Reality News Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

Reality news media group LLC.

The Reality News

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com February 2022 Vol. 13, No. 2

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11



Truckers of the world unite: Canadian convoy 'has started a revolution' globally

By WorldTribune Staff, February 1, 2022

The truckers who banded together for the Freedom Convoy in protest of Canada's vaccine mandates have inspired a worldwide movement against Covid tyranny.

Truckers in the United States are preparing a convoy that will travel from California to Washington D.C. The American Truckers formed a Facebook group that as of Sunday had more than 67,000 members.

"We are part of many large groups who believe in our founding fathers. We believe everyone has a voice. We support our freedom. Help us spread the word about this group and together we all can make it a better place.. God Bless America," the group's Facebook page reads.

In Australia, truckers are reportedly planning a "Convoy to Canberra" protest against the country's Covid vaccine mandates and restrictions, with participants scheduled "to meet in cities in Victoria, New South Wales, South Australia and Western Australia before making their way to the nation's capital."

In the Netherlands, Dutch drivers held their own *Continued on page 24*

Bringing Britain's woes to America?

Will Biden-AOC energy policies do to Americans what UK climate obsession is doing to Brits?



By Paul Driessen

Virginia enacted a Clean Economy Act; other states have implemented similar laws. AOC demands a national Green New Deal; President Biden is imposing one via executive decree. The United Kingdom is determined to reach Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions; the European Union is pursuing a *Continued on page 7*

Wisconsin data: 50,000 phantom votes in 2020, 'more than twice the margin' of victory on Nov. 3

By WorldTribune Staff, February 11, 2022

A review of Wisconsin voter lists that has been ongoing for about 14 months found tens of thousands of instances of "fraud and failures in Wisconsin's voter database," according to Feb. 9 testimony before the Assembly Committee on Campaigns and Elections.

Liz Harrington, spokeswoman for former President Donald Trump, said the data revealed at the Feb. 9 hearing showed "well over 50,000 fraudulent votes cast from phantom voters identified in Wisconsin. More than twice the margin on Nov. 3."

Joe Biden reportedly won Wisconsin by less than 21,000 votes.

Among the findings presented at the hearing:

• One apartment complex in Madison that houses less than 700 people, has 1,631 registered "voters." The building had a 102 percent turnout rate on Nov. 3, 2020.

• Hudson, Wisconsin experienced 10 percent population growth between 2012 and 2020 but a 128 percent increase in registered "voters".

• 625,000 dead people on Wisconsin's voter rolls.

• One voter assigned 28 *Continued on page 10*





Ramthun makes his announcement for Governor (left), Ramthun with Lindell (right)

Rep. Tim Ramthun Announces Run for Governor

Wisconsin voters now have a proven America First candidate to support in August Republican Primary

A loudly cheering collection of Patriots crowded into the auditorium at Kewaskum High School to welcome one of the most widely known and loved leaders of their movement, pillow maker and businessman Mike Lindell. Continually demonized by globalist controlled media as a spreader of false information about the clearly fraudulent November 2020 election, Lindell's claims continue to be verified and supported by newly released information collected by citizens working together to uncover the truth. (See page 10 for a link to the latest report covered in a video released by the MacIver Institute.)

Lindell's appearance attracted many rally attendees. But many of these Patriots knew nothing of the ultimate purpose of the gathering - the announcement that Assemblyman Tim Ramthun, the fearless representative that put forth the proposal to rescind the falsely certified 10 presidential electoral votes from Wisconsin, answered the call to become the America First candidate running for Governor in this year's Republican primary. And the crowd of Patriots responded wildly to the news!

Mike Lindell wasn't the only big name Patriot to pledge his support for Ramthun's gubernatorial run. A phone call from America's General, Michael Flynn, caused a frenzy as he articulated his support for Ramthun and why Tim's display of courage mattered so much to our movement. Trump's support of Ramthun's *Continued on page 21*

State Legislatures: The Last Guardians of the Republic (V)



By William L. Kovacs

This Part V of "Can the U.S. Unite, if not, How Does it Divide? discusses the options available to states to restrain the continuing amassment of federal power by diminishing the role of states and citizens in the Union. As discussed in Part IV, our Constitution designates state legislatures as the last guardians of the Republic. Failing collective state action, individual states can protect the personal liberties of their citizens.

Collective state action can reduce federal power

The governing framework of *Continued on page 8*

Reports: CEO of Moderna 'nuked' Twitter account, has sold off millions in stock

By WorldTribune Staff, February 13, 2022

The CEO of Moderna has deleted his Twitter account and is selling off millions of dollars in stock amid accusations of fraud in Moderna's Covid vaccine trials, reports say.

Stéphane Bancel deleted his Twitter account this week. Bancel has also sold $20 million worth of shares of Moderna stock in 2022 *Continued on page 18*

Biden Fed Pick Will Plug Fossil Fuel Finance Pipeline



By Larry Bell

Last month President Joe Biden nominated Duke law professor Sarah Raskin, a former Obama financial regulator and candid anti-fossil energy nemesis, to serve as the Federal Reserve's powerful vice chairwoman for supervision with primary say in the central bank's lending decisions. *Continued on page 5*

Steve's Say . . .

The Great Awakening Engulfs Canada and the World

By Steve Welcenbach

Anyone who purged themselves of mainstream media brainwashing and corporately constrained talk radio retrieves real news from truth media sources. Patriots venturing down this road collide with two inescapable terms - "The Great Reset" and "The Great Awakening."

By now most engaged people know about "The Great Reset," the term used by Klaus Schwab, head of the World Economic Forum and others allied with him to describe the global financial reset to establish the New World Order's totalitarian government. Klaus Schwab sums it up succinctly, "You will own nothing and love it."

But "The Great Reset" involves much more than a single worldwide digital currency run by a banking cabal and the assumption of everyone's private property. "The Great Reset" ultimately rests upon population reduction and control. And per Klaus Schwab himself, trans-humanism, the melding of man and machine into soulless drones to become the slave laborers of the surviving elites. They call this part of their diabolical plan "The 4th Industrial Revolution."

Klaus says, "The 4th Industrial Revolution" will not change what you do, but will change who you are." Klaus means that by altering your DNA through the injection protocol they are forcing upon all people of the world, a different person will soon be inhabiting your body. One no longer created in the image of God but perverted by DNA manipulation into a non-human soulless biological creature fully manipulatable through the internal circuitry built by gene editing and self-assembling nanotech systems.

Sound scary? It's actually way worse than you think.

Fortunately we know God. And God is Almighty. And God sent His one and only Son to save the world, which He did when He died on the cross and rose three days later. And God ALWAYS wins.

The counter to Klaus Schwab, the New World Order and "The Great Reset", Patriots around the world speak of "The Great Awakening." It's exactly what it sounds like. People of the world, lulled into complacency by media brainwashing, corporate foolery or woke culture, or bullied into silence for their views of God, family and country, suddenly wake up from their stupor and rise up to be counted as individuals, that their personal needs and dreams count. That God's law rules, not man's. And they rise up to challenge the totalitarians destroying God's world to restore their freedom and recognize God's sovereignty. And they acknowledge this as the Lord God's plan.

We now clearly see "The Great Awakening" underway. Our brave and beautiful brothers and sisters in Canada finally said, "Enough!" to the shackles their dictatorial government locked them down with in the last two years. While the truckers led the way, the people massed in support all along the highways and in the capitol city. The event displayed the best in fellowship and love, in sharing and caring for each other, as the Gospel of Christ played out right before the eyes of those present. Prayer sessions arise spontaneously with scripture passages quoted on signs everywhere.

A real return to God with all their hearts is happening in Canada and now can be seen spreading across the globe. God bless all of you Canadian Patriots! We love you and stand with you till everything is accomplished! You've inspired us all! You've changed the world!

So what can we do here to not only stand with our Canadian Patriot family but help bring forth "The Great Awakening" in our homes, neighborhoods, states and country? Find out the truth and shout it from the rooftops! Attend one of the "Reawaken America" events put on by Clay Clark featuring General Michael Flynn and other truth tellers and America First Patriots. Support Attorney Thomas Renz and his efforts to save the lives of children and others from the Big Pharma death shots being forced upon us by exposing the truth of their contents and the real world data of injury and death they've already inflicted on their victims. Go to this site and make a donation to this cause right now: https://renz-law.com/home-test2/

Senator Johnson deserves so much credit and love from all of us for bringing forth the truth about these bioweapon shots. The forum he conducted last week (which included Thomas Renz) exposed the unbelievably awful effects these injections have had on the military population forced to take them. The DOD collected data, considered the best data available anywhere on this subject, displayed dozens of life debilitating and life ending effects which literally boggled the mind. Within days the DOD implemented a scheme to alter the data and put forth a narrative to cover it up. It didn't work. Nobody believes anything they say or claim anymore. Nor should we.

All Reality News readers need to call or e-mail Senator Johnson and give him your love. He has been outspoken and courageous, fearless and diligent on bringing forth truth without regard to the constant media arrows and non-stop demonization from all sides, including within his own party. He stood alone at his hearings. Not one other politician attended. That fact says it all.



Senator Ron Johnson may be the very best Senator to ever walk the halls of the capitol. EVER.

The Deep State is truly deep. Deep and wide, covering the entire Earth and seeping down into every municipality. We need changes at all levels, not just in political personnel but also in the systems controlled, infiltrated or implemented by the Deep State. Healthcare, corporatized over the past 30 years into a system of drone doctors and nurses handcuffed to treatment protocols designed to kill patients, is killing itself. Fearless physicians of integrity and other healthcare professionals already work at rebuilding a system honoring the patient-physician relationship and a cost-effective approach

Continued on page 11

REALITY NEWS
RealityNewsMedia.com
Steven Welcenbach *Owner/Editor*
414-491-3421
We'd like to hear from you.
Please e-mail article content to realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com
For advertising information call 414-491-3421
We reserve the right to edit or reject content.
Printed by a Local Company; 414-840-0299

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____
Mailing Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____
☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ ☐ DISCOVER ☐ Sec. Code _____
Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____
Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421
Have your credit card ready!
Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link: https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen…"



Cornwall Alliance
For The Stewardship Of Creation

Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



Corrupt Climate Science Poisons Eastern Power Grid Operator

By Gordon Tomb, February 10, 2022

Once upon a time the job of the PJM Interconnection — operator of the nation's largest electricity grid — was reasonably straightforward: Keep the lights on. The organization's mission statement still identifies PJM's "primary task" as ensuring the power grid's "safety, reliability and security."

However, today's PJM is post-modern. Focused management of transmitting electricity is muddled by notions of carbon dioxide as a toxin and sophomoric visions of pristine energy from the zephyrs and sun. Influenced by woke climate goals, Valley Forge-based PJM says one of its "strategic pillars" is now "facilitating decarbonization."

Facilitating decarbonization? That means "we are committed to facilitating the state and federal policies that are driving decarbonization," explains PJM spokesman Jeff Shields.

"PJM is not a policymaker, and we are fuel and technology-neutral," he said. "At the same time, we serve 13 states and the District of Columbia, and 11 of those states and D.C. have some" renewable or clean energy program. "We have…stressed that any energy transition brought on by state or federal policies has to maintain reliability and do it cost-effectively."

So, despite a technical prowess born of staff steeped in hard sciences, PJM is obliged to bend to political winds emanating from green fantasies of a woke elite. So-called renewable energy programs that PJM accommodates are handouts to the green lobby financed by energy consumers who get no benefit. The environment is not improved. The electrons delivered are no different, only more expensive.

Notwithstanding assurances that reliability and costs remain priorities, there are reasons for skepticism about decarbonization, net zero, or whatever is the mumbo-jumbo of the week. Especially when applied to a region extending from the mid-Atlantic to the Midwest — home of 65 million people and about one-fifth of the U.S. economy.

For starters, it was a partially decarbonized Texas whose wind turbines failed last February during a cold snap, triggering power outages that killed more than 200 people and caused billions of dollars of damages. Currently, only about six percent of PJM power comes from renewable sources, compared to 25 percent in Texas. But how long might that last?

Reporting on efforts to alter PJM market rules to allow more renewables into the grid, Bloomberg quoted an analyst as saying, "More states want to take control of their destiny and achieve a certain mix of power plants, with the whole goal of decarbonizing. They are going to do that, plow through regardless of the market rules, and it's clear they have that legal right to do that."

The result, according to the Bloomberg report, "could be a massive buildout of offshore wind on the Atlantic Coast, from Virginia north. That would not only revamp PJM's entire system, but it could also dethrone natural gas as the country's No. 1 power plant fuel by 2028."

That should alarm anyone familiar with the record of wind turbines, as well as solar's history. Both are expensive, intermittent and unreliable. Reviewing costs to decarbonize the U.S., Francis Menton of the Manhattan Contrarian cites one estimate of $433 trillion, 20 times the annual gross domestic product.

Magical thinking is what energy analyst Mark Mills calls predictions of green technologies becoming large-scale producers of electricity. Building wind, solar, and batteries takes 10 times as much material to make the same amount of electricity as do conventional sources, he says.

Meanwhile, coal-fired power plants — traditionally the backbone of PJM reliability — are becoming fewer. One news report put the number of Pennsylvania coal plants closing since

Continued on page 7

The Dirty Secrets of "Clean Energy"

Everywhere you look now you see promotions for "clean energy." Wind, solar, and biofuels—they're all billed as "clean." And the fossil fuels that lifted the developed world out of poverty and could make extreme poverty a thing of the past in the developing world are billed as "dirty."

The reality? "Clean energy" isn't really clean. Wind, solar, and biofuels require vastly more land than fossil fuels to generate the same amount of power. Wind and solar require vast amounts of toxic chemicals the mining, refining, and disposal of which harm the earth and its people. Biofuels require switching vast amounts of land from farming food for people to farming fuel for vehicles—while consuming vast amounts of fertilizers that can harm ecosystems

Worse still, the mining and refining of the "rare earths" necessary for wind and solar to happen in countries with low to non-existent environmental protection regulations. And worst of all, it's done by slave labor by Uighurs in China and children in the Congo.

Ron Stein and Todd Royal pull back the curtain hiding these and more scandalous facts about "clean energy" in their new book Clean Energy Exploitations: Helping Citizens Understand the Environmental and Humanity Abuses that Support "Clean" Energy.

From now to the end of February, as our way of saying "Thank you!" when you make a 100% tax-deductible donation of any size and request it, the Cornwall Alliance will gladly send you a FREE copy of this 385-page book. To request yours, simply write "Promo 22-02" when you make your donation through our secure online giving page, by mailing your check to Cornwall Alliance, 875 W. Poplar Ave, Suite 23-284, Collierville, Tennessee 38017, or by calling us at 423-500-3009 by midnight on February 28th. In any case, mention "Promo 22-02" or Clean Energy Exploitations.

Please note we do ask international donors to pay for shipping of the free gift. Offer valid only while supplies last.



Register for our Mid-South conference!

Registration is now live for our Midsouth conference, Thinking Biblically About Earth Stewardship and the Conquest of Poverty in the Age of Climate Change to be held in the Memphis metro area April 1-2!

In an era of increasing political polarization and paranoia, we want to equip Christians to understand climate science, economics, and common-sense public policy.

By listening to experts on these topics, who orient their lives and work through the lens of scripture, those who attend the conference will leave armed with information to think critically about environmental policy and how it affects those in underdeveloped countries.

Learn more about the conference and reserver your FREE ticket by visiting our website at cornwallalliance.com



The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

California's Zero Carbon Plans: Can Anybody Here Do Basic Arithmetic?

