Reality News Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11

Reality News Media Group LLC

The Reality News

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com ***January 2021*** ***Vol. 12, No. 1***

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner



Two Charts That Prove Dr. Fauci Should Be In Prison for Genocide

The only people who are in favor of not using hydroxychloroquine for treating COVID-19 are Dr. Anthony Fauci, the medical elites who stand to make big money from not using it, and the Democrats.

Most doctors in the U.S. are in favor of having the option of using the drug to treat their patients. Why is this do you think? Probably because it's been shown to work!

Whenever we see the media bring doctors on to talk about it, or we do hear of them through other media outlets talking about the drug, how often are we hearing them say, "Oh…no it doesn't work at all. It's a bogus claim that it works."? NONE! Every single doctor that the media allows to talk about it says that it works.

They've done it. They've seen the results and that's why they're in favor of using it. The drug wasn't even controversial until they discovered that they could use it to treat patients.

Other countries are using the drug and having great success with it. In fact, I'm going to show you the difference between countries that have used HCQ and those that have not or have been discouraged from using it. These two charts that I'm about to show you should be enough evidence to put Dr. Fauxci behind bars. His "expertise" and decisions

Continued on page 24

Green New Deal ideologies, fantasies and realities

Your life, living standards, country and planet will take a big hit under the Green New Deal



By Paul Driessen

Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, AOC, the Democrat Party and US environmentalists are committed to making climate change, the Green New Deal, and replacement of fossil fuels with wind, solar, battery and biofuel (WSBB) power the centerpiece of their foreign and domestic policies.

They claim the transition would be

Continued on page 7

WHO Chief Scientist Warns "No Evidence COVID Vaccine Prevents Viral Transmission"

By Tyler Durden

Once again, the WHO has stepped in to offer some confusing comments about the coronavirus vaccine, warning that there is "no evidence to be confident shots prevent transmission" and that people who receive the vaccine should continue wearing masks and following all social distancing and travel guidelines.

The comments were made by WHO chief scientist Soumya Swaminathan during what appears to have been a virtual press conference held Monday.

"At the moment, I don't believe we

Continued on page 4

Interior Department Nominee Opposes Nuclear Power



Dr. Jay Lehr



Tom Harris

By Dr. Jay Lehr & Tom Harris

If Joe Biden ever does become president, his pick for Secretary of the Interior, New Mexico Representative Deb Haaland, will be an easy target for Senate Republicans in her confirmation hearing. Besides Haaland's illogical opposition to fracking and her

Continued on page 16

ABC News Executive Calls for 'Cleansing' the Trump Movement From America

By Victoria Taft

When you were told consistently that it wasn't President Trump they were after it was you, you might have had some doubts. But it turns out this was correct. Not only do the media want to get rid of President Trump, they want to get rid of every vestige of Trump thought and everything he represents. In case you're wondering – that's you.



Rick Klein

Today's call for a purge comes from ABC News.

As my colleague Rick Moran points out in his column The Coming Purge of All Things and People Trump, there is precedent for this in ancient times.

Soviet strongman Joseph Stalin purged his political

Continued on page 9

AB-1: Tyrants and Quislings Among Us

"As if a man did flee from a lion, and a bear met him; or went into the house, and leaned his hand on the wall, and a serpent bit him." Amos 5:19

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

AB-1 has been passed by the Wisconsin State Assembly, and is in the Senate Committee for Consideration. It was authored by a vile quisling named Robin Vos, who cavorts with the reprobate Mark Pocan to make socialist commercials. The Bill reads, "Relating to: state government actions to address the COVID-19 pandemic, extending the time limit for emergency rule procedures, providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures, and granting rule-making authority."

The egregious parts written by Robin Vos to this author are, "Under this bill, a local health officer may enforce an order to close or restrict capacity of businesses to control outbreaks and epidemics of the 2019 novel coronavirus for a maximum of 14 days unless the governing body of the local governmental unit in which the order is intended to apply approves an extension of the order. Each extension may not

Continued on page 12

Taming Political Hypocrisy: Modest Proposals v. Outrageous



By William L. Kovacs

Why should we be surprised that the Covid-19 pandemic is the perfect situation for viewing political hypocrisy? It allows politicians to order us around using language couched in protecting our safety while hoping our actions keep the public space safe for them to do whatever they want "safely."

Gavin Newsom, Governor of California, is the "face of privileged liberal hypocrisy," for ordering 40 million Californians to wear masks and eat outside, while he celebrated a lobbyist birthday; inside and without a mask.

Continued on page 18

Immigration and China's Threat to Our Sovereignty Part One: Betrayal of the Golden Venture



By Tom DeWeese

One of the key arguments used by proponents of open borders is that America has always welcomed the downtrodden of the world to join us. Americans are a compassionate people with a strong sense of right and wrong. We believe it is our duty to help those who cannot help themselves. It's how we celebrate our own birthright of freedom.

However, while Americans are happy to extend a helping hand they also don't want to be taken for

Continued on page 20

China Stands Ready to Exploit Biden's Weakness



By Larry Bell

As Director of National Intelligence John Radcliffe stated in a December 3 Wall Street Journal op-ed piece, resisting Beijing's attempt to reshape and dominate the world is the challenge of our generation.

DNI Radcliffe wrote, "If I could communicate one thing to the American people from this unique vantage point, it is that the People's Republic of China poses the greatest threat to America today, and the greatest threat to

Continued on page 19

Steve's Say . . .

Dear God, Why Can't You Follow The Script?

By Steve Welcenbach

My prediction from the last Steve's Say that Joe Biden would concede before the January 6th congressional gathering to count electors and designate the President-elect clearly was wrong.

This occurred not because Joe Biden won any election (for the entire world knows it was stolen and the evidence of the fraud and how it was done is beyond overwhelming) but because our governmental institutions are so compromised and corrupted that they cannot correct crystal clear criminality.

Without a complete extrication of these corrupt institutions the American experiment in liberty ends. Government of the People, by the People and for the People will indeed perish from the earth. Such an extrication requires the Hand of God. America needs a miracle to survive.

Elected representatives at all governmental levels, elected as guardians of our constitutional republic and American way of life, displayed cowardice and lack of understanding of their roles and power outlined within the US Constitution. My clearly misplaced belief that these people would honor their oaths to our country and to us all demonstrated just how little political courage remains in these institutions. Hopelessly broken, if the corrupt government institutions are not discarded by some miracle of God Almighty, we all will be marched down the road to Hell. And if God allows that to happen, it wasn't by His choice, but because we let the Culture of Death that we saw growing all around us to continue on until it consumed us all.

So what do we have left? Plenty. Faith in the Savior our Lord Jesus Christ who came into the world to extricate us from death by sin. Once you believe in Him, in the One the Father sent, you are no longer of this world, though your assigned work of evangelization continues in it.

Remember, we don't get to choose when our work on earth ends. God commands every moment of every life, unless we choose to despair, take our own life, and follow Satan into Hell.

Remember back in mid-March when the world collectively lost its mind over the dreaded Covid virus? I postulated 4 scenarios that might play out over the course of the next year. I am reprinting that article here for your re-consumption and re-evaluation. Today it seems that the first scenario, "Taming the Herd," fits our current situation best, though Trump's presidential leadership managed to avoid a complete economic crash. Scenarios 2 & 3 have passed us by so scenario #4 represents the only positive outcome left of these four. Scenario #4 always required the Hand of God to become reality.

So it appears Mr. Biden will be illegitimately sworn in as the US President next week Wednesday unless the Hand of God comes down upon us before then.

I've learned that God's plan never looks like the one I draw up. God apparently thinks He knows more than me. And every time I re-learn that He really does.

Only our sincere humility before God Almighty and our King the Lord Jesus Christ can save us.

I still believe with all of my heart that God Almighty will show His power and greater glory by delivering America from the pursuing army of Satan, by parting our Red Sea just like He did for the Israelites as they stood next to it watching the most powerful army on earth approach, ready to destroy them all. Not only did He safely deliver them all to the other side, but he vanquished those enemies forever under the waters of His Red Sea.

Please keep praying for the miracle, for the



Hand of God to reach down from the heavens and eviscerate the Army of Satan that intends to destroy America forever.

Taming The Herd

By Steve Welcenbach

On Wednesday last week March 11th the world melted down.

I spent the entire day inside a downtown hotel at a required training seminar covering DOT, EPA and OSHA regulations. After a drink in the bar with some of the clients I had mustered to attend as well, I got in the car to drive home. Turning on the Mark Belling show, I heard the news that the Big Ten tournament had been cancelled. Shortly thereafter I heard that the entire NCAA tournament and the NBA season were now off as well. I'm thinking, "Did the entire world just drop LSD while I was in class?"

Later that night at my neighborhood bible study all of us wondered just what this meant and what the next step would be. It didn't take long to find out. Baseball postponed until further notice. Same with football. Every company and organization on Earth e-mailing you to tell you about their coronavirus policies they just implemented. By the end of the weekend municipalities and then states mandated restaurants and bars to close other than for carry out. Then no group gatherings greater than 50 people. And now down to 10.

This is some serious government crackdown. Many of us question the wisdom and constitutionality of what's being done. The enormous economic damage this crackdown inflicts daily must be weighed against the supposed crisis that would result from not implementing these government actions which essentially puts us under a state of martial law. Its hard to see how the death toll or overwhelming of medical resources would be more severe than the past flu/virus epidemics occurring every 2-3 years. Most people think we are in the midst of an irrational panic fanned by the mainstream media, the Democrat party and other Trump hating individuals. I tend to agree.

In conversation with some friends I described what's happening now in our world to the reverse

Continued on page 10

REALITY NEWS

RealityNewsMedia.com

Steven Welcenbach *Owner/Editor*
414-491-3421

We'd like to hear from you.

Please e-mail article content to
realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com

For advertising information call
414-491-3421

We reserve the right to edit or reject content.

Printed by
a Local Company; 414-840-0299

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____
Mailing Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____
☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ DISCOVER ☐ AMERICAN EXPRESS Sec. Code _____
Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____
Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link:
https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen…"



Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



Bill Gates Wants to Dim the Sun to Save the Planet—Should He?

By E. Calvin Beisner

"[W]hile you may have been paying attention to his efforts on vaccination and lockdowns, you may not have noticed that one of [Bill] Gates' most controversial causes just got a go-ahead: A project that would help block out the sun," wrote C. Douglas Golden in Western Journal December 27.

He was referring to the fact that the mega-billionaire tech guru has provided funding for a joint effort by Harvard researchers and the Swedish Space Corporation for an experiment to block sunlight to cool the earth.

"The idea is simple: spray a bunch of particles into the stratosphere, and they will cool the planet by reflecting some of the Sun's rays back into space," wrote Jeff Tolleson in Nature in 2018.

Glen Beck's The Blaze and others have sounded the alarm, calling the plan bizarre-sounding, arrogant, an exercise in hubris, even a blasphemous challenge to God's control over global climate.

Others see it differently, as a very limited experiment that might yield beneficial results to slow or stop allegedly dangerous global warming.

Get a sober assessment of this on Cornwall Alliance's From the Stacks livestream program next Tuesday, January 12, at 7 p.m. Eastern Time, as Dr. Beisner interviews University of Missouri professor of atmospheric science Dr. Anthony Lupo about it. Invite your friends to join you in watching live and posing questions in the comments section on our Facebook and Youtube pages.

Nuking The Anti-Nuke Crowd: Experts Agree the Tide Has Turned in Nuclear's Favor

By Duggan Flanakin

How has the Trump Administration fared in meeting the multiple challenges that have slowed the growth of nuclear energy in the U.S. to a near-halt? And what are the prospects for nuclear energy in a Biden-Harris Administration?

It is now seventy-five years since the U.S. ended the war against Japan by dropping nuclear bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki (both currently thriving). Eight years later, President Eisenhower, in his world-famous "Atoms for Peace" speech before the United Nations, invited citizens to the debate over using nuclear science and technology for power generation.

President Kennedy switched the nation's attention from nuclear to the space program, but beginning in the Nixon Administration through the Three Mile Island incident in 1979, the U.S. authorized most of the 99 nuclear reactors at 61 plants still operating in 2017.* As President Trump came to office, the Aspen Institute issued a report asserting, "Nuclear power in the U.S. is at a moment of existential crisis. If the present challenges are not addressed, then the future of nuclear energy may be far less promising and superior U.S. nuclear expertise diminished."

[*Note. °V President Obama°¶s†Clean Energy Plan†provided funding for nuclear energy, including creating the Gateway for Accelerated Innovation in Nuclear (GAIN). And in 2012, despite objections by the chairman, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) authorized Southern Co. to build and operate two new reactors at its Vogtle nuclear power plant in Georgia, the first in the U.S. since 1979.]†The Aspen report asserted boldly that the U.S. needs a strong domestic nuclear program to maintain its exceptional competence to address safety, threat reduction, and nonproliferation issues. They courted the environmental community by noting further that nuclear is a necessary component in the war against climate change if we are to also maintain an adequate supply of affordable electricity. "A world without nuclear power," the Aspen authors concluded, "would require an incredible – and likely unrealistic – amount of renewables to meet climate targets."

The Aspen authors further stated that the U.S. public is generally supportive of nuclear power but concerned about nuclear waste. Worse, far too many nuclear power plants in development have broken budgets and fallen behind schedule. Given the lack of political will or a national energy crisis at the time, the authors placed their hope that advanced reactors that use new types of coolants, operate and different pressures and temperatures, or are smaller and more modular, would win widespread approval. Nuclear waste is now seen as an overhyped, unscientific issue. In a 2019 paper, Aspen Institute trustee Bill Budinger argued that the fear of nuclear waste is largely unfounded – an issue "hugely exaggerated when we were trying to scare people away from nuclear." The total amount of nuclear waste accumulated over the past 60 years from all U.S. nuclear power plants would fit inside a two-story building covering one city block. And cost overruns and delays are largely the product of anti-nuclear attitudes that have driven regulation to extremes (and are inappropriate for newer reactor designs).

In April 2020 President Trump unveiled his Strategy to Restore American Nuclear Energy Leadership, an initiative to restore America's long-lost competitive nuclear advantage. The first step outlined in the plan is to revive and strengthen the U.S. uranium mining industry, support uranium conversion services, end reliance on foreign uranium enrichment, and sustain the current fleet of nuclear-powered aircraft carriers and submarines.

Among the Trump plan's many objectives was creating a Uranium Reserve, streamlining regulatory reform and land access for uranium extraction (cutting red tape), supporting the National Reactor Innovation Center and Versatile Test Reactor, demonstrating the use of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) and micro-reactors to power federal facilities, and adding protections to prevent future uranium dumping into the U.S. market. [The complete list can be found here.]

In November, the Associated Press reported that the Idaho National Laboratory was the Energy Department's first choice for constructing and operating the Versatile Test Reactor (VTR). This first new test reactor built in the U.S. in decades would give the nation a dedicated "fast-neutron-spectrum" testing capability. Energy Secretary Dan Brouillette explained that the VTR "continues to be a high-priority project for DOE to ensure nuclear energy plays a role in our country's energy portfolio."

Meanwhile, Llewellyn King reported in Forbes in October that there is an active community of entrepreneurs promoting reactors of various designs (including molten salt modular reactors), using in part seed money for SMRs provided through the Obama-era GAIN program. The increase in private investment in nuclear technology and development is a strong sign that nuclear may have finally overcome the media-induced stigma resulting from Three Mile Island, Chernobyl, and Fukushima.*

[*Note. °V As Indian researcher Vijay Raj Jayaraj† reported†recently, the Chernobyl accident happened partly because of intentional negligence of a faulty reactor. Environmentalist Michael†Shellenberger pointed out†recently that,†"Radiation from Chernobyl will kill, at most, 200 people, while the radiation from Fukushima and Three Mile will kill zero people." Moreover, despite the fact that hundreds of thousands of women aborted their babies after the Chernobyl incident, UCLA researchers found that the children that have been born close to Chernobyl had no detectable abnormalities during their birth."]

And just this past week, the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works approved a bipartisan bill, the American Nuclear Infrastructure Act (ANIA), that advances President Trump's initiative to establish a U.S. national strategic uranium reserve. The bill got strong backing from industry officials, including Uranium Energy Corp CEO Amir Adnani.

Adnani said in a statement that, "The bipartisan [American] Nuclear Infrastructure Act is broad-reaching legislation, important for supporting the U.S. nuclear fuel industry, national security, and clean energy. The legislation will provide a clear path for the implementation of the U.S. uranium reserve and provide a strong platform to revitalize the U.S. uranium industry."

Under ANIA, the Department of Energy may only buy uranium recovered from facilities licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission or equivalent agreement state agencies; uranium from companies owned, controlled, or subject to the jurisdiction of Russia or China would be excluded.

According to several prognosticators, the presumed Biden Administration will carry on, or even accelerate, the work initiated by President Obama and championed by President Trump to revitalize and prioritize the U.S. nuclear energy program. Progressive analyst James Conca wrote during the Democratic Party convention that the primary difference between Trump's and Biden's nuclear policy is that Biden's is part of a climate change agenda, while Trump's focus was on the national security elements of nuclear energy.

According to Conca, "(A)ll the leading climate scientists say we cannot address climate change without significant nuclear power, so supporting nuclear power – or not – is a clear signal about how serious a candidate is about climate change and how serious they are about supporting science over mere activism." He further insisted that, "if Democrats want any clean energy plan to succeed at all, it better include nuclear."

Similarly, Josh Siegel, writing in the Washington Examiner, agreed that "Biden's support for nuclear power … promises to be one of the rare instances of energy policy continuity between the incoming and outgoing administrations." Siegel also acknowledged that Democrats, realizing that wind and solar alone are insufficient to decarbonize the power grid, are for the most part giving up their longstanding opposition to nuclear energy.

There is just one caveat. Should for any reason Kamala Harris replace Biden as Commander-in-Chief, her responses during the 2020 Presidential campaign to questions about whether she supported nuclear energy were not so sanguine. On multiple occasions her answer was, "Yes, temporarily, while we increase investment into cleaner renewable alternatives."

Not exactly a ringing endorsement or acknowledgment of the growing bipartisan energy reality.

The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



Rethinking Lockdowns: A Cost Benefit Analysis

By Dr. Ari Joffe

OTTAWA, ON (December 18, 2020): With case tallies rising, much of Canada is or could be facing even tighter COVID-19 restrictions and lockdown measures. However, such restrictions come at a cost; is that cost worth paying again this winter?

In a new MLI commentary titled "Rethinking lockdowns: The risks and trade-offs of public health measures to prevent COVID-19 infections," Dr. Ari Joffe, a specialist in pediatrics and pediatric infectious diseases, examines both the efficacy and cost of lockdown measures employed in Canada and globally in early 2020. He finds that based on the most recent empirical data, the cost of lockdown measures widely exceeds their benefits.



"I was an initial proponent of lockdowns," explains Dr. Joffe. However, as better information emerged, he re-examined the efficacy of such programs and found them harder to justify.

In particular, as the pandemic has progressed, societies have found that the infection fatality rate is lower than was initially feared when lockdown measures were first implemented. Dr. Joffe also notes that we have a much better understanding of who is at risk, arguing that "less than 10 percent of the population is at high-risk, accounting for more than 90 percent of potential deaths from COVID-19."

Furthermore, lockdowns were generally an emergency measure implemented with little in the way of a meaningful exit strategy – at least, beyond simply waiting for an effective vaccine. The resurgence of cases throughout much of the world, and the return to lockdowns in various jurisdictions, points to some of the drawbacks associated with an overreliance of such nonpharmaceutical interventions.

Lockdowns have also resulted in a wide-range of unintended ramifications. Economic damage, delays in "non-urgent" surgeries, diagnoses, and treatments, and excess deaths arising from the "collateral effects" of lockdown measures should all be considered as policy-makers weigh future measures.

Dr. Joffe argues that Canadians have been essentially presented with a "false dichotomy" – between a choice of either economically-damaging lockdowns or lethal inaction. However, his analysis finds that the costs of the lockdown measures compare poorly against their purported benefits when measured by Quality Adjusted Life Years, or QALY.

"Various cost-benefit analyses from different countries, including some of these costs, have consistently estimated the cost in lives from lockdowns to be at least five to 10 times higher than the benefit, and likely far higher," writes Dr. Joffe.

Instead of resorting to lockdowns, policy-makers must better balance priorities while still protecting those most vulnerable to the virus. Some recommendations for a recalibrated response include:

• Better public education on the cost-benefit analysis of conducting lockdowns, and ensure that future consideration of lockdowns factor in more than just COVID-19 cases numbers.

• Keeping schools open due to the low morbidity and transmission risks associated with children.

• Focus restrictions and protection measures on high-risk populations.

• Improve surge capacity in healthcare settings if real-time data suggests a need.

"The decision to adopt repeated or prolonged lockdown measures cannot be based on COVID-19 numbers alone," writes Dr. Joffe. "Instead, we need to better recognize the risks and trade-offs inherent in our public health measures."

Ari R. Joffe MD, FRCPC is a specialist in pediatrics, pediatric infectious diseases, and pediatric critical care medicine. He has been at the University of Alberta and Stollery Children's Hospital since finishing training in 1995, and is now a Clinical Professor in the Department of Pediatrics, and an adjunct Clinical Professor at the John Dossetor Health Ethics Centre.

No Evidence *cont. from p.1*

have the evidence on any of the vaccines, to be confident that it's going to prevent people from getting the infection and passing it on,"

Of course, a close look at the research released by Pfizer and Moderna shows the studies haven't actually tested whether the vaccines actually prevent transmission of the virus; the goal of the trials was to see whether vaccinated patients presented with COVID symptoms at a rate that was substantially less frequent than individuals who hadn't been vaccinated. That's pretty much it. Though the data might hint at lowering transmission rates, that's still tbd, apparently.

The doctor went on to explain that there's no evidence to suggest that those who have been vaccinated wouldn't be a risk if they traveled to a foreign country, say Australia, with relatively low COVID rates.

t this point, it might be helpful for the WHO to produce some kind of clarification that either offers substantially more context to explain this remark.

But we suspect they won't.

Why? Well, perhaps because that context might undermine certain government officials' insistence that there's absolutely no reason to question the efficacy, and potential side effects (both long-term, and short) tied to the new COVID-19 vaccines.

Vaccines are being distributed by the millions, but can and should you get one, and where?

By Jane M. Orient, M.D.

Moderna is confident that it can produce 500 million doses of COVID-19 vaccine in 2021—enough to give two doses to 75 percent of the U.S. population. But obviously not everyone can be vaccinated at once. The first doses are being allocated to healthcare workers, other essential workers, and high-risk persons such as elderly. Some people feel aggrieved that others are in line before them—unfairly.

The safest place to get a vaccine or other treatment is in a place where the atmosphere is calm, your medical record is accessible, and equipment and trained personnel are immediately available. At least one clinic, with a very large patient base, bought a new freezer—but hasn't been able to get vaccine.

