so? How about the inventor of the PCR test Kary Mullis (who mysteriously died in 2019):

"…with a PCR test you can find almost anything in anybody. It doesn't tell you that you're sick."

So all of the death and "case" numbers you've been fed by the media the past two years have no factual basis whatsoever. All BS.

And the quick home test? You know, the ones you buy for over $25 each at Walgreens? It's an antigen test. The FDA and CDC both admit that antigen tests detect protein residuals and therefore cannot be used to indicate a specific illness. So antigen tests tell you nothing.

Stew Peters repeatedly characterizes covid as a giant MARKETING PLOY. Take all of the most common symptoms of illnesses, lump them all together and state that if someone shows any one of these symptoms they have "covid." Used in conjunction with an extremely generous government payout scheme to hospitals and physicians for testings, cases handled, prescribing Remdesivir, ventilator use and deaths, and BAM! Instant pandemic. Everyone's on board, with the public primed to do whatever the politicos in power want them to do. Simple.

I wholeheartedly agree with Stew Peters. And all of the data in hand proves his hypothesis. No sample of the "covid" virus exists to this day. In fact, over two dozen court cases in 20 different countries sided with the defendant in covid rules violation cases because the prosecution could not produce a sample of the covid virus to the court.

What's really going on here?

Here's another tidbit to demonstrate how the MSM used faulty test data to fan hysteria:

What do you know. The flu just disappeared. Magic. Do you think maybe some of those positive covid test numbers might be flu cases? Same symptoms….

The Scamdemic, Plandemic, whatever you want to call it, inflicted huge damage on our world. Businesses destroyed, premature deaths, suicides, schools closed for in-person learning, people being confined, segregated and demonized. Our health compromised through mask mandates and shots know one even knows what's in them much less if they're safe and effective. The shots have proven to be neither. And yes, I'll say it, outright murder in hospitals.

This pandemic fraud rates as the greatest crime against humanity ever perpetrated. Frontman Fauci owns a huge portion of the responsibility but remember he's Bill Gates' mini-me. Gates, Jeffery Epstein and all their Deep State compatriots contrived this demonic scheme. All must be arrested immediately and brought to justice for crimes against humanity.

And all of the complicit people in healthcare and media implementing this fraud also must be arrested and punished appropriately. There are only so many times you can institute the Fauci covid death protocol as a physician before your bear personal responsibility for murder. I'd say no more that twice. Saving your job or keeping your medical license doesn't cut it.



We the People can stop this insanity right now. How? Quit getting tested. No tests no cases. No cases no pandemic. The longer people play along with this evil the greater the long-term damage done. Our children bear physical and psychological scars from fear mongering, mask wearing and unnecessary, ineffective and in many cases deadly shots.

Be proud to proclaim to the World: "I refuse to participate in idiocy!

REALITY NEWS

RealityNewsMedia.com

Steven Welcenbach *Owner/Editor*

414-491-3421

We'd like to hear from you.

Please e-mail article content to realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com

For advertising information call 414-491-3421

We reserve the right to edit or reject content.

Printed by a Local Company; 414-840-0299

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____

Mailing Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____

☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ ☐ DISCOVER ☐ Sec. Code _____

Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____

Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link: https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen…"



Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



United States Not Big Bad Plastics Polluter

By William Balgord, January 5, 2022

In a report just released, the National Academy of Sciences takes America to task as being "the country" most responsible for polluting its rivers and estuaries with plastic waste that eventually winds up floating on the surface of the oceans. But the basis for the suspect claim needs to be carefully examined.

Prior reports on the same issue estimate, on a country by country basis, the flux of packaging materials: plastic bottles, shopping bags, single-use eating and drinking utensils, and sundry other consumer items afloat semi-permanently on the ocean surface. Study outcomes vary among themselves and produce somewhat divergent results, but discrepancies are attributable to differing methodology and application of modeling instead of rigorous use of empirical sampling.

However, neither of these reputable studies supports the NAS in its effort to single out the United States as the primary source of the accumulating plastic debris in the oceans. That dubious distinction is reserved for several Asian nations. In today's China, India, the Philippines, and South Korea there is little emphasis on controlling the plastic waste escaping into watercourses that discharge into the sea. The leading plastics polluters are the above-named Asian nations, with the United States coming in a distant 8th in one study and much farther down the list in another. The U.S. contribution is assigned to less than 2% of the world's total.

The ultimate destination of plastic in the Pacific basin, mostly originating in Asia, is a slowly rotating "whirl-pool", a giant gyre of surface ocean water located north of the Hawaiian Islands that covers an area three times that of France. The islanders and their guests do not contribute significantly to the nouveau geographic feature said to be observable by satellite.

The other "garbage patch" is a similar feature located in the Atlantic Ocean south of Bermuda and extending southeast toward the Tropic of Cancer. It is commonly known as the shoreless "Sargasso Sea", at one time referred to by European explorers as the "Horse Latitudes." Even before the time of Columbus, Portuguese mariners recognized it as an area of water dangerously becalmed for lack of winds. It contained then, as now, vast beds of kelp, a prolific seaweed known as Sargassum.

In more recent times, plastic waste from the continents that define the Atlantic Basin has mixed in with the kelp and serves as a substrate for barnacles and other sessile marine creatures. Intergrown together they form a thick, impenetrable mat. The marine plastic load has increased with the growth of sundry plastic packaging materials that are light enough to float in seawater and be ferried over distance on prevailing ocean currents. The currents along the periphery direct flotsam into the gyre, viz., by the Gulf Stream along the western edge, the North Atlantic Current to the north, the Canary Current to the east, and the North Atlantic Equatorial Counter Current to the south.

In response, some municipalities along both U.S. East and West Coasts have enacted anti-plastic drinking straw ordinances, with a goal of reducing plastic flotsam in both oceans. But even if a total ban on plastic straws and other plastic eating utensils were to be imposed unilaterally on a national scale, the several international studies referred to above demonstrate the United States plays only a small part in overall plastics pollution of the oceans worldwide, compared with the named Asian nations, as well as several countries in Africa and South America, where waste management is still in its very early stage of development. A complete ban on the use of plastics in packaging, as impractical as it would be for the United States, would have a de minimis effect on the problem as popularly conceived.

An odd thing happened one day. I noticed a plastic bag, nearly submerged as it moved downstream in a Florida tidal creek. The bag would deviate a bit left, then right as it drifted along. I managed to catch the bag with a fishing rod, and contained in it was a very much alive bass that somehow had trapped itself within. I returned it to the water and disposed of the bag in a receptacle.

The experience called to mind reports of sea life becoming entangled in abandoned fishing gear left adrift. Both fish and birds become ensnarled in gear lost by fishermen at sea. Whales and sea turtles sometimes run afoul of abandoned netting, floats, hooks, and lines, and often to their distress.

This then raises a question: what represents the most detrimental forms of marine plastic waste? Is it the flotsam made up of plastic cups and drinking straws and similar items in the Sargasso Sea and the Pacific patch? Or is it the isolated, but potentially more hazardous solid objects and webbing remaining afloat for an indefinite duration that attract and may do harm to various birds and marine animals?

Clearly, a better place for the disposal of plastic packaging waste is a properly managed landfill. Even better would be to recover the waste concentrated with other combustible wastes to use as a fuel supplement for generating electricity. An even better alternative would be to separate the waste for purposeful recycling into material suitable for consumer goods made from recovered plastic. Some popular brands of designer clothing use recycled fishing line that is re-melted, spun into yarn, and used as fleece for ski wear.

Opponents to the use of plastic materials in packaging like to suggest substituting alternate materials such as biodegradable paper (plant fiber) to manufacture drinking straws. Paper straws preceded the plastic variety by several generations but are often regarded by users as less esthetic. Paper straws tend to become soggy and non-serviceable even before the beverage in hand is fully consumed.

The conundrum of what to do with the plastic that finds its way offshore is vexing because an effective solution lies beyond the scope of our domestic shores and within the governance of foreign nations.

As a society, we are too quickly persuaded to follow one imprudent course of action or another by what later turns out to be only a half-truth or even an outright falsehood. Mark Twain is said to have once referred to this very human tendency:

"It's easier to fool people than to convince them that they've been fooled."

China Surges Ahead While the West Self-Destructs

By Duggan Flanakin, January 7, 2022

As China builds more and more coal-fired power plants, consolidates its stranglehold on rare-earth metals vital for 21st-century economies, and extends its influence into America's backyard, "woke" Westerners are marching like lemmings toward the energy poverty cliff.

CNBC reports that climate activists and campaign groups are demanding an immediate end to the burning of fossil fuels. The far-out have realized they were "betrayed" at the COP26 confab in Glasgow by India (but of course not by China) and by African nations unwilling to accept eternal energy poverty to "save the planet."

Adrian College emeritus professor of political science Paul deLespinasse's latest bright idea is to demand an end to all travel by air, simply because it is a bit tricky to plug in an airplane to the electric grid. And because airplanes cannot carry the heavy batteries (or radiation shielding) needed to move large numbers of passengers or freight long distances.

"Homes," he smirks, "can be heated and cooled with heat pumps. Many railroads are already electrified. Cars are beginning to transition to electricity. Ships can run on small atomic reactors, used by naval submarines for two-thirds of a century. But airplanes will be a problem," he warns.

While deLespinasse recognizes that an abrupt end to air travel would be damaging to trade and thus the world economy, he is adamant about banning the development of "fuel-extravagant supersonic airliners." Better to impose a huge carbon tax that eventually makes air travel too expensive.

Ending long-distance air travel, he boasts, "would have major upsides. It would greatly slow down the spread of infectious diseases from one country to another. And it would require eliminating military aircraft, and missiles," rendering all countries more secure from surprise

Continued on page 7



The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

Who is the Enemy????

Everything below is a form of scientific observation of our world and human influence. I don't know if you have thought of or perceived the war raging in the courts between the Environmentalists (E's) and the REALITY of life on earth. To use an old expression the E's arguments are consistently about the 'trees, not the forest,' tunnel vision.

The recent California fires and ongoing pipeline construction court stances clicked in my mind. Two things. First, anything man does is 'harmful to the environment' is the de rigeur wording constantly presented by the E's in court. Second, the words Territorial Imperative also clicked.

Now, there's a bit of confusion in my mind as I absolutely remember Territorial Imperative and its' meaning distinctly. I swear I was read a book of that title when I was about age 10 (Medieval times LOL) in the 1950s and I browsed the title but it was a 1966 publication. Link below. In either event my memory and Ardrey's hypothesis are in parallel. All flora, fauna and the human species have the innate drive to procreate their species. Both land and oceans have resources for sustaining life that is ever changing and therefore all life is constantly searching for the most fertile territory to sustain life. It is an imperative for existence. Ardrey link:

Flora:

All species are invasive and attack other parts of the environment to survive and so the environment is in a constant state of flux. Here's an example of the system: link. Within flora seed dispersion is random, limited in spatial distribution and so within especially forests, the living space is often choked for Lebensraum and then the arboreal Phoenix starts all anew as below.

Although often harmful and destructive to humans, naturally occurring wildfires play an integral role in nature. They return nutrients to the soil by burning dead or decaying matter. They also act as a disinfectant, removing disease-ridden plants and harmful insects from a forest ecosystem.

Fauna works both defensively and offensively. Defense below:

If food is limited, the animal may defend a territory to guard its food supply. With other species, such as cassowaries, the males fight over a territory in which to nest and rear their young. Territorial animals know exactly where the boundaries of their own territory lie.

So, time, energy, & risk of injury are the 'costs' of territory defense. The improved access to resources (e.g., food, nest sites, or roost sites) represents the 'benefit(s)' of territory defense. Birds should only defend territories if the benefits of defense outweigh the costs.

Offense:



Before/after of Syncrude's Mildred Lake oil sands project.

Opposed to flora territorial imperative within fauna gets a bit bloody, literally, as many fauna species are carnivores as are humans. One carnivore, a flesh eater, is a minor, repeat minor avian species called the Spotted Owl, indigenous to the U.S. Northwest. A 1990's court ruling cost thousands of human livelihoods from logging within the Owl's habitat. Another species, the Barred Owl has encroached territorially and kill Spotted Owls. Ironically, some of the environmentalists in their wisdom proposed killing the Barred Owls, as they were termed, 'The Big Bullies on the Block.' The Ravens, Great Horned Owls and Red Tailed Hawks, their main predators are ignored in the E's tunnel vision.

Man: The destroyer and healer:

J. Robert

Continued on page 12

CLINTEL NEWSLETTER - Friday, December 31, 2021

CLINTEL Annual Review – 2021: Accomplished a lot 2022: much more to do

Dear readers of the CLINTEL newsletter, friends, donators,

In the following, we - Marcel and Guus - look back at 2021 and we reveal our plans for the year 2022.

Historical Reminder

It is often very helpful to look at current developments from a historical point of view. In 1973, the first oil crisis caused fears that prosperity (and social gains) of the Western world come under serious pressure. Olaf Palme, the Swedish prime minister at that time, was a strong supporter of nuclear energy and wanted to build at least 24 nuclear power plants by 1990. Bear in mind, at that time there was no climate problem and the anthropogenic CO2 threat did not exist, let alone an energy debate on this issue. But here is the Houdini act: Human CO2 was dragged-in to create support for the construction of nuclear power plants! This wicked political argument was invented by scientist Bert Bolin, professor of meteorology and sports friend of Palme. He wrote to Olaf Palme (read carefully):



"Because the vast majority of people do not understand anything about physics, thermodynamics, meteorology and climate (and because the vast majority of politicians understand even less about it) – that the effect of carbon dioxide CO2 on climate events, if any, is extremely small and marginal – we are developing from this a possible global warming threatening to people and nature if nothing is done about it."

Not a surprise that the task of the IPCC was to focus on the role of human CO2 on global warming. Also, not a surprise that professor Bert Bolin became the founder and first chairman of IPCC.

History also shows how Olaf Palme and Bert Bolin managed to get the whole world involved in their deceitful narrative (of course they did not expect that the result would be paving the world with supply-driven wind mills and solar panels instead of a relatively small number of demand-driven nuclear power plants). Neither the Club of Rome nor the World Economic Forum were initially concerned about ongoing global warming. Their concerns were about the ecological footprint of the increasing population and the shortage of food and raw materials. Their leitmotif was: good stewardship of our planet and good stakeholdership in business. An ideological vision that deserves our full attention without dragging-in anthropogenic global warming. But they saw bread in the CO2 craze and so the film "An inconvenient truth" became their exit sign. Apart from the fact that Al Gore became filthy rich with it, does anyone imagine what the Earth would look like today if any of his doom predictions had come true?

The above appalling facts (with thanks to Pjotrs Newsletter of December 28, 2021) remind us that prestigious scientific organizations such as academies of sciences and universities, were bribed at a global scale to spread the AGW theory. Their models do not seek for the truth, but they are fabricated to legitimate political lies. It also reminds us that we are fighting against heads of government and extravagant rich elites who know that the CO2-alarmism is wrong, but that it will help them to increase their power. In CLINTEL, therefore, apart from being good scientists, we should be good historians as well. Let us fight them with good science, but let us also fight them with their own deceitful words.

Finally, it is important to mention that China, India and Russia refused to go along with the NetZero plans of COP26. They contain almost half of the world's population and a lot of great scientists. How is it possible that alarmists still spread the message that 97% of the scientists support the AGW theory?

‘Fuel Efficiency’ Scam

continued from page 1

overburdened power grids.

EPA’s latest de facto EV mandate proposes to require the current 3% of those magical "free energy" plug-ins to make up 17% of the market by 2026.

This is to be accomplished by increasing average fleet-wide vehicle production to 55 miles per gallon by that time; that's up from 43 mpg agreed by the Trump administration, and 40 mpg during the previous 2021 model year.

Any claims of environmental or economic benefits, much less practical consumer EV advantages, are electrifyingly unplugged from practical realities.

For starters, EPA’s fuel efficiency regulatory overreach is premised on a mythical notion that such "net-zero" greenhouse emission goals will somehow end billions of years of climate change.

This global salvation is somehow to be accomplished by switching over from the 85% of reliable world energy that comes from fossil sources by increasing from the 3% of seasonal and weather dependent wind and solar systems we buy from China (which meanwhile is building the equivalent of one new coal-fired plant weekly).

Then also factor in an estimated $300 billion needed for new power lines over the next decade to carry that "green" wind and solar energy over long distances, along with associated environmental impacts and local resident objections regarding government eminent domain abuses and negative effects on property values.

Adding economic farce to environmental fantasy, the new EPA rules claim to save U.S. drivers between $210 billion and $420 billion in fuel costs through 2050 based on "government estimates of future fuel prices," purportedly saving about $1,000 over the lifetime of their vehicle from model year 2026 despite higher vehicle prices.

For now, most auto manufacturers are losing about $10,000 to $12,000 more on average for EVs over gas-powered cars, which they compensate for by charging more for the internal combustion trucks and SUVs that the vast majority of Americans still prefer.

According to the Kelley Blue Book, the present average EV cost of $51,532 is more than $11,000 higher than a full-sized gas-powered car, and nearly $30,000 more than the average compact car.

The National Bureau of Economic Research estimates that EV drivers not only pay more, but also put about half as many miles on their cars as the average driver.

The research suggests EVs’ limited range along with prolonged and limited recharging options have resulted in their use as secondary, not primary household vehicles.

Global demand for essential rare earth battery minerals is also driving up EV production costs, with nickel which is a primary component of lithium-ion cathodes having nearly doubled over the past five years from $10,336 per metric ton in Aug. 2016 to $19,141 in Aug. 2021.

Incidentally, China controls 85% of the global rare earth supply needed for those EV batteries.

And it’s not as if America doesn’t have rare earths of our own ... we have plenty.

The problem is that environmental activists don't want us to mine them, and the Biden administration is considering a 20-year delay of new rare earth mining operations in northern Minnesota.

Meanwhile, let's also regulate those climate ravaging hydrocarbons out of existence.

Like, for example, President Biden capping off the Keystone XL pipeline from Canada and drilling permits in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR), while simultaneously giving President Vladimir Putin a pass to complete Russia's Nord Stream 2 pipeline under the Baltic to sell natural gas to Europe that we could have provided…then begging OPEC to pump more oil to reduce politically painful gasoline prices.

Those rosy EPA economic cost-benefit estimates also tend to overlook the fundamental reality that most of the energy needed to recharge those EVs at night and when the wind isn’t blowing will come from already skyrocketing global coal and natural gas power plant sources with no thanks to the Biden administration’s war on abundant fossil fuels.

Expect this grim fuel shortage and price escalation to manifest especially chilling consequences of an expected 30% spike in home heating costs this winter.

Nevertheless, according to EPA Administrator Michael Regan, a transformational EV economic benefit marvel is achievable because auto makers are making "public commitments to build these vehicles in the future," and the new "standards have been calibrated to align with and support those investments."

Those vaunted vehicle manufacturing investments come with big added taxpayer price tags.

According to a joint statement issued by BMW, Ford, Honda, Volkswagen, and Volvo, "We support the Administration’s goal of reaching an electric vehicle future."

Then the clincher: "[But] bold action from our partners in the federal government is crucial to build consumer demand for electric vehicles."

Ford, GM and Stellantis (the former Fiat Chrysler), further clarified that their compliance will need a "full suite" of EV subsidies, including "purchase incentives, a comprehensive charging network of sufficient density to support the millions of vehicles these targets represent, investments in R&D, and incentives to expand the electric vehicle manufacturing and supply chains in the United States."

The Alliance for Automotive Innovation, the lobbying group for automakers and suppliers, agreed, arguing that it would be difficult to achieve the higher fuel standards without federal support to help the industry transition to electric vehicles.

Meanwhile, as traditional auto makers struggle to comply with the EPA rules, Tesla pocketed $1.58 billion in 2020 selling them surplus regulatory credits… more than its net $862 million vehicle sales income.

Those manufacturers will face an even more daunting compliance circumstances once they hit a 200,000-vehicle per company cap on a present $7,500 consumer EV tax credit.

In summary, there’s nothing fundamentally wrong with EV plug-ins if you can afford them, if they fit your relatively limited range driving needs, and if you either don’t rely on them as your only vehicle or imagine you can count on a reliable, weather independent, recharging sources.

But don’t be deluded to possibly imagine that buying one will in any way benefit either the planet or your household budget account.

Make no mistake that forcing taxpayers to subsidize such purchases — plus force auto companies to pass along costs for EVs they sell at a loss in higher prices for the petroleum fueled version we may prefer — is merely a costly shell game for most of us who wind up paying more.

Instead, it’s time to un-plug from "government knows best" hype, and put consumers, rather than clueless option-limiting bureaucrats, back in our nation’s free market driver seats.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture and the graduate space architecture program. His latest of 11 books, "Beyond Flagpoles and Footprints: Pioneering the Space Frontier" co-authored with Buzz Aldrin (2021), is available on Amazon along with all others.

Lasee Comments on US coal power

By Frank Lasee

Frank’s comments: Wind and solar produce little or no power 70% of the time. The rest of the time nuclear, natural gas, coal and some hydro produce energy. The vast majority of the time it is are these sources that provide the bulk of our energy.

Nuclear and coal are the only sources that can stockpile power inputs for days, weeks or months. Natural gas is difficult and costly to store significant amounts on site. It is important for electricity reliability and security to keep coal plants available. Europe and the United States are now using more coal than they have in the last 5 years because of energy shortages.

It is bad policy to allow all our coal plants to close and be dismantled.

Chart: US coal power generation rose in 2021, bucking a 6-year trend

Spiking natural-gas prices are the chief culprit.

Canary Staff, 31 December 2021

There’s no doubt that the U.S. coal industry is in trouble. Between dwindling demand, leery investors, increasingly unfavorable economics, aggressive phaseout schedules and mounting pressure from climate activists, it would seem that the sector’s days are numbered.

But the weird year that was 2021 left us with one last unwelcome parting gift: a big lump of coal in our stocking.

Although the final numbers haven’t yet been tallied, the U.S. Energy Information Administration projects that the U.S. will have seen 22% more coal-fired generation in 2021 than in 2020, the first increase since 2014. The agency attributes this jaw-dropping reversal to a record surge in natural-gas prices, which more than doubled compared to last year. This prompted many utilities to revert to using coal as a fuel source, the price for which has remained relatively stable.