By Francis Menton, February 9, 2022

In California, as we all know, the inhabitants and their elected officials are far more sophisticated and virtuous than the rest of us rubes who inhabit the other parts of the country. This particularly goes for the arena of climate change, where California is leading the way to saving the planet by rapidly eliminating all of the carbon emissions coming from its electricity sector. California's CO2 emissions are about 1% of the world annual total, and its electricity sector accounts for about 15% of those emissions, so we're talking here about approximately 0.15% of world emissions — an amount whose elimination, as you can easily see, will rapidly transform the world's climate.

In 2018 California enacted a law known as SB100, which mandates a 100% carbon-emissions-free electricity sector by 2045. But how to get from here to there? That question was finally answered in March of this year, when the California state agencies responsible for achieving the goal (California Energy Commission, California Public Utilities Commission, and California Air Resources Board) issued a Joint Agency Report and accompanying Summary Document setting forth their Plans. The Plans can be accessed via this link.

The Plans show that the California regulators have absolutely no idea what they are doing. Perhaps I am wrong. I invite all readers to check me and see if I am missing something. But I don't think so. Either these people do not understand the basic units used for these calculations, or they cannot do basic arithmetic or both. In their projection of incremental costs, I think they are off by a factor of about 1000 or more.

The answer given in the Plans as to how to get to a zero-emissions electricity sector is to build lots and lots of solar and wind power facilities. Obviously, those don't work all the time, so to start with you need to build far more capacity than your peak usage. California's peak power usage is currently about 40 GW, and that is projected to increase substantially as more of the economy gets electrified, for example, automobiles. So the Plans call for the addition of some 97.6 GW of solar capacity and 22.6 GW of wind capacity by 2045, on top of 26.5 GW of those two currently existing. (The Plans also call for the addition of 0.1 GW of geothermal capacity, but that is a rounding error.). With the additions, California would have a total of some 146.7 GW of wind and solar capacity, which may be around triple peak usage after you account for incremental electrification of the economy by 2045.

But then solar and wind power are "intermittent," meaning that they don't necessarily deliver the power when you need it. What are we going to do about providing power on completely calm nights, when solar and wind deliver nothing? The California regulators have an answer for that here in the Plans, which is "storage." And how much storage will we need? They give a very specific figure: 52.8 GW. Perhaps that may seem to make sense at first blush. If peak usage is around 50 GW by 2045, then 52.8 GW of storage may be just about enough, with a very small margin, to deliver power at a sufficient rate to satisfy demand when the solar and wind are completely dead.

And how much will all this cost? We'll be replacing all the current fossil fuel generation with wind and solar facilities, plus adding enough storage to make it all work. Here's the calculation:

Modeling results indicate that achieving 100 percent clean electricity will increase the total annual electricity system costs by nearly $4.6 billion by 2045. This is 6 percent more than the cost under the state's Renewables Portfolio Standard requirement of having at least 60 percent clean electricity by the end of 2030.

Basically, it's chump change. After all, "modeling results" prove it.

But are we maybe missing something? Here's a piece that I think is more than a little significant: All discussion in the Plans of storage needs and capacity is expressed in units of gigawatts (GW). Now, GW of capacity can certainly be relevant in this context, because assuring that power can be delivered from these massive batteries quickly enough to satisfy peak demand is definitely an important engineering challenge. But another whole subject is gigawatt-hours (GWH); in other words, is the total amount of energy stored by the system sufficient to carry you through the longest possible period when demand will exceed supply? How about if there are entire seasons — like "winter" — when days are short, cloudiness is high, the wind has extended periods of calm, and batteries could be getting drawn down for weeks or even months on end? How much will you need in the way of GWH of storage capacity to support this entirely wind-and-solar system; and how much will that cost?

There's nothing about that subject that I can find in these Plans. Can you find it?

Back in 2018, a guy named Roger Andrews made just such a calculation, and published it at a website called Energy Matters. I covered the subject in a November 2018 post titled "How Much Do The Climate Crusaders Plan To Increase Your Costs Of Electricity? — Part III." Mr. Andrews used actual daily production data from existing California

Continued on page 5



Hottest hype ever

By Craig Rucker, President of CFACT

At the end of every year global warming campaigners contrive desperately to proclaim the year past "the hottest ever," no matter how mild the temperature.

2021 didn't fit the warming narrative at all, so they lumped it in with others to try and absurdly claim a tiny 0.134 degree above the 30-year average as somehow meaningful.

Marc Morano posted a fact-filled report exposing "warmest ever" hype to CFACT's Climate Depot.

"All datasets including NASA GISS, UK Met Office & RSS, UAH satellites, show global temperatures declining since 2016... Warmists spin to conceal cooling temps in 2021," Marc wrote, as part of a roundup of data and analysis from experts and commentators from around the globe. You should take a look.

Australia's Joanne Nova was scorching in her takedown. "Since when do we do climate analysis on seven year periods?" She asked. "Since climate scientists get rewarded for scaring taxpayers and 'seven' is this years lucky number... all Climate Bingo games are boosted by inexplicable adjustments, badly placed thermometers, shrinking thermometer screens, and the process of constantly rewriting history. If the incinerators near thermometers don't do it, the homogenization will."

"Trust the science," shouts the Left, and then proceeds to distort the scientific data time and again.

How many half truths and outright untruths must we expose for the public to accept that political bias has no place in science?



ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



Fossil Fuel Finance Pipeline *continued from page 1*

The hallmark of Dr. Raskin's career has been a vendetta against U.S. hydrocarbon energy producers — one she can be counted on to take with her to the Fed.

Whereas the Fed's mission, as outlined by Congress, is explicitly nonpolitical, don't imagine for a moment that she won't weaponize every opportunity to press banks to cut off lending for fossil energy investments in favor of growing greener subsidy pastures.

During her Feb. 3 Senate confirmation hearing, Ruskin tried to walk back her former public statements supporting a Fed role in climate-related financial regulation. She told the Banking Committee, "It is inappropriate for the Fed to make credit decisions and allocations based on choosing winners and losers."

Despite pledging to abide by objective monetary banking policy interests, fully expect instead that Sarah Ruskin will use the Fed to push Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) lending qualification scores which include assessments of impacts on climate change.

Former Treasury Department deputy secretary Raskin has previously argued that it's time for U.S. regulators to do their part to turn ESG conviction on issues like climate change into actual conduct.

Raskin wrote in a May 2020 New York Times article: "The Fed is ignoring clear warning signs about the economic repercussions of the impending climate crisis by taking action that will lead to increases in greenhouse gas emissions at a time when even in the short term, fossil fuels are a terrible investment.

"The decision to bring oil and gas into the Fed's investment portfolio," Raskin said, "not only misdirects limited recovery resources, but also sends a false price signal to investors about where capital needs to be allocated."

In March 2020 testimony before the House Select Committee on the Climate Crisis, she said, "Informed decisions allow us to mitigate the financial impact of climate risks and fashion timely remedies ahead of a crisis," noting the need for financial regulators to "foster the development of climate-related financial risk management technology," including climate-related financial disclosures and stress tests.

Raskin urged in a June 2020 Ceres article that the Fed use its risk-based standards to drive capital away from oil and natural-gas firms toward "sustainable investments," and went so far as to suggest that the Fed should de-bank energy companies by establishing portfolio or concentration limits for banks on "high-emission assets."

In a more recent September 2021 article for Project Syndicate, Raskin said, "They [the Fed] need to ask themselves how their existing instruments can be used to incentivize a rapid, orderly, and just transition away from high-emission and biodiversity-destroying investments."

Elaborating on her views regarding how "regulatory changes relating to disclosure, access to credit, and pricing of risk support a rapid and just green transition," Ruskin added that regulators must steer this transition, because "market discipline and private-sector initiative" won't do this on their own.

And why should the Fed force private banks to deny credit to hydrocarbon businesses?

Because, in Raskin's opinion, oil and natural gas represent a "dying" industry that poses "climate-change-related risks to the economy."

If dying, it's only because the Biden administration and its radical far left handlers are determined to kill it along with the more than 70% of American energy it provides to air condition our homes, fuel our cars and power our industries that supply products, employment, and public revenues we urgently depend upon.

Bear in mind that U.S. oil and gas companies contribute nearly 8% of the U.S. GDP and employ more than 10 million Americans, including many blue-collar wage earners.

At a time when the country is experiencing self-inflicted energy-driven inflation with Americans paying 40% more at the pump today than they were a year ago, President Biden has nominated a financial regulator keen on making things worse.

There's little wonder then why 41 industry trade associations joined in a Jan. 28 letter opposing her approval, pointing out that "her multiple public statements indicate an agenda at odds with the President's goal of providing Americans with reliable, affordable energy."

The determining Raskin vote will come at a time when the Senate is officially split 50/50 between the two political parties, yet with Sen. Ben Ray Luján, D-N.M., expected to miss four to six weeks because of a stroke, it potentially leaves Democrats one vote short of a crucial majority including a Vice President Kamala Harris tiebreaker.

And whereas we should all wish Sen. Luján a strong recovery, let's also hope that Senate Democrat spending and inflation hawks, West Virginia Sen. Joe Manchin and Arizona Sen. Kyrsten Sinema, will help Republicans withhold levers of Fed monetary policy from the hands of an activist ideologue who will use them to drive a far-left anti-fossil agenda.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture and the graduate space architecture program. His latest of 11 books, "Beyond Flagpoles and Footprints: Pioneering the Space Frontier" co-authored with Buzz Aldrin (2021), is available on Amazon along with all others.

Australia's Great Green Gamble: Whirling Triffids now Invading our Seashores

By Viv Forbes

Wind turbines need a huge area to generate significant electricity.

So for years now, subsidised wind energy investors have been razing ridge-lines, felling forests and slicing birds, bats and insects. They trash the landscape to benefit a few landowners and speculators in a vain attempt to produce cheap reliable electricity from a low density, unpredictable, intermittent energy source. This is all driven by a blurry green vision that humans can change the climate by manipulating the atmosphere.

But neighbours and nature-lovers are fighting back. So now the wind speculators are racing for off-shore space in shallow seas.

"GREEN MURDER" – a new book by Professor Ian Plimer

It has never been shown that human emissions of the gas of life drive global warming.

Large bodies of science that don't fit the narrative have been ignored by IPCC, COP and self-interested scientists paid by taxpayers. A huge subsidised industry of intermittent unreliable wind and solar electricity has been created based on unsubstantiated science. The same hucksters now want subsidised hydrogen, costly inefficient EVs, subsidised mega-batteries and other horribly expensive tried and failed schemes to impoverish people, create unemployment, transfer wealth and enrich China.

Germany, Texas, California and the UK had a glimpse of Net Zero with blackouts, astronomically high electricity costs and hundreds of deaths. We once had reliable cheap electricity and now that governments have gone green, we are heading for hard economic times.

In this book I charge the greens with murder. They murder humans who are kept in eternal poverty without coal-fired electricity. They support slavery and early deaths of black child miners. They murder forests and their wildlife by clear felling for wind turbines. They murder forests and wildlife with their bushfire policies. They murder economies producing unemployment, hopelessness, collapse of communities, disrupted social cohesion and suicide.

They murder free speech and freedoms and their takeover of the education system has ended up in the murdering of the intellectual and economic future of young people. They terrify children into mental illness with their apocalyptic death cult lies and exaggerations. They try to divide a nation. They are hypocrites and such angry ignorant people should never touch other people's money.

The greens are guilty of murder. The sentence is life with no parole in a cave in the bush enjoying the benefits of Net Zero.

Zero Carbon Plans *cont. from page 4*

wind and solar facilities to project how much of such facilities would be needed to satisfy California's total annual demand over the course of a full year; and then further used the same data to calculate daily surpluses and deficits, to figure out how much battery capacity, in GWH, would be needed to get through the longest period of low production. The most important lesson from Andrews's work — which emerges from simply looking at the data for actual daily production from existing wind and solar facilities — is that production from these facilities is not just intermittent within a day or a week, but is also highly seasonal, with higher production in the Spring and Fall, and lower production most notably in the winter.

Here is Andrews's chart showing production from existing wind and solar facilities, normalized to satisfy all demand over the course of a year, plotted against actual demand on a daily basis: (see chart on page 4)

The large Spring surpluses and Winter deficits leap out at the eye. Andrews then calculated — and this is purely a matter of simple arithmetic — daily surpluses and deficits to figure out how much battery capacity California would need to carry it through a full year. Here are his charts showing that work: (right)

The bottom line is that it would take about 25,000 GWH of stored energy to get through the full year. The batteries would get to that level around August, and get drawn down all the way through March. And of course, that's at a peak usage of about 40 GW. Ramp that up to more like 50 GW peak usage, and you'll need more like 32,000 GWH of storage.

So how much will that cost? In my November 2018 post, the answer for California was "around $5 trillion." Let's see if we can get a more up-to-date figure. According to this post at Electrek on April 1, 2021, Apple — in an effort to demonstrate its extreme corporate climate virtue — plans to construct a gigantic battery project to enable its corporate headquarters to run on just solar power. From Apple's press release:

"Apple is constructing one of the largest battery projects in the country, California Flats — an industry-leading, grid-scale energy storage project capable of storing 240 megawatt-hours of energy, enough to power over 7,000 homes for one day. This project supports the company's 130-megawatt solar farm that provides all of its renewable energy in California, by storing excess energy generated during the day and deploying it when it is most needed."

The batteries are being supplied by Tesla. Based on pricing data from Tesla, indicating the cost of such batteries in the range



of $200 – $300 per kilowatt-hour, Electrek calculates Apple's cost for the 240 MWH of battery capacity as about $50 million. So what then would be the cost for 32,000-gigawatt hours worth of these batteries? You do the math. If it helps, there are a million KWH in one GWH. I'm getting about $6.7 trillion.

$6.7 trillion is well more than double the annual GDP of California. Remember that the Plans of California's joint agency task force said that the incremental costs of the all-wind-and-solar-plus-storage system were going to run around $4.6 billion. Could they really be off by a factor of well over 1000?

Meanwhile, California marches forward with big additions to its grid battery capacity, supposedly to balance the grid in light of additions of solar and wind power. But are the additions meaningful to that task, or remotely cost-effective? Here is a post from RenewEconomy on April 5:

A recent report published by Bloomberg Green citing new BloombergNEF numbers revealed that the leading power analysts expect California to not only install 1.7GW worth of new battery storage in 2021, but another 1.4GW in 2022 followed by 1.2GW in 2023.

Always GW, never GWH. Trying to get any useful information out of these people is almost impossible. I think they are all completely innumerable. Out of 40 million people in California, isn't there a single person who can even ask a relevant question?

My view continues to be that the best thing that could happen for the country and the world would be for these people to try to build out their utopia as fast as humanly possible, and have it crash and burn quickly for all to see and learn from. Or maybe they will succeed. I certainly wish them the best, but on all the evidence they have no idea what they are doing.

This piece originally appeared at ManhattanContrarian.com and has been republished here with permission.

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was February 12, 2022

Quote of the Week:

"To defeat relativity one did not need the word of 100 scientists, just one fact."
–attributed to Einstein

****

Number of the Week:

1,230 GW compared with 240 GW

****

THIS WEEK:

By Ken Haapala, President Science & Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

Scope: On Monday, the Wall Street Journal published an article on the climate modeling performed by the US National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) which is a world leader. The article was striking because it illustrated how far behind NCAR's thinking is in developing a model that will describe the massive amount of new evidence gathered over the past forty years on how the atmosphere is responding to increasing greenhouse gases, particularly human emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2). If models cannot describe what is happening in the atmosphere today, they cannot usefully forecast or predict what may happen in the future. The fear of a "climate crisis" is built on out-of-date information and models.