An unexpected COVID-19 danger you might face currently is at a mass vaccination site. When people are frightened and angry, the last place you want to be is in a crowd or a traffic jam. In Florida, police and National Guard were at one vaccination site. Tempers were frayed. Many had been lined up in their cars for hours and were furious when turned away for lack of an appointment.

People were instructed to wait afterward in the parking lot and sound their horn if they were having a reaction. Apparently, the site was prepared to treat anaphylactic shock, but problems can recur after an initial response. Is there a safe exit path to an emergency room? Are emergency rooms ready?

Questions are swirling around on the internet. Can the time guidelines for the second dose—21 days for Pfizer, 28 days for Moderna—be relaxed so that more people can get partial protection from the first dose, as the UK government proposed? Can you get the second dose with a different vaccine based on availability? The FDA says stick with the schedule that has been tested. If you are a little late for the second shot, officials say get it as soon as you can.

Hundreds of people are showing up at emergency rooms with vaccine reactions, including high fever and severe headache. It can look just like COVID. The vaccine contains no virus, so it can't infect you, but it takes a week or two for the protection to start, and you might have been infected before you got vaccinated. Most reactions are expected to resolve in a day or two, but if there is a physical injury it would be covered under the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program (CICP).

There have been a few post-vaccine deaths, e.g. a healthy Miami physician, but Pfizer says they are unrelated. Doctors are urged to counter "bad reaction anecdotes" with "good reaction" stories.

The virus is reportedly mutating, and the mutant might not be affected by the new monoclonal antibody treatments . Will the vaccine still be effective?

The vaccine is not a magic bullet. Look into immunity-boosting measures and early treatment options that you may need whether you eventually get a vaccine or not. See:

• A Home-Based Guide to COVID Treatment.

• c19protocols.com.

If you would like to discuss these issues, contact me at janeorientmd@gmail.com or (520) 323-3110.

The 15 Trillion Dollar COVID Question

TheOneTrueNarrative.com

Approximately 5 Trillion Dollars of U.S.A. and 15 trillion world productivity has been lost to humanity's response to COVID[1], [2] Billions of human lives were negatively affected by lockdowns, loss of income, loss of businesses in many sectors, massively increased poverty, health affects from COVID and other newly 'neglected diseases' (heart health, cancer, and other screenings missed, medical care unavailable)[3]

Was the 5 trillion lost to humanity's response to COVID, or to the virus itself?[4]

Never before has the entire world responded to the threat of a virus and subsequent disease and death from it in this manner. The world and its governments, both supranational, national, regional and local, chose their response, which subsequently affected their populations. The virus didn't choose for us – it was OUR choice.

In February, March, April, and even May of 2020, it was understandable for the common response from various leaders to be: "We don't understand much about COVID, so we're taking precautions". It's now December, 2020, and for the most part, the world is being told the same thing: "We don't know how to stop COVID or keep it under control."[5]

Worse yet, the necessary testing has either not been done to evaluate whether social distancing works (and what distance works 'best'), whether masks are effective (N95 vs cloth vs other) and how effective they are at limiting the spread of COVID (no, the 'science' is not settled, and there has yet to be published a compendium of actual, valid testing from multiple sources that corroborates an answer, although a recent Dutch study of 6,000 participants appears to demonstrate no beneficial effect of wearing masks)[6], what is the true R-naught (R0) of this virus, how exactly, and in great detail, it enters human cells and goes through its replication inside them, whether lockdowns work and what parameters make an effective or ineffective lockdown, which antivirals work (if any), which vaccines work (if any), what's necessary for herd immunity (which the WHO has just quietly changed the definition of to exclude natural infection and claim that ONLY vaccines can provide)[7] (and whether we have it yet or not), etc.

When trillions of dollars are at stake, one would think that each and every assumption that contributed to the problem and our response to it would be questioned, broken down, intensely scrutinized, tested, validated, and shared.

If proper scrutiny has happened, where is the evidence of it? Adding to the problem is the apparent censorship of various potential therapies, whether effective or not, such as hydroxychloroquine, quashing and retraction of scientific papers, social media bans of 'dangerous misinformation' – a favorite label of ideas that contradict the COVID narrative, mainstream media coverage blackouts, and various pundits declaring that a 'great reset', a 'new normal', and a need to 'build back better' (without concrete plans or supporting data) are the only ways forward.[8]

Consider the foundation of the COVID structure of thought – testing.

The vast majority of testing to determine whether an individual is 'infected' or not utilizes an RT-PCR (reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction) test. From conversations with several companies offering this test, the method boils down to the following: A nasal – pharyngeal cotton swab is used to gather 1-2mL of fluid from a person. Within this fluid, a primer is added that will bind to a recognizable portion of the RNA nucleotide sequence of SARS-CoV-2 ("aka COVID'). If binding occurs, the RNA fragment is reverse transcribed into a sequence of DNA, which then goes through a transcription phase that produces 2 new copies of the RNA sequence of COVID (a doubling). This is called a 'cycle' or cycle threshold (Ct).[9]

Although I don't have a full understanding of all the potential assumptions governing RT-PCR COVID testing, here are several important ones:

• How many virus particles are necessary to successfully infect someone? 1? 100? 1,000? 1 million? Where is the double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial that demonstrates this?

• Is COVID well-understood enough to utilize the current RNA fragments for testing, or are multiple fragments or a longer sequence needed to truly identify ONLY this virus and not others that are similar and exclude contaminating human, microbiome or other genetic material?

• What is the level of detection (LoD) of the current RT-PCR tests used commonly? (higher LoD's can increase false negative test results by 13% or more)[10]

• How many amplification cycles are used to determine if someone tests positive? (this is an INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT question that is NOT being reported by testing labs)

• How many viral particles (after x amplification cycles) are the threshold for a positive test? (1 million? 100 million? 100 billion? 1 trillion?)

• If someone tests positive who is asymptomatic, are they infectious, and if so, HOW infectious? If someone tests positive who is symptomatic, same question.

• False negative and false positive rates on current COVID tests have been reported to 2% to 33%, even 97% in some cases.[11]Why then is someone who tests positive, who is symptomatic or asymptomatic, whose test is based on an unknown cycle threshold (Ct), considered not only to be a "COVID case", but also to be infectious to others?[12],[13]

• Failing to clearly explain and make public these assumptions has gotten the world into monumental trouble… Bad and hidden assumptions have lead to an entire structure of thought, the ruination of billions of human lives, and trillions in economic damage; all built on potentially faulty assumptions[14]

What the swiss-cheese foundation of COVID understanding has lead to…

If governments, corporations, employers, families, and individuals believe that COVID tests give accurate results, and that a positive test means you're "infected", "infectious to others", and a "case", then the aggregate results of these tests will and have caused cities, regions, states, nations, and individuals to:

• Inaccurate Reporting of the number of cases, including both active and resolved cases (past cases are mostly irrelevant to daily living), number of hospitalizations, deaths from COVID (not with 2.6 other comorbidities, per the CDC who says only 6% of COVID deaths were attributable to COVID alone)[15] infection mortality ratio, case mortality ratio, whether rising 'cases' are a cause for alarm vs rising hospitalizations, and more

• Lockdowns for arbitrary periods of time, causing untold misery, unnecessary death, domestic violence, and economic destruction for a completely misunderstood amount of 'lives saved'

• Quarantine people for an arbitrary length of time (14 days) at significant personal, monetary and opportunity expense, often making travel impossible or impractical

• Incessant Testing incessantly and unnecessarily, when no true remedies or effective actions are available nor understood; this also inflates 'cases' of COVID, as positive tests, no matter how faulty, are equated with 'being infected' and 'sick'

• Legislative Destruction of human rights and freedoms, under penalty of fines, imprisonment, physical brutality from police and law enforcement, isolation from family, work and friends, and loss of income

• Mask Mandates, which negatively affect people's oxygen / carbon dioxide breathing ratio (hypoxia or hypercapnia), their ability to see, to interact with other humans, and cause increased airway resistance and cardiovascular distress. Masks may substantially negatively affect peoples' health by causing respiratory distress[16] headaches, 'mask mouth', bad breath, inhalation of cloth or other fibers that can lodge in the lungs, and countless other problems. "The science" is by no means 'settled' on how much and if masks help slow the spread of COVID, contrary to generic statements made by health officials with zero supporting data and studies.[17]

• Social Distancing which has zero scientific studies on its effects, is not even supported on an anecdotal level (because it is impossible to anecdotally determine its effects) never mind supported by randomized, placebo-controlled, double blind scientific studies. SD alienates people from one another, and amps up the fear factor of what used to be normal human interactions.

• Forced Vaccinations which are currently being considered by various corporations and governments worldwide, with no idea of whether rapidly developed vaccines will be effective or safe, and to what degree. Some vaccines candidates use messenger RNA to cause a person's cells to express COVID spike proteins, and may cause a slew of unintended, unknown serious side effects. Since mRNA vaccines are new, and their development has been rushed, there is no telling what the outcome of their short- and long-term use. In addition, accurate results require hundreds of thousands of vaccination events with long-term observation for effects, at the very minimum, especially in light of calls for mandatory vaccination of 7.8 BILLION PEOPLE. Adverse vaccination events are already happening, and accurate reporting of their incidence and severity appears to be on the censorship chopping block already. Vaccine manufacturers are immune to lawsuits for recipients who are damaged by them, and this is PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE.[18]

• Contact Tracing its effectiveness is completely unsubstantiated whether someone is infectious, how long they are infectious, whether they should isolate themselves from which situations, and for how long. Forced internment in 'COVID camps' has not only been considered but is actively being used in several nations and regions.[19])

• Fear that a friend, loved one, co-worker, or random passerby is infected, frays and destroys friendships, family associations, marriages, and all social interactions.

• Ratting on Strangers, Co-workers, Businesses, Neighbors, Friends, & Family to Authorities for not

wearing masks, not quarantining, not social distancing, not taking vaccines, not testing often enough, and more.

Think of all the fallout, destruction, fear and ruination from an unproven, misunderstood, misapplied test that has many hidden and unverified assumptions

Now let's tackle one of the most important and problematic aspects of RT-PCR testing for COVID: the number of amplification cycles (cycle threshold) used…

There is an ancient story about the invention of the game of Chess. I won't repeat the whole story here, but it brings to light the exponential effects of doubling over a period of days. The 2nd half of the chessboard[20] is a phenomenon that showcases how doubling small numbers, over a period of days, can massively amplify the number into the millions, billions, trillions, and upwards.

This is why the number of PCR amplification cycles is so critical to the whole COVID response. If we don't know how many viral particles are needed to infect someone and make them sick, then the more amplification cycles of a PCR test we run, the more likely the test will pass the 'positive threshold'.

Here's an example: Let's say the final amplified number of viral particles must be over 100 million to accurately state that that individual is 'infected' and likely to experience symptoms and/or infect others.

If someone has ONE SINGLE VIRAL PARTICLE in their nasal swab, and we run PCR testing, the final test results are shown below:

Cycle Threshold (Ct)	Final Viral Particles
30	536.9 million
35	17.2 billion
40	549.8 billion
45	17.6 trillion

Dr. Anthony Fauci himself has stated that PCR tests run for 35 amplification cycles or more do not correlate with an infected person.[21] Many test providers are suspected to be running 45 amplification cycles, leading to massive overstatement of positive tests. Look at the numbers above. There is a TEN THOUSAND-FOLD difference in the number of final viral particles, depending on the number of cycles.

Imagine if someone has 100 or 1,000 or 10,000, or 1 million viral particles in their body to start. The numbers, no matter how high or low the bar is set, will give false and misleading data.[22],[23] …and as I have just described, what happens when someone tests positive for COVID? The entire cascade of consequences listed above is what happens.

THIS is just one of the major cracks in the COVID house of cards that is causing trillions of dollars of economic damage, billions of lives made miserable, and hundreds of millions of lives ruined by faulty assumptions.

Share this article with everyone you know. Either label it 'dangerous misinformation' or a violation of community guidelines' or pass it on to everyone you know. The 'new normal' and 'great reset' promises to be a dystopian future of control, fear, and endless violations of human rights unless you take a stand.

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was January 9, 2021

Quote of the Week:
The right to search for the truth implies also a duty; one must not conceal any part of what one has recognized to be true.
– Albert Einstein. [H/t Michael Dourson]

****

Number of the Week:
[approximately] 2 times higher for July and ~ 6 times higher for August

****

THIS WEEK:
By Ken Haapala, President Science & Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

Nine Essays – US National Climate Assessments: The Office of Science and Technology Policy under David Legates has published nine information briefs on climate change from various scientists and scholars in North America. These briefs embody the view expressed by Einstein in the Quote of the Week: the weaknesses as well of the strengths of a concept must be expressed. The weaknesses to the view that carbon dioxide is the primary cause of climate change are not expressed by the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), particularly in its politicized Summary for Policymakers, and this pattern continues through its followers including the US National Assessments. A few of these important essays are discussed below.

In "Systematic Problems in the Four 'National Assessments' of Climate Change Impacts on the United States", Pat Michaels gives an overview of these assessments and how major problems in the first one (2000) have continued through the last one (2018). This is a common problem in bureaucratic science. As new information shows previous concepts are mistaken, no one displays the courage to correct the erroneous concepts. The new information may be buried in hundreds of pages of other material or in little read appendices.

Michaels brings up a classic example (showing a 2017 graph by Christy and McNider) which uses the calculated results from 25 IPCC modeling groups used by the IPCC and compares their calculated pressure level temperature trends with observations from radiosondes (instruments on weather balloons). Only the Russian model (INM-CM4) was close to observations. A similar graph was buried in the last IPCC Assessment Report (AR-5, 2013).

Michaels also discusses research indicating that CO2 emissions are often greatly overestimated in climate studies and shows that the sensitivity of the climate to increasing CO2 is greatly overestimated by the National Climate Assessments. Thus, there are at least two problems, overestimates of CO2 emissions and overestimates of climate sensitivity to CO2. After stating that adding CO2 may be a net benefit, Michaels concludes:

"The four 'National Assessments' of climate change impacts on the United States have dramatically overstated the effects of our changing climate, in large part because the climate models used have systematic biases coupled with over-estimates of the expected changes in lower atmospheric radiation resulting from human activities."

Nine Essays – Changing Sun: In their essay, "The Sun-Climate Connection" Michael Connolly, Ronan Connolly and Willie Soon bring up how little we know about the history of climate change and its causes. Their opening paragraphs bear repeating:

"Geological evidence shows that for billions of years, the world has gone through cycles of global warming and global cooling. Any cold period when permanent ice sheets exists in both polar regions is defined as an 'Ice Age.' According to this definition and because ice sheets exist in both Greenland and Antarctica, the Earth is currently in an ice age but in a relatively mild period called an 'interglacial period,' which is not as extreme as the 'glacial periods' that we typically think of when we think of 'Ice Ages'.

"Geological records indicate that five major ice ages existed during the last 2.5 billion years starting with the Huroian ice age (from 2.4 until 2.1 billion years ago) and ending with the present one called the Quaternary (from 2.6 million years ago until the present). Warm periods, when there were no large areas of permanent ice present on the Earth, are sometimes called 'greenhouse (or hothouse) periods.' From geological evidence, the Earth has been in a 'greenhouse period' about 85% of the time.

"Since ice ages were discovered nearly 200 years ago, various theories have been put forward to explain these cycles in the Earth's surface temperature. Most of these theories consist of various combinations of two main hypotheses – changes in the amount of sunlight reaching the top of the Earth's atmosphere or changes in the Earth's atmosphere, which alters the balance between the amount of sunlight that reaches the Earth's surface and the amount of heat energy that radiates out to space."

Recognizing that we are in a brief warm period between glaciations in the Quaternary Period which is an ice age demonstrates that the claims that the current warming is unprecedented are frivolous and wrong. [The Younger Dryas which ended the Pleistocene Epoch about 11,600 years ago had a far more drastic warming rate.]

In discussing the first hypothesis that current climate change is the result of changes in the amount of sunlight reaching the Earth's atmosphere, the authors bring up the important concept of Total Solar Irradiance (TSI). This is the total amount of energy arriving at the top of the atmosphere. By two different means, our forebears of the late 1800s were able to infer the TSI, but not with the precision available in the satellite era starting in the 1970s. Even in the satellite era, there is a major disagreement as to whether there there been a negative trend in TSI or a positive trend occurring in the 1980s & 90s.

Researchers trying to explain climate change prior to the satellite era need to consider proxies for TSI such as sunspot numbers, sunspot sizes, etc. The authors discuss that this is being done going back over the past 150 years. The authors state there are many different plausible TSI reconstructions and discuss eight of the estimates.

In discussing the second hypothesis that changes in the earth's atmosphere have altered the balance between the amount of sunlight that reaches the Earth's surface and the amount of heat energy that radiates out to space, the authors bring up changes in greenhouse gases and changes in the concentration of tiny airborne particles called aerosols.

The authors give a good overview of the development of the greenhouse effect concept and some of the errors made. A major on-going issue is the Urban Heat Island Effect (UHI) in which temperatures measured in or near population centers, which may give a strong bias to overall surface temperature measurements. In addressing the two hypotheses the authors conclude:

"Which of the two competing explanations is correct, or are they both wrong? Many nonscientists assume that the scientific process is linear, and science always moves forward. That is, scientists find an answer to one problem and then move on to the next. Science is much messier as, unlike common sense, science is not intuitive. Scientists analyzing the same data can often arrive at different conclusions. New data and insights are constantly revising our previous understanding. Thus, we encourage you to dig deeper and ask questions."

Nine Essays – Other: Other essays consider topics that have been discussed in TWTW over the past year. William Happer discusses Radiation Transfer, an important subject that has been and will continue to be discussed in TWTW. Ryan Maue discusses hurricanes and climate change; for which there is no significant increase, despite the artificial effect of NOAA naming once insignificant storms.

John Christy discusses the US temperature record, emphasizing that low (minimum) temperatures have increased but high (maximum) temperatures have not. Further, the hottest decade (maximum daily temperature) in the US was the 1930s, a fact that has been disguised by NOAA and NASA-GISS. These agencies have modified the annual and monthly data to minimize the 1930s warm period. The daily maximum temperatures are not modified, as of now. Thus, claims that increasing high temperatures will destroy US agriculture, drive animals to extinction, etc. have little evidence them up. Ross McKitrick addresses the false "Climate Emergency" which does not exist.

Roy Spencer states that the claim that humans are causing dangerous climate change is a matter of faith, because there is little or no evidence to reach that conclusion. In his conclusion and summary, he states:

"These facts show that the hypothesis that humans have caused most, or all recent warming involves a large measure of faith.

"As a result of the very large uncertainties in the energy flows in and out of the climate system, climate modelers adjust their computer models to not produce any internal "natural" climate change. This is the basis of their faith: That only humans can cause climate change.

"These model adjustments are necessary anyway because a climate model cannot be constructed from first physical principles and exhibit energy balance; it must be "tuned". The energy imbalance allegedly causing global warming is too small (0.8 Watts per sq. meter) to measure with any of our existing instrumentation, and certain aspects of the climate system (e.g., clouds, the efficiency of precipitation systems, etc.) are not understood well enough to accurately represent in models.

"These uncertainties are part of the reason why, even after 30 years, climate models are unable to agree with each other to even a factor of 3 regarding just how much warming will result from adding CO2 to the atmosphere.

Number of the Week: ~ [approximately] 2 times higher for July and ~6 times higher for August. Susan Crockford brings our attention to the newly issued Arctic Report Card by NOAA (ARC). The section on "Dramatic increase in ocean primary productivity" reads:

The strong interannual variability in spatial patterns of SST [Sea Surface Temperature] bears a close relationship to early summer sea ice concentrations because the regions of low sea ice area allow more direct solar heating of the exposed surface waters. August mean SSTs show statistically significant warming trends for 1982-2020 in most regions of the Arctic Ocean that are ice-free in August. Also tied to sea ice and ocean temperature conditions is productivity at the bottom of the marine food web. During July and August 2020, a ~600 km long region in the Laptev Sea of the Eurasian Arctic showed much higher primary productivity (~2 times higher for July and ~6 times higher for August) than the same months of the 2003-2019 multiyear average.

This is one region, but:

"The population size of bowheads [whales almost exclusive to the Arctic and subarctic] in the Pacific Arctic has increased in the past 30 years likely due to increases in ocean primary production as well as the northward transport of the zooplankton on which they feed."

Less summer Arctic ice may be benefiting many types of animals in the Arctic. Less summer ice is largely due to fall and spring temperatures being warmer, resulting in less thick ice.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

Green New Deal

cont. from page 1

easy, affordable, ecological, sustainable and painless. That's ideology and fantasy, not reality.

Wind and sunshine are certainly clean and renewable. But harnessing them to power America is not.

The GND would hit American families, jobs, living standards and environmental quality hard. Western states would feel the brunt, because their fossil fuel rents, royalties, jobs and tax receipts would disappear, as drilling, fracking and coal mining on federal lands are closed down. Their open spaces, scenic vistas, wildlife habitats and wildlife would be desecrated and destroyed by wind turbines, solar panels and transmission lines to serve distant urban voting blocs that dictate energy and land use decisions.

Coal, oil, natural gas and petroleum liquids still provide 80% of US energy. In 2018, they generated 2.7 billion megawatt-hours (MWh) of electricity that would have to be replaced under an all-encompassing Green New Deal costing tens of trillions of dollars.

Another 2.7 billion MWh of natural gas powered factories, emergency power systems, and furnaces, ovens, stoves and hot water heaters in restaurants, homes and other places. Cars, trucks, buses, semi-trailers, tractors, bulldozers and other vehicles consumed the equivalent of yet another 2 billion MWh.

Altogether, that's 7.4 billion megawatt-hours per year that the GND would have to replace! And on top of that we'd need at least another 150 million MWh of wind and solar generating capacity to charge batteries over and over to maintain just one week of nationwide backup power, to avoid blackouts.

The more we try to do so, the more we'd have to put turbines and panels in low quality wind and solar sites, where they'd generate electricity only 15-20% of the year, 80-85% below "nameplate capacity."

Of course, we could replace all this fossil fuel energy with nuclear power. But radical greens inside and outside the soon-to-be Biden Administration detest and oppose nuclear as much as they do fossil fuels.

That means this transformation to an all-electric nation would require millions of onshore wind turbines, thousands of offshore turbines, billions of solar panels, millions of vehicle battery modules, billions of backup energy storage battery modules, thousands of miles of new transmission lines, millions of charging stations, tens of billions of tons of concrete, steel, copper, plastic, cobalt, rare earth elements and countless other materials – and digging up hundreds of billions of tons of overburden and ores!

Even if the United States and world could somehow mine, process and smelt enough metals and minerals – and manufacture, transport and install all those turbines, panels, batteries and transmission lines – the GND would require the greatest expansion of mining and manufacturing in human history.