Frank’s comments: What will natural gas cost if/when all the western coal plants are closed? Like now, the price will go up and up. Causing more inflation.

Meanwhile the non-western world is building more than 500 coal plants. Coal plants last 50-75 years. As we use less coal, they are using more. Communist China is far more of a danger to the US and our people than a gently warming world.

Earth has been warming since the end of the Little Ice Age (preindustrial times) about 1850. Carbon dioxide has only been a climate factor since about 1960. There is far more relationship between worldwide crop harvests (up 33% in the last 40 years) than temperatures. US temperature according to NOAA is up only 1.6°F in the last 100 years. This is quite mild.

Australia’s Giant Green Gamble on Solar Energy Toys

By Viv Forbes

By the time solar energy reaches Earth’s surface it is spread very thin – even midday sunshine will not boil the billy or make toast. And solar collectors will only convert about 20% of that weak energy into electricity. Thus thousands of solar panels are needed to collect significant energy, and lots more to charge the expensive batteries needed to maintain electricity supply overnight and during cloudy weather. Despite these disadvantages, force-feeding of “green” energy by all levels of government has given Australia nearly three million solar collectors (mainly imported from China).

It requires scads of land to generate significant electricity from the sun’s weak rays. But even in sunny weather they produce nothing for 16 hours every day. And a sprinkling of dust, pollen, ash or salt, or a few splatters of poop from birds or flying foxes can reduce output by 50%, while night, snow or heavy cloud cover snuffs them out completely.

Solar energy collection is maximised if the panels face the sun exactly and follow the daily and seasonal movements of the sun across the sky. No rooftop collectors and only 40% of ground facilities can do this. Thus to produce the planned energy requires an even bigger area of collector panels, covering even more land.

More interested in propaganda than science, greens call land-based arrays “solar farms” suggesting they are plant-friendly places. However solar panels steal sunlight, leaving real plants beneath them to die. Solar “farms” have nothing in common with real farms except the need for large areas of open countryside – usually consuming valuable flattish cleared farmland or open grassland.

In fact growing plants are a liability to solar “farms” because they can block solar energy, so the operators must prevent grass, weeds and bushes from shadowing the panels and stealing their sunshine. Thus most plant-life in solar “farms” is killed – either by the blocking of the sun, or by regular applications of herbicide, or by roadways.

A big solar “farm” in Australia could contain one million solar panels and smother 2,000 acres of land. Each operation also needs miles of cleared access roads and transmission lines to maintain the facility, collect the electricity and transmit it to urban demand centres. Most of the time these transmission lines are operating well below capacity, creating an expensive web of inefficient maintenance liabilities.

Australia is also a world leader in installing subsidised rooftop solar. But a quick drive around the suburbs will show that few panels have the size, the ideal orientation or the cleanliness to be efficient collectors of solar energy – they are green status symbols designed to collect subsidies. Many will fail to recover the real cost of manufacture, transport, installation and restoration. They destabilise the electricity network and elevate average electricity prices for industry and for those who cannot afford a house, let alone one with its own solar panels.

All for ZERO climate benefits.

Intermittent “green” energy forces coal and gas plants to operate at full capacity to cover peak demands around sunrise and sunset, but to wind back or shut down when solar energy pours into the system around midday. Recently in just one week in South Australia (Australia’s green energy guinea pig), electricity generation went from “over 130% renewables to less than 4%, renewables with everything in between”. Despite South Australia being home to “the

Continued on page 7

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was January 8, 2022

Quote of the Week:
"If you want to understand something well...explain it simply."
–Richard Feyman

****

Number of the Week:

Number 1

****

THIS WEEK:
By Ken Haapala, President Science & Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

Scope: Censorship by social media is a problem for those who do not conform to the views the social media censors consider generally accepted. Roy Spencer reports that Google has censored his web site for "unreliable and harmful claims." Apparently, the "unreliable and harmful claims" are his reports on the most trustworthy and comprehensive dataset of global temperatures ever compiled. So much for the credibility of Google and its owners.

Three major issues of what we learned or reinforced this year will be covered. They are atmospheric temperatures, a different approach for understanding the greenhouse effect, and the false statistic methods attributing extreme weather events to human-caused climate change. The first two are ignored by the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and its followers; the last one is used by the IPCC to justify their extreme claims of climate change. One example is the Biden Administration and members of Congress asserting a climate crisis to justify expansion of executive power over the economy when there is no crisis. Climate has been changing for hundreds of millions of years and there is nothing unusual about the current warming.

Two different views of upcoming litigation on climate issues are presented. William Allison of Energy in Depth writes that such litigation will continue to fail. In an article in an American Bar Association (ABA) publication Benjamin Franta asserts that litigation will grow and become more successful.

Censorship: On his blog, Roy Spencer writes:

"DrRoySpencer.com has been demonetized by Google for "unreliable and harmful claims". This means I can no longer generate revenue to support the website using the Google Adsense program.

"From a monetary standpoint, it's not a big deal because what I make off of Google ads is in the noise level of my family's monthly budget. It barely made more than I pay in hosting fees and an (increasingly expensive) comment spam screener.

"I've been getting Google warnings for a couple months now about "policy violations", but nowhere was it listed what pages were in violation, and what those violations were. There are Adsense rules about ad placement on the page (e.g., a drop-down menu cannot overlay an ad), so I was assuming it was something like that, but I had no idea where to start looking with hundreds of web pages to sift through. It wasn't until the ads were demonetized that Google offered links to the pages in question and what the reason was.

"Of course, I should have figured out it was related to Google's new policy about misleading content; a few months ago, Google announced they would be demonetizing climate skeptic websites. I was kind of hoping my content was mainstream enough to avoid being banned since:

1. I believe the climate system has warmed
2. I believe most of this warming is probably due to greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuel burning

"Many of you know that I defend much of mainstream climate science, including climate modeling as an enterprise. Where I depart of the 'mainstream' is how much warming has occurred, how much future warming can be expected, and what should be done about it from an energy policy perspective.

"From the information provided by Google about my violations, in terms of the number of ads served, by far the most frequented web pages here at drroyspencer.com with "unreliable and harmful claims" are our (UAH) monthly global temperature update pages. This is obviously because some activists employed by Google (who are probably weren't even born when John Christy and I received both NASA and American Meteorological Society awards for our work) don't like the answer our 43-year long satellite dataset gives. Never mind that our dataset remains one of the central global temperature datasets used by mainstream climate researchers in their work.

"For now, I don't plan on appealing the decision, because it's not worth the aggravation. If you are considered a "climate skeptic" (whatever that means) Google has already said you are targeted for termination from their Adsense program. I can't expect their liberal arts-educated 'fact checkers' to understand the nuances of the global warming debate."

Whether or not the owners of Google recognize what their employees are doing is immaterial. They have hired people who do not understand the scientific method and the importance of exposing error with physical evidence and correcting it. Instead, apparently Google seeks conformity to the current politically driven fad rather than examining physical evidence.

Google is owned by a parent company, Alphabet, which is controlled by the founders of Google/Alphabet, Larry Page and Sergey Brin. It is the most used search engine worldwide. According to the link below, Google is "one of the four big technology companies among the United States technology firms: these four firms are 'Amazon,' 'Apple,' 'Microsoft,' and of course 'Google.'"

In the late 1800s a burst of technology in industry gave rise to several industrialists who dominated and standardized various products such as Rockefeller in kerosene then gasoline and Carnegie in steel. Many of these "titans of industry" became known as "robber barons." Merriam-Webster defines robber baron as:

"1: an American capitalist of the latter part of the 19th century who became wealthy through exploitation (as of natural resources, governmental influence, or low wage scales)

"2: a business owner or executive who acquires wealth through ethically questionable tactics"

Whether these new titans of technology are robber barons is a matter of opinion. Certainly, they are using ethically questionable tactics to enforce conformity to their views. Steve Milloy and Francis Menton give other examples.

Three Issues Reinforced: Roy Spencer reports the UAH Global Temperature for December 2021 and states:

"The annual average anomaly for 2021 was +0.134 deg. C above the 30-year mean (1991-2020), which places it as the 8th warmest year in the 43-year satellite record, behind 2016, 2020, 1998, 2019, 2017,2010, and 2015."

"The linear warming trend since January 1979, remains at +0.14 C/decade (+0.12 C/decade over the global-averaged oceans, and +0.18 C/decade over global-averaged land)."

Spencer believes that the primary cause for the modest increase in temperatures is increasing carbon dioxide. TWTW is not so sure. Certainly, the atmospheric temperature trend is far below that calculated by the IPCC and its followers, who have manipulated surface temperature data and do not eliminate increasing temperature due to urbanization, which is occurring in many parts of the world. Also, note that the increase over the oceans is considerably less than that over land. By ignoring these 43 years of data, the IPCC and its followers demonstrate they have abandoned any pretext of following the scientific method and any effort to truly identify the greenhouse effect, which occurs in the atmosphere.

This year, William Happer gave several presentations about the findings that he and William van Wijngaarden made using the HITRAN database to determine the thermal radiation of the globe's five most abundant greenhouse gases, water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, nitrous oxide and methane. These calculations apply to a cloud-free atmosphere, where the greenhouse effect of these gases is most dominant. They show there is no cause for climate alarm and no climate crisis from increasing carbon dioxide. Perhaps the most understandable presentation is the one on November 16 at CLINTEL. The transcript is not available, but Ron Clutz gives the presentation along with the transcript from a February presentation by Happer.

As discussed last week, and in previous TWTWs, Ross McKitrick shows that a 1999 paper by Allen and Tatt (AT99) misstated the conditions in the Gauss-Markov theorem yielding unbiased and efficient estimators. From the abstract published in the same journal as AT99:

"Their methodology has been widely used and highly influential ever since, in part because subsequent authors have relied upon their claim that their GLS [Generalized Least Squares] model satisfies the conditions of the Gauss-Markov (GM) Theorem, thereby yielding unbiased and efficient estimators. But AT99 stated the GM Theorem incorrectly, omitting a critical condition altogether, their GLS method cannot satisfy the GM conditions, and their variance estimator is inconsistent by construction. Additionally, they did not formally state the null hypothesis of the RCT [Randomized Controlled Trial] nor identify which of the GM conditions it tests, nor did they prove its distribution and critical values, rendering it uninformative as a specification test."

Very simply, the probability estimates in papers relying on AT99 are meaningless. They should not be accepted as evidence of human-caused global warming. Next week, additional issues in climate science will be addressed.

Number of the Week: Number 1. According to Bloomberg energy tracking, US LNG exports have exceeded exports from Australia and Qatar, making the US the largest exporter of liquified natural gas in the world.

"A shale gas revolution, coupled with billions of dollars of investments in liquefaction facilities, transformed the U.S. from a net LNG importer to a top exporter in less than a decade. Gas production has surged by roughly 70% from 2010 and the nation is expected to have the world's largest export capacity by the end of 2022 once Venture Global LNG's Calcasieu Pass terminal comes online."

The US may be exceeded soon, with major expansions planned by Qatar.

"U.S. LNG export terminals sent out a record 1,043 cargoes in 2021, with Asian nations making up nearly half of the destinations and Europe making up one-third, ship tracking data compiled by Bloomberg shows."

The shale revolution has made a tremendous change in this industry, critical for national defense. No longer is Washington declaring the US is about to run out of oil and natural gas as it did during the Carter Administration, some 40 years ago. Now, the Biden Administration is trying the suppress the industry using the false claim of a climate crisis. No wonder OPEC+ (OPEC plus Russia) did not respond to Biden's call for expanding their production. They cannot believe him. Writing in Oil Price.com, Irina Slav quotes Alexander Novak, "Russia's Deputy PM and top OPEC+ negotiator:"

"'For some reason,' the official said, 'The U.S. is not asking its own shale oil companies to boost production, which has fallen considerably over the last two years. On the contrary, they are deliberately reducing their production. I believe there is a certain contradiction in these actions.'"

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

confront Biden policies

continued from page 1

Inadequate global supplies chased rising global demand, and prices predictably skyrocketed.

Regular gasoline that averaged $2.17 per gallon in 2020 hit $3.49 in November 2021, costing American motorists $17 more to fill their tanks.

Biden Energy Secretary Jennifer Granholm laughed it off, saying, "If you drove an electric car, this wouldn't be affecting you." Transportation Secretary Pete Buttigieg echoed her flippant comment; so did White House Press Secretary Jen Psaki.

President Biden begged Russia and OPEC to produce more oil, siphoned oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve, and told the Federal Trade Commission to investigate price gouging by American oil companies. Meanwhile, his administration and their environmentalist allies continue pressuring banks and financial institutions to deny loans to oil and gas companies and refuse to invest in them.

Less charitable folks among us might wonder if the Marx Brothers or Bevis and Buttheadgeek are now setting energy policy. Others might channel Dan Aykroyd and Jane Curtin, and their raucous Saturday Night Live skits. A current events version might go something like this.

Den Astroyd: Hello, I'm Den Astroyd, host of Weekend Update. Despite recent setbacks, President Biden remains committed to "Building Back Better" and "transforming" America away from oil, gas and coal. During tonight's Point-Counterpoint, Jan Granski will take the pro-transformation viewpoint, and I'll take the anti-transformation counterpoint.

Jan Granski: Den, only a complete climate crisis denier and fossilized idiot like you could possibly oppose replacing dirty fossil fuels with clean renewable energy. If we don't, Earth will fry, icecaps will melt, coastal cities will be inundated by rising seas and destroyed by hurricanes, and precious wildlife will be driven to extinction. But when haven't you ignored reality? You're a capitalist pig who lives to line the pockets of Big Oil. You think every American should have a big house and fly or drive wherever and whenever they want. You don't give a damn about preserving a livable climate and planet, you jerk.

Den Astroyd: Jan, you ignorant slut. Your climate crisis exists only in worthless compute models and your fevered imagination. Your pals' real objective is amassing more power, while they make commoners freeze jobless and hungry in the dark. Saving the planet? What a joke. Under your asinine policies, China will eat our kungpao lunch, while it spews out more greenhouse gases every year and turns our planet into a massive open pit mine and toxic waste dump – to extract raw materials needed to blanket our country with bird-killing wind turbines and habitat smothering solar panels. And you'll just keep hopping into bed with any Climate Industrialist who'll pay you twenty bucks to shill for their greenbacks energy scams.

I miss SNL's old spunk and mojo. But you only have to look at the actual evidence to realize Mann-made climate cataclysms exist only in junk-science computer models that rely on the bogus assertion that plant-fertilizing carbon dioxide has replaced the complex, powerful, natural forces that have always governed Earth's climate and weather.

The slight rise in measured average planetary temperatures is half of what models predicted (chart, p. 5). The discrepancy gets worse every year, and to blame the rise on humans is pure junk science. Moreover, increasing CO2 is greening our planet, helping forests, grasslands and crops grow faster, better and with less water. Indeed, the worst possible outcome is a colder climate with less atmospheric CO2. That would shrink arable land, shorten growing seasons, reduce plant growth, and starve humans and animals.

As horrific as recent (and all) tornadoes are, 40% fewer strong to violent tornadoes (F3-F5) hammered the United States the past 35 years than the three decades before that (1954-1986). And the history of hurricanes making US landfall since 1850 shows no consistency, pattern or upward trend. In fact, the only reason climate alarmists can claim an increase in Category 3-5 hurricanes in recent years is that Harvey, Irma and a few others came after a record-setting 12-year absence of powerful hurricanes hitting the USA (October 2005 to August 2017).

As to buying an electric vehicle to avoid more pain at the pump, that's a lot easier for jet-setting, limo-riding elites than it is for Average Joe families. The sticker shock of $50,000-100,000 EVs is just the beginning. If you want a fast charge when you get home, you'll have to upgrade your neighborhood and home electrical systems to 220 volts – and hope AOC's utopian wind and solar power cooperates.

Europe's wind turbines performed at barely 14% of their "rated" or "nameplate" capacity during the fourth quarter of 2021. That's barely one day per week; four days a month. It means you'll also need expensive PowerWalls, to ensure backup electricity during extended blackouts when the wind doesn't blow and the sun doesn't shine.

Pray, too, that you don't get stuck in a blizzard. Even if you turn off your heater, the cold will quickly kill your EV's battery. Don't get caught in a traffic jam trying to escape the next fiery conflagration roaring through a mismanaged California forest, either. And be careful where you park your EV, as those half-ton battery modules have a nasty habit of bursting into flames that cannot be extinguished easily.

If you still insist on buying an EV, please think about the poor kids and parents slaving away for a couple dollars a day in cobalt and lithium mines. Pay Fair Trade prices, so that they can get living wages.

Any Net Zero economy means a lot of turbines, panels and batteries – and a lot of mines, processing plants and factories to extract the raw materials and manufacture the non-renewable equipment.

Dr. Roger Pielke, Jr. calculates that replacing all US fossil fuel use (today's electricity generation, home heating and cooking, factory power, and vehicle fuel) with "green" electricity would require over five million 2.5-megawatt, 650-foot-tall wind turbines, sprawling across two-thirds of the continental USA – or solar panels blanketing 40% of that land mass – plus thousands of miles of new transmission lines.

Give some thought too to what we're going to do with all those turbine blades, solar panels, battery modules and other "renewable" energy equipment when they've reached the end of their productive lives – often in just 10 or 20 years. We're going to need some massive landfills. Perhaps the Grand Canyon?

"Clean, renewable, sustainable" energy, my eye.

Keep one other thing in mind. Democrats, environmentalists, bureaucrats and judges despise mining, processing and most manufacturing. Very little will happen in the United States – and it can't be done without fossil fuels. It will happen overseas, under minimal environmental and safety safeguards.

That means China, India and other countries will continue burning fossil fuels for all these operations, and to lift more people out of abject poverty. That means it's pointless for the USA, Britain, Canada, Australia and Europe to "decarbonize" their economies – and send their economies down the toilet – while all these other countries keep growing, mining, manufacturing and emitting greenhouse gases.

A final reality: Africans, Asians and Latin Americans will no longer tolerate living in huts and slurping gruel – under the eco-imperialist, carbon-colonialist, energy-Apartheid rules that rich-country environmentalists have been imposing on them.

In fact, Americans and Europeans won't tolerate Net Zero de-carbonization and de-industrialization much longer, either. Especially to "save our planet" from a nonexistent manmade climate crisis.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

China Surges Ahead

cont. from page 3

attacks and saving immense amounts of taxpayer money." [Laugh track added.]

One upside unmentioned is that no longer would billionaires and politicians be able to fly to climate conferences in private jets. Instead, they would have to rely on ocean liners and high-speed trains (including a new route that crosses the Bering Sea). Time may as well standstill.

Anyone who might think the good professor is a bit off base must have forgotten the "green new deal" proposed by Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez nearly three years ago. The Boston University-educated "economist" included making air travel obsolete in that historic proposal, which also called for an immediate end to fossil fuels. [One wonders: Did AOC and friends actually fly to Miami for their Florida vacation, or did they drive in a Tesla?]

deLespinasse, however, is clearly not "woke" enough for some Western activists. He had the gall to suggest that nuclear is a "green" fuel! As The Hill reports, wiser heads, like Tim Judson and Linda Pentz-Gunter, know better.

Judson, executive director of the Nuclear [dis]Information and Resource Service, and Pentz-Gunter, the international specialist at Beyond Nuclear, assert that the U.S., "in its pursuit of carbon reductions, must not [emphasis added] allow itself to be misled by the false promises of nuclear power, both its continued use and illusory new programs. Either would be a mistake."

They argue that nuclear power, which generates zero carbon emissions, "could make climate change worse," the headline screams. Their diatribe against nuclear speaks of exorbitant subsidies, cost overruns, and design flaws [none of whichever plagued wind and solar].

But – wait for it – they also assault the claim that nuclear is carbon-free. And here is the great admission, one that kills their own argument for renewables as well. Claiming carbon-free status "is patently false — and not true of any man-made energy source, including renewables, as long as mining, transportation, and manufacturing of these technologies are so reliant on fossil fuels."

Mining? As in using children and slaves to dig up lithium, cobalt, nickel, and other critical metals for "renewable" wind and solar? All that matters is that no oil and gas are used to extract, ship, process, and fabricate components for electric vehicles, wind turbines, and solar arrays.

Africans surely think otherwise. Way back in 2014, Scientific American reported that "Africa needs fossil fuels to end energy apartheid."

Just a few weeks ago, NJ Ayuk, chairman of the Africa Energy Chamber, reiterated his continent's need for fossil fuels (given that much of Africa lacks access to any electric grid). Ayuk asserted, "We live in reality. And today, in real-world Africa, this goal is not feasible. Nor is it advisable."

But the European Commission claims it knows better. On New Year's Eve, EU energy bureaucrat Adela Tesarova announced that energy efficiency and renewables have the potential to "eradicate energy poverty" once and for all time. "Because if people are not dependent on fossil fuels, we will not have energy poverty," Ms. Tesarova claimed.

There is just one concern that these sages seem to ignore. An issue highlighted in Canada's Alberta Province on that same New Year's Eve 2021. Wind and solar are unreliable, especially in extreme weather conditions. Which means people may die.

On December 31, 2021, temperatures in much of Alberta and Saskatchewan fell below minus 30 degrees Celsius (this happens often "up north"). On that day, Alberta's entire fleet of 13 grid-connected solar facilities, rated at 736 megawatts, contributed 58 megawatts (MW) to the grid.

The province's 26 wind farms, with a combined rated capacity of 2,269 MW, generated only 18 MW. Wind turbines, it seems, are shut down at those temperatures lest they shatter from the cold. Fortunately, Alberta's coal-fired TransAlta Keephills Unit I, which was scheduled to be retired that very day, kept people warm with an output of 302 MW.

But, hey, the planet's future is at stake! Africans have lived for centuries without electricity; they can live a few more in darkness. Meanwhile, China is keeping its people warm with fossil fuels and nuclear power plants. China is also extending its empire into every continent.

As we have learned from the covid pandemic, catastrophes require drastic actions. Those who find nothing wrong with child and slave labor would likely be just fine with a few hundred thousand dead, frozen Canadians. Right?