TWTW addresses the issue using modern atmospheric data (observations) taken by satellites. Unfortunately, climate modelers rely on data taken "the old-fashioned way" with instruments on the ground. These do not and cannot describe what is occurring in the atmosphere. The work of William Happer and William van Wijngaarden will be emphasized as explained simply by Howard Hayden.

If the American public is to be alarmed, it should be by the reports in Europe of the sharply increasing costs of energy, particularly electricity. Alternative energy promoters, including government entities, mislead the public by claiming the costs are coming down. It is not the cost of the wind turbine or solar panel that is important, it is the cost of reliable electricity. As reliable generators are closed due to government policy and market manipulation of electricity is becoming more expensive. Modern civilization requires reliable electricity, yet government policies in the US and in Europe favor unreliable electricity generation, making reliable generation unprofitable.

The US football season and the Winter Olympics are closing. Both gave climate fear promoters opportunities for propaganda.

Worsening Errors: Probably unknown by the reporter, a February 6 article in the Wall Street Journal on climate modeling at the US National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) illustrates what is wrong with US climate modeling and the climate modeling world as it responds to demands by the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The article was titled "Climate Scientists Encounter Limits of Computer Models, Bedeviling Policy. Supercomputer simulations are running up against the complex physics of programming thousands of weather variables such as the extensive impact of clouds." The beginning of the article reads:

"For almost five years, an international consortium of scientists was chasing clouds, determined to solve a problem that bedeviled climate-change forecasts for a generation: How do these wisps of water vapor affect global warming?

They reworked 2.1 million lines of supercomputer code used to explore the future of climate change, adding more-intricate equations for clouds and hundreds of other improvements. They tested the equations, debugged them, and tested again.

"The scientists would find that even the best tools at hand can't model climates with the sureness the world needs as rising temperatures impact almost every region.

"When they ran the updated simulation in 2018, the conclusion jolted them: Earth's atmosphere was much more sensitive to greenhouse gases than decades of previous models had predicted, and future temperatures could be much higher than feared—perhaps even beyond hope of practical remedy."

Certainly, the complexity of the models is a problem to climate science. However, there is a deeper problem. The models must be tested against the best data, not only for assumptions but also for results. However, testing of results against physical evidence is not done.

The morning of the article, Ken Haapala posted the following in the comments section of the electronic version of the article:

"Another failure of BIG Science. NCAR ignores the scientific method which requires their hypotheses be tested against all relevant physical evidence. The effect of greenhouse gases occurs in the atmosphere. Forty-two years of comprehensive observations of the lower atmosphere show a modest warming of 0.13 C (0.23 F) per decade, far below what NCAR claims. NCAR ignores basic science."

The Blog ICECAP posted the article along with video by Tony Heller on how surface data is distorted after the fact. Essentially NOAA changes history to suit political needs. Further, Sterling Burnett brought out additional errors in Environment & Climate News. But none of these criticisms really goes far enough.

The article states that the assumptions were carefully tested. But no matter how well the assumptions were tested, it is the results that count. The results are a warming higher than what was previously shown by atmospheric temperature trends to be far too high. The entire modeling process must be revamped, or the modeling community will continue to produce what modeler Mototaka Nakamura identified, as quoted in last week's TWTW. Nakamura wrote:

"…climate simulation models are fine tools to study the climate system, so long as the users are aware of the limitations of the models and exercise caution in designing experiments and interpreting their output."

"The models just become useless pieces of junk or worse (worse, in a sense that they can produce gravely misleading output) only when they are used for climate forecasting."

"All climate simulation models have many details that become fatal flaws when they are used as climate forecasting tools, especially for mid- to long-term (several years and longer) climate variations and changes."

"It means that they are also completely useless for assessing the effects of the past atmospheric carbon dioxide increase on the climate. I myself used to use climate simulation models for scientific studies, not for predictions, and learned about their problems and limitations in the process."

TWTW is not optimistic that government funded modelers are capable of making the necessary changes. Their funding is dependent on continuing promoting fears of climate change. There is no question that we don't understand cloud formation. However, for NCAR modelers to assume that understanding cloud formation will result in higher estimates of warming from CO2 emissions is absurd. Other than high altitude cirrus clouds, in general clouds have a cooling effect, lowering daytime high temperatures by reflecting sunlight back to space. Whatever the warming effect may be (by slowing nighttime heat loss), it is overcome by the cooling effect. Heatwaves are caused by continued clear skies from stagnant high-pressure systems, not cloudy nights. Yet, the NCAR press release of the updated models claimed: "Increased warming in latest generation of climate models likely caused by clouds."

It is doubtful that the new $40 million supercomputer being installed in Cheyanne, Wyoming, for NCAR will be used for anything but promoting fear of climate change, and climate science will continue to stagnate. At least the new supercomputer has an appropriate name: "Derecho." (Spanish for "straight.) A Derecho is a fast-moving line of intense storms that can blow down trees and power lines and disrupt electrical power for days or weeks at a time. The fear of climate change is doing that.

Innumeracy: Innumeracy can be defined as illiteracy in numbers. Far too often government entities use numbers without understanding what they mean. One example is stating that the warming potential of methane is, say, 50 times that of carbon dioxide. However, as shown by Hayden and many others, the warming potential of carbon dioxide varies greatly with concentration. The higher the concentration, the less effective it is. [Somewhat akin to the economists' law of diminishing returns, but more dramatic.] By 180 parts per million volume (180 ppm) the peak effectiveness of CO2 is well past.

[At concentrations this low, some plant life would struggle and die out in parts of the globe. This is particularly true for C3 plants that are not as effective in using carbon dioxide in photosynthesis and under stress such as drought as well as C4 plants. C3 plants include some of the most important sources of calories all over the world: cowpea, cassava, soybean, and rice. Thus, regulatory schemes to reduce carbon dioxide may be contrary to plant life.]

As with carbon dioxide, the effectiveness of methane in increasing temperatures is non-linear. But its effectiveness is tiny because in the lower atmosphere (troposphere) water vapor already interferes with the infrared radiation that methane absorbs and re-emits. Above the tropopause, where water freezes out, methane is chemically broken down by oxidation.

The recent fad of claiming that methane is dangerous often demonstrates innumeracy. According to the EPA page on Methane: "Pound for pound, the comparative impact of CH4 is 25 times greater than CO2 over a 100-year period." The cited source is IPCC (2007) Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Since any functions describing the warming influence of methane and CO2 are both non-linear, the ratio of their values cannot be a constant. Anyone who uses a constant simply does not understand the temperature impacts of different greenhouse gases.

Solar Powered Helicopters and Wind Powered Tanks? The Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations has come out with a report on the army going to net zero, as directed by the Biden Administration.

Marc Morano of Climate Depot had comments that were directly on:

"John Horgan, the director of the Center for Science Writings at the Stevens Institute of Technology, explained, 'In spite of the recent surge in violence in the Middle East, war-related casualties have fallen over the last half-century, as temperatures have risen…

"A 2013 study published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences found that cold eras were dark times in Eastern Europe. 'Some of Eastern Europe's greatest wars and plagues over the last millennium coincided with cold periods,' explained a summary of the study in Science News."

Frankly, having read many Army reports, Haapala concludes that this Army report reads as a classic in "Let's kick the can down the road, let the next set of generals worry about it."

Number of the Week: 1,230 GW compared with 240 GW. Paul Homewood writes:

"Researchers with the [Chinese] State Grid Corporation expect another 150 GW of new coal-fired power capacity to be built over the 2021-2025 period, bringing the total [to be built] to 1,230 GW."

By comparison: the American Public Power Association 2021 report states that the US coal nameplate generation capacity is 240 GW. Granted that some of the new Chinese capacity will be more efficient ultra-critical coal fired power plants, does it make any sense for the US Government to continue its campaign against reliable, US coal-fired power plants when China is building coal-fired power plants totaling far greater carbon dioxide emissions?

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtown Washington, D.C. The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues, and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993. Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science. This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year. The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington, VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

Greenism Destroying Australia's Ancient Grasslands

By Viv Forbes

It's the season for green-money showers in Australia – the Coral Community just got one billion dollars of green bribes from PM Morrison (this after $141M from PM Turnbull just over 3 years ago). Koalas got $50M from Morrison whilst the Queensland Government bought a huge grazing property to be converted into a national park. And of course the Green Broadcasting Commission got an extra $3.3Billion over 3 years to promote their green agenda.

Much of this green graft is directed at bribing green voters by molly-coddling trees and corals, both of which are among Australia's long-term survivors. However, today's greatest environmental destruction is being inflicted on Australia's once-magnificent grasslands by those same urban greens.

When Europeans first saw Australia, much of the country was covered by grasslands and open forests. In 1770, that great botanist Sir Joseph Banks reported "very few tree species, but every place was covered with vast quantities of grass". Many other explorers and settlers made similar observations.

Australia's vast grasslands supported marsupials, emus, bustards, finches and parrots. They had been maintained for centuries by aboriginals who lit fires anywhere for many reasons. This regime of many small patchwork fires produced and maintained the grasslands.

The early settlers recognised the value of cool-season burning to fire-proof their properties and rejuvenate the valuable native grasses. Unfortunately, in recent decades, the use of fire has been suppressed by greens and bureaucrats, so that today's fires are irregular, unplanned, fierce and usually lit at the worst time of the year by lightning or arsonists.

Weeds and trees soon invade grasslands damaged by irregular fierce fires.

The destruction of Australia's ancestral grasslands has received a massive boost in recent years by green extremists aiming to remove human activities from rural Australia by promoting more national parks, reservations, crown land, heritage areas and "Wild Rivers". Their bans on logging, hunting, grazing and regrowth clearing have turned many national parks into weed and pest havens.

The prevention of frequent burning (the key tool used by generations of aborigines and early settlers to maintain Australia's grassy landscape) and the spread of carbon-credit forestry are accelerating the destruction of our prairie lands.

Once grasslands are invaded by woody scrubs, these quickly give protection to noxious weeds such as lantana, groundsel, prickly pear and box-thorn, and vermin such as rabbits, foxes, wild dogs, cats, deer and pigs.

Grasslands have another insidious green enemy – the spread of suffocating blankets of subsidised solar panels that steal the sunshine that all plants rely on.

The amazing grasses of the world and their edible seeds feed most of the animal kingdom, directly or indirectly. The deliberate sacrifice of grasslands to solar panels and woody weeds is another suicidal green policy.

Corrupt Climate Science *continued from page 3*

2009 at 14. An industry source reports the number of significant generators remaining in Pennsylvania as 14 individual units located at seven stations. Of those, six of the largest units plan to shut down in a few years.

Reasons for the demise of coal plants include the Obama administration's "War on Coal" — renewed by the Biden administration. "They're trying to regulate us out of business," says a plant worker frustrated that his job is in jeopardy in spite of plants spending billions on pollution reduction.

Another reason has been the economics of competing with historically low natural gas prices and heavily subsidized renewables over the past several years. PJM, as a market maker for competing plants, has long wrangled over whether coal-fired plants are appropriately rewarded for providing the security of on-site fuel storage that gas plants can't match. PJM suggests in a report's oblique language that the conversation continues:

"Today, thermal resources supply essential reliability services. Until a different technology can provide a reliable substitute at scale, an adequate supply of thermal resources will be needed to maintain grid stability. PJM and stakeholders must ensure that the market structure provides the right incentives to maintain an adequate supply of these services."

Speaking elsewhere out the other side of its mouth, the report discusses an "opportunity for strategic regional transmission expansion, grid-enhancing technologies, and an increased need for storage." This is where billions of dollars are spent to support "green" energy with new power lines, batteries, and so on.

So, life is complicated — and expensive and dangerous — when climate alarmism is taken seriously by people who probably know better, or at least should.

"Cowering cravenly in pretense and silence" before political correctness is how professor emeritus of psychology Jordan Peterson described former colleagues at the University of Toronto. The same is true, he suggests, of too many CEOs, musicians, artists, and writers. Would Dr. Peterson find differently in PJM's ranks?

This piece originally appeared at RealClearEnergy.org and has been republished here with permission.

Bringing Britain's woes

continued from page 1

Green Deal.

All these policies send energy prices rocketing upward, eliminating jobs and killing people. Instead of reducing emissions, they simply move them overseas, where they combine with massive air and water pollution, habitat destruction and wildlife decimation – as China and other countries burn more coal, oil and gas every year, to improve their people's living standards ... and to mine and process raw materials for the wind turbines, solar panels and battery modules they manufacture for climate-obsessed nations.

The net result: Progress toward global Net Zero is zero – worse than zero – and all the lost jobs, rising poverty, reduced living standards and policy-driven deaths are for nothing.

President Biden wants hydrocarbon-free electricity generation by 2035, and elimination of all fossil fuel extraction and use by 2050. That means no gasoline or diesel vehicles; no natural gas to power factories or heat, warm water and cook in homes, hospitals and businesses; no petrochemical feedstocks for fertilizers, plastics, pharmaceuticals and thousands of other essential, everyday products.

All US energy will be provided by wind, solar and battery power – millions of wind turbines, billions of solar panels and billions of battery modules, sprawling across continental United States and along its coasts. Petrochemicals will come from crops planted on millions of acres of former wildlife habitat.

To drive this extreme agenda, Team Biden has canceled pipelines, leases and permits; pressured banks to stop lending money for drilling; and issued scores of regulations that delay and drive up costs for fossil fuel projects – while making it easy for industrial-scale wind and solar installations to get permits. Prices for energy, transportation, food, services and used cars predictably shot up. Inflation and consumer prices reached 40-year highs.

Henry Hub natural gas prices doubled from $2.61 per mcf (thousand cubic feet or million BTUs) in November 2020 to $5.51 in October 2021, before falling to $4.75 in January 2022, as skyrocketing global prices spurred drilling, fracking and production on US state and private lands. Regular gasoline averaged $2.17 a gallon nationwide in 2020 – but hit $3.39/gal ($4.38 in California) in the same timeframe.

As Americans fret and fume over the needlessly high prices – and wonder what the future might hold – they can look to the UK and EU (a) to count their blessings for comparatively low prices today and (b) to ponder how continued climate-centric policies could impact American livelihoods and living standards.

Britain and continental Europe have already embraced a wind-and-solar future, closed coal and nuclear power plants, and banned fracking for the trillions of cubic feet of natural gas beneath their feet, while North Sea production keeps falling. They have reaped the whirlwind from those callously inept policies.

(It is illuminating and ironic that Russian organizations finance many US, UK and EU anti-fracking disinformation campaigns, funneling funds through a Bermuda law firm, a shell company and the Sea Change Foundation to the Sierra Club, Climate Action Network and other groups.)

Britain and Europe's vaunted wind turbines have been generating electricity at a dismal 14% of "nameplate capacity" – providing power three hours a day, one day a week, four days a month, in short spurts, at completely unpredictable times. Their wintertime solar power has been equally sporadic and unpredictable. No modern society can function on such energy.

The huge gaps have been plugged with gas- and coal-fired generation, with much of the gas coming from Russia and the USA. But Asia also wants the gas, and Russia is playing Ukraine/Nord Stream 2 pipeline politics with its gas, tightening supplies as demand soars. UK and EU home and business gas and electricity prices are in the stratosphere – five to ten times the Biden Era prices Americans are paying.

Luckily for families and businesses, Britain's Office of Gas & Electric Markets (Ofgem) regulates how much utility companies can charge. But that often means keeping household, hospital, school and business energy prices well below the utilities' actual costs – with predictable results.

Experts say the average annual household bill of £1,277 ($1,755) could surge to £1,865 ($2,530) when the current price cap is raised in April 2022 – for homes and apartments that are much smaller than US counterparts, in a climate with much less extreme summer and winter temperatures than in much of the United States. Annual bills could exceed £2,000 ($2,715) or much more at Ofgem's August review.