But radical greens inside and outside of the Biden Administration detest and oppose mining and manufacturing almost as much as they despise fossil fuels. That means we would have to look overseas for these absolutely essential metals and minerals – primarily to China and Russia, which have them within their boundaries or under their control in other African, Asian and Latin American nations.

They also have no reservation or hesitation about digging them up and processing them – without regard for child labor, slave or forced labor, workplace safety, air and water pollution, mined land reclamation or any other standards that we insist on in America. And it's highly unlikely that Team Biden would demand that those countries implement such standards – or that it would refuse to import the metals, minerals and finished "green" technologies unless China, Russia and their foreign subsidiaries abide by our rules and regulations. After all, the entire GND (and much more) would collapse without those raw materials.

Moreover, nearly all this mining, processing and manufacturing would require gasoline, diesel, natural gas and coal in those foreign countries, because those operations cannot be conducted with wind, solar and battery power. The fossil fuel use and emissions would take place outside the United States, but would not go away. Indeed they would likely double or triple. The carbon dioxide emissions would increase global atmospheric levels and, Team Biden insists, worsen climate chaos and extreme weather.

In fact, most wind, solar and battery mining, processing and manufacturing already take place overseas, under nonexistent workplace safety, fair wage, child labor and environmental laws. Some 40,000 Congolese children labor alongside their parents, for a couple dollars a day, while exposed constantly to toxic, radioactive mud, dust, water and air, to meet today's cobalt needs.

Replacing oil and gas for petrochemicals, pharmaceuticals and plastics would require importing those feed stocks, as well – or planting millions of acres in canola, soybean and other biofuel crops. The water, fertilizer, pesticide, tractor, harvester, processing and transportation requirements would be astronomical.

All that work, and all those industrial facilities, would impact hundreds of millions of acres of scenic areas, food crop lands and wildlife habitats. Raptors, other birds, bats, and forest, grassland and desert dwellers would suffer substantial losses or be driven into extinction.

Most of that would also occur in Midwestern and Western America, far from the voting centers and suspicious voting patterns that put Team Biden in office. But as they say, out of sight, out of mind – in someone else's backyards.

The GND would also mean ripping out perfectly good natural gas appliances, replacing them with electric models, installing rapid charging systems for vehicles, and upgrading household, neighborhood and national electrical systems to handle the extra loads – costing more trillions of dollars.

Families, factories, hospitals, schools and businesses accustomed to paying 7-11¢ per kilowatt-hour for electricity would pay 14-22¢ per kWh, as they already do in "green" US states – or even the 35¢ that families now pay in Germany. Once they use more than some arbitrary "maximum baseline" amount of electricity per month, they will pay closer to 45¢ per kWh, as they already do in California.

How they will survive, how many jobs will disappear, how many families will join the ranks of those who must choose between heating and eating – is anyone's guess.

GND technologies are nearly 100% dependent on metals and minerals from China, Russia, Ukraine, and Chinese companies in Africa and Latin America. Emails from Hunter Biden's laptop underscore concerns that America's foreign, defense and domestic policies would be held hostage, while certain well-connected politicians, families and wind, solar, battery and biofuel companies get rich.

All these issues require open, robust debate – which too many schools and universities, news and social media outlets, corporate and political leaders, and Antifa thugs and arsonists continue to censor and cancel. That censorship and silencing must end before any votes or other actions are taken on any Green New Deal. Unfortunately, the opposite is happening.

Big Media and Big Tech are conspiring with Democrats, Greens and other authoritarian elements to shut down any and all discussion by anyone who does not support their agendas. Others are moving to persecute and prosecute President Trump and anyone associated with his administration and policies.

As anger and frustration build among the increasingly disenfranchised, America and the world could be heading into a frightening future indeed.

Paul Driessen is senior policy advisor for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books, reports and articles on energy, environmental, climate and human rights issues.



CFACT schools Georgians on Green New Deal



By Craig Rucker

Georgia is on everyone's mind.

CFACT Collegians jumped into action to knock doors and distribute educational literature on climate change and the Green New Deal.

"We've been handing out CFACT's educational flyers to every voter we meet," said Dalton Sherrod, a student at the University of Georgia and CFACT's organizer for the door-knocking outreach. "Many people will vote for a candidate not realizing what their real positions on the issues are, like the radical Green New Deal. We've had some great conversations with folks and they're happy to learn what the facts are."

CFACT's crack team of energetic, passionate student activists are still on the ground, knocking on doors and interacting with voters in Athens, GA nearby UGA's campus -- even while you read this email!

The flyers CFACT's activists are distributing lay out the devastating environmental and economic impacts a Green New Deal would have on America. Here's a few examples:

"Bloomberg News reported that [the Green New Deal] could cost up to $93 Trillion over 10 years, according to the group American Action Forum. That's $65,000 per year, per family. Household electricity costs could increase by 12-14% nationwide."

"To run the entire nation on wind and solar, like the Green New Deal (GND) calls for, hundreds of thousands of square miles of habitat and wilderness would be destroyed to make way for the solar facilities, wind turbines, and transmission lines."

As Georgia aims to bounce back from the coronavirus lockdowns, a Green New Deal would destroy any hope the state has at a speedy recovery – all for no environmental benefit.

Whatever happens on Tuesday, you can rest assured that CFACT is doing all it can to educate the public on the issues that matter most. You can read CFACT's flyer here.

To our great Collegians activists hard at work in Georgia, I say "well done and keep it up!"



A Glimpse Into the Soul of A Biden/Harris Administration



By Gary L. Wickert

A Capitol Police Officer died in confrontations with a handful of violent rioters at the Capitol Building late last week. While the violence at the hands of Trump supporters and a few Antifa infiltrators was reported almost gleefully by the left-wing mainstream media, it was the reaction of President-Elect Joe Biden and Vice-President-Elect Kamala Harris has given us a front row seat of what we can expect under a Biden/Harris Administration.

Some have justified the insurrection last week by correctly pointing out that the months and months of violent rioting, looting, arson, and destruction of businesses around the country we experienced in 2020 requires a similar response by violent and angered Trump supporters who are frustrated by their understanding of widespread voter fraud during the 2020 presidential election. It doesn't. There is no justification for lawlessness, and this point of view is almost universally accepted by conservatives throughout the country. But that didn't stop the media and our newly elected president and vice-president from using the unfortunate event for further division and political gain.

Democrats have been calling for coming together and unity ever since they stopped burning down businesses, looting sneakers, and murdering small business owners. But it is the last thing they want. Coming together would mean the end of their progressive strategy to take over the country, tear down our institutions, and rebuild it utilizing an ideology similar to that which destroyed Venezuela in less than 20 years. But the left has allowed violence and rioting to run rampant for months—praising the "peaceful" demonstrators and calling them heroes. So, when the Democrats finally get their opportunity to "come together" and unite, what did they do? Joe Biden and Kamala Harris answered that question last week when they spoke out on the violence at the Capitol. They blamed all conservatives because we should have known that this violence would result from a Trump Administration.

"I wish we could say we couldn't see it coming. But that isn't true. We could see it coming. The past four years we have had a president who has made his contempt for our democracy, the Constitution, and the rule of law clear in everything he has done. He unleashed an all-out assault on the institutions of our democracy from the outset; and yesterday was the culmination of this unrelenting attack," Biden said.

But there were Democrats cheering on the 1619 riots as they burned down buildings and businesses. Biden's implication is that if you supported Trump you were complicit in this "unique" attack on our institutions. His goal is to polarize the country even further because that is the mode the Democratic Party is stuck in and this is the only platform that they can be honest about with average Americans. They can't reveal their real goals.

Biden continued by not only dividing us among political lines, but along racial lines as well. His If BLM rioters had tried to break into the Capitol, they would have been shot and treated violently; but the "white" Trump supporters were coddled and treated much differently. First of all, this is nonsense. Reporters on the ground acknowledge that tear gas was used, the police draw their weapons, a woman was shot to death, and there were physical confrontations. But the narrative is gobbled up by the social medial snowflakes who have bought the lies of institutional and police racism. The BLM protesters were actually the ones who were allowed to run roughshod on cities across the country. Officers knelt in solidarity with them as buildings burned. Law enforcement pulled back from urban areas and let the "peaceful" protesting continue. Biden, who referred to the rioters at the Capitol as "domestic terrorists" was the same Joe Biden who came unglued when the "peaceful" BLM and Antifa protesters were confronted by police in Washington, D.C. last year. There were more people killed during the short storming of the Capitol than during the months of rioting last year. No government officials are ordering the words "Stop the Steal" to be painted in large yellow letters visible from space on the streets of our capitol. But that didn't stop Joe Biden from further dividing the country by claiming white terrorists at the Capitol were coddled while black protesters last year were shot. "They would have been treated very, very differently than the mob of thugs at the Capitol, and we all know that," Joe Biden lamented.

Kamala Harris joined the "unification" that the Democrats claim to have sought. "We witnessed two systems of justice," Kamala said, "One that allowed extremists to storm the United States Capitol, and another that released tear gas on peaceful protesters last summer. We know this is unacceptable." Kamala was the one who said police should not have used tear gas on the protesters last summer, but now laments that they didn't use tear gas on the Capitol protesters. She can't have it both ways. And the police did use tear gas on the Capitol protesters. But facts don't matter when it comes to doubling down on the lies of the new administration's platform of race baiting. Rather than taking the high ground and setting the tone for the unification they have been calling for over the last four years, we hear this. It is a window into the soul of the new administration and a sure sign of what we are in for over the next long four years.

The 2020 election was won by Democrats who fall back on the fifty-year-old playbook of racial and economic division, race and fear-mongering, and fictional claims of widespread institutional racism and racial bias in law enforcement. These lies and the implementation of toxic critical race theory have been very, very good for business lately. And it appears that Joe and Kamala have no plans to let up on tearing America apart. Critical race theory is the poignant lie that law is inherently racist, and that race is a social construct that exists to maintain elite interests. While racism was on life support in this country until the lies spread by Black Lives Matter radicals were coopted by the mainstream media and social media and infected young and impressionable Americans who have never seen real racism, the idea behind critical race theory is that whoever doesn't agree to tear down all American institutions and rebuild them in a more "enlightened" manner is guilty of racism. It is the English language turned on its head.

Anti-racism will obviously be the over-arching platform of the new administration. But it is nothing more than repackaged Marxism from the 1960's, trying anything and everything to find its way into America's back door. This time around, however, it is disguised as "race" instead of "class" struggles.

The upshot of a critical race theory Biden/Harris administration will not be a less racist society—nor was it ever intended to be. Rather, it does exactly what the radical left and neo-Marxist Democratic Party intends it to do—completely polarize society and create a McCarthy-like social environment where anybody who isn't wearing the ribbon is the next target.

Evidence Of Vote Manipulation Explained

By Michael Guidera

Dear Father, I ask that you would protect Edward Solomon from all physical harm from enemies of truth that would want to silence him. I pray he be connected to people with the skills to use the information he has discovered to be used in a court of law to further the discovery of fraud in our election. Father, bring the right people together as a team to formulate a plan to find these algorithms and the people involved in writing and implementing them in the voting machines and the ones using the software to rig the election. Father we ask for justice in this matter, in Jesus' name.

Mathematical analysis of election data proves the total votes reported in certain precincts have been manipulated from the original vote count. Edward Solomon is a published mathematician with an emphasis on number theory who has analyzed the precinct vote count in the past 2020 Presidential election in Philadelphia.

I am an engineer and I found the level of detail and mathematical precision challenging. What I have tried to do is to put in plain English what Solomon uncovered.

First, the how. News organizations receive the precinct vote count and then update the totals. Solomon obtained this precinct vote count from Philadelphia and copied it to an Excel file where he could analyze it.

In this video, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QAkc5bf2dSA&t=8s , he shows evidence of vote manipulation. If this video gets deleted from YouTube, it can also be found here on rumble-https://rumble.com/vbb807-edward-solomon-reverse-engineered-the-dominion-voting-algorithm-brilliant-5.html

Next, the what. I want to explain how the data was set up to give you some understanding of his analysis. At 0:44 into the video, the data from the NYT's feed is shown. Each row shows the time stamp of the incoming data, the precinct from which it came from, Total votes, Biden's Votes, and Trump Votes. This data is copied to an Excel file and another column is added. That column is a calculated number, the ratio of Trump's votes to the total votes. This column is important because it is this number, Trump's votes/Total Votes, that becomes relevant in detecting the fraud. At 2:10, an Excel file is created showing all the pertinent columns, including this Trump major ratio. This data file has also been sorted first by the major ratio and then by the time stamp.

As Solomon was scrolling down through the data, he noticed something interesting. A particular precinct would be reporting their data with a particular ratio. This ratio would remain constant as the data remained in its cue until it was uploaded to update the totals. What he noticed was that this ratio of Trump votes to total votes was then immediately transferred to other precincts. This transfer is shown in nine instances starting at 5:30 to 7:30, 8:00, 11:40, and 12:50 on the video. These precincts would hold that ratio until they reported their results and then the ratio was transferred to more precincts.

The fact that ratios reported were so close in time and these happened hundreds of times in the data set makes the data suspect of being manipulated. Solomon goes on say that the probabilities of these ratios being the same for so many precincts is virtually impossible unless they had been set by an algorithm that forced the actual vote to be changed.

How does changing the ratio of Trump votes to total votes change the results of the election? If the ratio is set by the algorithm to a smaller fraction, it means that votes were subtracted from Trump and added to Biden. The total number of votes counted is the same, but the algorithm gives Biden an advantage.

Solomon believes the fraud could be committed using the following steps:

1. First, an area is chosen to rig. It could be a state, a county, or groups of precincts.
2. The polls taken from the population in the area provide an estimate of the number of people who will likely vote, the number of votes for Biden, and the number of votes for Trump.
3. Let's say the total number of votes is 1,000,000.
4. The polls show Trump will get 52% of the vote and Biden 48%.
5. That would be 520,000 votes for Trump to 480,000 for Biden.
6. To flip the election, the crooks decide they want 40 ,000 votes moved from Trump to Biden.
7. That would make the total to be 520,000 for Biden and 480,000 for Trump.
8. They enter the number 40,000 into the algorithm.
9. The computer then starts counting the votes in the precincts. Once the precinct count is complete, the algorithm snaps the ratio to a slightly smaller ratio, thus subtracting Trump votes and adding them to Biden.
10. It uses ratios that are very similar but slightly smaller than the actual ratios of original valid vote counts.
11. This changes the counts in Biden's favor in each affected precinct ever so slightly so it is not easily recognized.
12. This process is repeated thousands of times until the vote transfer of 40,000 votes is reached, which then gives Biden a 52% to 48% win over Trump.

How does the algorithm actually work? Understanding the complete method of vote manipulation is not possible to describe in this short article. Solomon has devised a mathematical model that simulates the algorithm in the Dominion Voting system. It uses advanced number theory to create a "wheel" that includes a model of ratios used to snap to, which then manipulates the votes per precinct to debit Trump votes and credit them to Biden. The complex model can be "rotated" a slight amount to bias the election as much as is needed.

Starting at about 33:00, Mr. Solomon uses some graphics to demonstrate how the algorithm works in the Dominion software. Others videos (over 80 hrs. worth) are also available that detail the mathematics involved to model the system. A background in mathematics is necessary to follow the complexity of the information put forth.

What is important to remember is that the original data set used to obtain the total vote had numbers reported that could not possibly be obtained by random votes. The Trump votes were obviously manipulated (reduced) to flip votes from Trump to Biden.

In another video he says, "I declare I have proof of fraud; I am going to swear under the penalty of perjury that this is true." He is currently writing an affidavit to be used as evidence in a court of law.

I believe his work is evidence that fraud had occurred and could be used to obtain search warrants to seize servers and allow investigators to find the algorithms that switched the votes. If they exist, they will be found in the servers.

I want us to agree in prayer concerning this discovery of fraudulent activity occurring during the 2020 election.

Michael Guidera is an IFA intercessor, retired from a 35-year career developing implantable medical devices that have brought help and relief to thousands of people.

Democrats Are Drafting Legislation to Criminalize Trump Rallies — Classify Them as "Domestic Terrorism"

By Jim Hoft

We are up against demons.

Democrats in both the US House and Senate are drafting legislation to classify "Make America Great Again" rallies as "domestic terrorist activity."

They are literally criminalizing their opposition.

And they are trampling the Constitutional right to freely assemble!

These are the same Democrats that supported Black Lives Matter — the violent group that caused $2 billion in destruction this in 2020.



Washington DC on fire during Black Lives Matter rioting in summer of 2020

REMEMBER HOW after Bush was elected, the Left screamed that dissent was patriotic? And then when Obama was elected, dissent was suddenly racist? And when Trump won, resistance was not just patriotic, but compulsory? Well, dissent is now racist again and resistance is now treason. Who would have guessed?

ABC News *continued from page 1*

enemies by killing them and then pretending they didn't exist by airbrushing them out of photos, that they might be forgotten by history.

Now ABC News has called for a complete and utter purge of all things Trump and Trump-thought from public discourse.

But as they have from the moment Trump came onto the scene, the Left has been peddling the notion that it's Trump that's the autocrat, the fascist and the strongman. He's a bull in a China shop, but has been doing things in the open. It's déclassé, not autocratic per se.

If you want to see how a strongman would work let's go over to ABC News and see what they want Joe Biden to do for them.

The Washington Examiner reports that the ABC News political director, Rick Klein wrote on his blog that the Trump movement should be "cleansed" from public discourse.

"Even aside from impeachment and 25th Amendment talk, Trump will be an ex-president in 13 days. The fact is that getting rid of Trump is the easy part," Klein wrote in his popular blog.

"Cleansing the movement he commands, or getting rid of what he represents to so many Americans, is going to be something else," he added, drawing comments that it sounded too much like Hitler.

The president has been censored and blocked by Facebook and Twitter. We already know that Google rigs the search results, so look for more of that. The great purge has begun.



As Moran mentions in his piece, Sen. Josh Hawley has already suffered financial loss from his association with Trump.

The media and their allies in the Democrat Party require those left behind to get with the program or else risk being canceled.

Now it's happening.

The media are cheering on the lists of Trump supporters being amassed by the Left in order to cancel them and keep them off of boards of directors, academia, and other institutions. A Leftist website with the Orwellian name "The Truth Accountability Project" has answered the call of the Jennifer Rubins and AOCs to keep a list of those who must be purged from history.

After The Washington Examiner noted Klein's post calling for "cleansing" of all things Trump, he changed it to "cleaning up the movement."

Don't worry, Rick Klein, we understood you perfectly the first time.

Maybe Trump was right after all. Maybe these media are the "enemy of the people."

The Judiciary vs. the Leftist Mob

Patriots won't bend to the mob–even if the judges do.

By Daniel Greenfield

Over two centuries ago, Alexander Hamilton pointed out that due to the "natural feebleness of the judiciary," it's "in continual jeopardy of being overpowered, awed, or influenced."

Jeopardy long ago became reality. Now our nation's future is in jeopardy.

As mobs fill the street, the Roberts Court shows the weakness of a conservative judiciary that is willing to issue conservative rulings only on those issues that won't infuriate the mob too much. Faced with blatant election rigging, judges across the country have retreated from having to make the hard choices and safeguard our constitutional republic against political gangsters.

Or rather conservative judges have.

Judge Leslie Abrams Gardner, Stacey Abrams' sister, is happy to intervene on behalf of her sister in Georgia's Senate rolls and protect the dirty voter rolls from being cleaned up by Republicans. Throughout the Trump administration, the Democrat judges appointed by Clinton and Obama haven't been shy about blocking every administration move on specious grounds.

Democrats then seized on the pandemic to rig elections in key states. This wasn't just gaming the system like gerrymandering or using dirty census figures that include illegal aliens: both traditional Democrat means of rigging elections. Instead Democrat governors, judges and secretaries of state bypassed legislatures to unilaterally change how elections were conducted.

This wasn't just crooked or dirty. It violated the plain text of the Constitution which put the power into the hands of state legislatures in Georgia, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania to determine how elections would be conducted. Federal judges and the DOJ have spent two generations monitoring elections in southern states because of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

It wasn't until seven years ago that the Roberts Court finally limited the reach of the VRA that forced states to get preclearance from the Department of Justice or the Attorney General before making changes to their elections because it was a mere 40 years out of date.

But the DOJ still, as regular as clockwork, sent observers to 18 states for the 2020 election, not to combat these unconstitutional abuses, but to enforce the Voting Rights Act. Meanwhile, not a single DOJ official or judge thought that Article II of the Constitution was worth enforcing.

To the DOJ and the judges, enforcing outdated anti-discrimination measures from 1965 was more important than protecting the constitutional role of state legislatures in elections from 1787. The Constitution is a dead letter in a judicial system that puts 1965 over 1787.

And we're still in 1965.

The Left intends to seize power any way it can. It doesn't follow any rules except those that favor it or those that it makes up. It manufactures crises and exploits them. When it can't get its way, it turns to violence. And the judiciary has proven to be a feeble defense against the Left.

President Trump's victory terrified the Left because for the first time the radicals were facing a populist movement that connected the president to the people and wasn't afraid to meet them on their own terms. It wasn't the judiciary that terrified the radicals. What drove them so hard to destroy Trump and his supporters was the fear of opponents who wouldn't play by the rules.

The fatal weakness of conservatism is that it identifies a leftist tactic and then slowly develops and deploys a countermeasure that deals with that specific threat and assumes that it's safe. After identifying an activist judiciary as a threat, the conservative movement built a worthy and impressive judicial movement that slowly drew down the power of the federal judiciary.

Conservatives celebrated the rise of a conservative judiciary while the Left publicly raged and privately laughed at the spectacle of their enemies imagining that they can win by the rules.

Instead, the Left pivoted to court packing and plotted to steal the White House and Senate.

President Trump showed the Left can't be beaten by playing by the rules. And certainly not by playing by their rules. When the law is violated and mobs roam the streets, when gangster politics are the order of the day, and Lenin's "Who, Whom" is the game plan, then it's all too easy for an establishment that doesn't have the stomach to fight the Left to fall into line.

There are no safe spaces when fighting the Left. There's never a 'checkmate' by the rules and there will never be a strategy or tactic that safely secures the United States of America.

It's an open-ended struggle that requires eternal vigilance and cannot be outsourced.

The MAGA movement has threatened both establishments because it is a populist, not a process movement. It has shown the ability to bring patriots to the streets in a way that is unprecedented in the GOP or the conservative movement. It demands real change, and it has learned from the Left to apply direct pressure on the establishment at the grass roots level.

Where the establishment is concerned with following institutional rules, MAGA pushes for results. It isn't interested in how well the establishment plays the D.C. game, but in taking back the country, especially their parts of it, from Washington D.C. and restoring America.

The Founding Fathers built the best system in the world, with strengths and weaknesses, checks and balances, but its ultimate safeguard is not the judiciary: it's the people.