Gamble on Solar

cont. from page 5

biggest battery in the world", the energy regulator has been forced to lease diesel back-up generators and to order gas-fired plants to stand by in case the wind suddenly drops – this encourages mechanical and financial breakdowns, and high electricity costs.

Europe has also gone out onto the green energy limb, but this is no comfort for Australians who cannot import nuclear power from France, gas from Russia or hydro-power from Scandinavia.

Every solar installation consumes energy to mine metals, manufacture, transport and erect panels and to build access roads and transmission lines over long distances. Careful analysis will show an energy deficit over their short lifetimes. And when an earthquake, hailstorm, cyclone or hurricane smashes these exposed rows of solar panels, rubbish dumps of mangled trash will be left. Most of this debris cannot be recycled and tonnes of metals, glass and plastic are destined to end their life as toxic, non-degradable land fill.

Bureaucrats will try to force solar operators to clean up, but smart operators will have bankruptcy petitions prepared for such emergencies.

Proven and reliable electricity generators, driven by coal, gas, hydro or nuclear, with a small land footprint and housed in storm-proof structures, are far less damaging to the green environment than these landscapes of inefficient, intermittent, expensive plant-killing "farms".

Where are the Green objectors now?

The Future Of Roe V. Wade: Dobbs V. Jackson Women's Health Organization



By Gary L. Wickert

The future of abortion in America hangs in the balance pending the U.S. Supreme Court's anticipated decision in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization. Fifty years after the landmark decision in Roe v. Wade, we are no closer to a concise and cogent constitutional theory underlying the right to an abortion, as the court's Fall term has a number of abortion questions to be decided. On December 1, however, the court will hear oral arguments in Dobbs, in which even the Department of Justice advised the court in its brief that they must either affirm or overrule the infamous Roe ruling; and the Vegas odds are on the latter. A decision will be forthcoming in May or June of next year.

A short history of abortion rights will be instrumental in understanding the intricacies of the Dobbs case. In 1869, the Roman Catholic Church banned abortion at any stage of pregnancy. Shortly thereafter, Congress passed the Comstock law, making it illegal to distribute contraceptives. By 1880, abortion was outlawed across most of the country. Therapeutic abortion laws—state laws which allowed abortions up to the 21st week of pregnancy which resulted from rape or incest or endangered the life of the mother—sprang up. I Women of means traveled to other countries to get an abortion or paid large fees to a U.S. doctor willing to secretly perform the procedure.

In 1965, a U.S. Supreme Court decision bucked the trend and ruled that the Constitution protected the liberty of married couples to buy and use contraceptives without government restriction. In Griswold v. Connecticut, Justice William Douglas wrote the majority opinion which held that a right to privacy—although mentioned nowhere in the Constitution—could be garnered (i.e., "made up") from "penumbras" or "emanations" implicit in the 1st, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 9th, and 14th Amendments. The argument was that the specific rights guaranteed in these several amendments could not be fully realized unless surrounded by penumbras that expanded the meaning of their original texts. Soon thereafter Hawaii, New York, Alaska, and Washington all legalized abortion, with no residency requirement, making them a Mecca for young women seeking to terminate a pregnancy.

Eight years later, a young woman named Norma McCorvey sought an abortion in Texas, where it was only legal to save the life of the mother. She was referred to Texas attorneys Linda Coffee and Sarah Weddington, who were interested in challenging anti-abortion laws. McCorvey became known as "Jane Roe" in the lawsuit they filed. The case was defended by Henry Wade, the Dallas District Attorney, and the lawsuit became known as "Roe v. Wade." Writing for the majority in Roe v. Wade, Justice Harry Blackmun admitted that "the Constitution does not mention a right of privacy," but hanging its hat on the "penumbra" hocus-pocus invented in Griswold, declared that a woman's right to an abortion was implicit in the right to privacy protected by the 14th Amendment.

The Roe decision separated pregnancy into thirds ("trimesters") and ruled that a woman has a constitutional right to terminate a pregnancy in the first trimester (up to week 12) for any reason or no reason. During the second trimester (weeks 13 to 26), state governments were allowed to regulate abortions to save or preserve the life of the mother. During the final trimester, states could prohibit the abortion of a fetus that could not live outside the womb (i.e., "viable"), except when the mother's life was in danger. Interestingly, Norma McCorvey converted to Catholicism and later became a vocal opponent to all forms of abortion.

Over the years, states have imposed a variety of restrictions and obstacles to abortion at will (dubbed "reproductive freedom" by pro-abortion advocates), and every year the Supreme Court has new and innovative challenges to abortion to rule on.

In 1992, the Supreme Court essentially "gutted" Roe v. Wade when it decided the landmark case of Planned Parenthood v. Casey. Casey stemmed from a challenge to the Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act of 1982, which created several obstacles to abortion, including a waiting period, spousal notice, and (for minors) parental consent prior to undergoing an abortion procedure. It eliminated the trimester paradigm created in Roe and instituted instead a viability analysis. This now allowed the states to implement abortion restrictions during the first trimester and changed the standard of review which would make unconstitutional state laws unconstitutional enacted for "the purpose or effect of placing a substantial obstacle in the path of a woman seeking an abortion of a nonviable fetus." With this new prism of looking at abortion laws, the Casey court upheld all of the provisions of the Pennsylvania law except spousal notification. Significant, however, is the fact that in the Casey opinion, four justices argued that Roe v. Wade should have been overturned.

With the new Casey "undue burden" standard in play, many states have been very creative in efforts to limit or heavily regulate abortion, and with each decision, we have gotten one step closer to overturning the historic Roe v. Wade decision. Some have even asked if it hasn't effectively—although not officially—already been overturned.

On September 2, 2021, the Supreme Court quietly refused to enjoin a Texas law that banned abortion after the 6th week of pregnancy. Media was atwitter with the suggestion that Roe v. Wade had been overturned. Hillary Clinton tweeted, "They gutted Roe v. Wade without hearing arguments, in a one-paragraph, unsigned 5-4 opinion issued in the middle of the night. In truth, the vast majority of Supreme Court cases are disposed of by summarily ruling via unsigned orders. But that is beside the point. Roe v. Wade was and is clearly on shaky ground. Overturning Roe doesn't mean abortion is legal; it simply means the Casey "undue burden" standard will be the benchmark used to assess the constitutionality of states' abortion laws.

This fall, the stage is set for the Supreme Court to hear a case which very likely will officially overturn Roe v. Wade. On December 1, the court will hear arguments in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, which focuses on a challenge to a Mississippi law passed in 2018 that bans all abortions after 15 weeks of pregnancy, except for fetal abnormalities or medical emergencies. The state law was based on the fact that 75% of all nations do not permit abortions past 12 weeks, a human heart starts beating after five to six weeks, and by nine weeks all "basic physiological functions are present." The Mississippi law notes that after 15 weeks, using the dilation-and-evacuation abortion procedure where the unborn child is crushed and torn apart before pieces of the dead child are removed from the womb. Mississippi not only labeled the practice "barbaric", but it also documented its health risks for the mother, including pelvic infection, blood clots, heavy bleeding, and depression.

Dobbs is easily the most significant abortion decision in 30 years, and the court will decide whether all prohibitions on abortion prior to "viability" (i.e., around 26 weeks) are unconstitutional. If it does, Roe v. Wade will be relegated to the history books. It will be the first case that the Supreme Court has accepted in which a state is directly asking the Court to overturn the constitutional right to abortion. And in the words of the Supreme Court itself, accepted this case to review "whether all pre-viability prohibitions on elective abortions are unconstitutional." We will know the outcome by June.

Bp. Strickland: 'I'd rather die than benefit from anything produced by using an aborted child'

Long a strong critic of abortion-tainted COVID shots, Bishop Strickland is 'tripling down' on his opposition, this time in support of similar statements by Fr. Mark Goring.



Bishop Strickland

(LifeSiteNews) – Bishop Joseph Strickland of Tyler, Texas avowed that he would rather die than attempt to benefit from a "vaccine" produced using an aborted child.

Strickland shared his strongest repudiation yet of abortion-tainted vaccines in a Dec. 30 tweet supporting similar sentiments by Father Mark Goring:



Both Strickland and Goring have been vocal opponents of the use of fetal cell lines in the development of "vaccines" or any other products since at least soon after the COVID-19 outbreak. In December 2020, they discussed with each other the moral problems with the COVID vaccines developed using cell lines of aborted children.

Strickland said of the vaccines at the time, "The bottom line is, if it used unborn children that were aborted, intentionally killed, and we're using their bodies to build something that helps our bodies, I say no, and I encourage others to say no."

When Goring brought up the interior conflict of someone who wants to protect an elderly, vulnerable family member from COVID-19 and its potentially deadly consequences, Strickland pointed out that the Code of Canon Law expressly states that it is "based on the salvation of souls," and therefore all of our decisions should prioritize that end.

Strickland cautioned people "to not compromise that call to everlasting life to hang on to a few more years here."

While the video from Goring that Strickland shared in his Dec. 30 tweet has since been made private, Goring has two public recent videos expressing his firm rejection of abortion-tainted pharmaceutical products, including "vaccines," that is, those developed using cells derived from aborted children.

In his "Tainted Pharmaceuticals" video posted Dec. 29 of a homily he gave during Mass, Goring decried the use of products developed using cells of aborted children as a kind of complicity with the killing of children.

Continued on page 21

before arresting CNN producer

continued from page 1

what he was, and what he did to her, and they did nothing for a year and a half, is mind-blowing."

The biological mother said that her 9-year-old daughter told police what her adoptive mom and Griffin did to her during 10 horrific days in July 2020. Griffin wasn't arrested until Dec. 10, 2021.

Griffin had met the adoptive mom on a BDSM website and paid her $3,500 to fly with the 9-year-old girl to Vermont for 10 days starting July 14, 2020.

The child was allegedly forced to perform sex acts with Griffin and the adoptive mom, who was hospitalized twice on the trip, leaving the little girl alone with Griffin.

The adoptive mom was arrested Aug. 21, 2020, on five counts of child abuse, eight counts of lewdness with a minor under 14 and two counts of sexual assault of a minor under 14. The child was turned over to her grandmother, Catherine's mom.

Days after the adoptive mom's arrest, federal authorities seized Griffin's electronic devices, including computers, phones, cameras and microSD cards, court papers show.

The feds also sent a forensic psychologist to interview the child.

"They never contacted me," Catherine told Fox News. "I gave them the case on a silver platter."

Griffin claimed to have trained girls as young as 7 and said, "a woman is a woman regardless of her age."

In addition, Griffin offered $30,000 for a "mother daughter weekend or week with me" on Feb. 21, 2020, making clear that there would be "sexuality involved," court papers alleged.

Less than a month later, he offered another woman $1,200 for sex, adding, "There is a better deal to be had though…5k if the kids are in the room," according to the court filing.

Griffin pleaded not guilty last week to one count of child sex trafficking and two counts of attempted child sex trafficking in U.S. District Court in Vermont. He is being held without bail.



John the Baptist, pray that we may overcome woke nonsense

If ever there was a time that called out for John's intercession, it's today, an age that seems devoted to obscuring truth and promoting confusion.



(LifeSiteNews) — John the Baptist is one of those biblical figures about whom people are often unclear.

He shows up in the Gospels as "the voice of one crying out in the wilderness," who proclaims Christ's arrival — his advent. But John's status is sort of nebulous throughout, and he exits the story as abruptly as he enters it.

We should recognize John for what he really was: a champion of truth.

A popular preacher (today we'd call him a revivalist), he emphasized to those following his movement that he, himself, was not the Messiah. And of course, we know that it was his truth-telling that brought him to a violent end, executed by Herod Antipas, tetrarch of Galilee.

John broke no laws. Rather, he very publicly criticized Herod, who had seduced Herodias, wife of Herod's own brother, Philip, and taken her away from her husband.

That was the truth John proclaimed. And he paid for it with his head.

The commitment of John to truth is underscored by a fact that's often ignored. John was the son of a temple priest, Zachariah, and so should have been a priest himself. But he rejected the leadership class, choosing instead to serve as herald of his kinsman, Jesus.

If ever there was a time that called out for John's intercession, it's today, an age that seems devoted to obscuring truth and promoting confusion. The indications are everywhere.

I recently read an article about law school admissions that described how one New York law school asks its applicants to specify their gender and sexual orientation, choosing from among 13 different designations. Mind you, this is an institution that trains attorneys, a profession supposedly dedicated to identifying truth and clarifying facts.

In the spirit of "wokeness" prevalent in higher education right now, the school has apparently abandoned reason, moving beyond the simple, observable reality that God made human beings either male or female.

This way of thinking is increasingly common in the academic world.

Recent news about a University of Pennsylvania athlete illustrates this.

A male swimmer who had decided he's really a girl insisted he be allowed onto the women's swim team. I don't know how he might have ranked among male swimmers, but he wrapped up the titles for women's aquatics.

The spirit of "wokeness" considers this fair, but it's really cheating. It's profoundly unjust to all those dedicated female athletes who trained hard, practicing for years to compete in their sport, assuming (quite naturally) that they would be matched against other girls.

The pattern is being repeated all over the country. People are ignoring reality, making up their personal identity as they go along. This is egotism run wild. Not only does it contradict reason, but it's a huge lie. It violates God's law.

But it's on display in more than just sports and involves more than just sex and gender.

Virtually all academic subjects have been infected with the spirit of "wokeness."

By now everybody has heard of how Critical Race Theory is being applied to history, literary analysis, and the rest of the humanities. But even scholarly fields thought of as fact-based are yielding to ideological interpretation.

Believe it or not, there's such a thing as "woke" mathematics. The idea is that schools ought not to insist that everybody achieve the same result when working through a mathematical calculation.

After all, some academics maintain, the lived experience of various ethnic groups is unique, and therefore each has a different way of relating to the tangible world. Each has its own "reality," in other words — its own "story," its own "narrative," its own "truth."

Consequently, it can't be said that 5 plus 5 will always equal 10. It might be 8 or something else, depending upon how reality is perceived. Therefore, it would be wrong to force everyone to conform to one standard numerical result.

I'm not sure how this theory translates into engineering the structure of a building or computing the trajectory of a flight to the moon. But recalling my own experience in math class, I'll admit that I can appreciate the idea. I would have avoided considerable time in summer school if my teachers had accepted this as an explanation for my own mathematical deficiencies.

In any event, the theory has gained wide support in certain circles of pedagogical opinion.

Such "woke" nonsense would be laughable, except that it's harmful. In particular, it's harmful to young people, whose minds are no longer being trained to reason, to assemble and analyze facts, and to arrive at logical conclusions — to live their lives competently.

Truth is essential. And like John the Baptist, we must each decide for ourselves what we're willing to risk in speaking it, insisting upon it, defending it. The future of our society depends on how we choose.

John the Baptist, pray for us.

I rescued my daughter from LGBT insanity, let parents learn from my story

I didn't know that anime and cosplaying can overwhelm a young mind. I didn't know that anime and cosplaying involved gender-bending themes and that the community crosses into pedophilic and sexual themes.

(The Daily Signal) – My daughter's story is no longer novel. Stories like it are occurring in your state, your town, and perhaps even on your street. Gender dysphoria — the incongruence between the mind and the body — moves stealthily and quickly to invade girls and boys alike.

But this isn't a cautionary tale. It's a warning.

My daughter was an ultrafeminine girl since birth. She insisted that her room be painted pink, and she refused to wear anything but dresses until third grade. She avoided her older brother's toys and sports, choosing tea sets and Shopkins, a series of tiny, collectible toys.

Her favorite activity was to slip into my closet and don my few sparkly clothes and shiniest of heels. She rejected sports in favor of art and sewing.

That all abruptly changed when she turned 12. As her body matured into young womanhood, she stopped begging for a bikini and avoided any clothing that accentuated her figure. She hid her breasts under men's extra-large sweatshirts.

I remembered doing similar things as my body changed, so I didn't worry at first.

Then, my daughter immersed herself into anime art and cosplaying, the hobby of dressing like fantastical characters. I supported her creative side.

I didn't know that anime and cosplaying can overwhelm a young mind. I didn't know that anime and cosplaying involved gender-bending themes and that the community crosses into pedophilic and sexual themes.

I also didn't know that the older cosplay community groomed the younger cohorts.

During that same time period, my daughter went through Teen Talk — a Manitoba, Canada-based program that says it provides "youth with accurate, [nonjudgmental] information" on "sexuality, reproductive health, body image, substance use awareness, mental health, issues of diversity, and anti-violence issues" — at her public school.

She came home with a whole new language. She and all her girlfriends discussed their labels — polyamorous, lesbian, pansexual. None of the five girls chose "basic," their term for a straight girl.

Now, I was worried.

She distanced herself from her old friends and spent more time online. I checked her phone, but I was not astute enough to know that she had set up "appropriate" fake social media accounts for my viewing.

An older girl showed romantic interest in her. I barred that girl from our home. I learned later that she had molested my daughter.

When my daughter was in the eighth grade, as a Christmas gift, I took her to SacAnime, an anime convention in Sacramento, California.

Continue reading at www.lifesitenews.com

God's Plan...

When GOD solves our problems,
we have faith in HIS abilities.
When GOD doesn't solve our problems,
HE has faith in our abilities.
One may observe God's accuracy in the hatching of eggs…
those of the Canary in 14 days;
those of the Barnyard Hen in 21 days;
Eggs of Ducks and Geese in 28 days;
those of the Mallard in 35 days;
Eggs of the Parrot and the Ostrich hatch in 42 days..
(Notice, they are all divisible by seven, the number of days in a week!)
See God's Wisdom in the making of an Elephant…
The four legs of this great beast
all bend forward in the same direction.
No other quadruped is so made.
God planned that this animal would have a huge body…
too large to live on two legs.
For this reason He gave it four fulcrums
so that it can rise from the ground easily.
The Horse rises from the ground on its two front legs first.
A Cow rises from the ground with its two hind legs first.
How wise the Lord is in all His works of Creation!
Each Watermelon has an even number of stripes on the Rind.
Each Orange has an even number of segments.
Each ear of Corn has an even number of rows.
Each stalk of Wheat has an even number of grains.
Every bunch of Bananas has on its lowest row
an even number of Bananas,
and each row decreases by one,
so that one row has an even number
and the next row an odd number.
There's more…
The Waves of the Sea roll in on shore
Twenty-six to the Minute in all kinds of weather.
All Grains are found in even numbers on the stalks.
God has caused the Flowers to Blossom
at certain specified times during the day.
Linnaeus,
the Great Botanist,
once said that if he had a Conservatory
containing the right kind of Soil,
Moisture,
and Temperature,
he could tell the Time of Day or Night
by the Flowers that were Open
and those that were Closed.
The Lives of each of us
may be ordered by the Lord
in a Beautiful Way for His Glory,
if we will only Entrust Him with our Lives.
If we try to Regulate our own Lives,
we will have only Mess and Failure.
Only God, who made our Brains and Hearts,
can Successfully Guide them to a Profitable End.
When you carry the Bible,
Satan has a Headache;
when you Open it,
he Collapses.
When he sees you Reading it,
he loses his Strength,
and when you Stand on the Word of God,
Satan can't Hurt you!
And did you also know…
that when you are about to Forward this Email to others,
the Devil will probably try to Discourage you, but do it anyway.
Life without God is like an Unsharpened pencil - it has no Point.
I pray God bless you in ways you never even Dreamed.

GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

Report: Georgia investigating alleged illegal ballot harvesting in 2020 election

By WorldTribune Staff, January 5, 2022

The Georgia secretary of state's office has confirmed it is investigating allegations of widespread illegal ballot harvesting during the 2020 election.

Subpoenas to secure evidence may be issued soon, Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger confirmed, Just the News reported on Jan. 4.

Raffensperger's office confirmed that it had received a detailed complaint from the voter integrity group True the Vote on Nov. 30 saying it had assembled evidence that operatives worked with nonprofit groups to collect and deliver thousands of absentee ballots, often during late-night drops in which the ballots were stuffed into drop boxes.

True the Vote said its evidence included video footage from drop box locations as well as geolocation data for the cell phones of more than 200 activists seen on video purportedly showing the dates and times of ballot drop-offs, according to documents reviewed by Just the News.

The group also said it interviewed a Georgia man who admitted he was paid thousands of dollars to harvest ballots in the Atlanta metropolitan area during the November election and the lead-up to Jan. 5, 2021 runoff for Georgia's two U.S. Senate seats.

Though True the Vote has not alleged that the ballots delivered by couriers were fraudulent, even lawful ballots delivered by third-parties to drop boxes would be illegal under Georgia law.

Raffensperger told Just the News that his office is considering asking the State Elections Board to issue subpoenas to secure evidence such as the names of suspected ballot harvesters.



Alleged ballot trafficker making the rounds in Georgia in 2020.

"That will be one of the processes we're looking at if we have people that don't want to come forward for whatever concern, because we really need to get to the bottom of it," he said. "We just can't let it sit there and lie. So if it comes to that, then that's probably the next step that we'd be looking at."

Radio host John Fredericks said on Steve Bannon's War Room on Wednesday that the news out of Georgia is a "game changer."

"Here's the bottom line. You can't ballot harvest in Georgia," Fredericks said. "What turned this around is that a whistleblower in Georgia came forward. On the tapes they identified 240 of the same people going around the state stuffing ballot drop boxes between 2 and 5 AM… where they were emptying backpacks out and they were dropping 50, 100 ballots into a dropbox. This is totally illegal…"

Fredericks added: "The one whistleblower came forward because allegedly his mom said, hey what you're doing is wrong and you need to be honest about it. Own up to it. He said that he was paid $10 per ballot… And he made $45,000 between November 3rd and the runoff. Two elections he made $45,000!… Now do the math. He said this was the average payout. There were 240 people of the same ones over and over in the same car involved in it. You start doing the math here. 45,000 times 240 people… You've got $11 million dollars distributed illegally. Then you take 4,500 ballots by 240 people. You're talking about a million ballots!"

Congress cashes in: Report finds dozens of DC lawmakers held shares in vaccine companies

The data have raised serious ethical concerns, prompting questions about how much government actors stand to profit from coercive COVID-19 jab mandates.

(LifeSiteNews) — A Business Insider analysis has shown that at least 75 federal legislators held stock in Moderna, Johnson & Johnson, or Pfizer in 2020. The three companies are responsible for producing the experimental COVID-19 injections. Meanwhile, the paper trail shows Big Pharma corporations shelled out millions of dollars to finance electoral campaigns and lobby the federal government.