National Energy Action says this could put more than 6 million UK households (nearly one-fourth of all households) in "fuel poverty" – unable to afford proper heat, and often having to choose between heating or eating, even when cold indoor temperatures put their health and lives at risk.

For families that want budgetary certainty, the average 12-month fixed deal for a typical household now costs almost £2,500 ($3,430). But the UK's second-biggest energy supplier's most recent fixed-rate offer is almost £4,200 ($5,750)! That's because natural gas and electricity generation costs are expected to keep rising – and because utilities must pay wind turbine operators "constraint payments" to turn turbines off whenever they generate more power than the grid needs and can absorb!

The month-ahead natural gas price at the Dutch TTF hub (a European benchmark for trading gas) recently hit €93.3 ($107) per megawatt-hour. That's $31 an mcf – more than six times the January 2022 Henry Hub price. Just a month earlier, the European day-ahead gas price reached $61 per mcf!

No wonder 30 UK energy suppliers went bankrupt by the end of 2021 – leaving families and businesses scrambling to find new suppliers, at skyrocketing prices for heating and cooking. When utilities cannot charge customers anywhere near operating costs, they go belly-up.

No wonder two-thirds of UK renters struggle to pay their energy bills, and 400,000 more UK households were in danger of losing their gas and electricity provider before last Christmas. People are "genuinely terrified" about rising energy costs. Excess winter energy-poverty death tolls are likely to set new records.

Health and living standards in Britain and Europe will likely get far worse. In addition to insane energy costs, wages and environmental regulation costs are much higher than in Asia. Ceramic, steel, aluminum, automotive and other energy-intensive companies and industries are becoming uncompetitive. Manufacturing, jobs, energy use and greenhouse gas emissions are just moving to Asia.

Climate and energy politics, combined with fierce global demand, make it unlikely that Europe's energy prices will go down. And while the EU recently voted to define natural gas and nuclear power as "sustainable," acquiring affordable gas and building new nuclear plants will take years and be battled every step of the way. Rolling blackouts could become as common as in California.

British politicians "rail at energy costs" and argue about trimming them at the margins, says journalist Madeline Grant, perhaps by reducing the 5% VAT on energy or the 25% green-social subsidy levies on electricity bills. But they "dare not question the green policies" that cause energy price increases, end up taking no action, and then slap hefty new "pollution taxes" on gas and diesel vehicles.

Britain and Europe need to drill and frack their vast shale deposits. Having shut down their older nuclear plants, they must start building small modular reactors. The rest of the developed world needs to take similar actions – and not only because China, India and the rest of the developing world are not about to give up fossil fuels and rely on unreliable wind and solar power, but to save jobs and lives.

Otherwise, Britain's Christmas just past will be its, Europe's and America's Christmas future, forever. Scrooge learned from Marley. Will Boris Johnson, Joe Biden, AOC and their lot learn from reality?

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

Technocracy And The Loss Of American Liberty



By Gary L. Wickert

There's a famous story about the 11th century King Canute in England who, while in front of his courtiers, commanded the tides to stop. And yet, they kept on rolling. This apocryphal anecdote is similar to those who want to end climate change by changing light bulbs. The king thought his writ could control the elements, but in fact, it could not.

Technocracy is defined as government control of society by the use of elite technical experts. We have seen a number of "experts" dictating U.S. policy lately—from economic to health to social—without a single one being elected or accountable to the public. The war on poverty, like most other Great Society programs, rested on the presumption that technocrats knew better; that they possessed secret knowledge and a rare capacity to identify the problem, arrive at appropriate remedial measures, and implement those measures through the use of the government's coercive power and the taxpayers' limitless supply of free money. As we all know, the expensive war on poverty was lost badly.

The meme "Follow the Science" is used repeatedly by big government liberals when it comes to anthropogenic global warming or the China Flue endemic, underscoring this societal trend. Studies have shown an increased willingness by the American public for the intimate involvement of so-called "experts" in political decision making. There has been an expansion of independent, non-partisan institutions, together with a frequent presence of technocrats in cabinets. The danger here is that unless we realize that "experts" are only human, driven by political motivations and opinions, and that the science they wield is almost never more than a rough guess, we are abdicating our constitutional republic by blindly following them. Technocracy-as-science is fine when all systems are normal, when the real world mimics the laboratory. But emergencies disrupt this norm, since actions must be taken quickly, before all the evidence is in. Masks were effective before they weren't. Vaccines prevented infection before they didn't. Washing hands and disinfecting surfaces were key in fighting the virus before they weren't. It is fair to say that the experts got more wrong than right; and when political policy has been turned over to unelected technocrats who get more wrong than right, our republic ceases to be.

Climate change is a perfect example of an area in which lazy, biased, and partisan politicians have turned the debate over to unelected technocrats who have proven they do not know what they are talking about. A new study in climate dynamics has now criticized a key methodology that the IPCC has used to attribute climate change to greenhouse gases. One day after the IPCC released its Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) a paper published in Climate Dynamics revealed that their "Optimal Fingerprinting" methodology on which they have long relied for attributing climate change to greenhouse gases is seriously flawed and its results are unreliable and largely meaningless. Oops. Optimal fingerprinting takes a big smudge of data and says, "Yeah the fingerprints of greenhouse gas are on it." It has now been debunked.

The illusory truth effect is the tendency to believe false information to be correct after repeated exposure. Two clear examples of this effect are systemic racism and anthropogenic global warming. The most frequently repeated, clearly misleading falsehood regarding climate change is that 97% of all scientists agree that humans are the cause of global warming. A good lie is one that's ultimately believable, and this one is But it is not only untrue, it is so specious and erroneous that nothing from the sky-is-falling camp should ever be trusted again. The Wall Street Journal reported back in 2014 that this fiction came about through the manipulation of survey data from 2 sources. First, a University of Illinois master's student named Kendall Zimmerman and his adviser surveyed 10,257 scientists in which only 3,146 bothered to respond. Then, this student selected just 77 responses from published grant-funded climate scientists and 75 said humans were causing global warming. Two did not. Seventy-five out of seventy-seven = 97.4%. Excluding the other 3,069 responses makes this data statistically invalid.

The truth is that 1.6% of scientists (not 97%) agree that humans are the main cause of global warming. Tell a lie often enough and it becomes the truth. But if you don't believe the 97% figure, you're called a science denier. As Jules Verne once said, "Science, my lad, is made up of mistakes; but they are mistakes which are useful to make, because they lead little by little to the truth." There is no scientific evidence supporting the theory that global warming is caused by increases in carbon dioxide due to human activity. None. In fact, Antarctica ice core analytic data shows that carbon dioxide increases lag behind that of temperature increases, not the other way around.

If the China Virus pandemic has taught us anything it is that the technocratic class has no problem restricting freedom, and the next crisis too good to waste is right around the corner. Dr. Anthony Fauci has argued that the United Nations should "rebuild the infrastructure of human existence" in order to combat what only 1.6% of scientists think is happening. Google it. Prominent medical journals have called for declaring climate change a public health emergency so that COVID-type restrictions can be imposed. It's coming.

The next convenient crisis which authoritarians will use to change America is the anthropogenic climate change fairy tale. If they can shut down American businesses and make you wear a mask in your house while eating dinner, they can certainly compel citizens to make significant lifestyle changes like eating less meat, preventing the free expression of climate denialism, relaxing property rights in order to nationalize certain companies, and the like. Don't shrug off the likelihood of such extreme actions; we have been witnessing them in real life these last two years. Mass psychosis and liberal brainwashing has driven otherwise sane human beings into tearing down statues and defunding police departments. And that was in the supposed pursuit of mere "social justice." Image the lengths they will go to if they have actually been led to seriously believe that the entire planet hangs in the balance.

The Last Guardians

continued from page 1

the U.S. is"…federalism … a system of government in which powers are divided among two levels of government of equal status." Within this structure, power is balanced between the three branches of the federal government and between states and the federal government. This power sharing arrangement is designed to prevent any constitutional entity from gaining power beyond what the Constitution grants.

Unfortunately, for a century and one-half, all three branches of the federal government have accumulated power at the expense of the states. To control this federal overreach, Article V of the Constitution delegates to State legislatures the right to Amend the Constitution by calling for a Constitutional Convention.

While a Constitutional Convention has never been approved by the states, it is a viable option. Under Article V, upon application of the legislatures of two-thirds of the several states, (34), Congress must call a Convention for the purpose of proposing Amendments to the Constitution. If the Convention's proposals are ratified by three-fourths of the states, those Amendments become part of our Constitution, notwithstanding the objections of the federal government.

This state convention process cannot be denied, vetoed or regulated by Congress, the president or the governors of the respective states. The Convention is totally controlled by the selected delegates. If state legislatures believe that a massive, overreaching, debt ridden, dysfunctional federal government is not operating within the framework of our Constitution, it is their duty to act.

If the states fail to call a Convention, or the federal government thwarts the process, individual states have other options to control federal overreach

If a Constitutional Convention fails, or the federal government obstructs it, individual states can protect the liberties of their citizens. All state actions to protect liberty must be peaceful and non-violent, otherwise they give the federal government the excuse it needs to crush states and citizens as "enemies of the state," and impose martial law.

State Actions to challenge Federal overreach and preserve state sovereignty

States acting individually or as a group of states, have several peaceful ways to protect personal liberties from federal overreach. The greater the number of states participating in the freedom seeking effort, the greater the chance of its success. If the 20 – 27 so called "red" states acted together, they will have the leverage to seriously negotiate over the scope of federal power. The primary options:

Non-Cooperation: Martin Luther King used non-violence and non-cooperation to secure equal justice, for all, in the U.S. Gandhi used these tactics to challenge the British Empire. States wanting a smaller federal government and to protect the constitutional rights of their citizens, could, as a group, voluntarily take a non-cooperation stance on federal programs.

Presently, states and local governments participate in 2200 federal programs and administer most major, domestic programs, e.g., hazardous waste, water pollution, labor, education, child nutrition. These grant programs allow the federal government to induce states to implement federal programs that could not be enacted by Congress. The states would merely refuse to administer any federal programs. Since the federal government cannot commandeer a state's resources, the federal government would have to administer federal law in the non-cooperating state. While the states may lose the federal funds associated with these programs, the states would no longer have to administer programs that are costing them substantially more money than they receive.

The federal government certainly could administer a few its programs in a few states, but if all the "red" states joined together and refused to cooperate on a large number of programs, the federal government would need to negotiate with the states on a power sharing arrangement if it wanted those programs administered.

State Personal Liberty laws: From the founding of the Republic to the Civil War, abolitionists persuaded states to enact Personal Liberty Laws to protect fugitive slaves. These laws were grounded on the principle that states could protect individuals in their borders. To avoid the federal supremacy clause rendering inconsistent state laws void, the States enacted laws making it difficult for bounty hunters to capture fugitive slaves by denying them state resources, e.g., bounty hunters could not hold fugitive slaves in local jails, and the imposition of high court costs to secure the "legal transfer" of a fugitive slave substantially reduced the value of the bounty.

Today, to maneuver around the Supremacy Clause, states would enact laws expanding the civil rights of those living in a state. A few current examples. Washington State. has enacted stronger protections against warrantless searches than the federal constitution. Eight blue states and hundreds of cities, have enacted Sanctuary laws to protect immigrants from federal prosecution. One state is proposing to prohibit federal law enforcement officers from arresting or detaining individuals solely on the basis of a civil immigration detainer. On the "red" side of the ledger, there are three states and over 230 counties in 19 states that have enacted Sanctuary laws to protect the constitutional rights of gun owners.

The most widespread use of Personal Liberty Laws is found in the states that have legalized marijuana, a substance still considered illegal under federal criminal law. Recreational use is legal in 11 states. Thirty-five states have legalized it for medical use. Only five states follow federal law. These states prove states cannot be forced to implement federal law. If the federal government wants marijuana laws implemented in forty-six states, it will have to do it itself.

Today, the federal government's threats to individual liberties center around restricting free speech, religious freedom, freedom of association, right to trial by jury and to confront one's accuser. State Personal Liberty Laws could be enacted to enlarge federal constitutional protections. It would be difficult for the federal government to attack state laws

Continued on page 10



Thorner: Canadian Truckers Unite Against Marxist State Policy

By Nancy Thorner

"Truckers of the world, UNITE!"

The title is a riff off of Karl Marx's famous "Workers of the World Unite!" proving that not only was Marx wrong, he was the polar opposite of the truth. WORKERS are revolting against Marxism.

That was reinforced in a January 31, 2022 article posted by Kurt Schlichter at Townhall.com. Wrote Mr. Schlichter:

But the real problem for the elite is that the actual workin'-class folks, who are usually busy workin', are getting activated by the myriad assaults upon them made by our elite. It takes a lot to activate them politically. Look at those Canadian truckers. They would rather be trucking. Taking time off for protests – usually the province of parent-supported brats – costs them money they need. But now they have no choice but to stop earning and start making clear that they are done with the petty and not-so-petty oppressions of an elite that despises them.

Truckers unite in Canada: Truckers' freedom convoy to Ottawa

In Canada, one of the biggest revolutions is happening, and it's still not finished, but shame on the media for not covering it to call attention to it. The event should be a headline story when there were up to 50,000 truckers and 1.4M people headed to the parliament in Ottawa, vowing to stay there until Trudeau resigns, or they give us back all of our freedoms and rights."

At a Trump rally in Texas on Saturday, January 29, 2022, Trump praised the Canadian Truckers and called on Congress to rehire every soldier or Marine fired by Joe Biden over vaccine mandates.

The nationwide movement was launched in protest of a federal vaccine mandate that came into effect January 15, requiring all commercial truck drivers crossing into Canada to be fully vaccinated for COVID-19. The federal vaccine mandate for truckers has already caused significant supply chain chaos, with delays and shortages affecting many regions across the country.

The mandate could result in a loss of 12,000 to 16,000 cross-border commercial drivers, according to the Canadian Trucking Alliance.

Convoy on route on Ottawa

The first leg of the convoy began its journey from Canada's west coast Saturday morning, January 22, 2022. It was joined continually by more trucks and supporters, as the convoy makes its way east cross-country toward Ottawa, the Canada's capitol, to protest a federal vaccine mandate.

In fact: Three separate convoys of truckers traveled along routes starting from British Columbia in the west, Newfoundland in the east, and Windsor, Ontario in the south, all departing at separate times so as to arrive jointly in Ottawa on January 29. 2022.

As the "Freedom Convoy" of truckers protesting Canada's vaccine mandates rolled through southeast Alberta on Monday, January 24, 2022, Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau slammed conservative politicians, accusing them of fear-mongering.

"I regret that the Conservative Party and conservative politicians are fear-mongering to Canadians about the supply chain, but the reality is that vaccination is how we're going to get through this," Trudeau told reporters who asked if the mandate policy could disrupt supply chains.

The Toronto Star reported the convoy drew "large crowds of supporters from across the region, many holding signs and waving flags."

Also reported going on for hours: Honk honk, pic.twitter.com/JsrBVxhXaX but that's not even the coolest part.

A 45-mile trucker caravan protesting the overreaching mandates is AWESOME!

But what's AMAZING is that crowds of people showed up everywhere to cheer the truckers wherever they went.

Tens of thousands of people waited in temperatures below freezing.

Yet they showed up and brought their signs to show their SUPPORT for these trucker

— Julie Scott (@julie_ppc) January 28, 2022

A Guinness world record broken

The Freedom Convoy in Canada has just broken a new world record!

The trucker's caravan is now reported to stretch 45 miles long.

It's not a single file line either.

Truckers are taking up all the lines on every single major highway.

This is HUGE!