The final check against abuses is not the system, but the people it's accountable to.

The people should hope that a naturally feeble judiciary finds the strength not to be "overpowered, awed, or influenced" and that state legislatures take back the authority that was stolen from them by governors, secretaries of state, and judges, but these officials are only vessels for channeling the right of the people to choose their representatives.

The judiciary has been empowered to protect elections. That is its responsibility and it should not be deterred from fulfilling that duty by angry mobs or the D.C. cocktail party circuit.

But if the judiciary fails at its basic responsibility of protecting the constitutional link between state legislatures and elections, then it will have reduced itself to a legal irrelevance. The Constitution of the United States, unlike those of so many other countries, made the people into the ultimate guardians of their liberties and its government into a means of assuring those liberties. The power of state legislatures to determine elections provided direct local control over even presidential elections. When that power is taken away, then the elections are worthless.

In the United States, the popular vote that the Democrats keep demanding is no substitute for a system built around local communities and states. The national government that was meant to safeguard that system has spent over a century systematically undermining its foundations.

The judiciary must decide if it wants to stand for the constitutional government of 1787, or if it wants to be a cog in a national government being taken over by leftist radicals and their allies.

That was the question on the ballot in 2020. It's still the question in 2021.

If the judiciary chooses to abdicate its responsibility to the mobs and political thugs, America will survive because its patriots will never stop fighting to protect and reclaim this great nation.

President Trump has built a movement that is the true resistance to the Left. It's a populist movement, not a movement of political weekend warriors, and it won't give up and go away. The judiciary may

Continued on page 10

GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

BSA Eagle Scout Recognition

Watertown, WI – Former Assistant Scoutmaster, Don Pridemore, wishes to congratulate Eagle Scout, Vincent Meyer, his supportive parents, and troop 11 of Watertown for Vincent's recent attainment of Scouting's highest rank that of Eagle Scout. Having raised 3 boys myself and watching them grow in character, maturity and confidence all while in the scouting program, I am delighted to see the program growing and prospering here. If I were looking for a job right now I would put my Eagle Scout Rank at the top of my resume. Scouting has to be one of the best ways to prepare young boys and now girls for the challenges of the future.

During my 10 years in the Wisconsin State Assembly, I attended as many Eagle Scout Ceremonies as my schedule permitted and I will continue to do so if I am elected to the State Senate. I also hired several Eagle Scouts to fill vacancies in my office. So be ever vigilant, keep Scouting in your prayers and encourage every young child to consider scouting as a fun afterschool activity especially if they like the outdoors and want to learn about leadership and get to know their peers a little better.

13th State Senate Candidate Don Pridemore Files



13th State Senate candidate, Don Pridemore registers his nomination signatures containing 668 signatures. Those signatures come from almost every municipality in the district.

Many thanks to all those, to numerous to mention, who helped with the effort. Every form submitted was important whether it had 1 or 10 signatures. I am always impressed by all those who came forward, some of whom I have never met and weren't even asked to participate. They volunteered because they believed we needed a solid conservative to take the place of former State Senator Scott Fitzgerald. One that will stand up for principle, our freedoms and our Constitution rather than be just another go-along-to-get-alone legislator. One that will consider God, Country and family values ahead of partisan politics. In other words, his Constituents.

Taming the Herd

cont. from page 2

of Atlas Shrugged. Instead of the producers going on strike against the looters' constant stealing and enslavement efforts through regulation, selective law enforcement and extortion, here the bureaucrats, media thugs and recipients of government largess pulled a reversal. "You think you can function in this world without us? We'll see about that. We can start a panic and lock you down anytime we decide to do it. Look at what we can do! We just shut down your pitiful little economy, religions and entertainment on nothing more than fear of a flu bug! So there!"

And what can we say? They certainly did. But what really is the game we're in?

One possibility: the One World Socialist are making the final play to institute the One World Government they always dreamed of. Trump's been hurting them and China big time, exposing their weaknesses. China develops and releases a virus which basically kills old people while leaving the young alone (the population control crowd's dream) in conversation with the US Deep State and their cooperation in molding the media narrative and fueling the panic. Then throw in their good ol' Russian comrades deciding that this was the moment to initiate an oil price war with OPEC. That ought to crash the economy.

And better yet, in-person voting can be eliminated so we'll never have to worry about seeing a Donald Trump ever elected again. All voting will be by mail or eventually online. We now can control the outcomes of all elections, and with our media allies, pretend that they are real and matter. And the guy that beat us at everything, Trump, is now our stooge. I call this scenario "Taming the Herd."

Maybe, but I doubt it. Trump is always several steps in front of these guys.

Possibility Two: Trump really is a power hungry maniac and will turn this back around on the bureaucrats and become the dictator they always told us was in him. He will suspend elections and remain "president" indefinitely until the "crisis" ends. But strangely Trump's response has been doggedly pragmatic, transparent and focused on real people. So this one seems very far-fetched to me. But full disclosure, I am a diehard Trump supporter.

Possibility Three: This all happened organically. Trump shows the world what real leadership looks like, gets us through this mess as quickly as possible with minimal damage, and turns back on the economic engine that roared at nearly full tilt just over a month ago. Most people hope for this outcome and, should it occur, I see no problem for Trump's re-election in November.

Possibility Four: The Q-anons are right. Trump takes advantage of this opportunity as cover to execute the giant array of indictments ready in waiting by the DOJ. And we see John Podesta arrested along with the thousands of other Deep State criminals, pedophiles and human traffickers across the world. Finally these evil and destructive people get brought to justice.

Now that would be just too good to be true. But I'm going to hope for it nonetheless.

God bless you all. And never stop praying to the one true God.

City of Milwaukee

Election Commission

Commissioners
Stephanie Findley
Carmen G. Cabrera
Jess Klipp

Executive Director
Claire Woodall-Vogg

October 19, 2020

[redacted]
Milwaukee, WI 53213

Dear Voter,

Your voter registration application has not been processed. We have to return it for a proof of address document. The documents you provide must show your current address, and match the address listed on your registration form. Unfortunately, the document you submitted does not fit these criteria.

The last date to submit voter registration forms via mail was **Wednesday, October 14th**. You can still register to vote for the General Election on November 3rd in the following ways:

- On Election Day at your polling location
- At one of our early voting sites (locations and schedules are included with this letter)
- At our office, located in room 501 of City Hall, 200 E Wells St between the hours of 8:00am and 4:30pm, Monday through Friday, through Friday, October 30th.

If you choose to register at your polling location, at an early voting site, or at our office, you will have to provide proof of residence. I have enclosed a list of examples of proper proof of residence.
You may use this letter as your proof of address (official government correspondence).

Please call our office if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

Michael Lawrence
Election Services Administrator

City Hall, Room 501, 200 East Wells Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Telephone: (414) 286-3491 - Fax: (414) 286-8445 - Milwaukee.gov/election

Leftist Mob

cont. from page 9

decide to abdicate its responsibility to the Constitution, but MAGA will not. It is going to retrace the leftist 'long march' through this nation's institutions, reclaiming electoral offices, but also maintaining a relentless pressure on the machinery of the administrative state.

That is why the Left was so afraid of President Trump. It's why it remains so afraid of his supporters. The Left has built phony populist movements, but MAGA is the real thing.###

Daniel Greenfield, a Shillman Journalism Fellow at the Freedom Center, is an investigative journalist and writer focusing on the radical Left and Islamic terrorism.



Stunning Rasmussen poll shows rising approval of Trump in the wake of the Capitol incursion

By Thomas Lifson

If you believe the media and most Beltway politicians, the entire country is appalled at President Trump and wants him erased from history. A moral panic is being pushed by the media and other Democrats in the wake of the incursion into the United States Capitol. It has been persuasive to the media, including many nominal conservatives. And it may have convinced many Republican politicians that President Trump is now a marginal figure, at best, and should be shunned.

But unless the Rasmussen polling organization suddenly has become inaccurate after being far more accurate than most presidential polls, the mainstream of conservative America, roughly half the country, isn't buying it, and Trump's approval has actually risen.

The Rasmussen Reports daily Presidential Tracking Poll, Sponsored by SLANTED from Sharyl Attkisson, for Friday shows that 48% of Likely U.S. Voters approve of President Trump's job performance. Fifty percent (50%) disapprove.

On the fifth and sixth of January, Trump's total approval figure was 47%. The movement may be small, but it is the direction that is important. Far from deserting Trump, the public is staying with him and even increasing approval (within the margin of error).

The media and other Democrats don't realize it, but they have so discredited themselves that their attacks on Trump are now working against their own interests.



Twitter loses $5 billion in market value after Trump is permanently barred from the platform

By Theron Mohamed

- Twitter's stock price tumbled as much as 12% on Monday, erasing $5 billion from its market capitalization.
- The tumble followed the social-media group's permanent suspension of President Donald Trump's account on Friday.
- "After close review of recent Tweets from the @realDonaldTrump account and the context around them we have permanently suspended the account due to the risk of further incitement of violence," Twitter said.
- Trump, who had about 88 million followers, generated enormous publicity for the platform with his controversial and incendiary tweets over the past six years.

Twitter stock fell as much as 12% on Monday after the social-media company permanently suspended President Donald Trump's account on Friday evening. The share-price decline wiped $5 billion from Twitter's market capitalization.

Twitter's bosses suspended Trump's account - which had about 88 million followers - after the world leader's fanning of conspiracy theories about voter fraud and election theft spurred thousands of his supporters to lay siege to the Capitol last week.

"After close review of recent Tweets from the @realDonaldTrump account and the context around them we have permanently suspended the account due to the risk of further incitement of violence," Twitter said.





The Great Green Leap – Backwards

By Viv Forbes

The Green Virus is on a rising curve in the Anglo-Sphere.

Boris, Biden, Kerry, Trudeau, Arden and Australia's Morrison are all competing to be the first to destroy all industries reliant on coal, cattle, petrol and diesel. Hydrogen, solar, wind, pumped hydro, bio-fuels, carbon cemeteries and batteries are hyped everywhere, especially in academia, Hollywood, most media and the bureaucracies. Big business is widely supportive – they see big profits in supplying metals for this Green Revolution and mining more coal to power Carbon Capture plants.

The forlorn hope is that if we spend enough money on green indulgence payments it will end floods, fires, droughts, cyclones and "super-storms".

These green shackles will certainly cool the economy, but we should hope they do not cool the climate. When natural cyclic cooling sends Arctic ice sheets marching south again, and Chicago, Moscow, Berlin and London are threatened, people living near a nuclear power station or an operating coal mine will be the lucky ones. And no one will waste food crops to produce bio-diesel.

We cannot afford to fight the Covid Virus and the Green Virus at the same time.

Without more reliable energy like nuclear power or massive hydro-electric schemes, this Green War on hydro-carbons must bring shortages and rationing. Still nights followed by windless cloudy days will see whole cities or states blacked out, electric vehicles stranded, old people shivering and panic buying in super-markets.

The 2016 Paris Climate Agreement was history's longest suicide note.

Related Reading:

It is not just the Anglo-sphere – Germany too is headed for third world status:
https://saltbushclub.com/2020/12/19/germany-as-third-world-country/

Geo-engineers inch closer to Sun-dimming balloon test:
https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/12/geoengineers-inch-closer-sun-dimming-balloon-test

Exposing the Electric Vehicle fantasy and its real cost:
https://blog.friendsofscience.org/2020/12/19/exposing-the-ev-fantasy-the-real-cost-of-evs/

Low wind and loss of one generator at Liddell Power station, threatens blackouts:
https://catallaxyfiles.com/2020/12/20/trouble-at-mill-generator-down-at-liddell-power-station-low-wind/

US Navy looking for Bio-fuels:
https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=17271

Royal Airforce to run on Bio-fuels:
https://www.gov.uk/government/news/sustainable-fuels-to-power-raf-jets
https://wattsupwiththat.com/2020/12/16/british-airforce-to-modify-f-35s-typhoons-and-wildcat-choppers-to-run-on-biofuel/

Next Little Ice age has begun:
https://financialpost.com/opinion/lawrence-solomon-global-warming-doomsayers-take-note-earths-19th-little-ice-age-has-begun



L!fe WISCONSIN RIGHTTOLIFE

PRESS RELEASE

Wisconsin Abortion Numbers Increase

Earlier today, the Wisconsin Department of Health Services released their Induced Abortions report for 2019. The report showed a five percent increase in the number of abortions in the state.

In 2019, the total number of abortions for Wisconsin residents was 6,372, an increase of 330 from 2018. The total number reported in Wisconsin was 6,511, an increase of 287 over the 6,244 abortions reported in 2018.

"While we are deeply grieved by the increased number of abortions, we are not surprised. The abortion industry, spearheaded by Planned Parenthood, has been pushing the expansion of chemical abortions, even opening up the Sheboygan facility just for that purpose," shares Wisconsin Right to Life's executive director, Heather Weininger.

The report includes a look into the shift away from surgical abortions to chemical abortions: while surgical abortions fell from seventy-one percent to sixty-six percent, the rate of chemical abortions rose from twenty-nine percent to thirty-three percent.

Kristen Nupson, Wisconsin Right to Life's legislative/PAC director, states "These numbers confirm our hunch that while surgical abortions have decreased in the state, chemical abortions are continuing to increase at an alarming rate."

Weininger adds, "Wisconsin Right to Life believes that every life lost to abortion is a tragedy. We mourn the loss of these 6,511 lives, and will continue to work each day until every unborn life is valued and protected."

Tyrants and Quislings

cont. from page 1

exceed 14 days." Each Extension? At least in another place the extension requires a two-thirds vote.

Governor Evers has proven himself to be a "legislator in Chief," with his line-item veto. Protections such as, "This bill prohibits DHS and local health officers from closing or forbidding gatherings in places of worship to control outbreaks and epidemics of the 2019 novel coronavirus." And, "The bill prohibits DHS and local health officers from requiring individuals to receive a vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, which causes COVID-19." Can be easily turned on their heads by the demented Tyrant we have in Madison. As to the funding limitation, "The total amount that may be transferred from all sum sufficient appropriations may not exceed $100,000,000." Evers can up that, or eliminate any limitation. The same objection applies to "Until the conclusion of a national emergency declared by the U.S. president under 50 USC 1621 in response to the 2019 novel coronavirus or June 30, 2021, whichever is earlier, the committee may transfer under sub. (4) an amount not to exceed 1 2 3 4 5 6 2021 - 2022 Legislature - 18 - LRB-1132/1 ALL:all ASSEMBLY BILL 1 SECTION 1 $75,000,000 $100,000,000 from sum sufficient appropriations, as defined under s. 20.001 (3) (d), to be used for expenditures related to the emergency." Regarding, "This bill requires the governor to submit to the legislature by January 31, 2021, a plan to open the state capitol to the public" Evers can change the date until the 12th of never.

The nature of AB-1 is similar to what Owen Robinson writes, about Evers proposed legislation, "Evers' bill consists of 17 provisions. Seven of the provisions are designed to expand government and/or reduce the government's accountability to the people. Eight of them would make waste, corruption, and graft easier with taxpayer money. And two of them are regulatory overreaches that will wreak havoc on citizens and the economy."

Robinson asserts, "Ominously, Evers seeks to allow any state entity to waive in-person requirements until June 30, 2021, "if enforcing the requirement would increase the public health risk." You will take note of the fact that no objective standard is given for what constitutes an increase to the public health risk. While this may impact things like court proceedings, Evers' likely target it to waive in-person requirements to obtain official state photo identification and the spring elections. With this provision in law, Evers could provide a massive gateway for illegal aliens to obtain official photo identification and force the upcoming elections to be conducted 100% by mail." My interpretation, is that Evers can manufacture as many fake ballots as he needs to retain power for himself and his ilk.

"The bill also seeks to funnel unemployment insurance payments into the hands of people who do not need it. It would permanently allow people who are receiving federal Social Security disability payments to also receive unemployment payments. Under current law, someone who is receiving money because they cannot work due to a disability is not eligible for unemployment payments because they are already being compensated for not working. The bill would also completely waive the requirement to seek work in order to receive unemployment payments until July 3, 2021."

There are many items covered like "essential visitor status" for nursing homes, and Dentist's administering Covid-19 Vaccines to Insurance rules that could use expert review. The fact that this Bill is fast tracked means it is full of rotten provisos.

Evers stated, "As the pandemic continues to have effects on our small business owners, our workers, students and parents across the state, and every aspect of Wisconsinites' daily lives, it would be inexplicable after more than 260 days of inaction for any other issue or topic to be taken up by the legislature prior to passing a bill to address COVID-19—especially one on which we've already been able to find common ground." I assert the virus hasn't affected me nearly as much as his lockdowns, Church closures, Mask mandates and the rest of his tyrannical socialism. The virus is a minor problem, Tony Evers is a major problem.

Finally, I agree with my good friend Mrs. Mastel, who asserts, "Apathy will allow this bill to pass the Wisconsin State Senate and become LAW! The granting of rule-making authority would effectively destroy our three-branch government (executive, legislative, judicial) by giving legislative powers to the executive branch, without checks and balances."



Peanuts to Pay For Total Chaos: Wind Power Outfit Fined $1 Million For Causing Statewide Blackout

By stopthesethings

On 28 September 2016, the automatic shutdown of wind turbines in South Australia during a spring storm delivered a statewide 'system black'.

South Australia's hapless Premier, Jay Weatherill led the wind industry's propaganda charge, pointing the finger at the collapse of a couple of power pylons in the state's mid-North as the cause of a statewide calamity that left 1.6 million people powerless; and parts of the State without electricity for more than a week. BHP Billiton's Olympic Dam mine in South Australia's far north was without full power from September 28 to October 13.

The collapse of a few power pylons occurred late in the day, and affected a single transmission line that could not have caused the collapse of the State's entire power supply. The rest of the State's transmission lines remained untroubled by the strong winds. But the power pylons collapse is still touted by renewables advocates as the primary cause.

The Australian Energy Regulator took a different view and started pursuing South Australia's wind power generators for their part in the disaster. The AER commenced legal proceedings against four wind farm operators, including Tilt Renewables, Neoen, Pacific Hydro and AGL Energy.

Finally, four years later, the AER managed to extract a paltry million dollars out of one of the offenders: Tilt Renewables, formerly known as Trustpower. Paltry that is, bearing in mind that the blackout cost South Australians hundreds of millions of dollars.



Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

We cannot put off living until we are ready.
-Jose Ortega y Gasset

A child of five would understand this. Send someone to fetch a child of five. -Groucho Marx

I can live without money, but I cannot live without love.
-Judy Garland

The person who can bring the spirit of laughter into a room is indeed blessed.
-Bennett Cerf

An original artist is unable to copy. So he has only to copy in order to be original.
-Jean Cocteau

Defeat may serve as well as victory to shake the soul and let the glory out.
-Edwin Markham

If people think nature is their friend, then they sure don't need an enemy. -Kurt Vonnegut

There are three things you can do in a baseball game. You can win, or you can lose, or it can rain.
-Casey Stengel

I'm not that obsessed with making representations of ugliness. Everything I've seen is beautiful.
-Otto Dix

Television is chewing gum for the eyes. -Frank Lloyd Wright

Creativity is allowing yourself to make mistakes. Art is knowing which ones to keep.
-Scott Adams

Expert: a man who makes three correct guesses consecutively.
-Laurence J. Peter

I buy expensive suits. They just look cheap on me.
-Warren Buffett

Unemployment is capitalism's way of getting you to plant a garden.
-Orson Scott Card

Be at war with your vices, at peace with your neighbors, and let every new year find you a better man. -Benjamin Franklin

We won't have a society if we destroy the environment.
-Margaret Mead

Wisdom to Live By

"I've learned God's plan never looks like the one I draw up. God apparently thinks He know more than me. And every time I relearn that He really does."

- Steven J. Welcenbach



THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Heighten
6. Classic TV monkey
10. One of a campus couple
14. A happening that happens to happen
16. Magazine fodder
17. Where "Survivor" Tribal Council meetings are held?
19. Exxon competitor
20. Down in the mouth look
21. "Animal House" role
22. Prerecorded
23. Checks out the joint
24. Learn the ropes
27. Related group
28. Sawyer of note
29. Stat in baseball and hockey
32. Small or chicken
35. Investigation into the death of a famous writer?
39. Tournament term
40. Printer's primary color
41. Enticed
42. One in a battle scene
44. Spiteful
45. Bob Cratchit's occupation
48. Battle lineup
51. Pis ___ (the last resort)
52. It starts most MGM films
53. Frost, for one
57. Honor for the top cooking school graduate?
60. Send forth
61. Mixtures of unrelated objects
62. It ends at the pins
63. Completely blows away
64. Notable exploits

DOWN
1. Popular IRA
2. Hurt all over
3. Seals, as a victory
4. Has dinner
5. Prove one's humanity
6. Thelonious Monk's music
7. Follow as a result
8. Took measures
9. Antique auto
10. Lounger's cover-up
11. Leaves somthing out
12. Seacrest on "American Idol," e.g.
13. Idlers' opposites
15. Kind of candle
18. Centers of activity
22. Stadium level
24. Like some profits
25. Fly off the handle
26. Santa ___ winds
27. He's a real doll
28. Society girl
29. Kind of dog
30. Menu phrase
31. It may move you
32. Sweet wine
33. Word in traditional wedding vows
34. Marked the spot
36. Unreturnable serve
37. It makes Jack less dull
38. Common place to get stuck
42. Band that covered "Bizarre Love Triangle"
43. Relative of hibiscus
44. ___ loading (marathoner's prep)
45. Ship of the desert
46. Zoo mate of 45-Down
47. Basketball legend Baylor
48. Exemplar of straightness
49. Postal worker's path
50. They comply with the ADA
53. Strip a Granny Smith?
54. Not yours or mine, yet both
55. Polish a story, e.g.
56. Tracy nee Trueheart
58. No. cruncher
59. Big palooka



Answers on page 18

Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

			6		9			
	7	3		5		6	9	
6	9						1	5
		4		8	6	3		
	1						4	
		9	4	1		2		
4	2						3	9
	3	6		9		4	8	
			1		3			

Answers on page 18

Why I Served

"Blessed be the Lord my strength which teacheth my hands to war, and my fingers to fight:" Psalm 144:1

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

I knew I was going to be a Marine from my earliest days. My father who wasn't around had an influence as my mother dressed my brother and me in little uniforms that she would get from the PX. My Grandfather fought in WWI. Then there were my mother's brothers, my uncles. Two of them Served in WWII, and the remainder served in Korea. My Uncles were real life heroes to me, and I wanted to be like them. My father served three tours in Vietnam. One of my older cousin's served in Vietnam, and another Cousin was a Naval Aviator, a radar man on P3 Orion. My wife Janice had a Grandfather who fought in WWI, her Father served in WWII, and her brother was in the Army in Vietnam.