The data raised serious ethical concerns about the objectivity of the legislature, prompting questions about how much government actors stand to profit from coercive jab mandates which have deprived Americans of their rights and kneecapped a struggling economy.

According to the December 13 report, an analysis of federal financial records led Business Insider to conclude that "[d]ozens of Republican and Democratic lawmakers on Capitol Hill have invested in companies that have a direct stake in the nation's response to the COVID-19 pandemic."

In its analysis — part of the Conflicted Congress project — the news outlet reviewed some "9,000 financial-disclosure reports for every sitting lawmaker and their top-ranking staffers."

The report found that last year at least 35 U.S. representatives and 13 senators held shares in Johnson & Johnson, which has produced the only single-shot COVID injection on the market.

Meanwhile, 34 representatives and 11 senators held shares in Pfizer, the Big Pharma giant whose double-dose mRNA jab has been approved under an "emergency use authorization" by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for children as young as five years old.

Moderna's shareholders are fewer, with just two representatives and their spouses holding shares in the Bill Gates-funded corporation.

"Lawmakers held these investments in COVID-19-minded companies as Congress was at the center of pandemic relief efforts," Business Insider reported. "In 2020 and 2021, members of Congress voted on six relief bills together worth nearly $6 trillion. Congress also authorized more than $10 billion to help drug companies develop and distribute vaccines and forced health insurers to cover the cost of getting the shot."

"T[his] is our problem now," wrote Florida attorney Jeff Childers in a December 14 newsletter, pointing out that the 24 senators with shares in Pfizer and J&J comprise nearly a quarter of the overall membership of the U.S. Senate.

"T[his] is why the pandemic isn't ending," Childers continued. "The concretized financial ecosystem around the virus makes it extremely hard to unwind the global health 'emergency.'"

Childers suggested Americans will need to "jackhammer all these interested parties out of the Covid business, somehow," adding that "[i]t seems unlikely … that any lawmaker who

Continued on page 11





A "Civil" Civil War

cont. from page 1

against Biden administration policies for encroaching on state sovereignty. The disagreements are broad:

Texas sues the Biden administration over the release of illegal aliens within Texas and staging the transfer of these illegals using Texas transportation facilities and local communities for housing and health care.

Texas, joined by Arizona, sues the Biden administration for halting the already approved, partially funded construction of the border wall to protect the border states.

Missouri and eleven other states sue the Biden administration over Biden's Executive Order that unilaterally asserts the power to dictate specific values for the social cost of greenhouse gases as a way to regulate climate change without congressional approval.

Louisiana and Wyoming and twelve other states sued the Biden administration for arbitrarily halting new oil and natural gas leases on federal lands.

Montana and twenty-three states sued the Biden administration to force reinstatement of the canceled Keystone Pipeline, which had already been permitted.

West Virginia and twelve other states sued the Biden administration over the provisions in the $1.9 trillion relief package limiting the ability of states to reduce taxes using federal pandemic money.

Twenty-Five Red States are opting out of the $ 300 a month extra unemployment weekly bonus as a disincentive to work.

At least three states have banned and twelve more are proposing to ban the teaching of Critical Race Theory ("CRT") in public schools. CRT teaches students that race is central of their education. The Biden administration is implementing it through a Department of Education grant program.

South Dakota established a "Committee on Neutralization of Federal Laws" to review whether proposed federal laws or regulations are constitutional.

Even local governments are seeking ways to promote freedom from federal overreach. Sixty-seven Florida counties are proposing the adoption of a Bill of Rights Sanctuary Ordinance. Two counties in Nevada are proposing to become "Constitutional Counties" where the local sheriffs will protect the constitutional rights of citizens from federal overreach.

While lawsuits proceed over many years, the southern border remains open, allowing millions of illegal aliens into the country. Oil pipelines remain closed while gas prices skyrocket and the president begs OPEC to produce more foreign oil. Biden's new climate regulations impose billions of new costs on consumers. CRT will be taught in more and more public schools. High inflation is draining income from average citizens. Finally, the federal government prints unlimited amounts of dollars while ignoring our $30 trillion national debt.

Domestic conflicts distract Biden from protecting the nation from harm

While Biden is waste deep in domestic fiascos, the world turns an evil eye to the U.S. Biden's hasty withdrawal from Afghanistan leaves the U.S open to horrific outcomes. Afghanistan is being ruled by powers hostile to us and maybe subsumed by civil war. Finally, China, Russia, Iran or North Korea, will likely test our feeble president in some far-off area of the world, e.g., the South China Sea, Ukraine, or Syria.

Under Biden, the U.S. is beginning a decades-long decline, similar to the decline of Great Britain. At some point it is likely the U.S. will settle into a state of equilibrium, just as happened to Great Britain. The U.S. will still be a major country, like a Great Britain, France, or Germany, but it will lose the title of "world superpower." The sun will set on the U.S. empire unless the federal government recognizes it cannot address every whim of the nation. It must prioritize what is most important - security of the nation and a sound economy.

Devolving federal power to the states is necessary.

If the federal government can admit it needs help to manage a massive nation, many states would seriously embrace as much self-governance as possible so their citizens can enjoy as much freedom as possible, including freedom of speech and religion, protection from crime, fewer federal regulations, limited government and lower taxes. A few options are:

Congress can just "do it." Congress, on its own, at any time, could transfer many of the domestic functions it amassed in the last century, back to the states. A few options are:

Negotiations with states. Congress could negotiate with the states over which of the 2200 federal assistance programs the states can best manage without federal involvement and then transfer those programs to the states.

Re-constitute the Joint Committee on Reduction of Non-Essential Federal Expenditures operated between1941 to 1974. Its sole purpose was to recommend to Congress ways to reduce the massive federal government created by WWII. If Congress wants a rational decision-making process for determining what programs to devolve to the states, it could re-establish this Committee.

Enact a Base Realignment and Closure Commission ("BRAC") that applies to general appropriations. Using the BRAC structure, Congress could apply the same approach to all recommended reductions as a means of reducing political support for unneeded programs. The Commission would recommend and Congress would approve or disapprove.

Congress could simply not fund unauthorized laws. Thousands of laws expire and are never reviewed or separately reauthorized. Instead, **Congress merely deems all these laws to be reauthorized.** In the FY 2019 appropriations, Congress funded 971 expired laws at a cost of $307 billion. If Congress has so little interest in certain laws that it will not even review the viability of the law, why should it fun them?

Congress mandates agencies identify and eliminate unnecessary expenditures. Every agency should be required to eliminate unneeded programs. Each agency would recommend a fixed percentage reduction in expenditures. All recommendations must be addressed by the agency. This program reduction effort will eliminate hundreds of billions of dollars in appropriations, create government efficiency, and eliminate regulatory duplication.

If the federal government refuses to devolve power to the states, states could form Compacts to develop, implement or eliminate programs in lieu of federal programs

Enter into Formal Compacts with other States under Article I, sec.10, cl 3, with the Consent of Congress. While these compacts are generally used to manage multi-state matters such as rivers between two or more states, or interstate air pollution, they could be used to establish uniform, multi-state programs, desired by the states, and implement them in lieu of federal programs, e.g., criminal justice, welfare, health care, job training, labor reform.

Enter into Informal Compacts with other states. If Congress declines to approve the formal Compacts, states could informally organize to address issues of concern. For example, nine states in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic, and three west coast states, formed regional compacts to address climate change concerns. These states enacted programs that were substantially different from federal statutory programs. States could form a Compact of Free States to protect free speech, religion, right of association, and free enterprise. Moreover, they could informally establish joint litigation teams to wage a, well-financed, legal war against federal overreach. Without congressional approval, the states would merely work together on a voluntary, informal basis.

If the federal government devolves powers to the states, it will have more time and resources to focus on the primary federal issues of security and the economy.

If the federal government rejects devolving power and participating in federalism, it sends a strong message to the states that its goal is amassing power, not governing for the benefit of citizens. This rejection also tells freedom-loving states and their citizens, the U.S. will only become more authoritarian. At that point the states must explore mechanisms to confront absolute federal power; that is Part V.

William L. Kovacs has served as senior vice-president for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, chief-counsel to a congressional committee, a partner in D.C. law firms, and his book Reform the Kakistocracy is the winner of the 2021 Independent Press Award for Political/Social Change.

Congress cashes in

cont. from page 10

has a big investment in Pfizer is going to do anything other than vote to keep pushing the jabs, unless I am missing something."

Legislators who invested in the companies include Illinois Democrat Rep. Marie Newman, New Jersey Democrat Rep. Josh Gottheimer, and Alabama Republican Rep. Mo Brooks, a U.S. Senate hopeful who in November introduced a bill to defund President Joe Biden's federal jab mandates but sold off roughly $50,000 worth of Pfizer stock in August.

U.S. lawmakers didn't stop at investing in Big Pharma corporations responsible for developing and doling out the COVID-19 injections. The report also indicates the legislators traded stocks in other pandemic-related corporations, including makers of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and non-vaccine treatments.

Alabama Republican Sen. Tommy Tuberville has, according to the report, "invested in Johnson & Johnson, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, and 3M," a company which "creates and distributes personal protective equipment such as N95 masks.

Likewise, Kentucky Democrat Rep. John Yarmuth, who occupies the position of chairman of the House Budget Committee, reportedly sold up to $15,000 worth of stock in 3M in late March of last year.

The report also suggested some lawmakers were hypocritical when taking a stance against profiting from the pandemic, with New Jersey Democrat Rep. Tom Malinowski telling MSNBC in April 2020, "This is not the time for anybody to be profiting off of selling ventilators, vaccines, drugs, treatments, PPE, anywhere in the world," despite personally selling off $15,000 worth of stock in Chembio Diagnostics, which supplies COVID-19 testing kits.

It's unclear to what extent the senators and representatives in question had knowledge of which stocks they owned and sold. The report suggests many of them employed financial advisors to buy and sell stocks on their behalf.

However, the pharmaceutical companies themselves appear to have stacked the deck in their favor, spending millions of dollars to fund election campaigns for preferred candidates and lobby the federal government.

Non-profit organization OpenSecrets, which follows the financial dealings of politicians, reported that through PACs and individuals Pfizer spent over $4 million in 2020 to bankroll candidates and committees. Employees and PACs working for Johnson & Johnson, meanwhile, spent over $2 million.

"Both Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson gave more to Democrats than to Republicans," Business Insider reported, adding that "[o]f the big three vaccine manufacturers, Pfizer leads with the most money spent lobbying members of Congress during the pandemic." Pfizer spent nearly $11 million to lobby the federal government in 2020, OpenSecrets found, while Johnson & Johnson spent $7.9 million on lobbying last year.

Business Insider added that the relative newcomer Moderna, which began to lobby the federal government back in 2019, spent $280,000 on lobbying in 2020 and $420,000 in 2021.

"We've seen 50 years of a steady unraveling of government ethics at the hands of wealthy special interests and the politicians who serve them," Joshua Silver, the CEO of the anticorruption advocacy group RepresentUs, told Business Insider, warning that the situation in the U.S. government is "bleak."

"Both parties are conflicted and benefit from the status quo," he said. "The best way for the country to get back on track is for voters to cut ties with self-serving opportunists — and they better do it fast. The situation is much more bleak than the American public realizes."

Meanwhile former Pfizer VP Mike Yeadon, who has been raising the alarm about the serious health risks posed by the COVID-19 drugs since last year, suggested that lawmakers with financial ties to pharmaceutical companies are unlikely to raise concerns about the jabs or challenge vaccine mandates.

"It's not surprising that the US senate does so little to challenge whether the right things are being done, when the senate has many members who've gorged on vaccine maker stocks," he said.



1619 Project creator: Parents shouldn't decide what is taught in schools

By WorldTribune Staff, December 27, 2021



Nikole Hannah-Jones

Parents should not be deciding what is taught in their kids' schools, according to Nikole Hannah-Jones, creator of the 1619 Project.

"I don't really understand this idea that parents should decide what's being taught. I'm not a professional educator. I don't have a degree in social studies or science. We send our children to school because we want them to be taught by people who have expertise in the subject area. And that is not my job," Hannah-Jones said Sunday on NBC's "Meet the Press".

The 1619 Project asserts that the American Revolution was fought to preserve slavery. Despite being discredited by historians of all political stripes, the project has made its way into hundreds of school curricula.

Referencing former Virginia Democrat Gov. Terry McAuliffe, Hannah-Jones said, "When the governor or the candidate said he didn't think parents should be deciding what's being taught in school, he was panned for that, but that's just the fact. This is why we send our children to school and don't homeschool, because these are the professional educators who have the expertise to teach social studies, to teach history, to teach science, to teach literature. I think we should leave that to the educators. Yes, we should have some say, but school is not about simply confirming our worldview. Schools should teach us to question. They should teach us how to think, not what to think."

McAuliffe's support for the teaching of critical race theory was a key reason he was defeated in last month's gubernatorial race by Republican Glenn Youngkin.

Last week, Hannah-Jones claimed that journalists are too neutral when covering politicians.

"Now, in an attempt to say, 'Well, we're going to treat both political parties equally' when we clearly have, in this moment, one political party that is passing anti-democratic policies, that is upholding people with authoritarianism ideas," Hannah-Jones said.





Who is the enemy

continued from page 4

Oppenheimer's searing words follow: He is termed as the 'father' of the atomic bomb and oversaw the creation of the most environmentally destructive creation of man. The world would not end with their use but a realization of what man could do and what had been doing to our gift in the vastness of the Cosmos started to be understood. What is interesting are the analogies used re the destructive power of hurricanes, tsunamis and forest fires. Those analogies are uniformly related to the equivalence of an Atomic Weapon whose powers pale in comparison to the force of Nature.

Rachel Carson's, Silent Spring (an incorrect attack on DDT) is credited as the impetus behind the modern environmental movement. It was as searing to our psyches as Oppenheimer's creation, driven and understood by the masses because of the new technology called television and today the internet. Yes, we were 'soiling the bed we were sleeping in and we've been 'cleaning up our act' ever since. Link Syncrude.

Environmental Reality: The Enemies of Man:

The first enemy is Gaia itself. Hurricane Michael hit the Florida Panhandle on October 16, 2018 killing approximately 60+ people and causing billions of dollars of property damage and that's all you heard from the Mainstream Media. The E's blamed this regular climatic event on man-made Global Warming. But, ironically there was not a single word about the loss of Life of plants and animals espoused. Not a syllable about a natural occurrence that has taken place long before our evolution from the Olduvai Gorge.

To the E's Gaia is a Goddess. The reality is that she is the goddess of war. Watch your house cat, smiling, coming home with a dead bird in its' mouth. Watch the nature programs when a Lion eviscerates an Impala or an Orca is killing a seal. Her system requires that life requires death. Remember when you are enjoying your Caesar Salad that the Romaine lettuce was a living organism.

The second enemy is the Environmental Movement and like the Romaine allusion their movement is taking the sublime to the ridiculous. Better described is that they have become fanatics. George Santayana: 'A fanatic is a person who redoubles his efforts after he has forgotten his original aim.' For example a legal debate costing taxpayers millions is in process. Link, but it is re the Dusky Gopher Frog in Louisiana, a critter that has not been seen there for over 60 years. The suit revolves around Tammany Parish land and housing developments for people in fear of their lives. The land is north of the Gulf of Mexico and after the 2005 hurricane Katrina thousands of people started looking for homes, life, away from Gaia's history. Apparently there are about 200 of these frogs alive in several million square miles in neighboring states.

Numbers vary radically but the amount of animal, plant and insect species is at a minimum of 8,000,000 and the quantity is in the 10's of billions. Mom and Dad to the kids; 'A few people tell us we can't move to safety because of a few frogs on the verge of extinction.'

All legal challenges, as above, by the E's have the mantra, 'You are harming the environment.' The word harm is totally incorrect. It is only change that is occurring in the struggle to survive by all species against the wrath of Gaia. Here is the irony. As an attorney for the XL pipeline builder I would say, 'No pipeline then no solar/wind farm.' To put this in sensibility the renewables construction should be legally challenged and with all projects stopped by judges citing negative environmental impact, those rulings should be used as precedents. The negative environmental impact of 1 square mile of a gas burning electricity generating plant against the equivalent of 100 square miles for a renewable equivalent is grade school math. Another irony is 'Green Jobs' – I thought this was about saving the planet??? As well, the Green Jobs promise is an economic myth as they will only replace jobs in the fossil fuel resource sector.

Finis:

Dear Mr. Mrs. Mz. Environmentalist. You cannot win. The reality of the drive of all species is against your vocal minority. I agree with your base tenets and that you are of extreme value to the teaching of our past errors. But, you have become increasingly radical and with that the majority of people are realizing that your motivations are for you only. Your elitist hubris has blinded you to the fact that, best word, the Deplorables, care for the legacy they leave their children. We care and Syncrude is a perfect example.

One of two things to do. Work with us or continue your radicalism and extreme prejudice will be your reward as you are threatening the existences of all species. With the recent California conflagrations we understood the danger of woodland accumulation and the intensity of a fire from that. You wouldn't let humans mitigate that and so how many Spotted Owls are dead and how much of their habitat has been destroyed because of your most peculiar ideas of the reality of life on earth?? PLEASE ANSWER THAT!!!

Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

Happiness is not a matter of intensity but of balance, order, rhythm and harmony.
-Thomas Merton

Power is like being a lady... if you have to tell people you are, you aren't.
-Margaret Thatcher

I'm afraid that if you look at a thing long enough, it loses all of its meaning.
-Andy Warhol

Wherever you go, no matter what the weather, always bring your own sunshine.
-Anthony J. D'Angelo

Seek the lofty by reading, hearing and seeing great work at some moment every day.
-Thornton Wilder

Between two evils, I always pick the one I never tried before.
-Mae West

Happiness is good health and a bad memory.
-Ingrid Bergman

There are lots of people who mistake their imagination for their memory.
-Josh Billings

Fine art is that in which the hand, the head, and the heart of man go together.
-John Ruskin

I wish that all of nature's magnificence, the emotion of the land, the living energy of place could be photographed.
-Annie Leibovitz

Once you bring life into the world, you must protect it. We must protect it by changing the world.
-Elie Wiesel

The flame of anger, bright and brief, sharpens the barb of love.
-Walter Savage Landor

There is a muscular energy in sunlight corresponding to the spiritual energy of wind.
-Annie Dillard

Wisdom to Live By

"The refusal to take sides on a great moral issues is itself a decision. It is a silent acquiescence to evil. The Tragedy of our time is that those who still believe in honesty lack fire and conviction, while those who believe in dishonesty are full of passionate conviction."

– Fulton Sheen



THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Fond of
5. Wrapped up
10. __ well done
14. Any of three Scandinavian goddesses of fate
15. Longest river in France
16. Fox's "The X-Files" partner
17. "M*A*S*H" performer
18. Leading players
19. Went platinum?
20. The Source magazine subject
23. Small, in dialect
24. Slander
25. Prefix meaning sacred
27. '40s-'50s baseball nickname
28. Slangy refusal
31. Mother of a 14-Across
32. National debt word
33. "Definitely!"
36. Team in 13 Rose Bowls
39. Tijuana treat
43. Teetotalers, e.g.
44. Appeal to
46. All, in music
47. "Toad of Toad Hall" playwright
48. Pindar work
49. Wyoming's motto
53. Carnation, for one
55. Loosen
56. Raft
57. Clued in about
58. Compensate
59. Small band
60. Drop a line?
61. Case number, maybe
62. Put in the mail

DOWN
1. Working out
2. Still clueless
3. Caused to err, with "up"
4. Fading
5. Go
6. Innocent words
7. Gaston's god
8. Notable stretches
9. __-plié: ballet movement
10. Go figure?
11. "Leading With My Chin" author
12. Driving choice
13. Troublemakers
21. Dig it
22. Labor issue
26. Dash reminders
28. Bars on necks
29. Confirmation, e.g.
30. Boxing legend
32. It'll help you up
34. Actor Davis
35. Southwestern native
36. Handling, as a problem
37. Erich Weiss's stage name
38. Purposes
40. Like the musical gang
41. Keep from spreading
42. Snake eye?
44. Sway
45. "__ dreaming?"
47. Garment style
50. Extensor muscle
51. Passé preposition
52. All-encompassing term
54. __-i-noor: 106-carat diamond



Answers on page 19

Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

9							6	3
8		6			7	2		5
	3	4			8			
		2	7					
		5				1		
				1	4	3		2
4					1			
5		8		2	9			7
								9

Answers on page 19

Germs

"The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God." Psalm 14:1a

By Riley J. Hood-Milwaukee County Constitution Party

I have often had skeptics tell me I am incapable of rigorous thought because I have faith in God, and I pray. The late Christopher Hitchens would say things like, Christians would pray and think an illness was the judgment of God, rather than understand germ theory. He contended that it takes an atheist to have scientific progress, and that "doubt is the basis of all progress." His argument is fallacious, the atheist of yesteryear had no answer to most illnesses, only a rejection of faith. Furthermore, it was two men who had faith in God that promoted germ and bacterial theory. Louis Pasteur and Jerome Lister.

Louis Pasteur lived in France from 1822 to 1895. He was noted for his theories of microbial fermentation, basic principles of vaccination, and invented the process of Pasteurization. Pasteurization was a boon to France's Silk, and Dairy industries. (Pasteurization was also applied to wine, no endorsement of swill from this author.) He pioneered the study of molecular asymmetry and discovered that many diseases are caused by microbes. He developed real vaccines for real diseases like Anthrax and Rabies. According to the likes of Hitchens, one must believe this man was a super-atheist, after all he refuted the theory of spontaneous generation. While this author contends that Mr. Pasteur, who from a Roman Catholic background, became something of a Universalist, and hardly a theologian, he was well acquainted with his Bible. Here are some of his quotes, "The more I study nature, the more I stand amazed at the work of the Creator." He warned, "Do not let yourself be tainted with a barren skepticism." And Morvan Lebesque quotes Pasteur as saying "A little science estranges men from God, but much science leads them back to Him."