But we've only just recently figured out how big this organic movement has grown.

The Freedom Convoy currently measures 45 miles long.

Reports on the ground appear to suggest that this truckers' caravan is growing every hour.

So just how big is 45 miles?

To put that into perspective that's 40.4 miles longer than the previous Guinness World Record.

The previous record was 4.6 miles.

Conrad Black: Trudeau's wretched smear-job of truckers highlights sorry state of Canadian leadership

Instead of dealing with these truckers and the issues that propelled them across the country in a serious way, the prime minister attacked them

Conrad Black, National Post, Feb 11, 2022

The official response to the truckers protesting COVID restrictions is one of the most disgraceful political episodes in the history of Canada as an autonomous country. The prime minister's statement that the truckers were probably homophobic, trans-phobic, misogynists and racists was an outrage that was unsupported by evidence. Instead of dealing with these truckers and the issues that propelled them across the country in a serious way, the prime minister attacked them en route as a "small fringe minority" who hold "unacceptable views." There is no justification for any of this and polls show the truckers represent approximately as many Canadians as the number who voted for this government in September. The government propaganda machine followed with a smear job highlighting Nazi and racist imagery, though there is very little of that, and a Confederate flag-waver was led away by the truckers.

Former governor of the banks of Canada and England and apparent Liberal office-seeker Mark Carney denounced the protesters in the Globe and Mail, accusing them of "sedition" and "insurrection," and announced that their goal is "undermining our democracy and the rule of law." In fact, they are just trying to avoid two weeks of quarantine when crossing the border, not overthrow the government. Taking on the august airs of a central banker, he wrote: "I know from experience that crises don't end by themselves. You can't spin your way out of failure." That is particularly apposite coming from someone who was a total failure as the governor of the Bank of England when he became a mindless parrot of the Cameron government in claiming that Britain would be devastated if it approved Brexit, and when he tried to turn the bank into an authoritarian enforcer of a green ecological despotism, a public policy area that is no business of a central bank and where his views are moonshine.

There are some problems with the truckers' stance. Their initial grievance was that the approximately 10 per cent of them who are not vaccinated would be quarantined for 14 days when they re-entered Canada from the United States. This is a legitimate complaint and the government could easily have substituted a strict testing system for a two-week layoff. The policy could be a serious threat to the supply chain of this country. These are highly skilled men with lonely and challenging jobs performing a vital national function. The truckers' procession across the country was good-natured and contained no hints of violence or sociopathic or bigoted motives.

With that said, the truckers seem to be suffering from mission creep and have broadened their complaints to a general demand for an end to the entire oppressive complex of compulsory anti-COVID measures. This, too, is not unreasonable. The length and extent of lockdowns was misconceived from the outset. This government's tardy and ineffectual pursuit of a vaccine, starting with a Chinese confection that didn't pan out, was nothing less than a national scandal as we trailed approximately 50 countries, including some under-developed states, for months in terms of the percentage of the population that had been vaccinated. We were unconscionably late moving to protect the elderly, long after it was clear that they were the demographic group most vulnerable to lethal infection. Instead of making the federal government's management of the issue a primary factor in the autumn election, the opposition parties cowered in fear of seeming to criticize the ruling party during a national crisis. Docile and invertebrate opposition generally assures complacent, incompetent and abusive government. That has been Canada's fate.

The appropriate official response, apart from immediately removing the most objectionable anti-COVID policies, would have been to invite a delegation of the truckers to meet with the prime minister and appropriate members of his government to discuss their grievances. The defamation and the attempt to incite public hostility against them was contemptible demagogy. The most egregious actors in this saga are Ottawa's police chief, Peter Sloly, and its mayor, Jim Watson, who have been terminally self-pleased by having intervened with the corrupt American left-wing fund-receptacle GoFundMe, to seize the truckers' money. This was simply theft. The truckers quickly attracted heavy support from other quarters and their original supporters received refunds, though the Ontario government managed to persuade a court to block the US$8.4 million ($10.6 million) that was raised through another site.

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau has needlessly escalated the state of anger and division in the country and has disserved everyone. But the truckers now have to act carefully. It is not acceptable for anyone to obstruct normal business in the centre of the country's capital, or in any other city, for more than a brief period, to call attention to their grievances. The public are the victims of all the disruption the truckers are causing, and the public is not their enemy: it is precisely the gallery the truckers need to impress. If Trudeau or other senior members of the government won't meet with a delegation of the protesters, the truckers should make that point loudly and disperse. Public opinion would rightly support them, especially if Trudeau continues with his smear job and his unctuous pieties about national unity, which his own intransigence is fragmenting.

No closing of the U.S. border, as truckers are now doing at three crossings, for more than 30 minutes is tolerable, and neither is impassable congestion on the Trans-Canada or other major highways. The truckers can win this confrontation by exposing Trudeau's pompous posturing and his slander of the truckers as a fraud. But they can't win by trying to intimidate the government and by so inconveniencing the public that they demand the government make concessions to end the truckers' protests. In that scenario, the public will demand that the truckers go home; not that the government cave to all their demands. The government, incompetent and largely contemptible though it is, is the elected and legitimate government. The truckers are right to rail against authoritarian mandates, but they should remember that they have no mandate from anyone to do anything, and their hold on public support is tenuous. What is much more worrisome than the conduct of the truckers or even the asinine and now thoroughly discredited official response to the coronavirus is that the federal government's reflexive response is not to recruit public opinion by making a goodwill effort to listen to the truckers' complaints and respond to them reasonably, but to defame this approaching host of aroused citizens supported by millions of their countrymen as a wretched mob of thugs, bigots and perverts.

It is all depressingly illustrative of the great national malaise: the government isn't governing and the Opposition isn't opposing. Our political leaders are incompetent. They are a nuisance as well as a disappointment, and in a democracy we get the government (and Opposition) we deserve. It is this vacuum that provides much of the receptivity for the protests of the truckers — they're the only player in this drama that is showing any leadership.

GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

Unanimous: Wisconsin State Assembly votes to advance measure to reclaim 2020 electors

By WorldTribune Staff, January 25, 2022

A resolution by state Rep. Tim Ramthun to reclaim Wisconsin's 10 electors for U.S. President and Vice President in the 2020 election, due to certification under fraudulent circumstances, received a unanimous vote to advance in the state's assembly Tuesday evening.

The resolution now moves forward to the Wisconsin Rules Committee and Wisconsin Senate for immediate confirmation as Bill 743.



State Rep. Tim Ramthun

During an open session today Rep. Ramthun asked that the resolution be privileged and passed on the the Rules Committees. The responses to privilege the resolution and advance the it to the committee were both unanimous.

"I'm a legislator, and I want you to hear this because that's what the constituents are asking me to do," Ramthun is reported to have said, according to The Gateway Pundit.

Speaker Robin Vos, a Republican and the longest serving speaker in Wisconsin's history, and the rest of the representatives now have ten days to answer back on whether Vos will to push the resolution to the floor for a vote.

This is the first time Wisconsin's history that a state representative has moved to reclaim the state's 10 electoral ballots.

Wisconsin residents interested to see this legislation passed can call the Legislative Hotline. In Madison, 266-9960. Toll-free, 1-800-362-9472.

Wisconsin Assembly Rules Committee Members:

Representative Steineke (Chair), Representative Vos, Representative August, Representative Petersen, Representative Vorpagel, Representative Kuglitsch, Representative Tittl, Representative Plumer, Representative Dittrich, Representative Neubauer, Representative Hesselbein, Representative Spreitzer, Representative Subeck, Representative Pope, Representative Baldeh

Want Evidence of Voter Fraud in WI?

MacIver News Service, Feb. 10, 2022

Grassroots activists provided incredible evidence of widespread fraud and failures in Wisconsin's voter database and its impact on the 2020 election to lawmakers on Wednesday, Feb. 9, 2022.

Data analyst Peter Bernegger presented an hour-long interim report to the Assembly Committee on Campaigns and Elections. It included specific examples and detailed analysis of the state's database collected by small army of 1,500 grassroots volunteers. Another 4,000 volunteers are joining the effort in the coming weeks to further develop the investigation.

Millions of voter records obtained from government sources were fed through a "super computer." The volunteers then scrutinized the results to ensure accuracy.

Some of the more shocking findings include:

- Specific individuals who voted twice using multiple voter ID numbers
- Voters registered without a first name
- Voters registered without a last name
- Voters registered without a street address
- Voters registered with phony addresses
- 26 voters registered at one two-bedroom apartment
- 290 voters registered at a 16-apartment building in La Crosse
- 19 voters registered at a newer single-family home in Outagamie County
- 359 voters registered at an address that hasn't existed for 10 years in Kenosha County
- 625,000 dead voters on the rolls
- 4,300 voters with a "99999" zip code
- 670,000 inactive voters in Milwaukee
- 264,000 voters in Milwaukee with a 1918 registration date
- 20,000 voters with undeliverable mailing addresses in Milwaukee
- 3,400 FIDO (Fast Identity Online) keys that provide user access to the database have been distributed throughout the state

The Last Guardians

continued from page 8

that expand the constitutional rights of citizens?

States might also prohibit the federal government from warrantless spying on American citizens in their states, filing false documents to mislead a court, or prohibit federal constitutional and human rights abuses by imprisoning citizens, with only scant evidence, for long periods, without a trial and placing them in solitary confinement in what is now referred to as DC Gitmo. All of these actions are violations of federal law but the federal government ignores the crimes it commits so it can gain more domination over citizens. States can stop federal abuse of law.

As states restrict illegal federal practices, it would become more difficult for federal officials to carry out illegal activities in these Free States. New types of Personal Liberty Laws are needed to protect citizens in the 21st century, just as they protected fugitive slaves from bounty hunters.

Promote small business: The federal government for decades has favored big business in its tax and trade policies, the lax antitrust enforcement and during the pandemic it allowed big business to remain open while shuttering small businesses or imposing overly restrictive regulations on them. To counter-act this federal favoritism, states could direct most of their purchasing to small business as a way of promoting competition with the larger companies that use their massive pricing power and federal favoritism, to destroy small business.

Citizen in these Free States could boycott woke big businesses: Citizens in the Free States could boycott products and services made by U.S. businesses that demand of or indoctrinate their employees into wokeness, manufacture products in China using slave labor, assist China to violate the privacy of U.S. citizens or deny "objectionable" individuals the right to use publicly available social media.

Through non-cooperation with over-reaching federal laws, by enacting state Personal Liberty Laws and challenging abusive business practices fostered by the federal government, citizens of Free states have the opportunity to live in states that protect freedom. These challenges will place a spotlight on federal overreach as well as the ability of citizens to achieve freedom. The more states that promote freedom, the greater the possibility of freedom for all Americans.

The federal government will not on its own, restrain its thirst for power. It will take state action to preserve the Republic.

William L. Kovacs has served as senior vice-president for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, chief-counsel to a congressional committee, a partner in D.C. law firms, and his book Reform the Kakistocracy is the winner of the 2021 Independent Press Award for Political/ Social Change.

ELECT
MARCELLO
MAYOR, CITY OF BROOKFIELD



We need Dave because ...

- Current Mayor supports ending State imposed property tax limits. This law prevents uncontrolled tax increases.
- Dave wants to lower taxes and improve communications and services.
- Parents and residents have a right to be heard by their elected officials at city hall and Elmbrook school district.

Dear Voter,
My campaign is founded on experience, and not just words. As a three-term Brookfield Councilman, I have a record of results. I know how Brookfield city government works. Even more importantly, I know how to make it work better for the best interests of every Brookfield resident.

Dave Marcello

Brookfield needs Dave Marcello because ...

1. He will put the common good of Brookfield residents first.
2. His past experience working with other city leaders is a big plus.
3. He is able to take diverse opinions and ideas and find solutions.
4. Our children's education is key and he has first-hand school board experience.
5. His public and private leadership experience is what this city needs.

I pledge to...

1. Put the common good of Brookfield residents ahead of the elite national companies and individuals.
2. Be fiscally responsible with your tax dollars and with other people's money.
3. Promise you only what is possible; not just what sounds good.
4. Build a culture of unity and service as your mayor.
5. Fix or replace the things that don't work well, and keep in repair those that do.

PLEASE VOTE

Spring Primary February 15, 2022
Spring Election April 5, 2022

Paid for by Marcello for Mayor, Joe Marcello Treasurer

MARCELLOFORMAYOR.COM

50,000 Phantom Votes

cont. from page 1

different voter ID numbers.

- "Voters" registered with no first names.
- 3,713 voters were registered with U.S. Post Office boxes as the address.
- Wisconsin has 4 million adults but 7.1 million voter registrations.

The data, revealed by data analyst Peter Bernegger during an informational hearing of the committee chaired by Republican state Rep. Janel Brandtjen, was obtained via open records law requests.

Harrington tweeted that the data showed 1.5 million illegal voter registrations and 155,000 suspected fake voters.

Bernegger said there were "well over 50,000 illegally cast ballots that we can prove" from Nov. 3, 2020.

While ignoring the data presented at the hearing, several media outlets noted that Bernegger was convicted of bank fraud and mail fraud in 2009 for deceiving investors in two startup companies. Bernegger was released from federal prison in 2014.

Last month, Harrington noted that, in Wisconsin, 569,277 voters have a registration date of 1/1/1918, amounting to 1 out of every 14 voters in the system. Of those, 115,252 voted in the November 2020 election.



It's Ron Johnson.

I have just announced my campaign for re-election to the U.S. Senate.

I never could have imagined our country would be where it is today. From out of control spending to reckless liberal mandates, America is on the precipice of disaster.

This will not be an easy win but I am ready to fight to SAVE AMERICA from the Democrats.

Can I count on you to join me?

Since I have been in office, I have become the #1 target of the Left, the liberal biased media, and Big Tech giants like Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube.

I have been smeared again and again by media companies like The New York Times, Washington Post, and the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel where they distort my comments, twist them through a liberal prism, and omit most of what I actually say.

I have been silenced, censored, and suspended by the social media oligarchs because I tell the truth, and oftentimes, it is the truth the liberal mainstream media does not want to hear. It counters their narrative, and they refuse to allow it. So they silence free speech.

Yet, despite all of this unconstitutional collusion between these far-Left parties working for political gain at the expense of the American people, I will not give up. They will not deter me. And that is why today, I am officially announcing my re-election campaign for the Wisconsin Senate seat.

I am running for a third term for the simple reason that I believe I am the best person for the job. I believe that I am the best candidate to address the national debt, work to lower inflation, secure the border, and fight for America First policies. I believe I am the best candidate to put an end to the Biden administration's constant record of misinformation and their continued lack of transparency with Congress, the press, and you, Steven. I believe I am the best candidate for Wisconsin because I tell the truth and speak for the minority, even when the majority is doing everything they can to silence me.

Now that I have announced, the Left will seize every opportunity to destroy me politically. They will continue to write manipulative hit pieces and orchestrate smear campaigns across all mediums. They will attack and distort my record of success, my record of searching for the truth, and my record of fighting for the people of Wisconsin in any way they can.

And that's why I need your help.

Any help you can provide will make an enormous difference and will be greatly appreciated. $5, $10, $25, or even $35 will help me respond to these hit pieces and smear campaigns. It will take all of us working together to defeat the Left and their dishonest tactics.

Can I count on you to chip in? We need to start working right now.

-Ron Johnson

P.S. As the Left's number one target, they want to silence my voice. Liberal media conglomerates, talk shows, and newspapers will be pushing their viewers and readers to support liberal candidates that will work to tear down our institutions and impose their agenda by spreading their false and manipulative message. I really need your help.