Why did I serve? Two main reasons, one was the fog Americans had about the "troops not being able to fight." I got into many fights in school, and I thought, I know how to fight. The idea that Americans could not fight was false and repugnant to me. The second reason was the Ayatollah Khomeini. I wanted to fight these people on their turf, so they wouldn't bring their Islamic ways over here. I didn't want my family buying groceries, only to have the store blown up. I didn't want the rapes, forced marriages, stoning and beheadings in my country. When a recruiter said we will probably be at war with Iran, I said, "I will be going with you."

Party member George Theiss, who served in the Marine Corps in Vietnam wrote, "My paternal grandfather (of German descent on his father's side--his mother was Irish) fought the Germans in WWI in France (7th Infantry--the Bayonet Division). His son (my Dad) served in the Pacific in WWII. My Dad's younger brother (my uncle) got called back in during the Korean War. Like you, I got into a lot of fights growing up (in New York), small, skinny, dark and despised (my Mom was Latina) my main goal going into the Marines was free hand-to-hand combat training."

Then there is why "I did not serve." I didn't serve so that America could abort her children. I didn't serve so that the family and Church would be destroyed. I didn't serve so that homosexuals could prance around naked, molest children and pretend they are married. I did not serve so that criminal meatheads and BLM mobs would disrespect the flag, the national anthem and ruin people's lives. I did not serve so that Judges could dismantle our heritage. I didn't not serve, so that Bill Clinton, Barak Hussein Obama and China Joe Biden could occupy the White House. I did not serve so that the GOP would cave into the left, time and time again. Mr. Theiss adds, "I hate all abortions, homosexuality, Communism, Satanism, Radical Islam and the horrible influence that the Clintons and Obamas have had on our Nation."

I became a Christian at 19, at my last duty station after deployment. Mr. Thiess says he became a Christian after his deployment at 21. To be sure, over the decades of personal study, we have obtained a Biblical and Constitutional education that we did not have as younger men. Still, the basic ideas were there. Today there are some veterans with no hope, lost, and suicidal because they have no code. Namely more and more of them are not Christian, but atheists, Muslims or occultists. Many of them think America should be a socialist state.

If you are a veteran, no matter your views or where you served: thank you for your service. If you think the same way as we do, I would ask to join the Constitution Party of Wisconsin. We mean to Restore the Republic, and if you fought for the flag, and "the Republic for which it stands" we would want to work with you.

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin,
a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year
and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com

The Local Church

"But if I tarry long, that thou mayest know how thou oughtest to behave thyself in the house of God, which is the church of the living God, the pillar and ground of the truth." I Timothy 3:15

By Riley J. Hood-Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party is a Political Party. We are no substitute for the local church. Did you know that the local Church is a government? According to Merriam webster, the word "ecclesia" which is translated as church means, "a political assembly of citizens of ancient Greek states especially the periodic meeting of the Athenian citizens for conducting public business and for considering affairs proposed by the council." While a church is not is a civil government, it is a government nonetheless. "Obey them that have the rule over you, and submit yourselves: for they watch for your souls, as they that must give account, that they do it with joy, and not with grief: for that is unprofitable for you." Hebrews 13:17 The Church is to teach sound doctrine, and ought to be the main influence on the culture. The Church deals with and disciplines their own for sin, even to the point of excommunication. This is different from the civil authority, which punishes crime, even to the point of the death penalty. The Milwaukee County Constitution supports authentic local churches for the following reasons:

We have a Christian Preamble stating: "The Constitution Party of Wisconsin gratefully acknowledges the blessing of the Lord God as Creator, Preserver, and Ruler of the Universe and of this Nation." Our Platform recognizes Christ's Kingship, and to be consistent, our members do recognize Jesus Christ as Lord and Savior. The Bible refers to Jesus like this, "And he is before all things, and by him all things consist. And he is the head of the body, the church:" Colossians 1:17-18. If one loves the Head of the Church, then one must love the Church, which is the body.

We encourage Churches to take a bold stand for the Moral Order, as read from God's Word the Bible. Christian Conduct, the Right to Life, the Sanctity of Marriage, Chastity, and other teachings flow from the 10 Commandments and the 2 Great Commandments. The Old and New Testaments are God's Word and as such, aren't up for debate. When the Church preaches and teaches with authority, we have "the wind at our back," in our 100% Pro-Life efforts

We have run away crime these days, and there is a lot of talk about crime prevention. The best form of crime prevention should be the local Church. Civil Government can only justly punish a criminal act, after it has occurred. "Preventative law" punishes the innocent. The Church teaches against sin, including evil thoughts and motives. If one corrects an evil thought one won't commit an evil act. The Church points men to the Savior. If men repent of their sins, and are born-again believers, following after the Spirit of God, they will not engage in the works of the flesh, but will be godly in their behavior. "But the fruit of the Spirit is love, joy, peace, longsuffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, meekness, temperance: against such there is no law." Galatians 5:22-23. In nations with no Churches, there is no justice, and little in the way of God's blessings. What made America great was her Churches preaching and teaching boldly. When Churches are shrunk back from the "Good Fight of Faith," America lost her virtue.

We support the local Church, we will work to eliminate IRS 501(c)3, and Incorporation status that places the State as the head of the church, rather than Christ. We affirm *Continued on page 14*

Lights Out Milwaukee

For the time is come that judgment must begin at the house of God: and if it first begin at us, what shall the end be of them that obey not the gospel of God?" I Peter 4:17

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

On October 11, 2020 The Missionaries to the Preborn wrote concerning the City of Wauwatosa, "We view our having planned to be there as providential considering all that has gone on in that city. We do not know of one good pulpit in that city that is faithful to Christ. And the consequences are evident."

While I cannot speak for every pulpit in Milwaukee County, I do not know of any faithful pulpit in the City of Milwaukee. In the County, Church after Church stopped being Pro-life, just ask the organizers of the Life Chain. One Church after another has embraced social justice. This started happening to the "mainstream protestant" churches like United Methodist, Congregationalist and Episcopalians 100 years ago. Evangelical and Pentecostal churches apostatized into social justice under the auspices "The purpose driven" "seeker sensitive" and other neo-evangelical movements, starting in the 1990's. They are as humanist as the once Christian YMCA. In the name of loving some of their "politically correct" neighbors, they have abandoned their love for God.

When I was CPOW State Chairman, the party had contact info for over 150 Churches in Milwaukee County. In 2011, as my first task I tried to reach them, with our 100% Pro-Life and Moral Order message. The response was zero, time after time. I drove by several of these once evangelical churches, and they were now pastored by women and had rainbow flags on their marquees. Now they are all that with the addition of BLM propaganda as well. With the exception of Mercy Seat Church, and a few others who signed the PreBorn Can't Wait Petition, their rate of support is less than 1%.

The last Church to fold in Milwaukee were the Lutherans. They caved over Black Lives Matter. At first, they were faithful and cited Genesis 1:26-28 and said all men were created in God's image. After the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel called Milwaukee Lutheran High School racist, they started supporting Black Lives Matter, and the Black Criminal George Floyd. The junior Pastor at St. John's Lutheran started bad-mouthing America as founded from the pulpit, and promoting social justice. As their school takes choice vouchers, he started hiring teachers based on their race, and not on their faith. I realize men all walks of life need Jesus. Even Nazis need to repent and be saved, but I wouldn't support them with my tithe.

Having said all that, I was attending a neutralist Church in East Troy, where at least they preach the Bible and the Gospel, even though they use the Gospel as an excuse to be silent regarding current events. This writer asserts people are not saved by osmosis, neither are they sanctified by osmosis, or silence from the pulpit. The Pastor would make snarky comments about Americans, not rebuking them for their sins, but for their freedoms. On October 18th, 2020 he said we are saved to be slaves and the Church was a society of slaves. II Corinthians 3:17 says, "Now the Lord is that Spirit, and where the Spirit of the Lord there is Liberty." Not slavery. Jesus in John 8:31-32 didn't say the truth would make you a slave, but the truth shall make you free. It looks like I will still be trying to find a decent church.

It is no coincidence that this spring's riots were nation-wide as opposed to local, because the Church was closed down and silent. The Church, Inc. has voluntarily silenced itself since 1954, and the results to this once great nation are self-evident.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party meets once a month. We are not a Church, but we are 100% Pro-Life, we uphold the Moral Order as delivered by God, in His word, the Bible. You do not have to be a CPOW member or associate to be our guest. If you are tired of criminals their liberal allies, we would like to meet with you. Because we reject communism, and love our free America, you do not have to wear a mask, or check your Christian faith at the door.

The Muslim Report: Tanzania

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

According to Barnabas Aid Tanzania is a Christian majority country where a minority of Muslims control the government. Their answer is to pray that Muslims and Socialists will act justly and we can all have peace. They would assert they are obeying I Timothy 2:1-2.

Secularism is no substitute for a Christian Republic. The US State Department 2019 Executive Summary reports that Christians face Islamic and Government persecution. "A court in Bukoba convicted and sentenced three Muslim men to death for killings committed in 2015 during conflicts between Pentecostal Christians and Muslims. In February police arrested the Itigi town council executive and two game rangers on charges they shot and killed a Seventh-day Adventist Church member during church services. In September press reported the minister of home affairs ordered the arrest of a Pentecostal preacher for noise pollution;" and "In July a local government official closed 13 unregistered churches in the Bukoba Region."

The Tanzanian Constitution is a secular document. Copied from the Soviet Constitution it pays lip service to religious freedom, but asserts that the government grants rights and can thus take them away. Religious groups must register with the government and give the names of at least ten members. The USSD reports, "The law prohibits religious groups from registering as political parties. To register as a political party, an entity may not use religion as a basis to approve membership, nor may the promotion of religion be a policy of that entity." "The law prohibits any person from taking any action or making statements with the intent of insulting the religious beliefs of another person. Anyone committing such an offense is liable to a year's imprisonment." While secular law governs Christians in all matters of law, Muslims can go to a Sharia court to settle their disputes. On the island of Zanzibar, the government is Islamic, and they have Qadi justice system. See the way this going?

On October 28th, 2020 John Pombe Magufuli was re-elected. He is a Roman Catholic, even though he was educated at the University of Dar es Salaam. His Vice-President is Samia Hassan Suluhu. She is an Islamic woman who was educated at the University of Manchester. Their group is called the Party of Revolution.

Al-Jazeera posted, "Magufuli wins re-election in Tanzania; opposition cries foul. President Magufuli received more than 12 million votes while top opposition figure had only two million amid vote-fraud allegations." The BBC reports, "The Chadema and ACT-Wazalendo parties," called for "mass protests." Chadema alleges ballot boxes were tampered with after its agents were stopped from entering polling stations, "We first call for fresh elections as soon as possible."

The 2019 USSD Report reads, "Since independence, the country has been governed by alternating Christian and Muslim presidents. Twenty-two members of the Association for Islamic Mobilization and Propagation (UAMSHO), an Islamist group advocating for Zanzibar's full autonomy, remained in custody without a trial since their arrest in 2013 on terrorism charges." When Suluhu was born in 1960, Zanzibar was the Sultanate of Zanzibar: the Islamic slave market of yesterday is now a semi-autonomous region. When I google searched the religious make up of Tanzania all I got was entries about the overwhelming Islamic majority in Zanzibar. (According to www.state.gov, the 1.3 million people are 99% Muslim.) Giving Zanzibar independence would amount to nothing more than a base camp for Al-Shabaab with nation-state status. (A recent historical example would be the Syrian Army occupying Lebanese territory from which Amal, Hezbollah and others would have secure bases, in Baalbek and the Shouf valley.)

The reality is that is Islam is an unjust false belief, embedded with violence. German Christians were counted as fools for praying for wisdom and guidance for Adolf Hitler. Islam is worse. It is time to organize and run Christians for these posts while they still have the numbers on their side. The State Department in Section 1, Religious Demography says, "The U.S. government estimates the total population at 57 million (midyear 2019 estimate). A 2010 Pew Forum survey estimates approximately 61 percent of the population is Christian, 35 percent Muslim, and 4 percent other religious groups. According to the Berkley Center for Religion, Peace and World Affairs, Christians are approximately evenly divided between Roman Catholics and Protestant denominations. Other local observers believe that Roman Catholics constitute the majority of Christians, with Lutherans as the second biggest denomination." www.state.gov says, "A separate 2010 Pew Forum Report estimates more than half of the population practices elements of African traditional religions in their daily lives." And "In March police arrested 65 individuals described as "witchdoctors" on suspicion of involvement in the ritual killing of at least 10 children."

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that our Party would be illegal in Tanzania. Our opposition to Islam would be illegal. Our 100% anti-abortion stand would be illegal. Our Preamble, "The Constitution Party of Wisconsin gratefully acknowledges the blessing of the Lord God as Creator, Preserver, and Ruler of the Universe and of this Nation. It recognizes Jesus Christ as transcendent King over all nations and hereby appeals to Him for aid, comfort, guidance and the protection of His Divine Providence as we work to restore and preserve this nation as a government of the people, by the people, and for the people." "The U.S. Constitution established a republic under God, rather than a democracy." "Our republic is a nation governed by a Constitution, which is rooted in Biblical law, administered by representatives who are constitutionally elected by the citizens." "In a republic governed by Constitutional law, rooted in Biblical law, all life, liberty, and property are protected." In Tanzania, our God-given freedom of association would be illegal. But we live in America, and we mean to Re-establish the Moral Order and Restore the Republic. The surest way to lose your rights is to not exercise them, and in Milwaukee County we are asking you join us on the first Saturday of every month.

The Local Church

cont. from page 14

that the State has no right to tell a Pastor what he can or can't say, much less issue an order closing Churches down. Because the Church has been voluntarily silent, America is lost. Because during the Covid "science-lockdown" Churches accepted a non-essential status and tried to spiritualize pod casts and the like: the result is more people have been encouraged to stay home. The Church is essential, and if it is not an assembly of people, it is not a Church. We will continue to our duty. It is our sincere hope that we can march with the wind at our back. Right now, the wind is in our face. One last thing, if you like to sleep in on Sunday, and can't give a tithe or offering, you are part of the reason church buildings are being converted into museums, Buddhist temples and Mosques.

The Muslim Report: Cameroon

"Who shall lay anything to the charge of God's elect? It is God that justifieth." Roman 8:33

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Cameroon gained their Independence from France in 1975, and while it has elections, they are fake elections, and President Paul Biya has been in control of the socialist nation since 1982. His army is good at arresting counterdemonstrators, kidnapping and torturing opponents, but they are a soft "welfare army" with no stomach to fight ISIS West Africa, or Boko-Haram.

According to the 2019 US State Department Executive Summary, "On June 10, approximately 300 armed ISIS-WA jihadists attacked military positions in Darak in Logon and Shari division in the Far North Region, killing at least 16 soldiers and eight civilians, according to the defense minister."

On April 5th, Palm Sunday 2020 two Boko Haram Muslims blew themselves up, murdering seven people in the town of Amchide. Included in the death toll were the village chief and two teenage boys. The town of Amchide is a majority Christian town.

On Sunday August 2nd, 2020 18 people were murdered, and more injured when Boko-Haram attacked a refugee camp with rifles and machetes near the village of Nguetchewe. Several of the dead were dismembered. A Church leader said this isn't a semi-annual event, "Every day we witness looting, fires, kidnappings, killings and massive displacement of populations."

Church organizations are sending relief. Everything except guns, ammunition and training. If you don't train the people to defend themselves, you aren't sending them dinky-do. The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that it is a perfectly godly response to put two rounds into the chest of a Muslim who is intent on hacking your family to death with a machete. The sad day isn't when a Muslim attacker is stopped, the sad day was when they rejected Christianity, embraced Islam, and went on a murder spree, otherwise known as Jihad. Finally, and armed citizenry would put a damper on abuses carried out by a corrupt gendarmerie.





Natural Gas Bans Meeting Resistance from Reality

By Natural Gas Now Guest Blogger

Some 40 communities in California have implemented bans or restrictions on the use of natural gas, which have ignited a backlash from some of California's prominent Black and Latino leaders, who indicate that the prohibitions on the fuel are a form of regressive tax on low- and middle-income residents. This battle over the future of residential and commercial use of natural gas in California is an example of regressive taxation.

This example and a growing number of examples in other parts of the United States appear to be the result of a campaign by the Rocky Mountain Institute, which first published its "Carbon-Free City Handbook" in 2017. The Handbook has been used as a manual by many local governments. The Rocky Mountain Institute works with the World Resources Institute, Bloomberg Philanthropies, and other groups that oppose conventional energy to aid cities in implementing near-term renewable energy projects.

About 86 percent of the homes in California use natural gas. Banning the direct use of the fuel for cooking, home heating, water heaters, and clothes dryers, will force consumers to instead use more electricity which, on an energy-equivalent basis, costs four times as much as natural gas. That ban would essentially result in a hidden energy tax and a massive burden on consumers in California, which has the highest poverty rate in the United States. When accounting for the cost of living, 18.1 percent of the state's residents are living in poverty, which is equivalent to about 7 million Californians—a population about the size of Arizona's.

Further, the bans on natural gas are occurring at the same time that California's electricity prices are increasing and that the state's electricity grid has been shown to be unreliable. State residents' electricity demand has been affected by rolling blackouts during heat waves and power cutoffs to prevent fires caused by old equipment. In fact, blackouts are so common that thousands of Californians have bought small generators powered by fossil fuels to assure reliable power.

California's residential electricity prices are already the sixth highest in the country. Last year, the average cost of residential electricity in California was 19.22 cents per kilowatt-hour, which is 47 percent higher than the national average residential electricity price of 13.04 cents per kilowatt-hour. On December 3, the California Public Utility Commission approved an 8.1 percent electricity rate increase for Pacific Gas & Electric, which will cost the average residential customer in that service territory an additional $13.44 per month. That rate increase was approved the same week that San Jose and Oakland approved bans or restrictions on the use of natural gas. Both cities are in PG&E's service territory.

With the closure of the 2,256-megawatt Diablo Canyon nuclear plant pending in a few years, the state's goal to be carbon-free

Continued on page 24

Nuclear Power

cont. from page 1

nonsensical support of the Green New Deal, Haaland received 'Special Recognition' as part of the Nuclear-Free Future Award 2020 in September. She concluded her acceptance speech:

"I'm committed to fighting for a nuclear-free future!"

Ironically, Haaland also proclaimed last month, after Biden announced her candidacy for the Interior post:

"We know that climate change can only be solved with the participation of every department and every community coming together in a common purpose — this country can and will tackle this challenge."

Like many climate activists, Haaland is clearly unaware that, aside from complete economic collapse, nuclear power is the only way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the United States significantly. The misunderstanding about nuclear power on the part of Haaland and many others who support the climate scare is undoubtedly largely the result of media exaggerations, and at times, outright lies about the consequences of highly publicized nuclear accidents.

In "America's Nuclear Energy Could Unite the Left and the Right," our article in last week's America Out Loud, we explained how, despite sensationalist media claims, the impact of the Three Mile Island nuclear accident was in fact trivial. We also explained why the Chernobyl nuclear accident in Ukraine could not happen in the U.S. We pointed to the conclusion of the United Nations' Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation 2000 report that there is no evidence of any major public health impact attributable to radiation exposure after the accident. We only touched briefly on the 2011 accident at the Fukushima, Japan, nuclear plant, so let's examine what actually happened there more closely.

It has been almost a decade since a major earthquake and a devastating tsunami damaged the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant in Japan. We should all be celebrating that there was not a single case of radiation-induced illness or death due to escaped radiation. But years of anti-nuclear media and activists' rants left this an untold story. While there were indeed thousands of lives tragically lost due to the tsunami and subsequent pollution from wastewater, there have been no fatalities due to the clean, bountiful electricity produced by nuclear power in the region.

How many people in this country are aware of this outcome? The answer is very few because the media failed to follow up their hysterical warnings of radioactive waste reaching our shores. None ever did. Another celebration was in order.

Yet, with no scientific reason, Japan and Germany shut down most of their nuclear reactors. The construction of additional nuclear power plants around the world was slowed for most of the decade. Now they are largely back on track, but not in the United States.

PRECAUTIONARY DEATHS

Approximately 160,000 people were evacuated from the Fukushima nuclear power plant area shortly after it was damaged by the March 11, 2011 earthquake and tsunami. An evacuation order forced 70,000 people to leave the area, and an additional 90,000 left voluntarily. They returned soon afterward. The 70,000 forced evacuees also eventually returned to their homes.

Sadly, it is estimated that 1,600 people died prematurely from stress-related illness in the evacuation process. The 'precautionary' action, taken in response to hypothetical health risks, was more harmful than the risks themselves. This tragic event illustrates the need for public policy decisions

Continued on page 17

888-236-8838
Wisconsin Stamping & Manufacturing
Your Idea. Our Ingenuity. A Perfect Match.


TUBULAR
Raschig Rings
Compression Limiters
Spacers


STAMPING
Progressive
Compound
Shallow Draw


WIRE FORMING
2D & 3D Forms
Guards
Thread Rolling


MACHINING
Milling
Swiss CNC Turning
Drill/Tap


FABRICATION
Welding
Laser Cutting
Assembly

CAREERS: HR@WISCONSINSTAMPING.COM
www.wisconsinstamping.com
N110 W13455 North Patton Court • Germantown, WI 53022

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES

Handgun Fundamentals
Sun., Jan. 31; 9:00 AM - 1:00 PM

Skills Practice - Handgun Malfunction
Tue., Feb. 9; 6:00 AM - 7:30 PM

Concealed Carry + Home Defense
Sat., Feb. 13; 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM

Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.

12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005
262-226-2900
info@wifirearms.com
★★★★★
Five-Star Confidence

Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm

wifirearms.com
An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex
WISCONSIN FIREARMS WFTC TRAINING CENTER

New York can't buy its way out of coming blackouts

Even if battery backup worked, its astronomical costs put the idea in Fantasyland

By David Wojick

New York City will soon be home to the world's biggest industrial-scale battery system. It's designed to back up the city's growing reliance on intermittent "renewable" electricity. At 400 megawatt-hours (MWh), this cluster of batteries will be more than triple the existing 129 MWh world leader in Australia.

Mark Chambers, NYC's Director of Sustainability (I am not making this title up), is ecstatic. ?"?Expanding battery storage is a critical part of how we advance momentum to confront the climate emergency," he brags, "while meeting the energy needs of all New Yorkers. Today's announcement demonstrates how we can deliver this need at significant scale." [emphasis added]

In the same nonsensical way, Tim Cawley, president of Con Edison, New York state's power utility, gushes thus: ?"?Utility-scale battery storage will play a vital role in New York's clean energy future, especially in New York City, where it will help to maximize the benefit of the wind power being developed offshore."

In reality, the scale here is vanishingly insignificant. The official enthusiasm puts the Con in Con Edison. (And few New Yorkers and other East Coast residents are going to tolerate thousands of 850-foot-tall wind turbines off their shores. People don't want them in their onshore backyards either.)