Joseph Lister was an English contemporary of Pasteur. Lister lived from 1827 to 1912. A surgeon and scientist, he was the father of antiseptic medicine and a pioneer in preventative medicine. He asserted that bacteria must never enter the surgical process. His work in anti-sepsis debunked an older scientific theory called miasma, that bad air entered the blood stream. Lister used carbolic acid, which was already used to clean sewers; to sterilize his surgical instruments. Lister was the son of a Quaker couple, and in 1856 he joined the Scottish Episcopal Church. Lister said, "I am a firm believer in the fundamental doctrines of Christianity." His admonition to doctors was, "It is our proud office to tend the fleshly tabernacle of the immortal spirit, and our path, rightly followed will be guided by unfettered truth and love unfeigned. In the pursuit of this noble and holy calling I wish you all God-speed." So much for the "doubt engine" argument of hate-filled atheist and the perverted Libertarian.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party agrees with Alexander MacAlister (1844-1919), a Cambridge Anatomy Professor who said, "I think the widespread impression of the agnosticism of scientific men is largely due to the attitude taken up by the few great popularizers of science, like Tyndall and Huxley. It has been my experience that the disbelief in the revelation that God has given, in the life and work, death and resurrection of our Savior, is more prevalent among what I may call the camp followers of science than amongst those to whom scientific work is the business of their lives." Today we could add many more "science educators" to the list who actually persecute real scientists who are smarter than they are, for not toeing the Darwinist line. And after all, Neo-Darwinism does assert that life evolved from non-life and that makes it a spontaneous generation theory.

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin, a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com

The Christian Common Law in America

"Or despisest thou the riches of his goodness and forbearance and longsuffering; not knowing that the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance?" Romans 2:4

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

During the Founding Era, there were two schools of thought related to the place that Christianity held in our Republic. One school of thought was affirmed by Jefferson, Madison and Rush. They said, because Christianity is that true religion, we should welcome in the false religionists, and they will see how benevolent we are and convert. Thomas Jefferson said, in notes on the State of Virginia, 1785, "If there be but one right [religion], and [Christianity] that one, we should wish to see the nine hundred and ninety-nine wandering sects gathered into the fold of truth. But against such a majority we cannot affect this by force. Reason and persuasion are the only practicable instruments. To make way for these, free inquiry must be indulged; and how can we wish others to indulge it while we refuse it ourselves. In the same work, Jefferson made a historical error by saying, "Had not the Roman government permitted free inquiry, Christianity could never have been introduced." (The Roman government merely forgot to tell the lions?)

In 1785, Madison wrote Memorial and Remonstrance Against Religious Assessments, in Query 12 he stated, "the policy of the Bill is adverse to the diffusion of the light of Christianity. The first wish of those who enjoy this precious gift ought to be that it may be imparted to the whole race of mankind. Compare the number of those who have as yet received it with the number still remaining under the dominion of false Religions; and how small is the former! Does the policy of the Bill tend to lessen the disproportion? No; it at once discourages those who are strangers to the light of revelation from coming into the Region of it; and countenances by example the nations who continue in darkness, in shutting out those who might convey it to them. Instead of Levelling as far as possible, every obstacle to the victorious progress of Truth, the Bill with an ignoble and unchristian timidity would circumscribe it with a wall of defence against the encroachments of error." The thrust of these opinions is clearly skewed to what is easy for the anti-Christian.

No one credits Fisher Ames for the First Amendment to the Federal Constitution, they credit Jefferson and Madison (or their facsimiles of Madison and Jefferson) as authors of the First Amendment, so they can use it as a tool of de-Christianization. The First Amendment has also been used to benefit pagans and Muslims, so the Jeffersonian thought on this matter is an unworkable fantasy. The results can be summarized by Edmund Burke who wrote, "Others, corrupting religion as they have perverted philosophy, contend that Christians are redeemed into the capacity and blood of the Savior of mankind has been shed to make them slaves of a few proud insolent sinners." (Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol, quoted from Burke-p.208, Speech on reconciliation with America-1919 by C.H. Ward)

The other school of thought was that Christianity was embedded into the common law. This doctrine was popularized by the "American Blackstone," James Kent (1763-1847). The New York Supreme Court in People v Ruggles (1811), in an opinion written by James Kent affirmed that Christianity was embedded into the common law of the land, after all the Declaration of Independence and the US Constitution had adopted the common law at the time, and the only thing the first Amendment did was keep the federal government from establishing a national Church, because the States already had their established Churches.

In People v Ruggles, Ruggles was a punk who thought the First amendment would protect his blasphemy. He was wrong. Ruggles was fined $500.00 and imprisoned for three months. The prosecutor said, "While the constitution of the State has saved the rights of conscience and allowed for an open and honest discussion of all points of controversy among religious sects, it has left the principle engrafted on the body of our common law, that Christianity is part of the laws of the State, untouched and unimpaired."

Justice Kent affirmed, "Such words uttered with such a disposition were an offense at common law. In Taylor's case the defendant was convicted," and in Taylor's case The Court also cited, "Christianity was parcel of the law." They cited Rex v Woolston which said, "Whatever strikes at the root of Christianity tends manifestly of the dissolution of civil government," and they asserted, "We are a Christian people, and the morality of the country is deeply engrafted upon Christianity, and not upon the doctrines or worship of those imposters." This was later reaffirmed in Lindenmuller v the people, in 1861 where they upheld that State's Sunday Laws.

The Christian Common Law doctrine was reaffirmed by The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania in Updegraph v Commonwealth in 1824. In that case, Updegraph, a 19th Century Dicky Dawkins called the Scriptures mere fables. The Pennsylvania Court held that atheism and deism "qualify young men for the gallows and young women for the brothel." They called his act "the highest offense contra bonos mores," and "We will first dispose of what is considered the grand objection, the constitutionality of Christianity. Christianity, General Christianity is and has always been part of the common law." They also referred to People v Ruggles. They then stated, "Christianity is part of the common law, the act against blasphemy is neither obsolete, nor virtually repealed, nor is Christianity inconsistent with our free governments of the genius of the people."

The Supreme Court of Massachusetts in Commonwealth v Abner Kneeland, in 1838 upheld a blasphemy conviction against a Unitarian, and proto libertarian, claimed he had a First Amendment Right to print blasphemy. The Court said that this argument meant that any act however criminal or destructive being protected if printed is a fallacy. It referred to New Hampshire, Vermont and Maine, as having religious freedom written into their constitutions, and still punishing acts of atheism and blasphemy. It referred to People v Ruggles and that Christianity was the Common Law of the land. An Alabama case in 1893 Jaffree v Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County said, "the real object of the First Amendment was not to countenance, much less advance Mohammedanism, or Judaism, or infidelity, by prostrating Christianity, but to exclude all rivalry among Christian sects." The Arkansas Supreme Court asserted in 1905, in Shrover v The State, regarding Christianity, "This system of religion is recognized as constituting part and parcel of the common law." Such legal history proves that "separation of church and state" was as much judicial fiat as "abortion rights" are.

While the Milwaukee County Constitution Party condemns the Inquisitions of the past, we reject the idea that we salve the reprobate and his warped sensitivities. We reject the de-Christianization started by progressives on the US Supreme Court after WWII, which has led to the current cancel culture, which panders to every invention of hell. We view our Preamble as having meaning for our current day more than ever, and this author is glad that it offends the greasy libertarian. Our CPOW Preamble asserts, "The Constitution Party of Wisconsin gratefully acknowledges the blessing of the Lord God as Creator, Preserver, and Ruler of the Universe and of this Nation. It recognizes Jesus Christ as transcendent King over all nations and hereby appeals to Him for aid, comfort, guidance and the protection of His Divine Providence as we work to restore and preserve this nation as a government of the people, by the people, and for the people."

Wounded Warrior

"And the people gat them by stealth that day into the city, as people being ashamed steal away when they flee in battle." II Samuel 19:3

By Riley J. Hood-Milwaukee County Constitution Party

I have been getting form letters from the Wounded Warrior project ever since Bush43 launched attacks in the wrong direction and invaded Iraq and Afghanistan. At first, I was supportive of the project, after all, in my time service, we were thought of as failures.

The recognition of veterans changed after Desert Storm. By 2010, the pendulum swung the other way. I was at a CPOW Convention, where a former Army Captain was promoting the American Policy Centers Articles of Freedom. He wasn't a bad guy, but was rather stuck up about his service. I thanked him his service, and we were talking about Islam, when he said, "You don't know what it is about." I wanted stomp his head into a curb. I told that man, "We lost Marines in Beirut. Reagan maundered about world peace while these monstrosities were trying to kill us. We were in the barrel. I know what Islam is about." In another example, in 2012 I was collecting signatures for ballot access. We were at a Brewers game, in the parking lot. I got signatures from some Air Force Reservists, and things were going swimmingly until their CO contacted me. I told him that he or any of his men were welcome at our meetings, and welcome to speak, as long as it was unclassified etc. He accused me of trying to use his men. How things have changed.

I received a form letter recently from WWP's Lt Gen (USA Ret.) Michael Linnington. He wrote, "In recent months, as we have watched the situation in Afghanistan change so dramatically, it brought back difficult memories and emotions for many veterans and their families. Having served in Afghanistan myself, alongside many brave men and women, I know how troubling this experience has been. Warriors fought hard and with honor, they risked their lives and lost friends." The General continued, "Their sacrifice has not been in vain."

Actually, yes it has. The Taliban are there and we are not. From the outset I called Operation Enduring Freedom "Enduring Failure." It was a useless exercise in Islamic Democracy, when we already had one of those, namely the Islamic Republic of Iran. Even worse, Bush 43's "long dirty war" that everyone except me clapped for left Islam in place.

When talking about a badly injured Sergeant, Linnington wrote, "Imagine the stress they endured, day after day in a combat zone, where you don't know who a friend is, or who is an enemy, who will become a suicide bomber or who will launch a rocket propelled grenade at them." Officers educated into idiocy do have that problem. I don't want to stress anybody out, but your friends are the guys in your unit that you know and like. Your enemies believe in Allah, they believe the Quran, and they pray to Mecca five times a day. Any Muslim, anywhere is your enemy, and anything can go at any time.

I extend a welcome to these recent war vets. Welcome to the club of the defeated. I want you to feel this. Too bad the press isn't blaming it all on you, and calling you a substandard trooper. Having said that, I would reiterate that the main goal of any US veteran should be that our troops fight under American leadership, in Constitutionally declared wars, and that we fight for victory. Victory after 9/11 should have meant that Islam was to be destroyed, but instead, we funded Islam, tried to find Islamic good guys and other unrealistic nonsenses. Regrading Islam, victory means more than a regime change, it means a religion change. We demonstrated to the world, that twenty years after the fact, we do not have what it takes. The only thing great about "the greatest generation" is that their prior "lost generation" leaders had the vision to fight WWII to victorious conclusion. Enemy destroyed and Nazism abolished. Leadership in war has been lacking ever since.

The Muslim Report: Kuwait

"Know therefore this day, and consider it in thine heart, that the Lord he is God in heaven above, and upon the earth beneath: there is none else." Deuteronomy 4:39

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Kuwait was a city was founded around 1700AD. According to brittainica.com, "The foundation of the autonomous sheikhdom of Kuwait dates from 1756, when the settlers decided to appoint a sheikh from the Ṣabāḥ family (Āl Ṣabāḥ)." In the late 1890's, Ottoman threats to take over Kuwait led to a signed treaty with Great Britain in 1899, Upon the outset of WWI, Kuwait was a protectorate of the British Empire. In 1922-23 the British set the borders of the newly created nations of Saudi Arabia, Iraq, and Kuwait.

Oil was discovered in Kuwait in 1938, and Iraq made its first claims against the Emirate, even though neither Iraq, nor the Ottomans ever ruled Kuwait. That year there was a failed rebellion against the Emir called the Majlis Movement. In 1961, Britain recognized Kuwait independence, and shortly after Iraq made another claim against Kuwait.

Kuwait was stuck between two alternatives in the Iran-Iraq war 1980-1988. Kuwait viewed Iran as the greater threat, and supported Iraq materially and financially. According to Brittainica.com, "Iran attacked a Kuwaiti refinery complex in 1981, which inspired subsequent acts of sabotage in 1983 and 1986. In 1985 a member of the underground pro-Iranian Iraqi radical group al-Da'wah attempted to assassinate the Kuwaiti ruler." In 1986, Iran started attacking Kuwait's tankers, thus the US was asked to protect them, leading to the "Tanker War" where our forces took out Iranian platforms and small craft etc. With the end of the Iran-Iraq War, Iraq's relationship with Kuwait went sour, and on August 2nd, 1990, Iraq invaded. Six days later Iraq had announced their annexation of Kuwait.

After Desert Storm, there was martial law, which was replaced with parliamentary elections, which then resulted in the election of Islamic candidates in 1992, so freedom is a fleeting thing in an Islamic country. In 2003, Kuwait let us use their bases as a staging area for the invasion of Iraq. In 2005, women received the right to vote.

Kuwait's main income is oil revenue, from which they subsize the lives of the citizens. When oil prices were low, in 2014 and 2020, subsidies were cancelled and austerity measures were imposed.

Today, Kuwait has around 250 Christians who are Kuwaiti citizens. All these people immigrated from Turkey or Syria. A law passed in 1981 forbids naturalizing non-Muslims as Kuwaiti citizens, but Christian citizens can pass their citizenship on to their sons, for now. Christian converts from Islam do exist, but they are underground, as their lives are in jeopardy under the laws of this Islamic Emirate. Two thirds of Kuwait's population are resident guest workers working for Islamic employers. Some are Filipino, and some are from India. There are Christians among these workers. Given the fact that America supports this Emirate as an Islamic State, just as British had, anything can go at any time, and people from this nation could attack us in the name of Allah at any time, as tiny as it is, it would probably work in concert with a larger Islamic nation.

The Muslim Report: Uzbekistan

"Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me." John 14:6

By Riley J. Hood-Milwaukee County Constitution Party

After 6 years in the making, a new Religion Law was signed by President Shavkat Mirziyoyev on July 5th, 2020. It lifts restrictions on Muslims, allowing them to dress in robes and rags and still restricts non-Muslims. It should be called the Muslim Law, but the Biden Administration has taken Uzbekistan off the State Department's special watch list. Why? because they say this law comports with a 1998 UN mandate. It looks like the advocates of secular democracy are disappointed once again.

During the Covid-19 lockdown, four Pastors organized Christians to pray, and to hand out what benevolence they could to their brethren in need. A Christian convert from Islam, whose husband was a Muslim asked her to pray for food. In answer to her prayers, food arrived that day in an answer to prayer, and the husband has become a Christian. Good news, because converts from Islam have been few.

An elderly Christian Uzbek woman was dying during the Covid-19 lockdown, and a Pastor and wife knew they had to visit the family in spite of the mandate. There was one Christian daughter, the rest of the family were Muslims. The Pastor and wife visited the dying woman, and spoke to the family about the Lord. All the dying lady's children and grandchildren committed to follow Christ. After the lockdown was lifted, there were 21 baptisms in that village.

I would dare say that Pastors in America who really believe did not stop meeting. They may have had to make other arrangements, but they did not exchange their God-given rights for a zoom call or a website. Regarding our political party, we never stopped meeting either, although our numbers are down, because socialism in the name of Covid-19 made cowards out of men.

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES

Clean Your Handgun
Thur., Feb 10; 7:00 PM - 8:00 PM

Women's Handgun + Self Defense
Sun., Feb 13; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM

Handgun Fundamentals
Thur., Feb 24; 5:00 PM - 9:00 PM

Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.

12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005

262-226-2900

info@wifirearms.com

★★★★★
Five-Star Confidence

Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm

wifirearms.com

An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex

WISCONSIN FIREARMS TRAINING CENTER

Alert: Collapsing of the Vaccine Narrative

By Vasko Kohlmayer - Dec. 4, 2021

That the vaccines being injected into populations worldwide are useless and do not work against the Coronavirus is being increasingly recognized and acknowledged in different ways.

The Kennedy Center, for instance, requires that all attendees of its annual Honors gala events, which will take place this weekend, present a negative test even as the Center also requires that all must be vaccinated.

This is a direct acknowledgement that the vaccines will not protect attendees against infection.

Switzerland now requires that both vaccinated and unvaccinated travelers take tests when arriving from a long list of countries. This is another acknowledgement that the vaccines do not protect against infection.The United Kingdom will begin administering the fourth Covid shot today. This in less than one year!

This is a sobering admission that the previous three shots failed to generate adequate protection against the Coronavirus.

The interval between the second and third shot was six months. The interval between the third and fourth shot is three months now. How long do you think will the quadruply jabbed be protected? What will be the interval between the fourth and the fifth shot?

It was less than six months ago that the narrative of "the wonderfully effective vaccines that will protect us against the virus and end the pandemic" was still being propagated.

It was barely three months ago that Big Pharma, Fauci and their collaborators in the scientific establishment still claimed that this was "the pandemic of the unvaccinated."

It was only a few weeks ago that they still claimed that the vaccines will "protect against severe Covid and death." Now we know that over eighty percent of those seriously ill and dying in England have been vaccinated.

The stark truth is that the vaccines do not provide reliable protection against infection, severe Covid or death.

Some data, in fact, suggests that the vaccines make people vulnerable to the disease and its depredations.

We have seen some of the most vaccinated countries in the world have suffered widespread surges of Covid 19.

In Britain eight out of ten serious Covid cases and deaths are among the double and triple jabbed even though the country's vaccination rate is at 70 percent.

It is time for people to wake up and recognize what is happening right before their eyes. What we are witnessing is the unfolding collapse of the Covid vaccine narrative of which every part is being shown to have been a lie.

If you have long memory, you may still remember when it was said that the vaccines were 95 percent effective.

But since this claim was made years months ago and many people have apparently already forgotten about it, the vaccinators are hoping to flush it down the memory hole. They are even doubling down and pushing for mandates to force their ineffective and dangerous concoctions into people's bodies.

They must not be allowed to get away with their treachery.

It is time to remind ourselves of all the false claims and misinformation, and call the vaccinators and their collaborators to account.

COVID-19 Lockdowns, Mask And Vaccine Mandates, And The Rejection Of Cures: Are They Crimes Against Humanity?

By Peter Falkenberg Brown - 12/4/21

This substantially updated article was first published on March 26, 2021

By now, in December of 2021, millions of Americans are familiar with the scandalous events that have resulted in the millions of unnecessary deaths from Covid-19. Yet, astonishingly, an equal number of Americans are still on the other side of the aisle and have rejected all dialogue about Covid cures, masks, vaccines, and lockdowns.

Fear of Covid is understandable. Hostile opposition to any discussion about Covid is indefensible, totalitarian, and completely unscientific. Science requires vigorous debate to actually be science.

As we enter winter, with the threat of new lockdowns because of manufactured hysteria about the Omicron variant, let's step back and take a bird's eye view of what the Covid establishment authorities have done to America and the world over the last two years.

Death by Hospital

I'll start with a headline from December 1, 2021, at The Epoch Times: "Dying COVID-19 Patient Recovers After Court Orders Hospital to Administer Ivermectin." In the article, Matthew Vadum writes of the seventy-one-year-old patient's ordeal:

After an Illinois hospital insisted on administering expensive remdesivir to the patient and the treatment failed, his life was saved after a court ordered that an outside medical doctor be allowed to use the inexpensive ivermectin to treat him, over the hospital's strenuous objections.1

I begin with this item because it's central to the crime of the Covid narrative. Large percentages of the populations of the world are deeply afraid of dying from Covid, but treatments have existed from the beginning that could have prevented or cured almost all Covid cases, thus minimizing the global fear that resulted in lockdowns, masks, and vaccine mandates.

But those treatments were blocked and are still being blocked, to such a degree that Covid-Narrative individuals don't even want to talk about them and instead live in fear. One must ask: why would a hospital block the use of Ivermectin when the patient is inches from death? The moral of the story is this: do your utmost to avoid going to the hospital with Covid because you will probably not get the treatment you need to recover.

Early Treatments

In March of 2020, I was thrilled to read that Israel was donating ten million doses of hydroxychloroquine to the United States. The Breitbart article, titled "Israeli Firm Donates to U.S. Ten Million Pills Touted by Trump for Coronavirus Treatment,"2 was excellent news, in my opinion, for one enormous reason.

The "HCQ" protocol (Hydroxychloroquine, zinc, and Azithromycin) outlined in the article had already started to save lives if the medicines were given when the patients first displayed symptoms. My commonsense reaction to that news was:

Wonderful! Give everyone HCQ if they get sick, and most will be cured very quickly. Millions of lives will be saved. No lockdowns or masks are necessary. Society can continue as usual.

But it was not to be.

As we all know, HCQ was rejected as a cure, with dire warnings of the "dangers" of hydroxychloroquine, even though doctors continue to this day to routinely prescribe it for non-Covid treatments; even though it's been safely taken, over the counter, all over Africa for decades to ward off malaria; even though some courageous doctors continue to prescribe it and continue to save hundreds and thousands of lives.

Many doctors testified very early in the pandemic about real results with HCQ and

Continue reading at www.creativedestructionmedia.com

Nativity scene in Roman basilica features mask-wearing figurines holding COVID passports

By Peter Falkenberg Brown - 12/4/21



The nativity scene at Santa Maria in Trastevere

ROME (LifeSiteNews) – The crib shows the traditional figures of Mary and St. Joseph with the Christ child (covered until Christmas), while nearby travelers at an inn are seated wearing face masks, with some holding vaccine certification documents.

The ancient Catholic Basilica of Santa Maria in Trastevere, Rome, has set up a nativity in which some figurines wear masks and show their vaccination certificates, in a scene which has been dubbed "unnecessary nonsense."

Catholic News Service's Rome bureau chief, Cindy Wooden, posted pictures of the nativity scene to Twitter, with additional pictures also emerging.

The crib shows the traditional figures of Mary and St. Joseph with the Christ child (covered until Christmas), while nearby travelers at an inn are seated wearing face masks, with some holding vaccine certification documents.

Pictures of the nativity scene garnered stern criticism online. Fr. Stephen Hamilton, Catholic priest in Edmond, Oklahoma, described the creche as "such unnecessary nonsense."

"The theology of the green pass and of the politically correct also in the Nativity scene. Typical of those who want to please the world before focusing on the revelation of their faith. Every era and every movement has its 'golden calf' …," wrote Eugenio Cecchini.