Fort McCoy exposes Joe Biden's foreign policy failures

In case you missed it, as Afghan refugees leave Fort McCoy to resettle across the country, the aftermath has put Joe Biden's foreign policy failures on full display. This weekend, the Washington Examiner reported on the footprint left behind – trash, food shortages, and few spare beds in local hospitals.

According to the Washington Examiner:

Is the federal government comparable to a tenant trashing a bed-and-breakfast on the way out?

After five months, the final Afghan refugees at Fort McCoy, an Army base in Monroe County, Wisconsin, are leaving to start new lives throughout the United States. Residents seek a return to normal after the Biden administration housed 12,600 refugees at the base and brought in 1,500 government workers to care for them — almost one-quarter of the entire county's population.

This resulted in large amounts of trash, empty store shelves, restaurants without food, and few spare beds in hospitals and hotels. The costs borne by the county equal at least $1 million, officials said.

"We are more than ready — ready to have our motel rooms back, our hospitals back, and our doctors and dentists," said Wally Habhegger, vice chairman of the Monroe County Board of Supervisors. "Literally what they did is drop an entire city on us. And they expected us to absorb the infrastructure."

Monroe County supports the base and its service members. What residents didn't like is the way the government dropped the refugees there without discussing the plan with local officials or even the local congressman, Rep. Tom Tiffany, a Republican.

"We heard just like the rest of the world heard — on the news," Habhegger said. "So the county sheriff talked to a military police official and was told, 'They will have zero impact on you. You won't even know they are there.'"

Yet some 78 pregnant Afghan women gave birth at the installation, and the military's normal supplier ran short of needed supplies. Workers from the base picked local grocery stores and Walmarts clean of baby food and diapers, forcing residents to drive 30 miles to make purchases, said Monroe County Administrator Tina Osterberg.

While Democrats like Tony Evers and Tammy Baldwin dismissed concerns at Fort McCoy as "dog whistle crap" and "false," Republicans like Senator Johnson raised alarm from the beginning over a vetting process with a large potential for shortfalls – and ultimately, two refugees were arrested for violent crimes.

This is just the latest example of Joe Biden's failed foreign policy that gifted the Taliban with U.S. military equipment, emboldened Putin, kowtowed to China, and ultimately made Wisconsinites less safe. What community will be impacted next?

- Team WisGOP

Steve's Say

cont. from page 2

with real solutions and real medications, most from natural sources.

Government run schools continue to display their propensity to destroy the human minds they're entrusted to teach. That model destroyed itself long ago, only held in place by the usual pay off schemes and extortion tactics. That's all ending. Spending 60%+ of a state budget on a destructive product makes no sense and cannot last any longer. Finally "The Great Awakening" provides a path to actually make this dream reality. Such a monstrous paradigm shift will require a strong America First leader as Governor, In Wisconsin, Tim Ramthun is the guy.

Supporting America First candidates like Tim Ramthun at all levels of government and in all positions must accompany the social and societal revisions of "The Great Awakening." Destroying the culture of death featuring abortions, human trafficking, pedophilia, assisted suicide, live organ harvesting and child sacrifice requires full recognition of God as creator and giver of all life. His sovereignty must be recognized and His law the basis of our interactions as a people.

God founded America specifically so all Americans could worship him freely and serve our fellow man according to the teaching of His Son, Jesus Christ. We need all Americans to see the truth and awaken to the power God gave us all, to use our free will to implement His plan. He calls us to action. Our brothers and sisters around the world need us and are counting on us.

Awaken! Stand up! The tyranny gripping our world can only be defeated if we all stand united against it under the power of God.



Western civilization, except Eastern Europe, is rapidly returning to the Dark Ages

Special to WorldTribune.com
Commentary by Alexander Maistrovoy

[Editor's Note: "God has a special providence for fools, drunkards, and the United States of America," Otto von Bismark famously said. The author offers the following unvarnished perspective on the post-Trump world in 2022.]

Thomas Hobbes is a founder of the modern political philosophy. We can deny his conclusions, like laws of mathematics and physics are denied in some American schools, but this is unlikely to help comprehend the ongoing processes.

The human society, Hobbes argued, in its primitive, natural form is no more than a Brownian movement, where "everyone is at war with everyone." Such is the nature of man (no matter how disgusting it may be for progressives), striving to satisfy the basic animal aspirations — power, sexual possession, gain. To avoid extermination of all by all, people are forced to agree to a 'Social contract': they give up unbridled individual freedom in favor of rigid laws that ensure safety for all. People can choose different forms of state power: monarchy, elite republics, democracy. However, in any case, the state must provide for the basic norms of human society: security and order.

For this purpose, such institutions like the prosecutor's office, courts, and the police were established and improved. All other functions of the state — commercial regulations, the rights of minorities, the privileges of estates, the distribution of income, the protection of nature — are secondary and unimportant in comparison with law and order.

The human society has been undergoing this process transforming from the most primitive forms to those complex. At first, large clans arose, headed by a leader or tribal council; then they united into principalities; at the next stage, there was a transformation into centralized monarchies. At the present stage, they transformed into totalitarian states based on universalist ideologies (Communism, Nazism, Fascism) and developed democracies.

This development was going unevenly. Some people, like most of the Arab and African countries, have not been able to completely abandon the tribal system of relations, and as the result the artificially-created states here ended up being very fragile. Others, like Europeans and their colonies in the New World, were able to build democracies where people became full-fledged citizens protected by law, regardless of class, gender, ethnic origin, and accumulated wealth.

However, before our eyes, the history turned back unexpectedly and rapidly. The very concept of law in the West has become ephemeral.

On paper, laws improved and became more complicated. In practice, the judiciary system has become a hostage to progressive and globalist mythology and a tool for undermining democratic institutions.

Political correctness and multiculturalism have paralyzed the police, judicial and legal institutions. Then, with the help of even more aggressive and zombifying ideologies, such as Critical Race Theory, Political Identity, Cancel culture, Institutional Racism, Intersectionality, "White Privilege/ Male Privilege" etc., the neo-Marxist elite began to destroy not democracy only, but the state itself.

The stake was placed on deconstructive parties, marginal groups and minorities living according to the laws of the patriarchal world. These groups, invited from outside, like in Western Europe, or nurtured from within, like BLM movement inside the African American ghettos in the U.S. or Islamist parties in Israel, with their cult of power and traditions of primitive communities, became an open challenge to Western norms and culture. All their activities have been aimed at destroying the state as such. Everywhere in the Western world, like mushrooms after the rain, archaic formations took root and grew, as if emerging from the prehistory of mankind.

In the new surreal reality, "the cream of society" — professors and bohemians, lawyers and tycoons of high-tech companies, human rights activists and the media — supported and defended the rights of rioters, drug dealers, traffickers, rapists and pedophiles. Militant feminists, gender-neutralists and pundits have justified burqa, female circumcision and vendettas.

Lawlessness has become the norm. Entire cities, regions and American states have begun to turn into "no man's land", dark and sinister lacunae, where there is neither law, nor order. Giant Muslim ghettos like Belgian Molenbeek, and metropolitan areas like Malmo and Marseille in Western Europe; Seattle, California, Chicago, and it seems even New York — in the USA; the Bedouin Negev and the Arab cities in Israel – these are "lost territories" today. The law of the jungle rules here: gangs, clans, radical groups, militant preachers. These "abscesses" expel rioters, rapists, psychopaths, single Muslim fanatics.

The police don't stop them. The courts justify and protect them. Public prosecutors initiate cases against those who dare to challenge them.

Legislative assemblies have become hostages of marginal parties, that under the veil of demagogy about human rights and democracy undermine state national institutions. BLM and Antifa in the USA, the "Muslim Brotherhood" parties in Western Europe and Israel dictate the norms and rules of life, where the majority of the indigenous population is assigned the role of obedient, unfortunate Eloi, trembling with fear at nights before the bloodthirsty Morlocks.

These parties and movements receive double support: from the ruling elites and external players — Turkey, Iran, Qatar, Pakistan, etc. The very state, the main task of which is to prevent chaos, instills the atmosphere of chaos, arbitrariness, the cult of primitive and fanatical beliefs. All of this is happening at a truly staggering pace.

This is the picture today and, no matter how gloomy it may be, one should accept it as given, without making vain illusions.

Democracy has become a fiction. The right parties, even having won the elections, are forced either to follow the path of the radical left, like Merkel did and Boris Johnson does today, or to oppose the full power of the new kind of totalitarian system, including the highest bureaucracy, the prosecutor's office, the academy and the media. As the experience of Trump, Netanyahu, Matteo Salvini and Sebastian Kurtz has shown, this is a doomed war. A ruler trying to save his country will be bound hand and foot and then removed from power. The worldwide "Church of progressivism" knows no mercy for heretics. And the fact that he will be eliminated with the help of not poison or a dagger, but accusations of sexual harassment in adolescence does not fundamentally change the matter.

Thus, before our eyes, modern states are falling into the void, and most Western countries have already passed the point of no return. Destructive processes can be stopped only through military dictatorship, which seems impossible, no matter how you feel about it.

This means that Western civilization (with the possible exception of Eastern Europe) is rapidly returning to the Dark Ages, where people did not live, but rather survived in the conditions of "the war of all against all." Hostages to spontaneous riots, maddened crowds, crazy leaders, Muslim caliphs in the south and steppe hordes from the East, the recent subjects of the Roman Empire were in perpetual motion, seeking shelter from the frenzy taking place around.

This is what is happening now before our eyes. Families are leaving San Francisco, Portland, New York, Chicago, London, Paris and Stockholm, fleeing banditry, anarchy and violence and leaving behind a "no man's land" inhabited by all sorts of rabble — local and foreign. In the same way, the Jews of Lod and Jaffa are fleeing from their homes, seeking shelter from the Arab rioters.

The same that happened in Libya, Yemen, Lebanon, Iraq, Mali and Somalia is now rapidly happening In Western countries : A state formally remains on the map, but ceases to be a state as such. It breaks up into ethnic and religious enclaves, zones of influence of clans and criminal groups, strongholds of drug cartels and armed militias.

In this bizarre mosaic, the luxurious villas of local oligarchs with their own security forces exist side by side with enclaves of poverty and banditry, and sharia law ghettos border with expensive boutiques, fine restaurants and postmodern buildings, where high-ranking officials rant about liberal values and the new world order.

In fact, the "new order" has already come to us. And its name is "the war of all against all." History returns to its starting point.

Born in Moscow, Alexander Maistrovoy is a journalist based in Israel and the author of "Agony of Hercules or a Farewell to Democracy (Notes of a Stranger)", available at Amazon and Barnes & Noble.



Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

Curiosity will conquer fear even more than bravery will.
-James Stephens

Just as the bird sings or the butterfly soars, because it is his natural characteristic, so the artist works.
-Alma Gluck

Sorrows are like thunderclouds, in the distance they look black, over our heads scarcely gray.
-Jean Paul

Spaghetti can be eaten most successfully if you inhale it like a vacuum cleaner.
-Sophia Loren

Expect problems and eat them for breakfast.
-Alfred A. Montapert

Woe to the man whose heart has not learned while young to hope, to love - and to put its trust in life.
-Joseph Conrad

Culture is the arts elevated to a set of beliefs.
-Thomas Wolfe

The excellency of every art is its intensity, capable of making all disagreeable evaporate.
-John Keats

The most important thing about Spaceship Earth - an instruction book didn't come with it.
R. Buckminster Fuller

Common sense is the collection of prejudices acquired by age eighteen.
-Albert Einstein

What sculpture is to a block of marble, education is to the soul.
-Joseph Addison

An artist cannot fail; it is a success to be one.
-Charles Horton Cooley

The sole meaning of life is to serve humanity.
-Leo Tolstoy

If you cut down a forest, it doesn't matter how many sawmills you have if there are no more trees.
-Susan George

Wisdom to Live By

"The Box is the Abyss!"

– Neighbor Eric Von Estorff, referring to television sets and the content coming out of them



THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Misbehave
6. "Little Orphan Annie" character (with "The")
9. Oscar de la ___
14. It could be at the end of one's rope
15. By way of
16. Localities
17. Just my opinion, and then some
20. "___ said to the..." (joke line)
21. Take a bad turn
22. Teaspoonful, perhaps
23. Burns of documentaries
24. Canonical hour
26. "Arabian Nights" characters
30. Venerate
34. Bonnie Parker portrayer Dunaway
35. Literature's Papa
38. It's for two, in song
39. Cowboy accessory, and then some
42. Amount of work, according to a busy person
43. Tumultuous
44. Sniggler's haul
45. Pass the bill
47. Law feature
49. Lettuce unit
52. Acoustic organ
53. Toast spread
56. Most knowing
59. Draft choice
62. Stubbly growth, and then some
65. Bank job
66. Directly, directionally
67. Notched and jagged
68. Daisylike flower
69. O'Neill and Asner
70. Stands

DOWN
1. They may be in your pants
2. Pacific salmon
3. Singer Amos
4. Press into service
5. TV "Playhouse" name
6. Assert
7. Dastardly deeds
8. Eucharist plate
9. Inflamed
10. Wore away
11. Suicidal emperor
12. Uses a shuttle
13. Legendary name in tennis
18. Nunnery
19. ___-cone (icy confection)
23. Chicken dish
25. Org. celebrating 56 years in 2005
26. Claw
27. Serengeti beast
28. Therefore
29. Traffic mess
31. Catchall category
32. Demesne
33. Greasy spoon sign
34. Elaborate party
36. Sailor's saint
37. Trickery
40. Noble Italian name
41. Dear companion?
46. Eliminate alternatives
48. Convene
50. It's punched with
51. Calculator part
53. Grp. concerned with safety
54. Equivocator's forte
55. Escape route
57. Missile type
58. Scratches by (with "out")
59. Chaotic happenings
60. Take a beating
61. Cote members
63. Midpoint (Abbr.)
64. Mr. Onassis



Answers on page 19

Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

1		9	3					
			9	6	2			
8		2		7			5	
6				3			1	
						5		
				5	6	8	2	
9		8	5			3		
2			6		3			7

Answers on page 19

How The Democrats And Republicans Stay In Power



By Andrew Zuelke

As the 2020 Census results have come out https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/2020-census-results.html and https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/population-changes-nations-diversity.html, I began thinking about the ways Democrats and Republicans managed to stay in power…and why voters need more choices.

1# Fear and name recognition – The Democrat and Republican Parties (D's & R's) have been around for well over a century. Unless someone has been living in a cave, they have heard of the D's & R's. Name recognition (individuals or parties) is huge. I know that there are people who vote for the individual and not just the party, but I wonder how many vote counts would change if all voters had to rely on was candidates' positions on issues and parties weren't listed on ballots?

Secondly, both parties use fear to stay in power. The D's & R's will say, "If that other party's candidate wins the White House, America won't survive." or "If the other party retakes the Congress, within a few years, all our rights will be gone." Sound familiar? Friends, if America is so weak that just one wrong man as president could bring our nation down, America has been living on borrowed time for decades. Friends, it's NOT your fault the D's & R's can't give you better candidates to choose from.

If the D's & R's say that We the People should have only two parties in power, who is to say which two parties it must be? Why couldn't it be the Constitution Party and some other party? Here is a better question: why would we want to continue keeping in power two parties who 1) between them have racked up $30 trillion dollars of debt, 2) have both passed laws that violate our Constitutional rights (civil forfeiture laws, wetlands/endangered species laws, Obamacare, etc.) 3) have transferred more and powers away from the states and to the federal government?

Competition is a good thing…unless you are an elected member from one of two majority parties, apparently.