When it comes to the scale needed to reliably back up unreliable pretend-renewable electricity generation – and keep business, industry, social media and civilization functioning – New York's and America's policy makers need to start living in the Real World. Otherwise blackouts will become common.

For simplicity, let's suppose New York City is 100% wind powered. (Including solar in the generating mix makes it more complicated but does not change the unhappy outcome very much.)

NYC currently peaks at around 13,000 MW – just to keep the city running during extreme summer heat. If Mr. Biden makes all the cars and trucks electric, total demand could eventually hit 20,000 MW. But let's stick to present day reality.

This peak occurs because of enormous air conditioning demand during summer heat waves, which is bad enough. But to make matters even worse, those heat waves are caused by stagnant high pressure systems called Bermuda highs. These highs often last for a week and because they involve stagnant air masses – and an absence of breezes – there is no wind power generation.

Wind turbines require something like sustained winds of 10 mph to move the blades and more like a whistling 30 mph to generate full power. During a Bermuda high, folks are happy to get the occasional 5 mph breeze. These huge highs cover many states, so it is not like we can get the juice from next door.

So for reliability we need, say, seven days of backup: 168 hours. Here's the math:

13,000 MW x 168 hours = 2,184,000 MWh of stored juice needed to just make it. Mind you, for normal reliability we usually add 20% or so as a safety measure. Did I mention electric cars? Replacing natural gas with electricity for cooking, water heating and other needs? Charging all those batteries? Maybe they need to add 40% to account for all this, plus emergency circumstances. But let's ignore that for now.

Let's also ignore the energy and raw materials required to manufacture (and replace) all those batteries.

The key point is, 400 MWh is not "significant scale." It is trivial, infinitesimal scale. Virtually nothing.

Nada. A piddling 0.02% of what the City would need amid a 7-day heat wave. It might as well not exist. It might be enough to power Gracie Mansion and City government offices during a summer heat wave, but that's about it.

More specifically, 2,184,000 divided by 400 = 5,460. That means New York City just needs another 5,459 additional battery clusters to meet those peak needs.

On the other hand, this measly 400 MWh battery array may well cost half a billion dollars, which is significant, especially to the New Yorkers who will have to pay for it. No cost figures were given, because the system is privately owned (and the numbers would be hugely embarrassing).

However, the Energy Information Administration says the average utility scale battery system runs around $1.5 million per MWh of storage capacity. That works out to $600 million for this insignificant climate-obsessing toy.

So what would it cost to reliably back up wind power, at this MWh cost and NYC scale? Just over $3,000,000,000,000. THREE TRILLION DOLLARS! I have not seen this stupendous sum reported in the media. Perhaps Con Ed has not mentioned it. (You think?) They certainly know about it.

But hey, maybe the cost will come down a trillion – though not if we create a seller's market by rushing into intermittent renewables, which is certainly where we are headed. After all, this is just New York City. Imagine what backing up Americawith batteries might cost. Don't bother because it is impossible.

I should also add that we have no idea how to make 2 million MWh of batteries work together. The tiny 400 will be a challenge. Millions of megawatt hours on demand may not be possible.

Then too, New York State has the same problem. Only much bigger if New York City is included, which it often is. New York State peaks at about 32,000 MW, which works out to 5,376,000 MWh of stored juice at a cost of EIGHT TRILLION DOLLAS for enough batteries to make 100% wind reliable. And again, this is without phasing in electric cars and trucks, phasing out gas heat, a 20-40% reserve, etc.

Note that New York State has a law saying they will build at least 3,000 MWh of batteries over the next decade. Like NYC's grand 400 MWh battery system, this is nothing compared to what is needed to keep the lights on. Nor does the New York Power Authority mention the many trillions of dollars needed to make renewables reliable.

All of this battery backup hype is a scam, and not just in New York. The papers are full of this con, from coast to coast. Solar plus batteries or wind plus batteries, as though the batteries mattered, when they do not. The utilities know perfectly well that these loudly touted battery buys are a hoax, but they are getting rich building the mandated and subsidized wind and solar systems the politicians are calling for. Adding a trivial battery makes it sound like renewables work. Which they don't.

On a larger scale, consider PJM. This is the electric power coordinating group of utilities that oversees the central part of the Eastern USA (not including New York State). Its primary mission is system reliability, so it should be very interested in this impossible battery cost problem.

PJM peaks at around 150,000 MW, so a week of backup battery juice is 25,200,000 MWh. At $1,500,000 per MWh, that is just under a mere THIRTY-EIGHT TRILLION DOLLARS! This too is without electrifying all our fossil fueled cars, trucks, buildings, appliances and whatever else the climate emergency central planners can think of. Yet PJM says not a mumbling word about the impossibility of delivering reliability using all renewables and batteries.

The voters are oblivious to these impossible numbers, since they are repeatedly told that intermittent wind and solar are cheaper than reliable coal, gas and nuclear. That may be true only when the sun shines bright and the wind blows hard, which is not all that often. Now do the math for the entire United States.

Maybe fracked geothermal, the only reliable renewable, is the answer. Or how about reliable coal, oil, gas and nuclear power? Too bad they are all out of fashion.

Reality is just sitting there, waiting. 100% renewables cannot work, so they will not work. At this point it is just a question of how and when we find out the hard way. The key then is for voters and electricity users to learn this stuff, ask hard questions, demand honest answers, and not be Conned any longer.

David Wojick is an independent analyst specializing in science, logic and human rights in public policy, and author of numerous articles on these topics.

Nuclear Power *cont. from page 16*

based on sound science rather than unsupported environmental scares. The senior author of this article made the point repeatedly in the days and weeks following the event that the science was clear that fears surrounding the damaged nuclear power plant were vastly overstated. For this, he (Dr. Lehr) was pilloried by anti-nuclear extremists, much as climate activists lambast anyone who dares expose the lack of sound science to back the climate scare.

CLOSING THE BOOK ON FUKUSHIMA

Despite the breathless media reports of radiation threats issued in the immediate wake of the earthquake and tsunami—and still repeated to this day—United Nations health experts have closed the books on any asserted risks from the nuclear power plant damage. The UN Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) issued a press release two years later that said:

"Radiation exposure following the nuclear accident at Fukushima-Daiichi did not cause any immediate health effects. Eight years later, still, none have appeared. It is unlikely to be able to attribute any health effects in the future among the general public and the vast majority of workers."

UNSCEAR continued:

"To date, there have been no health effects attributed to radiation exposure observed among workers, the people with the highest radiation exposures."

Why does uncertainty remain about the effects of low-level radiation in light of any long-term negative impacts? All living organisms have been in a sea of radiation since their first appearance, and radiation has been affecting their genes all of this time. Approximately 15,000 gamma rays or particles hit the average person every second. And after more than 120 years of extensive health effect studies, we know more about ionizing radiation than we do about any other stressor on human health.

Lauriston Taylor, a founder of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), observed back in 1934 that:

"No one has been identifiably injured by radiation while working within the first standard set at 0.2 roentgen/day by the US National Commission on Radiological Protection (NCRP, now the ICRP)."

IMPORTANCE OF DOSE LEVELS

After discovering x-rays and radioactivity more than 120 years ago, hundreds of medical practitioners began testing and using the penetrating radiation to examine internal injuries and illnesses, reducing the guesswork in diagnosing diseases. They discovered low-level radiation produces remarkable beneficial effects, while they also knew high-level short-term exposures cause significant harm.

IS THERE A SAFE LEVEL OF RADIATION?

MOST DEFINITELY THERE IS, BUT!

So why is there a perceived radiation problem? What is the reason for the fear, uncertainty, and doubt regarding the effects of radiation? To understand this, we need to consider the origin of the linear no-threshold (LNT) dose-response concept, which forms the basis for radiation protection activities and cancer risk calculations that have been costly mistakes for decades.

The invention and use of atomic bombs in 1945, the nuclear arms race, and the rise of the anti-nuclear movement likely induced many concerned scientists to disregard 70 years of research and experience on the use of radiation to stimulate the protection systems of living organisms.

Many scientists instead accepted the new fear generating LNT concept that says the risk of cancer and genetic disease increases linearly with radiation dose, from a single atom of radiation.

The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) made a 180-degree turn by rejecting its 1934 standard based on the tolerance dose, which had stood for many years without any problems being recognized. It issued new recommendations based on the Linear No Threshold (LNT) model to evaluate the statistical risk of cancer from any radiation exposure. This is the basis of our unfounded radiation scare.

Dr. Edward Calabrese, a world-renowned radiation toxicologist at the University of Massachusetts, wrote in the 2013 Archives of Toxicology:

"In 1956, the US National Academy of Sciences Committee on Biological Effects of Atomic Radiation Genetics Panel issued the most far-reaching recommendation in the history of risk assessment that genomic risks associated with exposure to ionizing radiation should be evaluated with a linear dose-response model, no longer via the threshold dose-response model that had long been the 'gold' standard for medicine and physiology. The Genetics Panel members believed that there was no safe exposure to ionizing radiation for reproductive cells, with the mutation risk being increased even with a single ionization. In 1958, the LNT concept was generalized to somatic cells and cancer risk assessment by the National Committee for Radiation Protection and Measurement."

Dr. Calabrese discovered that many panel members had a conflict of interest in establishing this flawed rule. As practiced by the modern radiation protection community, the LNT hypothesis is one of the greatest scientific scandals of our time.

We documented this fraud from Calabrese's research into the lies and biases in the work of early researchers in "Scientific Skullduggery Behind Radiation Fraud," our August 5, 2020, America Out Loud piece.

IT IS TIME TO CORRECT THIS TERRIBLE LNT POLICY

It is essential that we revert to the ICRP standard of 1934 and the tolerance dose concept for radiation protection. This change would remove many constraints on the use of x-rays, CT-scans, and nuclear medicine techniques to diagnose many illnesses. It would also pave the way for clinical studies on many potential applications for low radiation to treat critical diseases, such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's, by reducing restrictions regulating adaptive protection systems.

The urgent justification for this change in concept is the divergence between fear and facts regarding Fukushima, three Mile Island, and Chernobyl. Radiophobia erupted around the world despite the scientifically-expected lack of any serious radiation harms. Besides the German and Japanese nuclear energy phase-out, lifesaving radiation medical applications continue to be impeded. Let's celebrate this life-saving technology's availability rather than stopping it from appeasing self-interest, which is definitely the prevailing case today.

Appearing on Fox Business' "Varney & Co." last month Sen. Kevin Cramer (R-N.D.) predicted that there would be "a bit of a brawl" in the confirmation hearing of Haaland. Her support of the Green New Deal and her opposition to fracking have been cited as key bones of contention. If Crammer and other Republicans are truly going "to stand our ground and fight the fight," as he told Fox News, then they should also demand that Haaland explain why she sides with climate activists who oppose nuclear power. Few of the stances taken by the left in recent years are as ridiculous.

Tom Harris is ICSC Executive Director of the Ottawa, Canada-based International Climate Science Coalition (ICSC). Dr. Jay Lehr is Senior Policy Analyst with ICSC.

The U.S. Government is Hopelessly Corrupt



By Lawrence Selling, Ph.D.

I went to war for the United States three times. I have always loved my country. I still do. It's the U.S. government that I despise, which has deliberately and decisively separated itself from the American people.

In his 1993 book, The Wish for Kings," Lewis Latham described the U.S. government as an oligarchy: "…that 2% of the population who own the media and the banks, manage the government, operated the universities, print the money, write the laws and, every four years, hire a President."

Is there any doubt that the oligarchy hired the enfeebled Joe Biden to run for President and carry out its wishes because Donald Trump would not?

That was the essence of the 2020 election and an explanation for the last four years of political turmoil in which the oligarchy illegitimately tried to removed Trump from the Presidency.

But it is far worse than that.

In the past decades, the oligarch was manipulating government simply to maximize its profits, as Lapham wrote: "The politicians dress up the deals in the language of law or policy, but they're in the business of brokering the tax revenue, and what keeps them in office is not their talent for oratory but their skill at redistributing the national income in a way that rewards their clients, patrons, friends and campaign contributors."

Today, in addition to maximizing profits, the oligarchy wants to control what our read, what you think and what you are permitted to express.

There is an unholy and dangerous alliance combining the media and Big Tech with the national security state, that is, the intelligence services, the military-industrial complex and law enforcement.

It is quite literally, an effort t abrogate the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and eliminate free speech. The United States government has gone beyond authoritarianism towards the Chinese Communist Party model of state capitalism and totalitarianism.

And what better candidate to carry that model forward that Joe Biden, who is already compromised by his close connections with the Chinese government?

Make no mistake, Donald Trump won the 2020 Presidential election. It was stolen, not only from him, but, more important, it was stolen from the American people by the well-organized and well-financed application of massive electoral fraud.

A detailed description of the election fraud was published by Dr. Peter Navarro.

Most of what the media are reporting about the election and the events following it, such as the so-called "assault" on the Capitol building, are lies combined with Big Tech censorship and psychological operations conduced by those with connections to the national security state.

Media disinformation and Big Tech censorship are extensions of an and attempt to solidify the fraudulent 2020 election, which was not simply of contest between the Democrat and Republican ideologies, but a battle between the entrenched power of the bipartisan political establishment versus the freedom and well-being of the American people.

Contrary to the claims of the discredited media, there was never a cult of personality.

Donald Trump was an instrument by which the American people might wrest control of their own government from the vicelike grip of the oligarchy.

The oligarchy hates, not just Trump, but hates and fears the American people, who represent an obstacle to the oligarchy's use of government as a lever to obtain personal power and profit.

It is a fundamental principle of democracy that the efficiency and effectiveness of government are directly dependent upon the trustworthiness of government officials as representatives and executors of the views and desires of the people.

Americans now believe that we are not citizens of a republic, but subjects of a fraudulently-elected aristocracy, composed of a self-absorbed permanent political class, with serves the interests of international financiers at the expense of the American people.

Although we have elections in the United States, we no longer have representative government.

As an American patriot, it is painful for me to admit it because I have always considered the United States as history's greatest democratic republic, buy my country's government is hopelessly corrupt.

The U.S. Government must be stripped bare and rebuilt from the ground up according to the U.S. Constitution as it was written, not now it has been interpreted by the self-centered incompetents, cowards, profiteers and deranged, but otherwise unemployable ideologues, all of whom currently populate the government's executive, judicial and legislative branches.

Three years before the start of the American Civil War, Abraham Lincoln said a government cannot endure permanently half slave and half free - that a house divided against itself cannot stand.

Likewise, a government separated from the people cannot stand.

He may be inaugurated, but Joe Biden will never, under any circumstances, be considered a legitimate President.

Lawrence Selling, Ph.D., is retired from an International career in business and medical research with 29 years of service in the U.S. Army Reserve and a veteran of Afghanistan and Iraq.

Political Hypocrisy

cont. from page 5

Nancy Pelosi, the Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives gets a private hairdo when all salons were ordered closed and the hairdo was illegal. Or Mayor Hancock of Denver, ordering city residents to stay home alone and not to travel for Thanksgiving, while he flew to Mississippi to celebrate with his family.

My favorite example is when Michigan's Governor Whitmer ordered residents not to travel to Michigan lakes for Memorial Day while her husband was trying to get the docking company to put his boat in the water. When the incident became public, the Governor stated he was joking.

These slights to us common people by the "elites" are not really the end of the world. They are just more noticeable since they impact our daily lives whereas the elites transferring millions or billions in public funds to friends, lobbyists, bankers, foreign dictators, while disgusting, are so far out of our normal experience, they are just words in a newspaper.

Americans hate political hypocrisy! Unfortunately, it continues since we do not know how to stop it. It's time to bring these hypocrites before the sewer of injustice in this country.

Presented are 3 modest and workable proposals that politicians will easily find ways to ignore and 1 outrageous proposal that will stop hypocrite politicians in their tracks.

Type 1 Hypocrisy - Politicians impose a law on everyone but they do not follow it.

Modest Proposal 1 – subject all elected politicians to the same employee personal policy manuals as all other employees. Not a radical concept. When these elected officials violate state law, regulation, or policy, they would be administratively disciplined by the Human Resources department, e.g. reprimand, unpaid leave of absence, reduction in salary. Since politicians have all taken oaths to uphold the law but cannot be fired for violating their oaths (other than recall, election, or impeachment) there would be a minimum salary reduction of 2 months' salary for the first offense, 3 months reduction for the second offense and so on.

Type 2 Hypocrisy – Politicians pass a law that imposes duties and restrictions on citizens but specifically exempt themselves from the law. The U.S. Congress imposes laws on the entire nation and on the Executive branch but exempts itself from such laws.

Modest Proposal 2 - require that all laws passed by Congress apply to the institution of Congress and its members. If there is one way to ensure reasonable laws, it is for Congress having to comply with them. Enacting such a law should be simple – ask every candidate whether they would support such a law. Literally, every candidate will say "yes." Citizens need to get the "yes" and then hold the candidate accountable if elected, to enact laws that apply to all people.

The National Archives compiled a list of laws that do not apply to Congress: Occupational Safety and Health law of 1970, Freedom of Information and Privacy Act, Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Independent Counsel, Limitations on Gifts, no-Official Activities as Agent or Attorney, Personal Gain, Honoraria and Outside Compensation, Labor and Employment Laws that have No Relevance to the Activities of Congress. Other laws are identified by Pro Publica.

Type 3 Hypocrisy – Members of Congress take an oath to uphold the laws of the U.S., then violate the law when in office.

Modest Proposal 3 – have Congress actually enforce the rules of the House and Senate that have very stringent mechanisms for punishing members who violate the law. The U.S Constitution authorizes each House to determine the rules of its proceedings, punish its members for disorderly behavior and expel members by a two-thirds vote.

The rules of each House are very comprehensive in dealing with disorderly conduct by members. Punishment varies based on the seriousness of the offense ranging from fines and monetary assessments, letters of reproval (gentle disapproval) censure, reprimand, and expulsion.

The difficulty is that the House, for example, rarely uses its disciplinary powers, no matter how serious the offense. Between 1832 and July 2016 only 23 members have been censured (6 since 1921); 10 reprimanded and five expelled. Some of the conduct that escaped sanction includes corruption in the House Post Office, sexting and sending pornographic pictures on the Internet of the congressman, and seeking sexual favors in public bathrooms.

From August 2016 to the present another 53 complaints, according to GovTrack were filed and processed in the House and Senate.

An outrageous proposal to reduce hypocrisy by government officials

The above reasonable proposals will likely fail since government officials will use their offices, friends, relationships, money, staff, and other officeholders to limit punishment for their hypocrisy. To be truly effective in addressing political hypocrites we need to think about what ordinary citizens can do to prevent such actions in the future. The outrageous proposal - Place a "Standee," (a life-size cardboard cutout of the politician) in the public square and place "throws," (necklaces of plastic beads used at Marti Gras) around the neck of the standee. Each "throw" would have a "scarlet H" attached. Every citizen could add a unique throw. Lines would long. National news would be generated. Politicians not wanting the honor of being a "standee" would think twice before being hypocrites.

All other outrageous ideas should be presented since serious, modest proposals rarely persuade elite public officials to do the right thing.

William L. Kovacs, author of Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens and The Left's Little Red Book on Forming a Green New Republic. Also, former senior vice president, U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Puzzle Answers

5	4	1	6	7	9	8	2	3
8	7	3	2	5	1	6	9	4
6	9	2	8	3	4	7	1	5
2	5	4	9	8	6	3	7	1
7	1	8	3	2	5	9	4	6
3	6	9	4	1	7	2	5	8
4	2	5	7	6	8	1	3	9
1	3	6	5	9	2	4	8	7
9	8	7	1	4	3	5	6	2

R	A	I	S	E	■	B	E	A	R	■	C	O	E	D
O	C	C	U	R	R	E	N	C	E	■	A	M	M	O
T	H	E	P	R	O	B	S	T	O	F	F	I	C	E
H	E	S	S	■	M	O	U	E	■	O	T	T	E	R
■	■	■	■	T	A	P	E	D	■	C	A	S	E	S
■	T	R	A	I	N	■	■	■	K	I	N	■	■	■
D	I	A	N	E	■	S	A	V	E	■	■	P	O	X
E	D	G	A	R	A	L	L	A	N	P	R	O	B	E
B	Y	E	■	■	C	Y	A	N	■	L	U	R	E	D
■	■	■	F	O	E	■	■	■	C	A	T	T	Y	■
C	L	E	R	K	■	A	R	R	A	Y	■	■	■	■
A	L	L	E	R	■	R	O	A	R	■	P	O	E	T
M	A	G	N	A	C	R	U	M	B	L	A	U	D	E
E	M	I	T	■	P	O	T	P	O	U	R	R	I	S
L	A	N	E	■	A	W	E	S	■	G	E	S	T	S



China Stands Ready

cont. from page 1

democracy and freedom worldwide since World War II."

The U.S. government estimates that China's intellectual property theft costs America as much as $500 billion a year, or between $4,000 and $6,000 per U.S. household.

An example involved the Chinese wind-turbine manufacturer Sinovel which a 2018 federal grand jury found guilty of stealing trade secrets from American Superconductor. That theft resulted in the U.S. company losing more than $1 billion in shareholder value and cutting 700 jobs.

Sinovel has since built a worldwide business at the expense of ingenuity it stole from an American enterprise.

Universities and other research and technology institutions are major Beijing intellectual property espionage targets.

Houston's MD Anderson Cancer Center terminated three scientists over China cancer research theft concerns in 2019, and the head of Harvard's Chemistry Department was arrested early last year on alleged charges of receiving $50,000 a month from a China sponsor as part of a plan to attract top scientists and reward them for stealing information.

China is heavily invested in developing world-class emerging information technologies to expand covert access to highly sensitive corporate and government networks, including those populated by U.S. military defense contractors.

As discussed in my book "Cyberwarfare: Targeting America, Our Infrastructure and Our Future," some of these malicious activities involve the introduction of vulnerabilities into safety-critical network software and equipment such as financial institution systems and energy grid controls.

President Trump has imposed substantial fair-trade tariffs, cracked down on technology theft, and clamped national security restrictions on sales of U.S. software chips or technology to Chinese telecom giant Huawei, and has pushed other countries to ban it from their 5G networks.

We can only hope that the new Biden administration will build upon rather than dismantle Trump's hardline intellectual property protection policies.

Beijing has launched massive influence campaigns aimed at reshaping America's culture and political environment. Substantial financial investments have been targeted upon pro-China U.S. entertainment influence, most particularly through big purchases of the Hollywood film industry.

An aggressive China espionage and influence campaign against U.S. government officials which dates back at least a couple of decades from the time a spy agent first gained employment as California Democrat Sen. Diane Feinstein's driver, including a time when she chaired the Senate Foreign Intelligence Committee.