"The Vatican has become the world capital of self-parody," wrote another Twitter user.

One user responded to Crux's Ines San Martin, who also shared an image of the scene, commenting, "Covid idol in the Catholic Church."

Speaking to LifeSiteNews, Fr. Ronald Vierling, director of the Pro Fratribus catechetical apostolate, suggested that the scene represented something which a "secular government" would produce, rather than the Catholic Church.

"In its December 2020 instruction, the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith made clear that vaccination is not, as a rule, a moral obligation and that, therefore, it must be voluntary," he said. "The depiction represented in the Nativity, however, is more consistent with the messaging of secular governments than the Vatican's own instruction on vaccines."

The basilica is connected to the Sant'Egidio community — a group heavily involved in the ecumenical Assisi-style prayer gatherings – whose nativity scenes include current themes of culture and politics every year.

A description of the group's 2019 nativity noted that the scenes were full of figures from "the peripheries of the world," quoting from Pope Francis to say that this tradition continued his "revolution of tenderness."

Back in October and at the order of the Pope, the Vatican began to implement a vaccine passport scheme for visitors and employees, falling in line with Italy's Green Pass. An exemption to the passport rule for entry was made for those attending liturgical ceremonies in the Vatican, who had to remain masked and socially distanced.

The Vatican's own nativity scene is in a more conservative style than in previous years, comprising of a rural Peruvian scene, with characters in traditional garb.

Previous versions of the Vatican's nativity have scandalized Catholics, with the 2017 nativity notably featuring a naked man, which led to gushing praise from LGBT supporters. "The presence of the Vatican Nativity Scene for us is a reason to be even happier this year," Antonello Sannini, president of homosexual activist group Arcigay Naples, told LifeSiteNews at the time. "For the homosexual and transsexual community in Naples, it is an important symbol of

Continue reading at www.lifesitenews.com



World Council for Health Calls for an Immediate Stop to the Covid-19 Experimental "Vaccines"

A. CONSENSUS OF WORLD'S FOREMOST EXPERTS

Globally renowned experts, including Dr. Paul Alexander, Dr. Byram Bridle, Dr. Geert Vanden Bossche, Prof. Dolores Cahill, and Drs. Sucharit Bhakdi, Ryan Cole, Richard Fleming, Robert W. Malone, Peter McCullough, Mark Trozzi, Michael Yeadon, Wolfgang Wodarg, and Vladimir Zelenko, among many others, consistently warn the world about the adverse effects resulting from Covid-19 experimental injections; they also warn about their longterm effects, which cannot be known at this time since most clinical trials will be not completed until 2023, and some as late as 2025.

In June 2021, Dr. Tess Lawrie, co-founder of the World Council for Health and member of the Council's Steering Committee, courageously described the global crisis and called for urgent action: "There is now more than enough evidence on the [UK] Yellow Card system to declare the COVID-19 vaccines unsafe for use in humans. Preparation should be made to scale up humanitarian efforts to assist those harmed by the COVID-19 vaccines and to anticipate and ameliorate medium to longer term effects."

B. DECLARATION

The World Council for Health declares that it is time to put an end to this humanitarian crisis. Further, the Council also declares that any direct or indirect involvement in the manufacturing, distribution, administration and promotion of these injections violates basic principles of common law, constitutional law and natural justice, as well as the Nuremberg Code, the Helsinki Declaration, and other international treaties.

C. UNCENSORED FACTS

We now know that children are over one hundred times more likely to die from these experimental injections than Covid-19. Injected athletes, globally, are collapsing before our very eyes. In spite of the fact that reporting systems are limited and passive, millions of adverse effects have been recorded, which include death, paralysis, blood clots, strokes, myocarditis, pericarditis, heart attacks, spontaneous miscarriage, chronic fatigue and extreme depression.

D. VICTIM TESTIMONIES

The World Council for Health acknowledges and respects the experiences and testimony of the victims of this worldwide medical experiment. We also declare and confirm that safe, effective and affordable treatments for Covid-19 exist and should be made available to all who need them.

E. NOT SAFE, NOT EFFECTIVE

Recent studies confirm the risks associated with Covid-19 experimental injections. Emerging research establishes that the injections are neither safe nor effective, and, in fact, are toxic. While some of the known ingredients of the injections cause biological harm, it is even more concerning that the unknown and undisclosed ingredients may present an even greater threat to human health.

F. CEASE AND DESIST

The World Council for Health is ethically and lawfully bound to issue this Declaration, demanding that governments and corporations cease and desist from direct or indirect participation in the manufacturing, distribution, administration or promotion of Covid-19 experimental injections.

The Council declares that every living man and woman has a moral and legal duty to take immediate and decisive action to halt this unprecedented medical experiment, which continues to cause unnecessary and immeasurable harm.

G. NOTICE OF LIABILITY

The right of bodily integrity and the right to informed consent are inalienable and universal human rights, which have been trampled by government mandates and corporate imperatives. Thus, the World Council for Health declares that any person or organization directly or indirectly participating in the manufacturing, distribution, administration or promotion of Covid-19 experimental biologics will be

Continue reading at www.worldcouncilforhealth.org

Report: Autopsies showed 14 of 15 who died after being vaccinated were killed by jab

By WorldTribune Staff, Jan. 7, 2022

A paper posted on Dec. 10 by doctors Sucharit Bhakdi and Arne Burkhardt reveals irrefutable evidence of the causative role of vaccines in those who died after having been jabbed, a report said.

The doctors noted that further examination in the autopsies of 15 individuals, all of whom died from 7 days to 6 months after vaccination; ages 28 to 95, showed that the vaccine was implicated in the deaths of 14 of the 15 cases.

The most attacked organ was the heart (in all of the people who died), but other organs were attacked as well, the doctors wrote.

The coroner or the public prosecutor didn't associate the vaccine as the cause of death in any of the cases, Steve Kirsch noted in a report on the paper for substack on Dec. 28.

The doctors wrote:

"A number of salient aspects dominated in all affected tissues of all cases:

"Inflammatory events in small blood vessels (endotheliitis), characterized by an abundance of T-lymphocytes and sequestered, dead endothelial cells within the vessel lumen;

"The extensive perivascular accumulation of T-lymphocytes;

"A massive lymphocytic infiltration of surrounding non-lymphatic organs or tissue with T-lymphocytes.

"Lymphocytic infiltration occasionally occurred in combination with intense lymphocytic activation and follicle formation. Where these were present, they were usually accompanied by tissue destruction."

Kirsch noted: "VAERS as well as other independent studies (e.g., see this vaccine injury paper) shows the vaccines are killing people and that cardiac events were highly elevated. This study is consistent with those results."

"No need to worry. It is doubtful that anything will happen because the work wasn't published in a peer-reviewed journal so will be ignored by the scientific community. That's just the way it works," Kirsch added.

propaganda campaign

cont. from page 1

relentless push by government and Big Media to get vaccinated, people "are now ripe for hypnosis," Greg Reese noted in an analysis last month for The Covid World. "And once they accept the experimental jabs, they feel solidarity, which validates the whole thing for them, no matter how senseless. They are now changed, no longer rational. They become intolerant and cruel."

Dr. Robert Malone, a contributor to mRNA vaccine technology who has been banned by Twitter after running afoul of the narrative that created "mass formation psychosis," wrote in a Jan. 3 analysis on substack that "it is starting to look to me like the largest experiment on human beings in recorded history has failed."

Malone cited a report out of Indiana in which the CEO of an insurance company said deaths are up 40 percent among individuals ages 18 to 64.

Malone said that what "lit me up" in the report from The Center Square contributor Margaret Menge was the following: The head of Indianapolis-based insurance company OneAmerica said the death rate is up a stunning 40% from pre-pandemic levels among working-age people. "We are seeing, right now, the highest death rates we have seen in the history of this business – not just at OneAmerica," the company's CEO Scott Davison said during an online news conference this week. "The data is consistent across every player in that business."

OneAmerica is a $100 billion insurance company that has had its headquarters in Indianapolis since 1877. The company has approximately 2,400 employees and sells life insurance, including group life insurance to employers in the state. Davison said the increase in deaths represents "huge, huge numbers," and that's it's not elderly people who are dying, but "primarily working-age people 18 to 64" who are the employees of companies that have group life insurance plans through OneAmerica.

"And what we saw just in third quarter, we're seeing it continue into fourth quarter, is that death rates are up 40% over what they were pre-pandemic," he said. "Just to give you an idea of how bad that is, a three-sigma or a one-in-200-year catastrophe would be 10% increase over pre-pandemic," he said. "So 40% is just unheard of."

If the report holds true, Malone wrote, then Reiner Fuellmich's "Crimes against Humanity" push for convening new Nuremberg trials "starts to look a lot less quixotic and a lot more prophetic."

Malone noted that, if the report holds true, "then the genetic vaccines so aggressively promoted have failed, and the clear federal campaign to prevent early treatment with lifesaving drugs has contributed to a massive, avoidable loss of life."

At worst, Malone added, "this report implies that the federal workplace vaccine mandates have driven what appear to be a true crime against humanity. Massive loss of life in (presumably) workers that have been forced to accept a toxic vaccine at higher frequency relative to the general population of Indiana."

Malone continued: "Furthermore, we have also been living through the most massive, globally coordinated propaganda and censorship campaign in the history of the human race. All major mass media and the social media technology companies have coordinated to stifle and suppress any discussion of the risks of the genetic vaccines and/or alternative early treatments."

If the report holds true, Malone wrote, "there must be accountability. We are not just talking about running over the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and grinding it into the mud with an army of artificial intelligence-powered heavy infantry. This article reads like a dry description of an avoidable mass casualty event caused by a mandated experimental medical procedure. One for which all opportunities for the victims to have become self-informed about the potential risks have been methodically erased from both the Internet and public awareness by an international corrupt cabal operating under the flag of the 'Trusted News Initiative'. George Orwell must be spinning in his grave. I hope I am wrong. I fear I am right."

Desmet says that 30 percent of people have become deeply hypnotized and have irrationally accepted the experimental shots as their solution. Another 40 are not yet hypnotized but will ultimately go along with the herd. The rest are seeing things clearly.

Reese noted: "We are the voice of dissent. And while we may not have much in the way of influence over the hypnotized 30 percent, we must definitely hold sway over the 40 percent who will go along with the herd. We need to become the herd. We must spread the seeds of doubt to everyone: At the gas station, at the grocery store, at work, with the neighbors. Telling the truth has become a revolutionary act. Telling the truth to everyone you meet will save humanity, so keep doing it."

In a Jan. 3 report, The Covid World detailed what may well be a good example of "mass formation psychosis."

Mark Swinbine, a healthy, in shape former British Marine, died in in April 2021 weeks after having received his first dose of the Covid-19 vaccine.

And yet his widow, Ali Swinbine, begs people to get jabbed and mask up.

"I beg you to get a vaccine, because we need to do our best to stop the spread," Ali Swinbine said. "And please, if you're not exempt, wear a mask. Wearing a face covering is nothing compared to being forced onto ventilation."



Proof that the CDC, FDA, and NIH are corrupt and/or Incompetent

By Daniel G.

I wanted to start a placeholder article of examples of corruption and/or incompetence at our favorite 3-letter agencies. The lists will likely grow much longer over time.

Sadly, AFAIK, nobody in Congress is interested in looking at this. They all would rather look the other way and pretend there is no problem here. They refuse to discuss any of these points.

Feel free to suggest your favorite examples in the comments and I'll incorporate into the main text. These are just to get you started. Hyperlinks will be added shortly.

FDA

1. Janet Woodcock, interim head of the FDA, promised to look into the Maddie de Garay paralysis (12-year old paralyzed in Pfizer 12-15 year old trial). Nothing happened. So the FDA knew there was corruption in the trial and chose to look the other way and not notify the public. Is the rate of permanent paralysis 1 in 1,000 kids? Could very well be. All this information remains hidden from the public and the mainstream media refuses to cover it.

2. The drug companies were never required to do obvious stuff like looking at troponin and d-dimer levels before and after. No autopsies were required on patients who died to determine the cause of death. This makes it easy to say it wasn't the vaccine since if you don't look for the evidence you won't find it.

3. We tried to get Steven A. Anderson, the top FDA official in charge of safety monitoring for the vaccines, to meet with any of my team members to go over the safety signals in VAERS. Dr. Anderson ignored all attempts.

4. The FDA claimed my VAERS death analysis was incorrect but could cite no specific error in the methodology or the data (since it used the CDC methodology it would be hard and they supplied the data). They won't talk on the record about it.

5. The FDA rejected our Fluvoxamine EUA saying we lacked enough data. Funny how the workers at Golden Gates Fields racetrack could plainly see the drug worked and most of them lack a college education. Maybe the FDA should hire them instead? The FDA couldn't cite a confounder or bias that could possibly change the result. If they aren't corrupt, they are incompetent. This cost thousands of lives and we wasted a year to complete a clinical trial to prove we were right. Obvious to normal people. Not obvious to the experts at the FDA.

6. The FDA has claimed we are spreading misinformation, yet refuses to provide anyone to have a recorded discussion with us to set us on the right path.

7. They approved that Alzheimer's drug that was so bad they stopped the clinical trials.

8. I'm sure the FDA will soon approve molnupiravir despite the fact that the clinical trial showed it didn't work. How can they approve molnupiravir but reject fluvoxamine (which is now on the John Hopkins practice guidelines and will soon be on many others). Intentionally introducing mutations into viral RNA might create a more dangerous version of SARS-CoV-2, critics say. But most damaging was that "in the second group, there was almost no difference in outcome for those on the antiviral compared with those on the placebo." See this Nature article on molnupiravir for more details.

9. You gotta love the horse picture for ivermectin and making it look like ivermectin isn't safe. Gotta admire the creativity here.

10. Threatening to take NAC off the market after 60 years with no safety problems and making it available prescription only. Are you kidding me? They are taking a super safe supplement and making it prescription only while pushing a deadly vaccine and that's available without a prescription. If that isn't corruption, I don't know what is. They only did this after it was discovered NAC treats COVID and makes it relatively harmless.

CDC

1. They are supposed to evaluate the childhood vaccine safety schedule every 2 years. They didn't do this. Even after they lost in court.

2. They claim nobody has died from the COVID mRNA vaccines. Seriously? Then how can they explain Peter Schirmacher's study which was confirmed by other German pathologists.

3. They claim that masks work citing a poorly done study on 1,000 kids in Arizona while at the same time ignoring all two randomized trials that showed masks make no difference whatsoever.

4. The CDC experts apparently cannot find a safety signal in VAERS to save their lives. They admitted it was the DoD that found the myocarditis safety signal for the vaccine. They've ignored the other 9,000 elevated symptoms caused by the COVID vaccines. How about death? Cardiac arrest? Intracranial hemorrhage? Pulmonary embolism? Paralysis? Menstrual problems?

5. Refuse to compute VAERS URF for these vaccines. They won't reveal the URF to anyone. They pretend URF=1 in their presentation even though they know for sure this is false.

6. Cannot explain with evidence the elevation in death count in VAERS this year.

7. Refuse to debate us.

8. Never did a risk benefit calculation of the vaccines showing the "society benefit." How many excess lives do you save per year by getting vaccinated and where is the proof of that?

9. Toby Rogers' risk benefit analysis for ages 5 to 11 show the vaccines kill 117 kids for every life saved. Where is the equivalent risk-benefit analysis from the CDC? Where's Dr. Roger's mistake? Nobody could find it.

10. Continue to misrepresent the myocarditis risk. I know a doctor with 1,200 patients who has 5 myocarditis cases in men and women 30 to 50 years old after vaccination. If myocarditis is as rare as the CDC claims, how do they explain this? This isn't cherry picked data. These rates align with the rates in VAERS once you apply a reasonable URF (more than 41) to the reports.

11. What happened at Simpsonwood.

12. The CDC says "Unvaccinated people are 14 times more likely to die of COVID-19 than those who get the shot." But wait a second. Pfizer's own randomized controlled trial (Phase 3 6 month report) showed it was only a 2X death benefit, not 14X. So we aren't supposed to believe the randomized trial from Pfizer?!?!

13. Tells people on their website that the spike protein is harmless which is not what the medical journal papers say.

14. We had some people in the CDC willing to talk, but as of December 2021, they are scared to speak out. CDC has done a fantastic job of intimidation!

15. Here's the most recent review showing 22 studies that mercury accumulates in your brain. Here's the lie on the CDC website today:

NIH



1. Fluvoxamine was proven in Phase 2 trials (Lenze study published in JAMA) and then a real-world trial at Golden Gate Fields (Seftel trial). It is impossible to explain the outcomes if the drug didn't work. I offered anyone $1M for a bias or confounder that could explain the result if the drug didn't work. No takers. The precautionay principle of says they should have recommended it.

2. Even after fluvoxamine passed the Phase 3 Together trial showing a 12X reduction in death, the NIH refused to adjust their recommendation. Johns Hopkins however defied the NIH and added fluvoxamine to their treatment guidelines. Someone isn't telling the truth and it isn't Johns Hopkins. I tried to get the top fluvoxamine researchers to comment publicly on the NIH's recommendation and they refused. That tells you all you need to know, doesn't it?

3. Refused to fund any early treatment studies. They wouldn't even fund the fluvoxamine phase 3 trial after the phase 2 trial was 100% success. There is something seriously corrupt here.

4. Any Democratic committee chairman can request Fauci's unredacted emails. If the NIH were truly acting in the public interest, they would urge Congress to do that to show the corruption. Those emails are guaranteed to show the corruption but the Democrats REFUSE to request them.

5. The NIH nixed ivermectin as well. But ivermectin has multiple published meta-analysis and systematic reviews for use with COVID. Precautionary principle requires the NIH to recommend ivermectin unless they have proof the risks outweigh the benefits. They don't.

6. Fareed and Tyson reached out to the NIH in March 2020 to tell them about their amazing early treatment protocol which was keeping everyone out of the hospital. The NIH ignored them. Today, they've now treated 7,000 patients, have had only 4 hospitalizations (people who got there late), and no deaths for anyone who got treated early. NIH still ignores them. This is impossible to explain if the treatment protocol didn't work. But the NIH won't even return their calls.

Hospitals Choosing Patient Death Over Ivermectin

Joel S. Hirschhorn - December 20, 2021

Seriously ill patients facing death from late stage COVID infection increasingly face hospital protocols that have a terrible record of saving lives. In fact, nearly all such patients die. This explains why over 1,200 Americans are dying every day from COVID. In a week, more people die from late stage COVID than died in the 9/11 attacks. Yet this is not major news on mainstream media outlets. Getting used to COVID deaths has produced complacency rather than rage.

As we approach 800,000 COVID related deaths in the US it is important to note that many and probably most of these occur in a hospital. The evidence clearly shows that approved hospital protocols for seriously ill COVID patients in ICUs are ineffective.

Patients suffer on a ventilator, getting oxygen, perhaps a steroid, often with pneumonia and in an induced coma, and worst of all being given remdesivir that has a terrible track record, does not save lives and has deadly side effects.

Some may ask why doctors are not standing up and fighting for these patients, fightin...

'public health threat'

cont. from page 1

agreed the vaccine caused the problem. The FDA put warnings on Pfizer and Moderna and said they cause myocarditis," Dr. McCullough explained.

"Now we have 16,000 kids injured by the vaccine and the number continues to climb," he said. "It won't stop until the vaccine stops, and these poor children are being vaccinated, some of them against their will."

An internist, cardiologist, and epidemiologist, Dr. McCullough is one of the most highly published research physicians in his field and is the world's leading authority on early treatment of COVID-19. One of the foremost concerns is myocarditis, which for many has lasting consequences.

Boys are especially at risk, Dr. McCullough stated. "Healthy boys have a considerably higher chance of hospitalization with myocarditis than taking their chances with COVID."

"The FDA knows that children are more likely to be hospitalized with heart damage than benefit from the vaccine for COVID-19. Hoeg showed it is explosive after shot two. Boys way more than girls, and the estimates that Hoeg came up with in terms of cases per million are way higher than what the CDC ever thought," Dr. McCullough said, referring to a groundbreaking September study published by Dr. Tracy Hoeg, PhD and colleagues.

The problem with the current COVID-19 vaccines is that, as genetic vaccines, they use the recipient's own body to produce the virus's spike protein, Dr. McCullough explained. This produces much higher levels of spike protein in vaccine recipients than in individuals contracting COVID-19 naturally, which must be fought off throughout the body rather than primarily in the nose and mouth as with natural infection.

"With myocarditis, it's a massive amount of inflammation in the heart because the spike protein is loading into the heart and the body doesn't like that," Dr. McCullough said. "The body reacts to that." The spike protein damages the "delicate cells that support capillaries" and the cardiac muscle cells "within the heart."

"We know by this paper by Hoeg and colleagues, University of California, Davis, a high-quality paper, presented at the two [FDA] pediatric meetings, from VAERS and VSAFE, in ages 12 to 17, Hogue showed that 86% of these kids with myocarditis require hospitalization. It's serious. It is not mild. We don't hospitalize people with mild conditions. One of the things that FDA and CDC officials keep saying is that it's mild."

Dr. Hoeg's research found teenage boys are six times more likely to suffer heart problems due to the vaccine than be hospitalized because of the disease itself.

Children at risk from the vaccines, not from COVID-19 itself

The crisis is made more tragic by the fact that children are not at risk from COVID-19 infection, Dr. McCullough said.

Annual health statistics demonstrate that the past year has been no different than pre-pandemic years for children's health, with no difference in the number of viral respiratory deaths.

"We have about 600 deaths per year in the United States of children, sadly, of respiratory deaths, with influenza, pneumococcal pneumonia, and respiratory syncytial virus," Dr. McCullough said. The victims are "largely children with cystic fibrosis or congenital heart and lung disease. The children aren't well to begin with. This last year in COVID, we've had about 600 children die with COVID. It largely replaced those who would have died with flu."