2# US Census Redistricting – After the 2020 US Census results came out in 2021, the WI Republican majority put out their maps for proposed changes to our Assembly, State Senate and US House of Representative seats. There are various articles online but this one has an interactive map (give the map a minute to load on your computer). The laws creating the maps are AB624 and SB621. The Article can be found in the Wisconsin State Journal. It is titled "Interactive: See both redistricting proposals down to the neighborhood level" and is dated Nov. 4, 2021. https://madison.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/interactive-see-both-redistricting-proposals-down-to-the-neighborhood-level/article_923c8086-1bad-543f-bf32-9b740f6d2d2d.html. Once there, above the map, you can check on "Current", "GOP proposal" and "Evers's proposal", then check on the district you want to see. I'll leave it to your judgment whether there is GOP gerrymandering going on here.

Now, hold for a shock here – Democrats almost immediately went to the courts to appeal the GOP maps, just like the GOP would if the Democrats were in the legislative majority. Each party draws the districts (legislative and Congressional) to get as many people who support their parties into their districts to retain them or to wrest them away from the other party. So, when the WI Democrats start ranting and raving about "gerrymandering" https://www.bing.com/search?q=madison.com+gerrymandering&PC=U316&FORM=CHROM, know that the Democrat minority (again, just like a Republican minority would) would protest any redistricting layout and call it gerrymandering. Both major parties will legally challenge any redrawn legislative or Congressional district which threatens their ability to hold on to or win political seats.

3# Consolidating power and empowering unelected appointees and bureaucrats –

Remember in 2020 when Governor Evers gave power to the unelected bureaucrat Andrea Palm of the WI Department of Health Services to send out Emergency Order #31, ordering "non-essential" businesses to shut down and for people to shelter in place https://content.govdelivery.com/attachments/WIGOV/2020/04/20/file_attachments/1431309/EMO31-BadgerBounceBack.pdf? The Order read, in part – WHEREAS, on March 24, 2020, I, Andrea Palm, Secretary-designee of the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, issued Emergency Order #12, Safer at Home Order (hereinafter "Safer at Home Order"), requiring that everyone in Wisconsin stay at their home or place of residence except in limited circumstances…

A bureaucrat "requiring" you and I to do anything is outrageous enough. What's worse is that the GOP-controlled state legislature went to the state Supreme Court with a lawsuit rather than exercising their legislative oversight authority, ordering the governor to obey state law and rescind his order https://www.maciverinstitute.com/2020/04/legislature-asks-state-supreme-court-to-rein-in-sec-designee-andrea-palm/. Here was my question at the time for the GOP, "What if their lawsuit had failed?" Would that mean Evers would have been able to continue the shutdown as long as he wanted to? We the People don't get to vote for bureaucrats and if those whom we do get to vote for are counting on lawsuits to stop the unelected bureaucrats from imposing orders on us, and we only have two party choices, where do We the People go for relief?

Here's another way both parties empower themselves, by turning elected offices into appointed ones, taking more choices off our ballots.

Remember the statewide referendum in 2020 to eliminate the elected State Treasurer position after years of many elected Republicans (and probably some Democrats) deliberately gutting the office? The Constitution Party of Wisconsin opposes that https://www.constitutionpartyofwisconsin.com/full-platform/

Elimination of Elective Offices.

The CPoW opposes any proposal to change any state-wide (e.g., Secretary of State or State Treasurer) or local offices (e.g., County Clerk or Mayor) currently filled through popular election to appointed positions. Such changes will reduce the officeholder's accountability to the electorate and instead make the office essentially a political patronage position.

So that accountable representation can be preserved, we also object to electing at-large city aldermen.

I was on the statewide ballot as the Constitutionalist candidate for State Treasurer in 2014 and 2018 on a platform of retaining and strengthening this office, giving it more powers and duties, making it a watchdog, believing voters should have more choices on their ballots, not less. You can still find the links below online – https://lacrossetribune.com/news/opinion/andrew-zuelke-treasurer-an-office-worth-keeping/article_34b16336-9962-529f-80ab-66205be08ebc.html

https://www.htrnews.com/story/opinion/readers/2014/10/21/zuelke-offers-voters-wider-choice-state-treasurer/17678051/

https://www.postcrescent.com/story/opinion/readers/2014/11/01/letters-choose-zuelke-state-treasurer/18291653/

Now some of our WI elected legislators are at it again. Having failed to eliminate the office from our constitution, they want to consolidate power by turning this and other statewide offices into appointed positions. https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/wisconsin-gop-lawmakers-want-superintendent-treasurer-and-secretary-of-state-appointed-not-elected/ar-AAOXVQg. Making these offices appointed ones empowers the D's & R's, not you.

The article above quotes Assemblyman Shae Sortwell as saying about these offices, "We're not eliminating anything. We're putting them under the governor so we can give them more responsibility and more accountability." I almost fell out of my chair when I read that. They stripped duties from these elected offices but they want to keep the offices around so they can add duties back to the offices as appointed positions? If you can figure out that line of reasoning, you are smarter than I am. Sortwell proves my point; the D's & R's want to consolidate power into unelected positions to retain control of the legislative and executive branches. I invite and encourage you to read the article for yourselves and draw your own conclusions from Sortwell's own words.

Andrew Zuelke is the State Chairman of the totally pro-life, moral order, rule of law Constitution Party of Wisconsin.

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin, a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com

Integrity, Liberty, Prosperity

"While they promise them liberty, they themselves are the servants of corruption: for of whom a man is overcome, of the same is he brought in bondage." II Peter 2:19

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

There was a patriotic group formed in the early 1990's. One of their original slogans Life, Liberty, Property. The US Declaration of Independence states, "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness." The original proposal was Life, Liberty and Property was influenced by John Locke. This group's slogan was a shortened version of their Platform, "That each individual is endowed by his Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are the rights to life, liberty, property, and the pursuit of the individual's personal interest."

Now the forces of inertia, sin, apostasy and human stupidity are corrupting a once great idea. At first, the term property was changed to prosperity. Property denotes ownership, but prosperity can be anything from legitimate blessings to fraud and free cheese. This is why this author sometimes disagrees with statements from groups like Americans for Prosperity, especially when they tell you to put the Moral Order on the back burner and put the economy first. It doesn't take any integrity to ape Bill Clinton, "the economy stupid" and Newt Gingrich.

Which brings me up to "Integrity," it takes even less integrity to substitute this word for Life, because your group is now infiltrated with Masons, Libertarians, Mormons and unrepentant criminals. Such perverts want to throw the preborn under the bus. These people belong in the Libertarian Party, where they are up-front about their bathhouse utopia. They are spawns of hell.

On September 17th, 2021 (Constitution Day) a group of libertarian infiltrators proposed a politically correct fiat money, replacing William McKinley on the $500 bill with Chief Little Turtle, and Grover Cleveland on the $1000 bill with Harriet Tubman. Chief Little Turtle fought against America, and "his people" were out to kill "our people." Never mind we have had Indianhead pennies and Buffalo nickels before. Harriet Tubman was an escapee, but she was not a founder, nor a leader. This is being done because this group out of Pennsylvania are trying to cater to the malcontent. What next, Chairman Mao on the $20 bill? He is a person of color, so is Idi Amin. So is Castro and Pol Pot. It was bad enough that pictures of these scum adorned the Campus of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee thirty-five years ago, but now these people are being embraced by cowardly conservatives, because they applaud for anything and stand for nothing.

Continue reading on page 18

Aaron Rodgers Blasts Biden, Push for 'Censoring Dissenting Opinions'

By Eric Mack, January 22, 2022

Green Bay Packers quarterback Aaron Rodgers has become a face and voice of natural immunity, but now he is taking his voice into denouncing the Biden administration and censorship.

"When in the course of human history has the side that's doing the censoring and trying to shut people up and make them show papers and marginalize a part of the community ever been [the correct side]?" Rodgers told ESPN on Thursday. "We're censoring dissenting opinions? What are we trying to do? Save people from being able to determine the validity on their own or to listen and to think about things and come to their own conclusion? Freedom of speech is dangerous now if it doesn't align with the mainstream narrative?

"That's, I think first and foremost, what I wanted people to understand, and what people should understand is that there's censorship in this country going on right now."

Rodgers has become the voice and face of natural immunity because he famously told an NFL reporter he was "immunized" against COVID-19 even though he did not reveal he was not vaccinated. That became controversial and even President Joe Biden called out Rodgers' vaccination status when he saw a woman with Green Bay Packers gear on: "Tell that quarterback he's got to get that vaccine."

"When the president of the United States says, 'This is a pandemic of the unvaccinated,' it's because him and his constituents, which, I don't know how there are any if you watch any of his attempts at public speaking, but I guess he got 81 million votes," Rodgers told ESPN. "But when you say stuff like that, and then you have the CDC, which, how do you even trust them, but then they come out and talk about 75% of the COVID deaths have at least four comorbidities. And you still have this fake White House set saying that this is the pandemic of the unvaccinated, that's not helping the conversation."

Rodgers' wide-ranging interview with ESPN blasted not only Biden, but his administration's push to root out dissent in social media surrounding COVID-19 science, which should remain in a public debate, according to Rodgers.

"Are they censoring terrorists or pedophiles? Criminals who have Twitter profiles? No, they're censoring people, and they're shadow-banning people who have dissenting opinions about vaccines," Rodgers told ESPN. "Why is that? Is that because Pfizer cleared $33 billion last year and Big Pharma has more lobbyists in Washington than senators and representatives combined? Why is the reason?

"Either way, if you want to be an open-minded person, you should hear both sides, which is why I listen to people like Dr. Robert Malone, Dr. Peter McCullough. I have people on the other side as well. I read stuff on the vaccine-hesitancy side, and I read stuff on the vaccines-are-the-greatest-thing-in-the-world side."

Malone and McCullough are frequent guests on Newsmax, talking about COVID-19 science the Biden administration has been adamant it wants to block in the public.

"When you censor and make pariahs out of anybody who questions what you believe in or what the mainstream narrative is, that doesn't make any sense," Rodgers continued.

Rodgers' father, Ed Rodgers, is a doctor of chiropractic care, and has been highly critical of vaccine mandates and Democrats seeking to oppose duly passed election reforms, tweeting: "Absolutely all these liberals are just a bunch of lying hypocrites."

His shared beliefs tend to align with conservatives and Rodgers' position on vaccination that made him both a pariah by Biden and a leading voice for natural immunity.

"We isolate ourselves into these echo chambers where we're only going to listen to things or read things or watch things that confirm our initial thoughts about things," Rodgers told ESPN. "That's no way to grow; that just keeps us divided even more."

Rodgers added his "yeah, I've been immunized" to an NFL reporter was planned and made with purpose.

"I had a plan going in for that question to be asked," Rodgers told ESPN. "It was a pseudo witch-hunt going on – who was vaccinated, who wasn't vaccinated. I was in a multi-month conversation that turned into an appeal process with the NFL at that time, and my appeal hinged on that exact statement [immunized].

"So what I said was, No. 1, factually true. I went through a multi-immunization process. And at the end of that, I don't know what you would call it, I would call it immunized."

The Future Must Not Belong To Authoritarians

By Judd Garrett, January 23, 2022

Last week, a trial court in Pakistan sentenced a 26-year-old Muslim woman to death for blasphemy against Islam for posting a cartoon of Muhammad on the internet. When we look at that story, it underscores how barbaric and unenlightened places like Pakistan are. And in the past, we would view this story as a reason to be thankful to live in the United States where people can express themselves without fear of a heavy-handed government cracking down on them. 'That can't happen here,' we would say.

But we can no longer be so sure that that type of thing can't, and won't happen to us in the United States. Recently, famous talk show host Howard Stern said anyone who disagrees with his stance on vaccinations should die. He stated that any unvaccinated person who contracted Covid-19 should be denied medical care in hospitals; they should "go home and die". CNN's Don Lemon agreed. He recently said that if you are unvaccinated, and get Covid then you "shouldn't go and take up the [hospital] resources from someone else." So, basically, they are calling on the government to allow those who reject the government-sponsored vaccine to die.

These people will argue that toeing the line by getting vaccinated is for the greater good of society, and the deaths of those people who choose not to get vaccinated will be an example to the rest of the unvaccinated crowd compelling everyone to do what's in society's best interest. That is a very similar argument that the Pakistani judge who sentence the woman to death used to justify his decision. Mocking Muhammad is not in the best interest of their Muslim society, so her death is an example to others who may want to publicly defame Muhammad, and harm their society. We, in America, see executing this young Pakistani woman as barbaric, but many see allowing people to die of Covid because they didn't get vaccinated as reasonable. But all reasonable people know that they are both equally barbaric.

The type of thinking promoted by Stern and Lemon, allowing the unvaccinated to die of Covid is opening the door to where they are in Pakistan. Denying someone healthcare for whatever reason when we know that they will die without it, is tantamount to murder. We do not deny people healthcare in America for any reason. It doesn't matter who the patient is, or if the patient can pay or not, if you show up at the hospital, you will get the healthcare you need. We provided the Boston Marathon bombers life-saving healthcare after they were injured in a shootout with the police after they murdered people in the bombing at the marathon because if we had denied them healthcare, and knowingly allowed them to die, that would be murder. But certain people in our country want to treat Islamic terrorists who murdered United States citizens better than people who choose not to get vaccinated, harming no one but themselves.

But this is where our thinking in America is today. We are much closer to Pakistan than America 20 years ago. If it is okay to allow the unvaccinated to die, how soon will we be dropping Trump voters off ten-story buildings as the Pakistanis do to gays? This is what happens in a society that approves of censorship. This is the end result when you start demanding that everyone must adhere to the orthodoxy, and the heretics must be censored, then canceled. At some point, the heretics will be put to death.

In 2022 American, if you do not adhere to the radical gender orthodoxy, you will be fired, or canceled. J.K. Rowling, the author of the Harry Potter series, is being denied participation in the franchise she created because she happened to question radical gender orthodoxy. Female swimmers at the University of Pennsylvania where a man who is transitioning into a woman is breaking all the women's swim records, only object to this injustice anonymously because they fear the backlash of voicing their opinion out loud.

The censors and the cancelers may not take your life right now, but they will take your livelihood. They will render you a non-entity in society; you will be ostracized, and a pariah. They will cut you out of even the bare necessities of life. They will take everything of you except your life which in many cases is a fate as bad as death.

Free speech in all its forms, even the speech which we individually believe is intolerable, must be defended. When the door of censorship is opened even a crack, as we have seen with big tech, the authoritarians will crash through it unapologetically. When you take people's ability to freely express themselves and their beliefs, you are taking the essence of their being, who they are is denied. The core argument in the radical gender theory is that these people have the right to express who they are, and yet the same people who will passionately argue for their rights of free expression will work to deny others who disagree with them the right to freely express their beliefs on the same subject matter. They are simply replacing one form of authoritarianism with the form of authoritarianism that benefits them.

And this is how it works. When Tucker Carlson spoke out against an impending war with Russia over Ukraine, a former Clinton official, Alexandra Chalupa, argued that he should be tried for treason as an enemy of the state, and jailed. She didn't put forth her argument as to why we need to spend trillions of dollars and lose the lives of tens of thousands of our soldiers fighting the Russians over Ukraine. She didn't do what a person who believes in democracy would do. No, she wants anyone who disagrees with her to be thrown in jail, and that is infinitely more of a threat to the United States than Russia

Continue reading on page 18

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES

Concealed Carry + Home Defense
Tue., March 8; 5:00 PM - 9:00 PM

Concealed Carry + Home Defense
Thur., March 10; 5:00 PM - 9:00 PM

Women's Handgun + Self Defense
Sat., March 12; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM

Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.

12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005

262-226-2900

info@wifirearms.com

★★★★★
Five-Star Confidence

Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm

wifirearms.com

An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex

WISCONSIN FIREARMS TRAINING CENTER

Integrity. Dignity. Community.