More recently we have witnessed the salacious saga of U.S. Rep. Eric Swalwell, D-Calif., who serves on the House Intelligence Committee having been "compromised" by an intimate relationship which began in 2012 with a Chinese spy named Christine Fang ("Fang Fang").

Fang was then a student in the Bay Area who was actively involved in raising money from legitimate U.S. sources for up-and coming Democrat office candidates. She became an active financial bundler for Swalwell's congressional election and reelection campaigns.

Perhaps incuriously we can recall that Swalwell was one of the loudest and most persistent voices accusing President Trump of "Russian collusion," yet never a peep regarding China as a national security threat.

Yet as DNI Radcliffe observed, "China is targeting members of Congress with six times the frequency of Russia, and 12 times the frequency of Iran."

The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) has achieved an unprecedented reach into the pockets of the best politicians money can buy, with the Joe Biden family serving as a prime example.

Hunter Biden's murky investment fund has allegedly received large amounts of capital from CCP-connected Chinese oligarchs which brings into question how much Beijing extortion leverage might potentially be applied over Biden's new presidential administration.

Incidentally, the Biden family isn't the first to accept Chinese cash, not by a long shot. President Bill Clinton funded his 1996 re-election campaign with donations from China.

Beijing sponsors have richly funded innocent-sounding campus organizations such as Confucius Institutes and the Chinese Students and Scholars Associations (CSSA) to promulgate pro-China propaganda and political censorship against anti-CCP university professors and speakers.

China also holds substantial financial leveraging positions in U.S. pension or sovereign funds. The California Public Employees Retirement Systems (CalPERS), is estimated to have approximately $400 million in investments across several large state-controlled companies, including China Mobile, China Telecom and China Unicom.

President Trump issued an executive order last November that cut off new American investments in 31 Chinese "military-controlled" companies, banning them from American stock and investment markets, and from being held in pension fund portfolios. Effective in January, investors who already hold stocks and securities in those companies must exit their holdings by November.

The Defense Department determined that each of those companies "supports the modernization goals of the People's Liberation Army (PLA) by ensuring its access to advanced technologies and expertise acquired and developed by even those PRC companies, universities, and research programs that appear to be civilian entities."

The banned list, which was ordered by Congress, is heavy weighted with companies involved in electronics, space and aviation, communications, construction and shipbuilding.

Whereas Donald Trump has stood strong against China's acts of U.S. and global tyranny, Joe Biden will more likely emphasize reestablishment of Barack Obama's conciliatory relations with Beijing, with an emphasis upon support for his party's far-left Green New Deal energy and climate change priorities.

DNI John Radcliffe urges us to recognize that our generation will be judged by its response to China's effort to reshape the world in its own image and replace America as the dominant superpower. He wrote, "The intelligence is clear. Our responses must be as well."

We can bet that Beijing will be carefully listening to exploit weaknesses in those Biden responses.

Let's pray they don't hear deafening choruses of concession from a choir of White House crickets.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded the Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture (SICSA) and the graduate program in space architecture. Larry has written more than 700 articles for Newsmax and Forbes and is the author of several books. Included are: "How Everything Happened, Including Us" (2020), "Cyberwarfare: Targeting America, Our Infrastructure and Our Future" (2020), "The Weaponization of AI and the Internet: How Global Networks of Infotech Overlords are Expanding Their Control Over Our Lives" (2019), "Reinventing Ourselves: How Technology is Rapidly and Radically Transforming Humanity" (2019), "Thinking Whole: Rejecting Half-Witted Left & Right Brain Limitations" (2018), "Reflections on Oceans and Puddles: One Hundred Reasons to be Enthusiastic, Grateful and Hopeful" (2017), "Cosmic Musings: Contemplating Life Beyond Self" (2016), "Scared Witless: Prophets and Profits of Climate Doom" (2015) and "Climate of Corruption: Politics and Power Behind the Global Warming Hoax" (2011). He is currently working on a new book with Buzz Aldrin, "Beyond Footprints and Flagpoles."

China recolonizes Africa

Western policies damage Africa and the planet, kill millions, and open doors to China



By Duggan Flanakin

Joe Biden has pledged that one of his first acts as President will be rejoining the Paris Climate Treaty – which gives China a complete pass on reducing emissions until at least 2030. Even Biden's designated "climate envoy," former Secretary of State John Kerry, says the existing treaty "has to be stronger," but then claims China will somehow become an active partner, instead of the competitor and adversary it clearly is. His rationale: "Climate is imperative, it's as imperative for China as it is for us."

As to China employing more Green technology and abiding by (much less strengthening) the Paris agreement, the evidence is at best spotty, at worst completely the opposite. President Trump pulled the United States out of Paris, but between January 2017 and May 2019 the US had shuttered 50 coal-fired power plants, with 51 more shutdowns announced, bringing the total shutdowns to 289 (330 once announced shutdowns also take place) since 2010, soon leaving under 200 still operating.

Meanwhile, as of 2019, China had 2,363 active coal-fired power plants and was building another 1,171 in the Middle Kingdom – plus hundreds more in Africa, Asia and elsewhere. A CO2 Coalition white paper by Kathleen Hartnett White and Caleb Rossiter reveals that China now has modern pollutant-scrubbing technology on over 80% of its coal-fired power plants, but no scrubbers at any Chinese-built coal-fired power plants in Africa (or likely anywhere else) – and none anywhere that remove carbon dioxide.

Harvard University China specialist Edward Cunningham says China is building, planning or financing more than 300 coal plants, in places as widespread as Turkey, Egypt, Vietnam, Indonesia, Bangladesh and the Philippines. India, South Korea, Japan, South Africa and even Germany are also building hundreds of coal-fired power plants. No matter how many the USA closes down, it won't make any global difference.

Boston University data indicate that China has invested over $50 billion in building new coal plants overseas in recent years, and over a quarter of new coal plants outside the Middle Kingdom have some commitment or offer of funds from Chinese financial institutions.

"Why is China placing a global bet on coal?" NPR wonders. That's a 40 or even 50-year commitment, the life span of coal-fired units. The NPR authors even quote the Stinson Center think tank's Southeast Asia analyst, who says "it's not clear when you look at the actual projects China is funding that they are truly Green." They're obviously not green, and more is obviously going on than their poor eyesight can perceive.

China knows it and the world will need oil, natural gas and coal for decades to come. It sees "green" as the color of money and is happy to extend credit under terms very favorable to China. Communist Party leaders seek global military and economic power – and global control of electricity generation, raw materials extraction, and manufacturing of wind turbines, solar panels and battery modules they will sell to address the West's obsession with the "manmade climate crisis" and "renewable, sustainable" energy.

Party leaders also know its production of "green" technologies is a good smokescreen for all this coal power – and few Western governments will dare to criticize China sharply over this or Covid.

A recent Global Warming Policy Foundation report lambasts environmentalists (like John Kerry) as "useful idiots" who "praise the scale of Chinese ambition on climate change, while paying lip service in criticizing China's massive coal expansion." It notes that China rarely honors its international agreements and has no intention of reducing fossil fuel consumption.

But what are Africa and other developing nations to do? The West will not fund even clean coal projects that would eliminate pollution from dung and wood fires, while providing reliable, affordable electricity for lights, refrigerators, schools, shops, hospitals, factories and much more. China will – and despite the heavy price, their demand for energy requires that they get electricity by any means necessary.

With 1.1 billion people, Sub-Saharan Africa remains the world's poorest region, despite massive mineral resources and a young, energetic population with an affinity for entrepreneurship. Dutch economist Wim Naudé says Africa must industrialize, which means it must have affordable, reliable electricity, if it is to overcome poverty and disease, create jobs and discourage terrorism.

Unfortunately, outrageously, US, EU, UN and World Bank policies have stymied African energy resource development. As White and Rossiter note, US policies since the Obama era oppose Africans using the continent's abundant coal and gas to fuel power plants, on the ground that carbon dioxide from fossil fuels might exacerbate climate change.

African Energy Chamber executive chairman NJ Ayuk recently reported that the United Kingdom has also decided it will stop funding new oil, gas and coal projects as of November 4, 2021, the fifth anniversary of the Paris treaty. The decision kowtows to Green opposition to UK Export Finance support for a Mozambique terminal to export low-CO2 emissions liquefied natural gas.

Ayuk had been touting natural gas as an increasing option for African power plants, boasting that Africa is home to four of the world's top 20 crude oil producers (Nigeria, Angola, Algeria and Libya); Algeria and Nigeria are among the top 20 natural gas producers; and Mozambique also has huge gas reserves.

"It is troubling," Ayuk said, "that an aggressive foreign-funded anti-African energy campaign continues to undermine the potential of making Mozambique an oasis for gas monetization and meeting our increasing energy demands." Despite this setback, he continued, "we must continue to be unwavering in our commitment to stand up for Africa's energy sector, its workers, reducing energy poverty, and those free-market values that will make our continent attractive to committed energy investors."

In much of Africa, electricity demand far outstrips supply. "In factories, businesses, government buildings and wealthy neighborhoods in every African country," White and Rossiter observe, a cacophonous symphony of soot-spewing backup diesel engines erupts when the grid goes down, which is usually every day." In fact, says the World Bank's International Finance Corporation, many African countries spend more on dirty backup power than on electricity for the grid itself; in West Africa, backup kilowatts equal 40% of total grid kilowatts.

In Sudan, which gets 30% of its energy from dams on the Nile River, diesel-based pumps run constantly to lift river water for irrigation, even at

Continued on page 21

Golden Venture

cont. from page 1

suckers. We are a nation – a proud one – with our own culture combined with a rule of law that has made us the envy of the world. It's the very reason many want to come here. Our arms are open to share what we have – but we must also reserve the right to insist that we share on our terms.

The fact is, there are immigrants who come here legally. In addition, there are illegals who fight to get here for very good reasons, and they come with our blessings. Of course, there are "damned illegals" who come here in defiance, openly biting our extended hand of assistance. These are the ones who are wearing down our natural reaction for compassion. Americans must understand that all illegals are not the same. Not all come here for the same reason. Motive and purpose should be strong arguments in deciding who enters and who doesn't. Laws, not political games, should decide. Consider the following:

In June of 1993, 286 people, mostly from China, boarded a rickety, rusty old ship named the Golden Venture to sail to the United States in a desperate attempt to escape the brutal Chinese communist regime. Their flight to freedom had taken most of them a year of running through steaming jungles, hiding from pursuing authorities, and surviving the cramped, stifling, tiny hold of the ship. Finally, as the ship got within 300 yards of the promised land – the shoreline a few miles from Manhattan — it hit a sand bar and ran aground, forcing the passengers to swim to shore in icy waters.

But as they stood on the shore in the shadow of the Statue of Liberty, the trouble for these scared, desperate, cold, hungry refugees had just begun. One might wonder which was worse — the tyranny they fled in China, or the brutally impersonal, tortuous manipulation of the American bureaucracy and the betrayal of an American president they were about to face.

24 of the Golden Venture's passengers were women. Each of them tells a horrifying, yet inspirational story of their failed dash to freedom that rivals the legends of freedom fighters from throughout the ages. For each of them was running away to escape the quick, cold scalpel of the Chinese abortionists. You see, the women had broken Chinese law against multiple births. And so, with a death sentence over their head, they ran.

Dai Bo Mai was a tiny woman of 34, born in a remote farming village near Shanghai. She was guilty of having two children, a boy, 15, and a girl, 12. Multiple children are illegal in China, so the government fined her $3,000 and told her that she must be sterilized for having her daughter.

She ignored the order for sterilization until one day a gang of thugs captured and tied her and delivered Dai Bo Mai to a crude clinic for forced sterilization. There was no sanitation and she became infected. The resulting pain and infection prevented her from working in the fields. Because she could no longer work or have babies, her husband rejected her. She went back to the clinic and complained that they had ruined her life. They laughed and raised her fine to $10,000 and had her house destroyed.

Knowing she would never see her children or family again, Dai Bo Mai decided to flee to America by walking out of China, climbing across the mountains, and eventually boarding the Golden Venture.

Another woman, Qu Ai Yue came from the same primitive agrarian background. She had two children and became pregnant with a third. The government found out and forced her to have an abortion at six months. Then they told her she must be sterilized. Afraid of the operation, she and her husband ran to hide in the city.

Both she and her husband wanted to try to make it to America, but there was only money for one. He sent her on her way, across the mountains and through the mosquito-infested jungles of Burma. She almost died of disease along the way. In Thailand she spent three months in a small, crowded room waiting for a ship. Finally, she, too, boarded the Golden Venture.

After the ship ran aground, the passengers were rounded up by U.S. Immigration and detained in jails around the United States. Under the first Bush Administration, the United States had shown compassion for refugees of brutal Chinese "family planning" policy, offering asylum to such victims of Chinese tyranny.

But the Clinton Administration changed the policy. Two days into his Administration, Bill Clinton rescinded the Bush order. He wanted to make an example of these women in order to stop more from fleeing. Instead, INS agents were ordered to detain all of the passengers, rather than release them on bond, which had been the previous policy. Why? Politics. In 1996, even after the U.S. Congress passed a bill that would reinstate asylum for such women and grant those from the Golden Venture their freedom, Bill Clinton vetoed it. It's embarrassing to the Chinese, you see. Clinton didn't want to harm trade relations with such a respected member of the international community.

Clinton's actions basically put a death sentence over the heads of the Golden Venture women, for to be sent back to China would surely have meant just that. As they waited in their cells, Bill Clinton awarded the Chinese government with "Most-Favored Nation" status.

The women waited in their cells for three long years, waiting, not knowing their fate. Finally, with pressure from caring Americans, President Clinton did parole 53 of the passengers, but they were given no legal status and 14 years later some remain in limbo, a kind of virtual cell, with no ability to travel, settle down or even see their families. But they are alive.

Meanwhile, open-border advocates use examples like the Golden Venture as an excuse to open U.S. borders to all. In words oozing compassion they tell of poor people who just want to improve their lives at America's trough of freedom. The G.W. Bush Administration demanded amnesty for more than 12 million who had dashed over the border, swelling our welfare rolls, flooding our schools and swamping our hospitals. Do these "migrants" as the politically-correct now call them, seek America's freedom like the tortured souls of the Golden Venture?

Crimes committed by alien criminals, such as rape, murder, or drug distribution, cost U.S. taxpayers $1.6 billion in prison costs alone. The figure doesn't include the cost of lost property, medical bills of the victims, time lost from work to recover, higher insurance costs, etc. Today, illegal aliens make up twenty-nine percent of the U.S. prison population – well over a million illegals filtered throughout the nation.

Latino gangs like Mara Salvatrucha 13 (MS13) constitute most of the crime from the ranks of the illegals. They originated in El Salvador and today their U.S. leadership still comes from there. They steal cars and use them to run drugs over the border. They terrorize local citizens with violence. They are the chief source of drug sales for the cartels. And they are racists.

In Los Angeles, Mexican gangs declared "ethnic cleansing zones" in specific parts of the city. They kill whites and blacks. In New Jersey, MS13 gang members killed three college students — execution style.

But we are told these are extreme examples. There are respectable alien groups who seek to "work within the system" to promote their agenda. One of the most prominent Hispanic organizations pushing for "immigrant rights" is the National Council of La Raza – the Council of "the Race." The mainstream media and many members of Congress treat La Raza as little more than a Hispanic Rotary Club. La Raza has received millions in federal government grants which it uses to fund "get out the vote" drives and lobbying efforts for open-border polices.

Behind its false front of respectability lies La Raza's real agenda. La Raza organizes with "secondary" groups like the Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlan – or Chicano Student Movement of Astlan (MEChA.) MEChA seeks to carve a racist nation out of the American West. It opposes assimilation into American society and is the leader of the "Reconquista" or reconquest of our western states. La Raza's slogan is "For La Raza to do, Fuera doi las Raza nada." Translation: "For the Race everything. Outside the Race, nothing."

While Americans are happy to share abundance and freedom with some of the world's oppressed, this new invasion seeks to swamp us, changing our culture and our economy. This invasion is not necessarily driven by a great desire to live the American ideal, but rather a sinister goal by the Mexican government to expand its territory and allow American taxpayers to foot the bill for its failed economic policies.

You see, it's not just the rantings of some radical elements among the Hispanic illegals. It is an orchestrated effort by the Mexican officials to flood our nation with their own people. Former Mexican president Ernesto Zedillo told a loudly cheering Chicago audience of La Raza in 1997, "I have proudly affirmed that the Mexican nation extends beyond the territory enclosed by its borders." Carlos Gutierres, head of the Institute of Mexicans Abroad, said in 2005, "The Mexican nation goes beyond the borders of Mexico."

Clearly this is a foreign national policy to invade the United States and change it forever, and our own government is aiding the effort in the name of free trade. This is not about compassion for the oppressed — and never has been.

Obviously, there is a difference in immigrants and their motivation for coming to our land of the free. Americans should never allow themselves to feel guilty for acting on their survival instincts that tell us to close the borders. This war over illegal immigration is not about racism or prejudice. It's about values and the rule of law – American law.

Human beings are all different. Some take great pleasure in controlling others. Some readily accept the control and set about to mold their lives to fit the circumstances. But a few carry a flame in their hearts. As they pace their cages of tyranny and crash against its bars, a constant thought burns in their mind, "I want to be free."

Americans have a natural passion for such souls. It's who we are. And such passion has now become a tool for manipulation for those seeking to open America's borders for political purposes. They know we want to stop suffering. Americans are proud to share our abundance. But there is a vast difference between compassion for the oppressed and being made a sucker.

Those who came here on the Golden Venture believed in the American dream of freedom. There is little doubt they would have been proud to have the opportunity to become American citizens and promote the ideals of individual liberty, free enterprise and limited government. Certainly, one who has lived through the horrors of the Communist Chinese experience knows the danger signs of the growth of an all powerful government.

But there is now another game of politics being played over the immigration issue. Just as Bill Clinton sought to appease the Chinese government by tossing back the women of the Golden Venture, today, the Bush Administration, sought to appease the Mexican government by letting it push hoards of illegals into our country for political purposes not supported by the fair-minded, generous American people. Obama crafted the tactic into a mighty anti-American weapon. Trump has taken massive steps to turn the policy around and protect American interests and sovereignty.

Americans should

Continued on page 21

Federation Failures

By Viv Forbes

Colonial Australians voted for Federation for four key reasons – Defence, Foreign Affairs, Quarantine and the maintenance of Free Trade between States.

Our Federation is failing in all four areas.

In Defence we send our soldiers into unwinnable foreign wars, and then spurn and short-change the veterans who come back. The media and some top brass have smeared all soldiers because a few have been accused of war crimes (probably while attempting to stay alive themselves). And while the Indo-Pacific prepares for war, we play gender games and climate games instead of war games, and waste zillions on inferior submarines and fighter aircraft.

Our mis-management of Foreign Affairs has given China (our biggest foreign customer) an excuse for a bullying, costly trade war. And our cavalier signing of several UN "agreements" on climate and environment surrenders our sovereignty to unelected foreign bureaucrats, and exports our jobs and industries.

Our futile attempts to lock out viruses that may be able to hitch-hike on migratory birds, bats, traders and tourists have just slowed the normal process of adapting to these pests. Summer warmth may have done more to curb Covid in northern Australia than all the lockdowns.

State Premiers, drunk on Covid-power, have made a mockery of Section 92 of our Constitution ("trade, and commerceˇ among the states, shall be absolutely free.") They have driven thousands into bankruptcy, leaving the feds to pick up the tab. With workers kept in paid idleness by state lockdowns and federal handouts, there is no labour for farm harvests.

We should be free to lock or unlock our own homes or businesses. But politicians shut state borders with little warning, even creating locked-down mini-states. Police who should be fighting real crime are checking face masks and patrolling Covid prisons, while soldiers prepare for war against tourists and traders instead of focussing on our capacity to respond to threats in our region.

Our Federation is being destroyed by Centralism, state irresponsibility and green vandalism. The bloated federal and state bureaucracies are far larger and more costly than were ever imagined by the Fathers of Federation. Almost every state function is now duplicated by Canberra. A recent invention, the unconstitutional "National Cabinet", is a harbinger of yet more centralisation and globalism as the Great Covid Reset merges with the Green Revolution.

We must restore the dreams of federation by:

- restoring free trade with no bureaucratic locked gates.
- restoring our industrial capability, based on cheap reliable coal, gas, hydro or nuclear power.
- repealing all green energy subsidies, mandates tax breaks and emissions targets and withdrawing from the Paris Climate Agreement.
- ensuring emergency supplies of gas and liquid fuels.
- building a credible defence force and good alliances.



Patriot News

China Recolonizes Africa

cont. from page 19

the confluence of the Blue and White Niles. In Nigeria, hotels ban guests from jogging because of health dangers from breathing soot from their diesel backup generators, which kick in repeatedly as neighborhoods go dark. In Southern Africa, construction sites simply run generators all day, filling nearby streets with noxious clouds. Universities rely on diesels to run old, inefficient air conditioning units.

White and Rossiter note that American clean coal technology, exemplified by the Turk power plant in Arkansas, virtually eliminates health hazards from sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and particulates. They urge the U.S. to support proposals by African governments to import this technology, noting that electricity is "the central nervous system of a modern economy and modern life expectancy. Africa's electricity deficit translates directly into its life-expectancy deficit of 15 years per person."

Millions die needlessly every year, from countless diseases of energy and economic poverty.

But under a Biden-Harris Administration, with John Kerry at the forefront, there is little hope that these African and other pleas will be heard. With European allies in myopic puritanical lockstep, China will continue to get a total pass on complying with Green demands – and will have free rein to turn sub-Saharan Africa into a giant Chinese colony, despite the environmental damage, monstrous debt, slave and child labor under horrific workplace conditions, and likely modest benefits to Africans.

It is eco-imperialism and eco-manslaughter at its worst. Where are the vaunted guardians of climate and environmental justice?

Duggan Flanakin is Director of Policy Research at the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org)



Golden Venture

cont. from page 20

be proud of their willingness to help – and they should never lose it. But we must also realize that our generous nature to help those like the victims of the Golden Venture is being used against us to ultimately bring about our own destruction.

True American immigration policy demands that we close our borders to protect our way of life — and yet, still give us room to exercise our natural compassion for those who truly seek freedom on our shores.

Tom DeWeese is one of the nation's leading advocates of individual liberty, free enterprise, private property rights, personal privacy, back-to-basics education and American sovereignty and independence.

A native of Ohio, he's been a candidate for the Ohio Legislature, served as editor of two newspapers, and has owned several businesses since the age of 23. In 1989 Tom led the only privately-funded election-observation team to the Panamanian elections. In 2006 Tom was invited to Cambridge University to debate the issue of the United Nations before the Cambridge Union, a 200 year old debating society. Today he serves as Founder and President of the American Policy Center and editor of The DeWeese Report.

For 40 years Tom DeWeese has been a businessman, grassroots activist, writer and publisher. As such, he has always advocated a firm belief in man's need to keep moving forward while protecting our Constitutionally-guaranteed rights.