The risk of death from the pandemic is so extraordinarily low for children that it appears to have been the sole cause of only a single child death in the U.S. "The analyses are that

Continue reading on page 23

Endless Boosters Threaten To Destroy Normal Immune Function

By Dr. Joseph Mercola, December 29, 2021

The COVID shots reprogram your immune system to respond in a dysfunctional manner. Aside from increasing vulnerability to infections, this can also result in autoimmune diseases and cancer

A paper published in early May 2021 reported the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID jab "reprograms both adaptive and innate immune responses," causing immune depletion

Antigens in vaccines have been shown to induce defects in the immune system that can raise the risk of autoimmune diseases

Leaky or nonsterilizing vaccines can also trigger the evolution of more hazardous viruses, and the COVID jabs are among the leakiest "vaccines" ever created

According to health authorities, the vaccine-evading Omicron variant necessitates a third COVID injection, but this recommendation will only perpetuate mutation.

A number of medical experts, scientists and published studies now warn that the COVID shots reprogram your immune system to respond in a dysfunctional manner. Aside from increasing vulnerability to infections, this can also result in autoimmune diseases and cancer.

Pfizer Shot Reprograms Both Arms of Your Immune System

A paper1 posted May 6, 2021, on the preprint server medRxiv reported that the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID jab "reprograms both adaptive and innate immune responses," causing immune depletion.

While they confirmed the jab "induced effective humoral and cellular immunity against several SARS-CoV-2 variants," the shot "also modulated the production of inflammatory cytokines by innate immune cells upon stimulation with both specific (SARS-CoV-2) and nonspecific (viral, fungal and bacterial) stimuli."

In other words, we're looking at a horrible tradeoff. You may get some protection against SARS-CoV-2 and its variants, but you're weakening your overall immune

Continue reading at www.technocracy.news/

Catholic bishops have a duty to oppose totalitarian COVID mandates

Bishop Strickland speaks about the Vatican's silence on COVID vaccine mandates and other restrictive measures.



Bishop Strickland

(LifeSiteNews) — On the most recent episode of The Bishop Strickland Show, Bishop Joseph Strickland said that bishops who fall short in their unique responsibility to speak the truth and "govern in Christ" are failing to uphold their spiritual authority.

"The only authority I have is spiritual, and that reminds me humbly [that] to exercise that authority [means] vicariously exercising the authority of Jesus Christ," he said. "And if I veer from that, I don't have any authority at all."

Strickland also spoke about the Vatican's silence on COVID vaccine mandates and other restrictive measures, noting that Church leaders have a duty to point out the limits of the state's temporal power when it encroaches upon individual conscience.

"The limits of temporal power ends at freedom of conscience," he said. "We're obligated to seek to have a well-formed conscience, to know the truth. And it's hard to have a well-formed conscience when you can't get the truth … and it's all politicized and propagandized."

Bishop Strickland added that what helps him proclaim the truth in the present age is to remember that he will stand alone before God at the Last Judgment, just like everyone else.

"I have to look into my own life and ask myself, 'Am I being as faithful to Christ as I can?'" he said. "And the answer is always, to some extent, no, I need to do better. But we see too many [bishops] that — not judging another's actions, but we can judge the product, we can judge what's happening — and it certainly appears that they're not paying much attention to the Gospel, to the deposit of faith."

Sarah Palin: 'Over my dead body' will I get the COVID-19 vaccine

Sarah Palin Tested Positive for COVID-19 Earlier This Year

By Kyle Morris | Fox News

Former Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin said she will not get a COVID-19 vaccine and insisted that the government "better not force" her kids to get vaccinated.

"It'll be over my dead body that I get a shot," Palin, the 2008 Republican vice presidential nominee, said during an appearance at Turning Point USA's AmericaFest in Phoenix Sunday. "I will not. I won't do it, and they better not touch my kids either."

"Enough is enough, especially when it comes to government telling us what we have to inject in our own bodies," Palin said.

Palin, who was interviewed by Turning Point USA founder Charlie Kirk, tested positive for the coronavirus in March and said she has "natural immunity."

"Remember [Fauci] said that if you had COVID, you would be naturally immune," Palin said. "So at the time, we were led to believe we wouldn't need to have the shot. Well, then they changed their tune."

"Those of us who have had COVID, they're telling us that even though we've had it, we have natural immunity, now that we still have to get a shot," Palin added.

Upon receiving a positive diagnosis in March, Palin said her battle with the virus is "perhaps one of those that proves anyone can catch this."

Puzzle Answers

9	5	7	1	4	2	8	6	3
8	1	6	9	3	7	2	4	5
2	3	4	5	6	8	7	9	1
1	4	2	7	5	3	9	8	6
3	8	5	2	9	6	1	7	4
6	7	9	8	1	4	3	5	2
4	9	3	6	7	1	5	2	8
5	6	8	3	2	9	4	1	7
7	2	1	4	8	5	6	3	9

I	N	T	O	■	E	N	D	E	D	■	A	J	O	B
N	O	R	N	■	L	O	I	R	E	■	D	A	N	A
S	W	I	T	■	A	T	E	A	M	■	D	Y	E	D
H	I	P	H	O	P	M	U	S	I	C	■	L	I	L
A	S	P	E	R	S	E	■	■	■	H	I	E	R	O
P	E	E	W	E	E	■	F	R	A	I	D	N	O	T
E	R	D	A	■	■	T	R	I	L	L	I	O	N	S
■	■	■	Y	O	U	B	E	T	I	D	O	■	■	■
O	H	I	O	S	T	A	T	E	■	■	T	A	C	O
N	O	N	U	S	E	R	S	■	C	A	L	L	O	N
T	U	T	T	I	■	■	■	A	A	M	I	L	N	E
O	D	E	■	E	Q	U	A	L	R	I	G	H	T	S
P	I	N	K	■	U	N	T	I	E	■	H	E	A	P
O	N	T	O	■	A	T	O	N	E	■	T	R	I	O
F	I	S	H	■	D	O	Z	E	N	■	S	E	N	T



The 10 Key Takeaways About the COVID Vaccines from Robert Malone's Appearance on the Joe Rogan Show

The science you're not allowed to hear

By John Hawkins, Jan. 3

Robert Malone is a highly credible scientist (he has won billions in grants, has worked for the CDC, was the inventor of the nine original mRNA vaccine patents, etc.) who was recently banned from Twitter. His "thoughtcrime" was apparently (Twitter refused to give exact reasons) asking legitimate questions about the COVID vaccines that undercut the narrative being fed us by the government. Malone is not a crank, nor does he appear to be a partisan trying to build a name for himself politically. On the contrary, Malone's concerns appear to be grounded in legitimate scientific issues.

After Twitter banned him, Joe Rogan had Malone on to discuss his concerns about the COVID vaccines. For good reason, this podcast has been much discussed, but a lot of people haven't had the opportunity to listen to and absorb the 3-hour-plus broadcast. Additionally, social media is doing everything possible to censor this interview and the mainstream media outlets that have covered it have deliberately tried to steer people away from the questions Malone has posed about the vaccines. Instead, they attacked Malone's claim that he is the "inventor of mRNA" (which is arguable either way, although it is undeniable that Malone was heavily involved) and talked incessantly about a small part of the conversation where Malone discussed "Mass Formation Psychosis" as a possible explanation for how poorly we've handled COVID. Although that's an interesting, albeit unprovable, theory, it really has nothing to do with the meat of the important conversation that Rogan and Malone had about the vaccines.

What you are about to read are the most serious questions, concerns, and statements that Malone made – and God bless him for doing it. As Malone noted in the interview, doctors and scientists who raise these kinds of questions are being fired and censored for doing their jobs. Scientists and doctors are supposed to ask hard questions and go where the evidence leads them, not just meekly repeat whatever the latest propaganda is from the government. Until we have an open and honest exchange of information that allows doctors and scientists to follow the facts on COVID, not the government line, the public simply will not have the information it needs to know the best thing to do.

Towards that end, here are the 10 key takeaways about the COVID vaccines from Robert Malone's appearance on the Joe Rogan show. All comments below are either quotes or my best attempt to accurately interpret what Dr. Malone was saying, not my own opinions:

1) Does hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin actually work very well as early treatments for COVID? Malone certainly seems to think they are extremely effective and says he is puzzled as to why there has been such a strong government pushback against drugs that are cheap, safe, and appear to work so well. (Fun side note: Malone said that when he got COVID, he took Pepcid (!!!) and it helped significantly).

2) If you have already had COVID, you have a 2-4 times greater chance of having adverse effects from getting the vaccine. This is especially significant if you consider #3…

3) Natural immunity is as much as 27 times more effective at preventing hospitalization as vaccination. In other words, if you have already had the virus, you already have better protection than you can get from the vaccine AND a higher chance of getting side effects than the average population if you do get vaccinated.

4) Hospitals have a strong financial incentive to list deaths as COVID, no matter what actually killed the patient. "They're not rumors. I don't have the specific numbers off the top of my head. I'm not a hospitalist or a hospital administrator. But the numbers are quite large. They're something like a $3,000 death benefit to a hospital if it can be claimed to be COVID. There's a financial incentive to call somebody COVID positive. The CDC made the determination in year one. This is why all our baseline data is junk."

5) Is the vaccine causing a disruption of menstrual cycles in some women? Some girls start menstruating early. Some women that are postmenopausal start bleeding. Not enough testing has been done to know how this will play out long-term with women or how it may impact their ovaries in other ways.

6) Being diabetic or pre-diabetic can put you at much greater risk if you get COVID. "One of the factors that seem to be common is… people that have problems with carbohydrate metabolism or they're eating too many sugars… seem to be particularly susceptible to these effects."

7) For an unknown period of time after you are vaccinated, you are MORE LIKELY to get infected. "There's this increasing awareness that there is some window of time after vaccination, not sure how long, when you're actually more susceptible to infection. So not only is the vaccine efficacy waning, but the multiple jab strategy is actually creating more and more windows where people have this period of T-cell suppression."

8) Does the waning immunity of the vaccine have a negative impact on your natural immunity? "There are signs in some data, from Denmark, of negative efficacy against Omicron as a function of the number of vaccinations up to 3. Positive efficacy meaning it protects you. Negative efficacy meaning your probability is higher if you've taken the vaccine. It's compared to unvaccinated. It seems to be somewhat higher if you've had 1 jab, even worse if you've had 2, even more likely to get infected if you've had 3 injections… What we're doing with administering a mismatched vaccine, we're driving the effector in memory cells, B and T, towards a population that is focused on a virus that no longer exists… It could very well be, that the further you're taking jabs, the further you're skewing your immune response in a way that's dysfunctional for infection to Omicron compared to someone who is immunologically naïve." That being said, although there is some evidence that's the case, there are other potential factors at play, so we just can't say this with certainty yet and the research needed to confirm it one way or the other hasn't been done yet.

9) Omicron is as infectious as measles, but not very dangerous. At one point, WHO said there were no deaths from Omicron. That's because Omicron seems to be settling in the throat, not the deep lungs. The reproductive coefficient of the original COVID strain was about 2 to 3. That means that one person would infect 2 to 3 other people. Delta had a coefficient of 5 to 6. Omicron is about 7 to 10, which is about the same level as measles. That means pretty much everyone who is not completely isolated is likely to be infected with it, almost no matter what they do.

10) It's a fatal mistake for the government to prevent the distribution of monoclonal antibody treatments. Dr. Malone does say that monoclonal antibody treatments do appear to be less effective against Omicron than Delta, but he also notes that the vast majority of the people ending up in the hospital right now have the much more dangerous Delta variant, not Omicron. So, the Biden administration's decision to limit the distribution of monoclonal antibody treatments because Omicron is supposedly the prevalent variant right now is killing people.

H1N1 vaccine stopped *cont. from page 1*

Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) database "can be used to estimate the number of excess deaths caused by the COVID vaccines. A simple analysis shows that it is likely that over 150,000 Americans have been killed by the current COVID vaccines as of Aug 28, 2021," the foundation reported.

Research by Spiro Pantazatos of Columbia University and Herve Seligmann "showed that our estimate of the number of excess deaths was consistent with what they found," Vaccine Safety Research Foundation Executive Director Steve Kirsch reported on Dec. 24.

The Pantazatos-Seligmann study (which can be viewed here) found:

- Between 146K to 187K vaccine-associated U.S. deaths between February and August, 2021.
- Comparing our estimate with the CDC-reported VFR suggests VAERS deaths are underreported by a factor of 20, consistent with known VAERS under-ascertainment bias.
- Comparing our age-stratified VFRs with published age-stratified coronavirus infection fatality rates (IFR) suggests the risks of COVID vaccines and boosters outweigh the benefits in children, young adults and older adults with low occupational risk or previous coronavirus exposure.

"These researchers found numbers similar to what we found, within a factor of 2. They agree that over 150,000 people have been killed by the vaccines so far. This is more than 3 times the number killed in combat in the Vietnam war; a war which lasted for nearly 20 years," Kirsch noted.

The Vaccine Safety Research Foundation's analysis found a massive increase in deaths reported via the VAERS system "almost instantaneously as soon as the vaccines started rolling out. And it was proportional to the rollout. That is not how behavioral change works... behavioral change would happen very slowly over time; especially if you are trying to get doctors to change their long term behaviors. The reporting basically followed the roll out of the vaccine. Doctors were more likely to report to VAERS this year because there were simply more events to report. We have verified that by talking directly to the doctors as the reason they are reporting more for these vaccines."

The foundation noted there are basically two hypotheses:

1. VAERS is over-reported this year for Covid-19 events so all the deaths are simply background deaths. The vaccine has caused zero deaths. This is the FDA/CDC claim.

2. VAERS is reported this year at the same rate as previous years. All the excess deaths relative to previous years are due to the vaccine. This is the foundation's hypothesis.

Reports of young, healthy vaxxed individuals dying have increased. On Sunday, WorldTribune.com reported that a New York Times editor, age 49, died one day after receiving the Covid booster shot. Other independent media reported that four young soccer players all died suddenly in one week's time.

"There is no stopping condition for these vaccines and no member of Congress or the CDC is willing to draw a line in the sand and say, 'The U.S. government should halt the vaccines after X number of Americans have been killed,' " Kirsch wrote.

A reasonable stopping condition, Kirsch added, would be 32 people. "In 1976 we stopped the H1N1 vaccine after just 32 deaths."

"Today, the number of deaths allowed is unlimited," Kirsch added. "And there is no liability for the manufacturers. And there have been no payouts at all to the hundreds of thousands of vaccine injured. Zero. Zip. Nada."

Meanwhile, "nobody in Congress (or the mainstream media) seems troubled by the fact that the vaccines kill more people than they save," Kirsch wrote. "The Pfizer Phase 3 trial saved 1 life from COVID for every 22,000 people vaccinated. So for 220M fully vaccinated, it's 10,000 lives saved, but 150,000 or more people killed. So we kill 15 people to save 1. And we mandate it to boot."

Kirsch added: "Maybe someday, we'll find one member of Congress who will actually pay attention to what the data says and say two words, 'I object.' "



Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

Report: Prosecutors quietly dropped case against Epstein prison guards on Dec. 13

By WorldTribune Staff, December 31, 2021

Federal prosecutors dropped a case against two jail guards who were accused of sleeping on the job as Jeffrey Epstein was dying in his cell, a report said.

The decision became public one day after the jury reached a verdict in the Ghislaine Maxwell case.

As the trial of Ghislaine Maxwell was underway, federal prosecutors on Dec. 13 quietly dropped their case against the two jail guards, Tova Noel and Michael Thomas, Law and Crime reported. Noel and Thomas were accused of sleeping on the job and falsifying jail records as Epstein allegedly killed himself in his cell.

Though the case against the guards was officially dropped on Dec. 13, the Department of Justice didn't announce the case was dropped until Dec. 30, one day after Maxwell was convicted on charges that she trafficked girls to Epstein for sex and participated in sexual abuse herself.

Prosecutors first filed charges against Noel and Thomas in November 2019, alleging the guards had napped, caught up on the news, and shopped for motorcycles and furniture instead of doing their rounds at Manhattan's Metropolitan Correctional Center. Epstein was held at the federal jail while awaiting trial on sex-trafficking and sexual-abuse charges.

Epstein was found dead in his cell on the morning of Aug. 10, 2019. New York City's head coroner ruled it a suicide. Epstein's brother, Mark Epstein, hired his own coroner who said Epstein's broken neck bones were more consistent with a homicide.

Noel and Thomas pleaded not guilty to the charges against them for falsifying records. In May, they entered a deferred prosecution agreement where prosecutors agreed not to bring the guards' case to trial until after they finished cooperating with an investigation into the circumstances of Epstein's death with the DOJ's Office of the Inspector General. The OIG has yet to release a report in connection with the investigation.

"Under the agreements, prosecution was deferred for a period of six months during the term of Noel's and Thomas's good behavior, completion of community service, and satisfactory compliance with the terms of the agreement," Assistant U.S. Attorney Nicolas Roos wrote. "The United States Pretrial Services Office has informed the Government that Noel and Thomas have complied with the terms of the agreement during the period of deferral, which expired on November 20, 2021."





The 2nd American Revolution: Group remembers the 5 who died and 706 'political hostages'

By WorldTribune.com, January 7, 2022

From The Prisoner's Record on Telegram:

"We continue to fight on behalf of the 706 Hostages and their families to secure freedom and justice. Nearly sixty are still in prison under torture and abuse, held without bond and due process.

"The government that did not respect freedom nor justice set the condition for violence on January 6th. They planned for lax security. The government allowed and coordinated operatives to ignite and perpetuate violence against peaceful rally attendees.

"There were five deaths that day. We mourn their deaths and pray for their families."

1. Officer Brian Sicknick, 42, died of natural causes
2. Ashli Babbitt 35, was murdered by Lt. Michael Byrd
3. Roseanne Boyland, 34, died after being mercilessly beaten by Capitol Police
4. Kevin Greeson, 55, died of a heart attack triggered by the violence
5. Benjamin Philips, 50, died of a heart attack triggered by the violence

Covid mask Karen on plane is now in FBI custody

By WorldTribune Staff, Dec. 27, 2021

A woman who pulled her own mask down to allegedly berate, punch and spit on a maskless passenger on a Delta flight from Tampa to Atlanta on Thursday is in FBI custody, Atlanta police said in a statement on Saturday.

Video of the woman, identified as Patricia Cornwall, punching and spitting on the man who was eating and drinking with his mask off went viral. The man on several occasions refers to Cornwall as a "Karen".

The FAA earlier this year announced a Zero Tolerance Policy for any danger "posed by passengers who cause disturbances on flights or fail to follow flight crew instructions. Violators are subject to fines and jail time."

The FAA said fines for those who attack flight crews and passengers can be up to $30,000.

The Atlanta Police statement said several passengers and crew were injured in the disturbance on the Thursday flight from Tampa to Atlanta.

The statement said:

"Preliminary Information: On December 23, 2021, Atlanta Police responded to Hartsfield Jackson International Airport in reference to a disturbance call involving an unruly passenger on a Delta flight from Tampa, Florida to Atlanta, Georgia. (Delta flight #2790.) Upon arrival, officers met with Delta employees at gate A11 to await the arrival of the flight. When the aircraft arrived at the gate, officers were able to meet with exiting passengers who advised that the suspect, Ms. Patricia Cornwall had caused a disturbance while in the air. This disturbance lead to the injury of fellow passengers and Delta employees. Based on the statements gathered and visible evidence, officers detained Ms. Cornwall and contacted the on-call FBI agent. The officers then relocated with Ms. Cornwall to the domestic Atlanta Police precinct where FBI agents responded and took custody of Ms. Cornwall. Nothing further to report at this time."

Bp. Strickland

cont. from page 8

Goring recalled how King Herod, in fear of the rise of the King of the Jews the Magi, came to adore, ordered the killing of children "from a distance": "He's not going to hear the cries, he's not going to see the blood. … And so, too, this industry, this approach that we're told is expanding — we don't see it, we don't hear it, we don't hear the cries, but we're kind of complicit with it."

Goring noted that the original mainstream "narrative" "was that it was just maybe one or two abortions from the 60s or 70s" used in pharmaceutical products. "But more recently, it's been acknowledged," Goring said, that "hundreds" of aborted children have been used to help produce currently used pharmaceuticals.

"I was talking to a doctor who's very well aware of this reality of using unborn children to make these products. It's worse than you imagine," Goring continued. "I'll spare you the details, but this is gruesome. It's horrific. It's an abomination. It should be outlawed."

Goring suggested that Christians put pressure on pharmaceutical companies by refusing to use any product that is "abortion-tainted."

"Money talks," Goring said. "If you can't figure out how to make something not abortion tainted, too bad, figure it out. We're good at figuring things out if we have to.

Goring pointed out in another talk that "many pharmaceutical companies are very happy to highlight that there was no animal testing involved in the production of their products."

"We should have the same respect for the life of unborn children. If consumers demand to know whether or not a product is abortion tainted, we shouldn't be laughed out of the pharmacy. This is a perfectly legitimate thing to be concerned about."

In the same way that labels alert consumers as to whether products have been tested on animals, or whether products contain animal products, pharmaceutical products should have labels indicating whether they've been tested on the cell lines of unborn children, Goring suggested in his sermon on tainted pharmaceuticals.

"I want to see a little symbol one day that indicates [that] this medication I'm taking, or the product I'm taking is not abortion tainted. Because I don't want to have anything to do with the taking of the innocent life of a child," Goring said.

Strickland, who has frequently decried the abortion-tainted COVID "vaccines," most recently highlighted the failure of bishops in their duty to oppose totalitarian COVID mandates, in an episode of The Bishop Strickland Show.

One Nation Under God

Students for Life Releases Report Featuring Catholic Schools With Ties to Planned Parenthood

The pro-life group tracked colleges and universities linked to the nation's largest abortion provider in a recent report.

By Lauretta Brown, January 3, 2022

When Catholic institutions of higher learning publicly associate with Planned Parenthood despite the Church's clear teaching on the grave evil of abortion, faithful students and alumni should take note. A recent report from Students for Life can help them do just that. The group investigated 784 Christian institutions, including 237 Catholic schools, and initially found that 103 Christian schools, including 22 Catholic schools, were connected with Planned Parenthood.

After Students for Life reached out to schools with links to the abortion giant, a third of them cut those ties, and the number dropped to 69 schools total, including eight Catholic institutions.

The report, released in December, investigated the websites of Christian schools over the fall of 2021 and identified relationships to Planned Parenthood that included promoting the organization as an internship opportunity for credit, promoting it as a student resource, advertising or partnering on a Planned Parenthood event from 2019 onward, and promoting the group as a volunteer or career opportunity. Schools with these ties to Planned Parenthood were emailed and called in September and October of 2021, prior to the report's release, giving them a chance to remove the references to the organization.

Lauren Enriquez, deputy media strategist with Students for Life, told the Register that the report was meant to hold these schools accountable to "the students that go there, the alumni, especially if they're donors, and parents who are going to be paying their kids' tuition to go to these schools." According to their latest annual report, Planned Parenthood performed 354,871 abortions in the past year.

Enriquez said that pro-life stakeholders in Christian and Catholic schools "need to be aware" when an institution is connected with the abortion giant.

Catholic Schools Graded on Planned Parenthood Ties

The seven Catholic schools that the Register found still had links to the abortion provider on their websites were St. Michael's College in Vermont, Sacred Heart University of Connecticut, The College of St. John Fisher in New York, Marymount University in Virginia, The College of St. Rose in New York, St. Joseph's University of Pennsylvania, and Felician University in New Jersey. None of the schools returned the Register's request for comments on the report's findings.

Another Catholic school listed at the time of the report's release, Trinity Washington University in D.C., had a link to Planned Parenthood as a women's health resource, according to screenshots from the report, but the link is no longer publicly visible and now requires a Trinity access login. The university did not return the Register's query as to whether Planned Parenthood was still provided as a resource for students in some way or if the information had been removed entirely.



The colleges received letter grades in the report based on how many times a school's website referenced Planned Parenthood. St. Michael's College of Vermont earned the lowest grade of an "F" among Catholic schools in the report, as it listed Planned Parenthood as a resource on five separate occasions under student health services, including for contraception, dating and relationships, and men's health.

Continued reading at www.ncregister.com



Christmas Day Twitter request for pro-abortion stories receives flood of pro-life stories

By Nancy Flanders, January 2, 2022

The political website FiveThirtyEight sent out a Christmas Day tweet asking for followers to share their abortion stories from the last 10 years. It was an attempt to showcase that abortion is common and therefore acceptable, and that restrictions on abortion are harmful. But the site likely didn't get what it was expecting, as pro-life responses flooded in.

One Twitter user, Sarah, responded with a photo of her smiling daughter. She wrote, "At the ultrasound for my 2nd pregnancy we were told our baby had Down Syndrome and her heart was incompatible with life. They encouraged us to end the pregnancy. She's completely healthy."

Another parent wrote, "We had an NIPT test at 10 weeks which detected Trisomy 21. 20 week ultrasound revealed ventricular septal defect with aortic override. We were adamant we were keeping her no matter what. And she's amazing."

I found myself unexpectedly pregnant at the age of 22 in my last semester of college with my daughter. Her father and I decided to marry, and she is still the most unexpected blessing in our lives. I cannot imagine a world without her joyful presence.

— Robin (@robincaphill)

Robin replied to the question, saying, "I found myself unexpectedly pregnant at the age of 22 in my last semester of college with my daughter. Her father and I decided to marry, and she is still the most unexpected blessing in our lives. I cannot imagine a world without her joyful presence."

Nekochan replied about abortion regret, revealing, "I know three women that have had abortions. All three were talked into it by someone else. All three say it's the biggest regret they have in their lives."

Continued reading at www.liveaction.org

Who Appointed Warren Burger?

"The wicked walk on every side when the vilest of men are exalted."- Psalm 12:8

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Remember the phrase, "Who appointed you king?" The kernel of truth to this question was that a person was out of order. Warren Burger's Court handed down "Roe v Wade" and "Doe v Bolton" striking down laws that punished abortion, creating a government grant "right to choose abortion." Warren Burger concurred with Roe v Wade. Who appointed Warren Burger?

Burger was appointed by President Dwight D. Eisenhower in 1955 to the US Court of Appeals. In 1969, President Richard Nixon named him Chief Justice of the US Supreme Court. More evidence that The GOP isn't merely failing to overturn Roe v. Wade, Republican leadership authored Roe v. Wade.

If the GOP was really "pro-life" they would publically repudiate their Party's intimate involvement in the Roe v. Wade opinion. Not only Burger nominated by Eisenhower and Nixon, but so was Blackmun. The Democrat Party, the Libertarian Party, the Green Party and many other political parties are guilty of supporting the pro-abortion position.

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin has a "100%, no exceptions, pro-life" standard for members and leaders. "Pro-life" includes recriminalizing all abortion procedures and punishing them as acts of murder.

VOICE OF JERUSALEM

National Endowment for the Humanities Spends $250K to Fund a '1619 Project' for Israel

By Daniel Greenfield

Last year, Lior Sternfeld and Michelle Campos signed the so-called Jerusalem Declaration on Antisemitism which argued that calling for the destruction of Israel was not antisemitic.

The signatures of Sternfeld and Campos alongside numerous other anti-Israel academics was unsurprising. Sternfeld had previously signed a petition in support of BDS activists which concluded with the assertion that the signers might refuse to "enter the State of Israel". He and Campos had also signed another petition accusing the Jewish State of "apartheid".

More recently, Campos, Sternfeld, and Orit Bashkin had signed on to the Statement on Israel and Palestine in Jewish Studies accusing Israel of engaging in "state violence" against Hamas. In language echoing Soviet propaganda, it denounced Zionism as "ethnonationalist" and "settler colonial" systems of "Jewish supremacy" that led to the "segregation" of "Palestinians".

Israel, all of it, it asserts, exists on occupied territory, not only from the 1967, but the 1948 War of Independence.

From an academic standpoint, the various professors and graduate students declare that they will support their colleagues who boycott Israel and as scholars to "amplify, and support our Palestinian and other colleagues" and emphasize the "place of Palestine in Jewish Studies".

With the support of the National Endowment for the Humanities.

The NEH is one of the more toxic components of the federal government. While the Trump administration tried to defund it, the Biden administration is using it to push critical race theory into classrooms. But not to leave anyone out, it's also funding a 1619 Project for Israel.

Sternfeld, Campos, and Bashkin were showered with a nearly $250,000 grant to "reimagine" Jewish life in the Middle East before Zionism. The NEH grant, one of the two largest in Pennsylvania, funds a "large-scale collaborative project to rewrite the histories, narratives, and memories of and by Jews in the Middle East in the 19th-21st centuries."

"Most history books just want to show that Zionism was the only alternative for Jews living in the Middle East. To say that Jews were subject to restrictions that would not allow them to prosper and live in the Middle East is just nonsense," Sternfeld contended in a story about the project.

The only nonsense here is Sternfeld, who can't even enter Iran, insisting that a million Jewish refugees and a thousand years of discrimination are a figment of the imagination.

The NEH would never fund revisionist history that denied that black people were discriminated against during segregation. Why is it funding the same sort of revisionism against Israel?

The three beneficiaries of the NEH's reappropriation of taxpayer money on their behalf have made little secret of their hostility to the Jewish State.

Lior Sternfeld has described Hamas a "bogeyman", suggested that Israeli attempts to cut off Hamas "merited digging tunnels" and argued, "Can someone really compare the risk of living anywhere in Israel, to the risk of living anywhere in Gaza?"

Sternfeld slandered Israel as an "apartheid regime" and insisted that through "manipulation" Israelis "came to believe that the entire Middle East genuinely wants to eradicate Israel and the Jews" and for some reason, Israelis "do not believe the Arab leaders when they talk about peace (be it the Arab League peace initiative, or the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood leaders".

Orit Bashkin writes in one review of "discriminatory practices" as being the "building blocks of the meaning of Israeli citizenship" and rants about Israeli efforts to defend against Hamas.

Campos has accused Israelis of racism and repeated antisemitic tropes that the White House and Congress were "easily manipulated" by Israel and sent diplomats who lacked the "will to stand up to Israel". She falsely claimed that Israel had killed "hundreds of Palestinians– among them militants, yes, but mostly civilians and those just defending their homes and villages".

Academic work does not have to be defined by personal politics, but "Reimagining Jewish Life in the Modern Middle East, 1800 – Present: Culture, Society, and History" from Sternfeld, Campos, and Bashkin, is unlikely to be anything but a grander distillation of anti-zionist revisionist history that falsely insists that Jews lived peacefully under Arab Muslim occupation.

A workshop proposal from Sternfeld co-signed by Campos and Bashkin cheers "groundbreaking studies that view Jewish communities as part of their respective societies" and proposes an attempt to counter the narratives "that lament the end of Jewish existence in the Middle East and the Muslim World".

Sternfeld writes revisionist history about Iran's Jews without ever having been there. An academic who authored "Iranophobia: The Logic of an Israeli Obsession" even hailed his "revisionist history of Jews in Iran". Campos similarly tackles Jewish life under the Ottoman Empire. Her work has even been translated into Turkish. And Bashkin writes about Iraqi Jews.

The common theme of anti-zionist revisionist history is that the Jews enjoyed a good life under Muslim rule. They only moved to Israel because the left failed to build its utopian tyranny.

The implicit underlying idea is that had the Arab world undergone a true leftist revolution, Israel would never have existed or even been needed. Instead, Jews, Turks, and Arabs would have all lived happily in a socialist utopia. No matter how thoroughly the reality of the Soviet Union discredited this sort of antisemitism wrapped in utopian garb, leftists can never abandon it.

Rather than some sort of insightful perspective, this just copies and pastes the old Communist arguments against Zionism into an Arab-Muslim context. Not only isn't this sort of revisionist history new, but its Jewish proponents are just building on the old propaganda of Arab Socialist academics demanding that Jews accept their place in their own nationalist schemes.

All it takes is believing that the Jews were never a people, and never had a nation or a history.

Revisionist history of this sort is padded out with interviews with elderly Jewish refugees from Muslim countries with a fondness for Arabic. The resulting exercises are as hollow as interviews with German Jewish refugees who retain a fondness for Goethe and Berlin cafes.

But what's old hat in the middle east looks like an exciting new idea in American academia.

Jews, like all minorities, were always oppressed under Muslim rule. While there were periods of greater and lighter persecution, the myth that Sephardi Jews living under Sharia law had it easier was largely a product of Ashkenazi Jewish mythmaking and local appeasement.

While Sternfeld and Bashkin appropriate the history and suffering of Sephardi Jews, both of them carry typical European Ashkenazi last names. Sternfeld even celebrated his NEH cash with "I am beyond verklempt to share the news" in the typical minstrel show comic Yiddishism embraced by anti-Israel activists trying and failing to appropriate Jewishness.

Wondering why Sephardi Jews "vote for right-wing parties", Bashkin agonized, "I often asked myself if I had been born to Iraqi Jewish Israeli parents, what my own political inclinations might have been".

The agenda behind appropriating the Sephardi experience is to justify antisemitic violence against some Jews. Or as Campos writes in a piece on the Hebron massacre of Jewish men, women and children of "the degree to which indigenous Jews lived intimitately within the broader Palestinian community". Jews who had remained behind under Muslim rule were "indigenous" while those who had been expelled and returned to their homeland were not.

Michelle Campos dismisses the brutal murder and mutilation of Jewish men, women, and children of Hebron as "sixty-seven Jews" killed in "countrywide clashes". Behind the slick academic discourse is a dismissal and dehumanization of the Jewish victims of Islamic oppression. Campos, like most revisionist historians, seeks to replace the brutal reality of over a thousand years of oppression with selective fond memories and unfulfilled leftist aspirations.

Lior Sternfeld hailed Jewish participation in Iran's Islamic revolution in the form of Edna Sabet, born a Jewish woman, who "in the wake of her Muslim husband… joined the Mujahedin".

"Despite her tragic end, her story illustrates another aspect in the complex weave of identities and loyalties that characterized many of those from her generation," Sternfeld argued.

There are hundreds of thousands of Persian Jews living in Israel, but revisionist historians insist on displacing them for the one Jewish woman who "joined the Mujahedin".

Revisionist history begins by erasing the past even as it insists that it is really reclaiming it. Like the 1619 Project, it seeks a particular vantage point for reframing the ongoing reality while erasing the larger history that doesn't suit its ideological agenda.

Anti-Israel and anti-Zionist historians erase Jewish history to justify the erasure of Israel.

And like the 1619 Project, the revisionist history here is meant to be injected into schools.

"The book is not just for scholars. We want it to be accessible to high school students, to professors," Lior Sternfeld contends.

Propaganda is rarely meant for scholars. And this particular brand of propaganda produced by radical anti-Israel leftists is meant to influence the American educational system.

Leftists demand that the United States stop providing military aid to Israel, but they're happy to accept USAID money for their anti-Israel group and NEH funding for their anti-Israel histories.

'public health threat'

cont. from page 19

only one child really died of COVID, and sadly that child didn't receive any treatment," Dr. McCullough said.

A highly treatable disease in children

The good news is that COVID "is very treatable in children," Dr. McCullough explained. "We can treat COVID-19, if they have severe symptoms, with albuterol, budesonide, azithromycin (liquid), prednisolone (liquid), weight-based aspirin. We can always get kids out of it. Children are not a problem with COVID-19."

He says it is the right drugs in combination that have proven effective against the disease.

For children COVID-19 is already over

COVID-19 "is a problem of our seniors. Younger people get through COVID just fine. Children, it's less than a common cold. The average kid gets a cold four times a year. They won't be able to tell COVID versus not."

Further, the pandemic is likely already over for children.

"In the September and October pediatric meetings at the FDA it was generally agreed in the meeting minutes that 40% of American kids had already had COVID — through May. Now, with the Delta outbreak over the summer, my estimates are 80% of the children have already had COVID-19," Dr. McCullough explained. "It's over with. They've already had it."

"Am I right?" he continued. "I think I am. Why? Because the kids are back at school. They are all back at school. No outbreaks. If the kids are back in school and there are no outbreaks of COVID, it's done. It's over with. There is no rationale now to try to vaccinate the children. It is not a severe illness for them and we could potentially offer harm."

'Human shields'

"There are families who won't let children see the seniors because the children haven't taken the vaccine. Do those families really want to see those kids damaged in an attempt to make them a human shield?" Dr. McCullough asks.

He believes what is driving the push to vaccinate children is their elders' self-interested, misguided fear. He exhorts people to speak out against it.

"What I think is going on is this kind of mass fear of older people who are really scared of COVID-19. I think they are trying to use the children as human shields. That's wrong. Anybody in your circles that proposes a child be vaccinated, why don't you bring that up? Who's this vaccine really for? Is it for the child, or is it an attempt to make that child a human shield?

Dr. McCullough explains he is not an anti-vaccine proponent and is up to date on all of his non-COVID-19 vaccines. He believes the Novavax vaccine is promising for protection against COVID-19. It is not a genetic vaccine, which means it does not employ mRNA or DNA to trigger the human body to create the spike protein.

"If children want to stay healthy and parents want their children to be healthy simply don't let them get the vaccine," Dr. McCullough advised. "The vaccine-induced diseases that we are seeing will probably be a leading public health threat."

Cardinal Müller denounces Great Reset: COVID being used to establish global 'surveillance state'

(LifeSiteNews) — Cardinal Gerhard Müller, former Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith (CDF), has warned that COVID is being used by the proponents of the Great Reset as an opportunity to "subject the people to complete control and to establish a surveillance state."



Cardinal Gerhard Müller

Müller made the comment in an interview with Austria's St. Boniface Institute earlier this month.

The interview, conducted in German, was released today, but short excerpts had been sent out earlier.

Müller spoke about "a certain chaos" brought about by the COVID pandemic which he said is being used by the globalist elites as a way to usher in their Great Reset agenda.

He explained that the restrictions are in part "born out of the wish to use this opportunity to subject the people to complete control [and] to establish a surveillance state, just like the proponents of the Great Reset said themselves."

The German cardinal quoted the founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum (WEF), Klaus Schwab, who described the COVID-19 pandemic as an "opportunity" to implement Great Reset when he wrote in a June 2020 article that "the pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine and reset our world."

Müller condemned Schwab for describing COVID as something positive for the world.

"Many people are dying, are sick, are severely restricted in their lives, the economy is badly damaged, children cannot go to school which will have dramatic long-term effects for their souls and spirit," he said. "Then there are people who, from the height of their wealth look down on these everyday struggles and who then proclaim loudly that this is an opportunity to push their agenda."

The cardinal argued that the Great Reset agenda is "based on fraud," and explained that the fraud consists in the idea that men can, "through modern technology or modern communication, bring forth a new creation, create a new human in their image and likeness."

"I do not want to be saved and made in the image and likeness of Klaus Schwab or Bill Gates, or Soros and other such people," said Müller.

He then denounced the hypocrisy of the rich global elites. Müller described them as "people who fly to Glasgow in their private jets and then want to impose measures and restrictions on 'the masses.'"

Müller's words were similar to those of Bishop Athanasius Schneider of Kazakhstan, who was also interviewed by the St. Boniface Institute, and who explained that "freedom will be heavily curtailed by the proposed vaccine passports."

"They will give the state complete control over every single one of us," he said. "The state will be able to track our movements at all times [and] tell us where we should go and where we are not allowed to go."

Schneider then noted that never in the history of mankind had the state had "so much power to interfere in the private lives of its citizens," and described the vaccine mandates as a means to "hand over our bodily autonomy to the state."

"The individual is stripped of the most fundamental of rights, that is of making decisions regarding his own body," Schneider explained.

The idea that the COVID-19 pandemic is being used as a means to bring about a Great Reset has also been frequently expressed by Archbishop Carlo Mario Viganò, former Apostolic Nuncio to the U.S., as well as by Cardinal Raymond Burke, notably during a December 2020 sermon.

Cardinal Müller's latest comments on the issue have sparked controversy in the German media. German magazine Der Spiegel even reported that the cardinal's comments could be seen as "anti-Semitic," only because Müller dared to include American-Jewish investor George Soros in his criticism of the globalist elites.

National security alert from MSNBC's Wallace: 'Let's Go, Brandon' is a 'slow-motion insurrection'

Analysis by WorldTribune Staff, December 28, 2021

Joe Biden's foot soldiers in Big Media never miss an opportunity to justify their salaries.

Following the NORAD Tracker phone call which ended in ridicule for Biden, MSNBC personality Nicolle Wallace proclaimed that anyone who vocalizes "Let's Go, Brandon" is fomenting insurrection.

After playing the now-viral clip of Oregon father of four Jared Schmeck saying the phrase to Biden during a phone call while Biden was at the NORAD "Santa tracking center", Wallace criticized Schmeck for appearing on Steve Bannon's War Room podcast.

Schmeck told Bannon: "Donald Trump is my President and he should still be President right now. The election was 100% stolen. So I just want to make that clear. Um but, Let's go Brandon is more than just 'F Joe Biden'. Let's go Brandon is, it encompasses the entirety of our frustration with Joe Biden, the administration, the leftist mob, the cancel culture, the mainstream media. They are the ones who made this a thing. And we're, we're able, as conservatives, we are able to find humor in this."

Wallace then went into a rant about how viewers shouldn't look at this as a story about "giving air time to a MAGA guy who goes on Steve Bannon's podcast." Instead, Wallace wants viewers to believe that saying "Let's Go, Brandon" is a "slow-motion insurrection."

Wallace then went to guest Jason Johnson. The host of MSNBC's The Week took it a step further by claiming "these people are basically just insurrectionists in training now."

Johnson: "MAGA isn't about taxes. You know, Let's go Brandon isn't about what you feel about supply chain issues and gas. It is the cry of insurrectionists. It is the cry of people who want to violently take over this country and oppress anyone who is not like them. He also suggested Biden should get the Justice Department, FBI, and CIA involved to investigate "where the anger that leads to these kinds of statements comes through."

Stephen Miller, a top adviser in the Trump administration, said it wasn't surprising that leftist media was triggered by someone calling in to Joe and Jill Biden to say "Let's Go, Brandon." However, Miller said what the media missed is why Biden seemed completely unaware of its true meaning.

Desmond Tutu, 90: Faithful apostle of the globalist establishment, brand ambassador of death

By WorldTribune.com, 12/29/2021
Analysis by Joe Schaeffer, 247 Real News

In this age of increasing attacks on God-given individual rights and Natural Law, be wary of those who the New Ruling Order puts on pedestals.

South African Anglican Archbishop Desmond Tutu died Dec. 26. He was 90. All the usual outlets of the dominant media apparatus have formed a chorus to portray Tutu as the Mother Teresa of global Social Justice activism. For those who have been paying attention to world events over the past 20-odd years, such a title can only mean one thing. Desmond Tutu was a faithful apostle of the globalist establishment.



Tutu with former Irish president Mary Robinson, British foreign secretary William Hague, and former US president Jimmy Carter at a London meeting of The Elders.

From Big Pharma money entanglements to disturbing population control ties, Tutu was a valuable brand that offered a coveted racial and religious sanctification for an anti-human agenda. Let us review just a few of the many ways this was done.

Tutu was a member of a globalist cabal group called The Elders. The name itself is provoking, conjuring images of wizened elites ruling over a faceless one-world tribe. As such, it should be no surprise that The Elders are emphatic supporters of the refugee invasion of The West.

The 2020 Elders Annual Report highlights the organization's commitment to collectivism and a rigidly leftist ideology. From the opening message penned by Mary Robinson, Chair and first woman president of Ireland:

[W]e must all collectively work to restore cooperation and compassion as the necessary guides of world affairs: from pandemic preparedness and responding to the threats of climate change and nuclear weapons; to racial justice, gender equality and respecting the rights of migrants and refugees.

Robinson bluntly admits: Getting Joe Biden installed in the White House was a vital part of a larger globalist reset. And the coronavirus hype is a valuable weapon in the effort:

In the year ahead, the new Administration of Joe Biden and Kamala Harris as President and Vice-President of the United States offers the chance for a "reset" not only in the national politics of the US but in international relations as a whole. The pandemic has starkly exposed the failures of narrow nationalism and populist politics that disdains scientific evidence and social cohesion. No nation can tackle this threat on its own, regardless of its power or size.

This was the world Desmond Tutu inhabited. The cleric was pro-abortion, but more than that, he defended the murder of unborn children by placing the issue squarely within a global population control framing. Again, this is important because it shows how the archbishop was utilized as a globalist brand.

Continue reading at www.worldtribune.com