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

Sidney Powell #Kraken (@SidneyPowell1) Tweeted:

Here's a challenge to all #DigitalSoldiers
Find the FACTS of #China connections to the #globalist senators & congressmen
Proven facts only
Business deals
#lobbyist payments
Big projects in districts
Payments to universities
What all does #China own here?
Who does it own here?

https://t.co/rpw1MmU93U
https://twitter.com/SidneyPowell1/status/1343733200577769473?s=20

Oh Darn! It's all been taken down! Amazing!

HOW TO READ A SOCIETY

Political correctness is communist propaganda writ small. In my study of communist societies, I came to the conclusion that the purpose of communist propaganda was not to persuade or convince, nor to inform, but to humiliate; and therefore, the less it corresponded to reality the better. When people are forced to remain silent when they are being told the most obvious lies, or even worse when they are forced to repeat the lies themselves, they lose once and for all their sense of probity. To assent to obvious lies is to co-operate with evil, and in some small way to become evil oneself. One's standing to resist anything is thus eroded, and even destroyed. A society of emasculated liars is easy to control. I think if you examine political correctness, it has the same effect and is intended to.

the evil of political correctness at once, because of the violence that it does to people's souls by forcing them to say or imply what they do not believe but must not question. without an external despot to explain our pusillanimity, we have willingly adopted the mental habits of people who live under a totalitarian dictatorship.

. Now the phenomenon of political correctness has been absorbed, enhanced, and spread in the tyranny of cancel culture that permeates our institutions with a ruthlessness beyond the powers of the Tsars of old. Leaving no crack or crevice of our public life unfilled, it has metastasized with the speed and lethality of a virulent cancer.

"The new inequality" and to be adopted next week by the House of Representatives under the leadership of Speaker Nancy Pelosi for the 117th Congress. It must be accepted or you will be punished.





One Nation Under God

Abortion ruined their friendship decades ago. Now they fight for life together.

By Cassy Fiano-Chesser

In 1990, Carla Stream had an abortion — one her college roommate helped her obtain. The experience led their friendship to fracture. But decades later, they reunited and are now both fighting for life and to help other mothers like Stream.

Stream was raised in an abusive home, and struggled with alcohol addiction at a young age. Anxious to find love, she sought solace from boys her age, which led to her becoming pregnant at 24 years old. She thought there was only one solution: abortion. That was what her sister had done, and she didn't think she had anywhere to turn. So she asked her roommate, Jill King, for help. "I didn't want an abortion. I wanted support and encouragement," Stream previously told Live Action News. "Help and hope. I wanted to hear that I could do it. I could make it."

For King, she simply wanted to help her friend. Stream was scared she would have to move out, so King began researching pregnancy options. The only information she could find was on abortion, so she offered to take time off of work and drive her there. "It was my first experience living away from home. I thought, 'I'm a big adult girl, I can take care of my friend,'" King said in an interview with The Catholic Spirit. "'I can help you. I can take off of work.' I told her I'd taken other people before, maybe to help her feel better about it. It was a lie."

Stream hoped people would be outside, pro-life counselors who might offer other options, but no one was there. Both women were shaken by the experience inside; they were both taken to a room where they were shown a cartoonish video of what the preborn baby allegedly look like, which was described as a blob of cells that resembled grapes. "They show you that to demonstrate it's OK; it's not human yet," King recalled. Stream told Live Action News she was never given the opportunity to see an ultrasound or hear her baby's heartbeat.

"I had what was considered counseling," Stream told the Catholic Spirit. "She asked me, 'What kind of mother would you make?' She closed the sale by saying, 'Will that be Visa or MasterCard today?' That's what they do. They sell abortion."

Afterwards, the experience was traumatic for both women.

"I was brought to the recovery room and given a warm blanket and peanut butter and jelly toast. I felt relief but only because it was done. I looked at all the other girls, all crying," Stream said. "One was holding her stomach, rocking back and forth, saying, 'My baby, my baby.'"

Continue reading at www.wrtl.org



Planned Parenthood CEO Admits Abortion is Not "A Small Part of What We Do"

By Life News

January 8, 2021 -- Two years ago, the world watched in horror as New York lawmakers gleefully celebrated the passage of a new law legalizing abortions for basically any reason up to birth. Gov. Andrew Cuomo even ordered that state landmarks be lit with pink lights to celebrate the occasion.

Then, last week, abortion activists dressed in green jumped up and down and cried with joy in the streets of Argentina after their government voted to abandon their pro-life laws and legalize the killing of unborn babies for any reason up to 14 weeks.

Society used to think of abortions as a "necessary tragedy, certainly not something to celebrate," but "that appears to have changed, and dramatically," Glenn T. Stanton, the director of family formation studies at Focus on the Family, wrote at The Federalist this week.

Stanton pointed to a recent interview by Planned Parenthood CEO Alexis McGill Johnson as another example of this brazen new disregard for the value of human life and family. *Continue reading at www.wrtl.org*



The Profit Scheme of Chemical Abortions

By Kristen Nupson

The abortion industry claims that protecting women's health is their top priority, but their recent development and focused expansion of the chemical abortion process proves otherwise.

Chemical abortions, also known as medication abortions or RU-486, are comprised of a two-pill protocol. The first drug, mifepristone, blocks nutrients from getting to the baby, stopping her growth and development. The second drug, misoprostol, causes severe contractions that lead to the expulsion of the deceased baby.

Because the procedure is marketed as "natural," "private," and "safe," it is not surprising that chemical abortions have increased exponentially over the last two decades. Since the FDA approved Mifeprex in 2000, chemical abortions have risen dramatically. According to the Guttmacher Institute, "medication abortions increased from 5% of all abortions in 2001 to 39% in 2017." Although statistics have not officially been released for the last three years, there is enough evidence to support that chemical abortions have continued on this growth trajectory.

Certainly, the largest benefactor, and therefore advocate, of this increase in chemical abortions is the abortion giant Planned Parenthood. Chemical abortions do not require the same overhead costs as surgical abortion; they are a low-cost, high-profit procedure. Abortion pills are cheaper now than they were ten years ago but Planned Parenthood does not pass along those savings to the women in need they are claiming to help.

Planned Parenthood's expansion plan for chemical abortions has been evident nationwide, but events in Wisconsin serve as a microcosm of their agenda.

In early 2018, Planned Parenthood opened a facility in Sheboygan specifically to perform chemical abortions. The results of this are reflected in the state's Induced Abortion Report. Between 2017 and 2018, while the number of surgical abortions decreased, there was a 539 increase in chemical abortions.

A key problem the abortion industry faces is a shortage of doctors willing to perform abortion procedures. In Wisconsin, there are only 12 physicians who perform abortions for Planned Parenthood of Wisconsin. However, current state law excludes other, less-qualified health care providers such as nurses or physician assistants to perform an abortion, whether surgical or chemical.

The abortion industry is no stranger to using the judiciary to get favorable outcomes. They are executing this strategy again in Wisconsin in order to expand chemical abortion services statewide.

In November 2018, Josh Kaul was elected the attorney general of Wisconsin. He was endorsed by Planned Parenthood and a recipient of a campaign donation. Less than two weeks after he was officially sworn in, Planned Parenthood sued the state of Wisconsin, challenging three major pro-life laws, including the physician-only requirement. Currently, due to the physician-only legislation, Planned Parenthood only has four abortion-providing clinics in the state. During the recent trial, Planned Parenthood executives stated that if the laws are successfully overturned, they will open all 24 of their facilities to provide chemical abortions because they will be able to activate their nurses to distribute abortion pills.

COVID has added a new dimension to the expansion strategy in Wisconsin. While all elective surgeries were canceled or postponed during the peak of the pandemic, Planned Parenthood clinics were not barred from offering elective abortions to women. Although current state law requires the distribution of abortion pills to be in-person, abortion advocates want to undo that protection so women can "safely" have abortions at home via telemedicine. Over a dozen states allow telemedicine abortion, and advocates like Planned Parenthood are eager to see Wisconsin on that list.

Planned Parenthood carefully curates its messaging to cultural shifts and current events, so it is no surprise they have exploited a global pandemic in order to profit more. In the past, they have used phrases like, "safe, legal, and rare." They have claimed that abortion is only 4% of what they do (this stat was quickly debunked). Currently, however, they are embracing their title as the largest abortion provider in the United States. In fact, current Planned Parenthood president and chief executive Alexis McGill Johnson candidly shared abortion is "a critically important part of what we do. So, I think when we say, "It's a small part of what we do," what we're doing is actually stigmatizing it…I don't like to marginalize it in that way."

Continue reading at www.wrtl.org

VOICE OF JERUSALEM

Trump Rings in the Era of "Total Political Correctness"

By Caroline Glick

It was hard to watch the scenes of President Donald Trump supporters storming the Capitol on Wednesday as the joint session of Congress was convened to debate and ratify President Elect Joe Biden's electoral college victory. Suddenly, the home of America's representative government was threatened not by Islamic terrorists or China or Russia, but by the people the lawmakers represent – Americans. And the Americans in question had just attended a rally where President Trump told them Biden stole the election and the procedure going on the joint session was illegitimate.

The day before his supporters stormed the Capitol, Georgia elected two new Democrat senators. Those elections mean that beginning January 20, the Democrats will control all three elected bodies in Washington. And so, at least until the 2022 Congressional elections, Democrats will be able to do whatever they wish and Republicans won't be able to stop them.

The events Wednesday will doubtlessly dominate the news cycle at least until Biden's inauguration. And, given the nature of the U.S. media, the coverage will doubtlessly ignore two realities without which the public will be unable to understand what happened Wednesday or understand the nature of American politics and culture.

The first reality is that the assault on the Capitol was not a unique event. Rather it was a direct continuation of the political violence that leftist groups dominated by BlackLivesMatter and Antifa have engaged in in cities across America since last May.

BLM and Antifa rioters have burned and looted small businesses, destroying the savings and livelihoods of tens of thousands of Americans. Armed and violent rioters stormed and destroyed a police precinct in Minneapolis. They laid siege to a federal courthouse in Portland and vandalized the mayor's home.

Contrary to the wizened intonations of "experts" on TV, the last time the Capitol was besieged wasn't during the War of 1812, when the British burned the Capitol and the White House. It was two years ago. A mob far larger than the one that stormed the building Wednesday took over the Hart Senate Office Building during Justice Brett Kavanaugh's confirmation hearing to intimidate lawmakers into voting down his nomination.

As BLM and Antifa rioters burned a swathe across the country, even as police officers and civilians were killed and wounded, Democrat politicians on the local, state and national levels supported them. While distancing himself from the violence, Biden supported them. In a television interview in late August, Vice President-Elect Kamala Harris egged on the shock troops and embraced them.

Speaking to Stephen Colbert, Harris said of the rioters, "Everyone beware. They're not gonna stop before election day in November, and they're not gonna stop after election day…They're not gonna let up and they should not."

The media, including social media giants Facebook, Twitter and YouTube backed the rioters. Their hashtags were trending and their violence whitewashed even as people were killed and wounded and their mayhem inflicted $2 billion in damages on the U.S. economy already battered by the coronavirus. The brunt of the financial burden was shouldered by small business owners.

The second reality that is underplayed in the newsrooms quick to criminalize the outgoing president is that the Republicans including Trump and his closest associates and supporters opposed the storming of the Capitol. The Senators and members of Congress who were raising objections to the electoral votes of several states based on widespread allegations of voter fraud were in the middle of making their claims when the protesters attempted to force their way into the joint session. They condemned the protesters.

Media personalities closely associated with Trump condemned the protesters and called on police to arrest those engaged in violence. Trump not only called for the protesters to behave peacefully. He posted a video calling for them to stand down and leave the Capitol. But whereas the social media giants were happy to serve as the logistics bases for Antifa and BLM rioters, Twitter, Facebook and YouTube all froze Trump's accounts and removed his video plea from their servers.

Wednesday's storming of the Capitol was an American tragedy. It marked the moment the center collapsed. The gap between the two political camps is unbridgeable today.

Wednesday's violent protest is a much graver tragedy for President Trump personally and for the 74 million Americans who voted for him than it is for their Democrat opponents. While Trump did call on the protesters to cease and desist, he also incited their actions during his remarks at the rally.

Trump told them Biden stole the election and that with the Republican loss of the Senate, America was going to the dogs. He also told them to march to the Capitol. True, as the lack of police presence outside the Capitol building indicated, there was little concern that the Trump supporters would behave violently. Trump probably didn't think they would. But, by lighting matches in a barn, Trump burned down his own legacy. He won't be remembered for lowering taxes and rebuilding the U.S. economy or for bringing peace to the Middle East. He will be remembered for sending his supporters to the Capitol where they rioted.

Looking ahead, Trump's action also made it easier for Democrats to demonize his supporters going forward as they express principled opposition to the policies the Democrats are poised to adopt later this month after they assume full control of the U.S. government.

Democrat support for BlackLivesMatter is the key to understanding what the next weeks, months and years hold in store. BLM is not a normal civil rights movement. It is a violent revolutionary movement. It doesn't demand the dismantling of police forces in cities around the country to address specific grievances. As its charter makes clear, BLM's demand to dismantle the police is rooted in the movement's rejection of America's moral right to police.

In Israel, discussions of BLM have honed in on its official anti-Semitism. BLM's charter rejects Israel's right to exist and its members have specifically targeted synagogues and Jewish businesses in places like Los Angeles and Kenosha.

But BLM is first and foremost an anti-American organization. Its charter describes the United States as a criminal state which was born not in a democratic revolution that brought the glad tidings of freedom and liberty to its people and to the world. As BLM and its supporters see things, the U.S. was born in the original sin of slavery. And 400 years later, the America they describe is an inherently, structurally racist society.

The only way for the U.S. to cleanse itself of the stain of its evil nature is through a revolutionary, racist, Marxist redistribution of wealth and opportunity and position in American society.

Today, the Democrat Party is committed to translating BLM's anti-American dogma into policy. And the work has already begun.

Earlier this week, the Democrat-controlled House of Representatives approved a new rule that bans the use of gender-specific terms. "Father," "mother," "brother," "sister," "uncle," and "aunt" for instance are now banned. They are to be replaced by gender-neutral terms like "parent," and "sibling," and "sibling of parent." Absurd, tyrannical and inhuman on its face, the new rule advances BLM's doctrinal goal of destroying the nuclear family.

Shortly after the vote count in Georgia made clear that Democrats had won control of the upper chamber, Democrat Senator Kirsten Gillibrand announced that the Democrats will "study and look at," eliminating the filibuster — the Senate rule that requires super-majorities for passing laws. The filibuster blocks radical policy shifts by requiring the majority party to convince at least some members of the minority party to sign on to their bills before they become law. Abrogating the filibuster is a precondition for Democrats to pass a series of radical measures that taken together will change the face of America.

Among other things, the measures include giving statehood to Puerto Rico and Washington, DC. By adding four new senators from these territories, the Democrats will effectively ensure their continued control the Senate indefinitely.

The Democrats also intend to increase the number of Supreme Court justices from nine to fifteen, which will enable them to pack the court with progressive jurists guaranteeing a progressive majority for at least the next generation.

The Democrats intend to nationalize healthcare in the U.S.

They intend to pass the so-called "Green New Deal," a package of legislation regarding energy and pollution conceived by activists in the extreme margins of the party. The package will cost U.S. taxpayers in excess of $10 trillion and destroy America's fossil fuels industries.

House Democrats approved a rule this week that will enable the appropriation by simple majority of massive sums not covered by the U.S. treasury for environmental and health programs.

While Israel's community health clinics and hospitals are immunizing citizens against the coronavirus at a breakneck pace, America's vaccination efforts are barely advancing. So far, only thirty percent of the vaccines that have been distributed have found their way to the arms of Americans.

One of the major causes of the hold ups is fear. Healthcare providers are fearful they will be subjected to civil suits and criminal prosecutions for vaccinating "the wrong people." Early last month, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention in Washington, (CDC) issued guidance for the provision of the vaccines. The CDC's guidance gives preference to blacks and other racial minorities in vaccination drives in order to "promote justice," and "mitigate health inequality." New York state and New York City both adopted vaccination policies in keeping with the woke CDC. And now, a chain of health clinics in Brooklyn is accused of "fraud," for providing the vaccine to people not included in official guidance.

Governor Andrew Cuomo said this week that clinics that do not obey the state's race-based vaccination policies will have their operating licenses revoked and will be subjected to a million dollar fine.

Trump stormed onto the political stage five and a half years ago. And almost immediately, he dominated the Republican stage. Tens of millions of Americans, who felt they had been forgotten by a Washington that had surrendered to the commissars of political correctness saw in the flamboyant real estate magnate their mouthpiece.

At the first Republican primary debate in June 2015, Trump declared, "The big problem this country has is being politically correct. I've been challenged by so many people that I don't frankly have time for total political correctness, and to be honest with you, this country doesn't have time either."

As the curtains come down on the Trump presidency, America is entering into an era of "total political correctness" the likes of which it has never seen, as the party in complete control of the U.S. government works steadily to implement the revolutionary dogma of the thugs that torched America's cities last year.

They will use Wednesday's storming of the Capitol as a pretext for everything they do.

Two Charts *cont. from page 1*

have lead to the needless deaths of tens of thousands of people.

The first chart below shows a drastic difference between countries that used HCQ to treat patients openly vs. countries that either banned it or discouraged it…like the U.S.

There is clearly a dramatic difference between these two groups wouldn't you say?

This chart shows a difference between countries that took initiative early on with the usage of HCQ and you can see a clear difference in the chart as well.

Even the Association of American Physicians & Surgeons filed its motion for a preliminary injunction to compel release to the public of hydroxychloroquine by the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and the Department of Health & Human Services (HHS), in AAPS v. HHS, No. 1:20-cv-00493-RJJ-SJB (W.D. Mich.). Nearly 100 million doses of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) were donated to these agencies, and yet they have not released virtually any of it to the public.

Millions of Americans fear attending political gatherings, religious services, and even large family get-togethers without the availability of early treatment if they were to contract COVID-19. Why should Americans have to wait until they or a loved one is on a ventilator before they gain access to medication to overcome this virus?

"Why does the government continue to withhold more than 60 million doses of HCQ from the public?" asks Jane Orient, M.D., the Executive Director of AAPS. "This potentially life-saving medication is wasting away in government warehouses while Americans are dying from COVID-19."

Today AAPS files its motion for a preliminary injunction to compel the government to release HCQ from its stockpile to the public, which could then immediately benefit from it. Reports of an uptick in COVID-19 in Arizona and elsewhere could then be handled without irrational, unjustified limitations on this medication imposed by the FDA.







Natural Gas Bans *cont. from page 16*

no later than 2045, bans on gasoline and diesel vehicles, and the enormous amount of electricity that will be needed to fuel several million new electric vehicles, it is clear that California will suffer blackouts and escalating energy prices for years to come.

Replacing natural gas appliances with electric appliances is expensive. In the Central Valley, new-home buyers would pay $250 more a year to operate an all-electric home—and that is before a planned 30 percent increase in electric rates over the next few years. The move to electric appliances would also increase demand for electricity, which could result in more blackouts. More than 120 localities have passed resolutions against natural gas bans.

The Fight from Black and Latino Leaders

Black and Latino leaders are objecting to a recent move by the California Public Advocates Office—an agency charged with looking out for the state's energy consumers—to join forces with the Sierra Club. Last year, the California Public Advocates Office signed a "common interest agreement" with the Sierra Club to investigate "tactics by Southern California Gas Company to perpetuate reliance on gas in buildings" and whether the utility tried to undermine new efficiency codes. The California Public Advocates Office wants state regulators to levy a $255 million fine against the Southern California Gas Company—the largest residential supplier of natural gas in the United States.

The Public Advocates Office has been obtaining information from Southern California Gas using their official capacity and sharing it with the Sierra Club, which then seeks legal actions against the company with proprietary information. This is collusion with a government agency in cahoots with a non-profit to pervert the stated purpose of the agency, which is to make sure consumers do not pay too much for energy. Their actions, in fact, make energy more expensive for consumers, as is demonstrated above.

The California Restaurant Association and Southern California Gas have filed law suits to stop the natural gas bans. Southern California Gas sued the California Energy Commission, claiming that by allowing the bans and promoting building electrification, the agency was creating "underground regulations" that were not permitted under state law. The law suit also seeks an order that would force the agency to "vacate its anti-natural gas policy."

Conclusion

The "green" policies of California are colliding with the reality of energy affordability and the growing concerns of Black and Latino leaders about the cost impacts on their communities. Black and Latino leaders also realize that there is collusion between government agencies and outside groups to achieve their political ends. California should serve as an example to Joe Biden who wants to implement similar policies. Politicians that want to implement "green energy" on the public without looking at the ramifications and the costs involved will only serve to hurt the economy and prosperity of the United States.

HCQ Reduces Hospitalization – #2 FACTS

I received the following 2 replies about HCQ:

Ken

---------- 1 ----------

Most of the time when I read denials of HCQ, straight Cloroquine is mentioned as well, becuase it has negative side-effects. By this means, the transfer the negatives of Cl to HCQ. I have recent experience with someone who has/had CV. The medical establishment prescribed an "investigational" medicine. Great, we can be guinea pigs for new, investigational, expensive medications, but we can't use what is demonstrated to work and is cheap. This is because the entire medical complex is governed by pharma and its lackeys.

---------- 2 ----------

Yes, what's also interesting is Bill Gates comment:

Bill Gates, a co-founder of Microsoft and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF), has said he does not understand why coronavirus numbers have not been as high as predicted in Africa.

Well, the African countries take HCQ for malaria so consequently they don't get bad cases of covid-19. Dr. Stella Emmanuel is from Cameroon and practiced in Nigeria before coming to Houston. While interviewed by Candice Owens said that every Sunday they would take one 200mg HCQ as a malaria preventative.

BTW, I am taking Hydroxychloroquine as a preventative for covid-19. Doctors in Illinois won't prescribe it unless you're covid positive so I went to the front line doctors: (Not sure how hard it is in WI to get it)

Here is how to obtain Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ):

I have taken it for 3 weeks now. So far so good. No side effects. It's such a low dose, 1 pill every two weeks.

Here is the link to acquiring Hydroxychloroquine as a preventative:

https://frontlinemds.com/

Click on the link – Looking to get Hydroxychloroquine, Click Here in the middle of the page.

Then click on Book your consultation now – Click here to fill out the form.

The consultation is $90 and the cost of the drug is at most $40 for a year's preventative supply.

They told me that I would receive 28 - 200mg pills. You take 1 pill every 2 weeks, make sure you take it with food.

With my insurance, I received 50 pills at a cost of $23 !

Because of the demand, it may take a week before the prescription is filled.

After the consultation, they will call you and ask what pharmacy where you want to pick it up.

Make sure you take the HCQ with Zinc up to 25mg / day.