Three simple words that ring like bells

By Robert W Malone MD, MS, January 23. 2022

In March of 1963, a great man came to Washington, stood on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial, and changed the world by speaking from his heart about his dream. Simple words that continue to resonate through time.

We all stand on the shoulders of giants. The simple truths are what matter most. Honest words, spoken from the heart, can change the world.

I am a physician and a scientist, highly trained and experienced in developing vaccines and other medicines, but I have also been a carpenter and a farm hand. In my life, my wife, my family, my friends and my treasured horses have provided all that I could hope for.

It has not been an easy life, and I have seen hard times. In walking my path, I have traveled from the arrogance and hubris of youth to arriving at awareness that peace and happiness flows like a fountain from a commitment to kindness, good works, and striving to help others.

I come to you with an open heart, as a physician committed to healing, bringing three simple words. Each of which ring like bells in the soul of honest people.

Integrity. Dignity. Community.

Integrity is a commitment to truth, in what you say, how you live, and how you treat others.

Dignity flows from respect, for ourselves, for each other, and for the world in which we live.

Community is what binds us together, to each other, and gives our lives purpose and meaning.

Saint Augustine, the doctor of the Roman Catholic Church, famously said "The truth is like a Lion. You don't have to defend it. Let it loose. It will defend itself."

Harry Truman, a warrior against war profiteering, famously said "I just tell the truth, and they think it's hell".

These are my truths, and I believe that they are self-evident.

ü We should not have politicized the public health response to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19.

ü Regarding the genetic COVID vaccines, the science is settled. They are not working, and they are not completely safe.

Now we have Omicron. These vaccines were designed for the Original Wuhan strain, a different virus. Whether they made sense for protecting our elderly and frail from the original virus is irrelevant. So, let's stop arguing about that. We must look forward.

These vaccines do not prevent Omicron infection, viral replication, or spread to others. In our daily lives, with our friends, with our families, we all know that this is true.

These genetic vaccines are leaky, have poor durability, and even if every man, woman, and child in the United States were vaccinated, these products cannot achieve herd immunity and stop COVID. They are not completely safe, and the full nature of the risks remain unknown. In contrast, the natural immunity which healthy immune systems develop after infection and recovery from COVID is long lasting, broad, and highly protective from disease and death caused by this virus.

If there is risk, there must be choice.

This is the fundamental bedrock truth of modern bioethics.

All medical procedures, vaccines, and drugs have risks.

All of us have the right to understand those risks, and to decide for ourselves whether we willingly accept those risks.

To deny this is to deny human dignity.

Evil has many roots. A willingness to deny human dignity is one of the largest. In our hearts, and in our souls, we all know this is true.

Although I am a physician who is deeply committed to the Hippocratic oath, I am above all a husband, father, and grandfather. I ask that you allow me a moment to speak to you about our children, and about our fundamental responsibility to protect them.

If nothing else, we must nurture and protect our children. This is job one. It is your job. It is my job. It is not their job to protect us. And during the last two years, our society and our public health response has failed to protect them. Many things that our public health system has demanded we do to our children has directly harmed them.

Self-harm, suicide and drug abuse in children have taken off all around the world. Anxiety, bullying, intimidation, coercion have become the norm. Measured IQ in the very young has dropped. Fundamental childhood delays are easily measured. And physical damage to children from injecting them with genetic vaccines in order to protect the elderly from a virus is occurring.

As a parent, it is ultimately your responsibility to protect your children. If they are harmed by these genetic vaccines, you are the one that will have to take care of them. And you will carry that burden for the rest of your life and theirs. On average, between one in two thousand and one in three thousand children that receive these vaccines will be hospitalized in the short term with vaccine-caused damage. Only with the passage of time will we know what long term damage may occur. The vaccines do not protect our children from becoming infected with Omicron, and do not prevent infected children from infecting others. In contrast, the pharmaceutical companies and the government are almost fully protected from any damages these products might cause to them. If your child is damaged by these vaccines, you will be left alone with both your grief and the burden of care.



These genetic vaccines can damage your children. They may damage their brain, their heart, their immune system, and their ability to have children in the future. And many of these types of damages cannot be repaired.

So, I beg you, please, get informed about the possible risks that your children may be damaged by these experimental medical products. Don't let anyone tell you what to do. Think for yourself. Because it is your responsibility to protect and nurture them. If they are damaged, no state Governor, no federal public health official, no television doctor will be there to help you. You, your family and your child will have to carry the load yourselves.

Finally, I wish to leave you with hope. We will get through this. I sincerely believe that we can break through the effects of the madness of crowds, the Mass Formation, the effects of the legacy media and big-tech promoted fear and psychological manipulation which have deeply distorted public health policy. Omicron is destroying the approved narrative that the vaccines are safe and effective, and that early drug treatment does not work. The dark winter predicted by our president and pushed by fearmongers in the media is failing to materialize.

I recently returned with my wife Jill from a trip to Europe to work with and learn from Drs. Geert Vanden Bossche and Mattias Desmet. I was reminded once again by them, as I am reminded by all of you, that Americans are fundamentally good people. The world still believes in the American experiment in self-governance. The Davos oligarchs have demonstrated their gross incompetence to all the world over the last two years. They have neither right nor ability to govern America and Americans. We are a free people, and we have governed ourselves for almost 250 years now based on a constitution developed by self-reliant farmers, tradesmen, shop-owners, and landholders. These forefathers rejected a foreign monarchy and oligarchy and instead created and fought for a political structure which remains an inspiration for the world. A shining city on the hill. A Camelot.

When I was still a child, a brilliant young man said "Ask not what your country can do for you; ask what you can do for your country". This is our country. We own this amazing gift, but we must defend it if we wish to keep it. Today, I ask all of you to keep his words in your minds and hearts. We can all be leaders, and now is the time to step up to the task. We will survive this, and we will overcome these hardships, if we can just keep these three simple words in our hearts.

Integrity. Dignity. Community.

Robert Wallace Malone, MD is an American virologist and immunologist. His work has focused on mRNA technology, of which he was a pioneer, pharmaceuticals, and drug repurposing research.

Ben Gordon had an auto accident and awakened to a Covid nightmare



By WorldTribune Staff, February 6, 2022

A car accident in Flagstaff, Arizona turned into a Covid nightmare for Ben Gordon.

In video posted to social media and picked up by the ConservativeDaily podcast, Gordon said he was banged up but fine from the accident in which he flipped his car.

Gordon said that he had been injected with a sedative by paramedics at the scene of the crash and was airlifted to a hospital.

When he woke up at the hospital, Gordon said he was on a ventilator and was hooked up to a catheter and several IVs.



Gordon said he removed the ventilator, IVs, and catheter, and walked out into the hallway of the hospital to the shock of staff.

When he demanded to know why he was on a ventilator, Gordon said the hospital staff told him he had Covid.

Gordon said he also demanded to know what was in the IVs he was hooked to, and was told fentanyl and morphine but staff refused to tell him who authorized it.

During Wisconsin Republican Sen. Ron Johnson's recent panel on Covid, registered nurse Nicole Sirotek shared horror stories of what she saw while working on the front lines amid the pandemic.

DHS: Here is a list of top COVID misinformation spreaders you should investigate ASAP

DHS is now going after COVID misinformation spreaders considering them terrorist threats. Here's my list of people I think they should be investigating.

By Steve Kirsch, Feb 8

Summary

DHS is getting tough on COVID misinformation spreaders, i.e., people who spread information that "undermines public trust in government institutions."

Since DHS has finite resources to pursue all these perpetrators, as a public service, I have created a list of what I believe are some of the country's top misinformation spreaders.

I sincerely hope that the DHS will focus their efforts on these individuals since they have made statements and/or taken actions (or refused to take action) that result in the undermining of public trust in US government institutions.

It is extremely easy to tell who is telling the truth here: it's the people who are not afraid of debate. The one thing everyone on our list has in common is that they will never agree to debate anyone with opposing views.

These people need to be stopped now and I'm grateful that DHS is finally taking this seriously as innocent lives are being lost.

In particular, check this out this section:

Hmmm…I couldn't come up with any "violent extremist attacks during 2021" that were inspired by this alleged COVID-19 misinformation. Can you?

Kim Iverson did a fantastic video on the DHS memo and its significance.

To make their job easier to pursue these spreaders of COVID-19 misinformation, I've compiled a list of the Disinformation Dozen, the top spreaders of COVID disinformation that are literally killing people through spreading misinformation about COVID.

My Disinformation Dozen list

I believe that all of these people are involved in "The proliferation of false or misleading narratives, which sow discord or undermine public trust in U.S. government institutions" and have collectively led to the tragic death of over 1M Americans.

All of these people should be detained for questioning.

I've also provided a handy list of questions that none of them will be able to answer with satisfactory answers.

Note that the list is subjective. Different people will likely have different lists but most people would agree on the top five.

1. President Joe Biden

Told the public the vaccines were safe and effective even though the data said the opposite. Wore ineffective face masks in order to mislead the public into thinking these masks could protect them. Refused to meet with any qualified scientist or doctor with opposing viewpoints before and after mandating the vaccines. Deliberately refuses to meet with scientists with opposing viewpoints so continues to spread misinformation today. He tried to scare the unvaccinated by claiming the unvaccinated would experience a winter of severe illness and death. Continues to erode public trust in the office of the President with approval ratings that are at all time lows:

2. CDC Director Rochelle Walensky

Told people the vaccines are safe and effective and that masks work. Withheld information about early treatment protocols.

3. NIAID Director Anthony Fauci

Funded the virus, covered it up, knowingly spread misinformation about the source of the virus, lied about it all in Congress when questioned by Senator Rand Paul, and told Cliff Lane to not approve any early treatments in the Guidelines.

4. US Surgeon General Vivek Murthy

Told people the vaccines are safe and effective and that masks work and said nothing about effective early treatment protocols. Compounded the error by labeling people trying to spread life-saving information as "disinformation" spreaders.

5. Bill Gates

Funded the misinformation campaigns (the fact checkers) including GAVI.

6. FDA Commissioner Janet Woodcock

Said she would investigate the Maddie de Garay case that proved fraud in the Pfizer trial and then did nothing. The FDA also denied the EUA application on fluvoxamine, a drug later proven to reduce mortality by 12X in a large Phase 3 trial.

7. COVID-19 Guidelines Chairman Cliff Lane

Discredited every single working COVID early treatment including fluvoxamine which has a 12X reduction in fatalities. Ignored all COVID-19 early treatments that work.

8. Tom Shimabukuro (CDC vaccine expert)

Never mentioned the VAERS URF which underplayed the danger of the vaccines by at least 41x and deliberately misled people about causality and VAERS by claiming you can't determine causality. Ignored all the safety signals in VAERS on all but a few symptoms. Ignored the death safety signal. Ignored every safety signal in DMED.

9. John Su (CDC, VAERS expert)

See Tom Shimabukuro

10. Steven A. Anderson (FDA), the top vaccine safety official at the FDA

Deliberately ignored all the VAERS and DMED safety signals and ignored all attempts to meet about the safety signals.

11. Gavin Newsom, Governor of California

Mandated vaccination in California even though he was injured by the COVID vaccine. Will not vaccinate his own kids. He knows the vaccines are dangerous from first-hand experience and deliberately misleads the people of California by claiming they are safe.

12. Dr. Richard Pan, California State Senator

Introduced legislation in the California legislature to close the Personal Exemption Loophole for COVID-19 School Vaccinations which will lead to the death of an unknown number of children. All done with no scientific evidence.

Top corporate spreaders of misinformation

These companies refuse to censor doctors and scientists who claim that the vaccines are safe and effective and masks work. Instead they actually compound the problem censor people who are telling the truth. Net result: hundreds of thousands of deaths caused by censoring the wrong people.

1. YouTube
2. Facebook
3. Twitter
4. LinkedIn
5. Medium
6. Nextdoor
7. Wikipedia (they mislabel people telling the truth as misinformation spreaders and people believe it)
8. All "fact checker" organizations

The actions of the Disinformation Dozen cost lives

Collectively, these people are responsible for the deaths of over 1M Americans.

For example, they have done things such as:

1. Encouraging and/or mandating people to take "vaccines" that data shows are more likely to kill them than to save them. And even when people aren't killed, the scientific evidence shows that these vaccines are making people more likely to be infected from the latest variant. This has led to the untimely death of an estimated 400,000 Americans and to the permanent disablement of a roughly equivalent number.

2. Telling people to wear masks when they know full well that all of the randomized trials showed that masks were ineffective. By misleading people to think they were protected, people then ventured into dangerous situations believing they were protected when they were in fact not protected at all. Masks are not harmless interventions. IQ has dropped dramatically (22 points) due to this "harmless" intervention. DHS needs to stop these terrorists now inside the CDC who are promoting this.

1. Ignoring all attempts to challenge them on their views by refusing to debate qualified scientists and doctors

2. Spreading misinformation about the VAERS system by deliberately not calculating the underreporting factor using their own methodology and then not applying that in public statements, thus misleading the CDC and FDA outside committees into making erroneous decisions. Also ignoring all the safety data in the VAERS database and refusing to take any meetings to discuss it.

3. Deliberately trying to censor doctors and scientists from spreading the truth that will save lives through intimidation tactics and directing social networks to cancel their accounts

4. Sandbagging early treatment drugs that have been shown in clinical trials to save lives. They literally told people not to use these life-saving protocols leading to the unnecessary death of close to 900,000 people.

See Incriminating Evidence for details on all of the above points.

I believe they need to be silenced immediately before more harm is done.

See this article for more details but here's the summary:

- Follow advice based on science: < 7,500 dead
- Follow the advice of the Disinformation Dozen: > 1.3M dead

Toby's list

- This list was created by Dr. Toby Rogers.
- The corporate kingpins trial docket
- Bill Gates (Gates Foundation)
- Julie Gerberding (Merck)
- Albert Bourla (Pfizer)
- Alex Gorsky (J&J)
- Stéphane Bancel (Moderna)
- Pascal Soriot (AstraZeneca)
- Kenneth Frazier (Merck)
- Emma Walmsley (GSK)
- Paul Hudson (Sanofi)
- The institutional trial docket
- Tony Fauci (NIAID)
- Francis Collins (NIH)
- Peter Hotez (Baylor)
- Paul Offit (UPenn)
- Tedros Adhanom (WHO)
- Rochelle Walensky (CDC)
- Frank DeStefano (CDC)
- Tom Shimabukuro (CDC)
- Ezekiel Emanuel (UPenn)
- Michael Osterholm (Univ. MN)
- Neil Ferguson (Imperial College London)
- Dorit Reiss (UC)
- The political trial docket
- Andrew Cuomo (D-NY)
- Phil Murphy (D-NJ)
- Gretchen Whitmer (D-MI)
- Ned Lamont (D-CT)
- Gavin Newsom (D-CA)
- Thomas Frieden (D-NY)
- Tom Wolf (D-PA)
- Jay Inslee (D-WA)
- Kate Brown (D-OR)
- Janet Mills (D-ME)
- Tim Walz (D-MN)
- Ralph Northam (D-VA)
- Jared Polis (D-CO)
- Richard Pan (D-CA)
- Lorena Gonzales (D-CA)
- Brad Hoylman (D-NY)
- Kyle Mullica (D-CO)
- Justin Trudeau (Liberal Party, Canada)
- The media trial docket
- Susan Wojcicki (YouTube)
- Sundar Pichai (Google)
- Mark Zuckerberg (Meta, Facebook, Instagram)
- Jack Dorsey (Twitter)
- Lisa Sherman (The Ad Council)
- Sanjay Gupta (CNN)
- Anderson Cooper (D-CNN)
- Rachel Maddow (MSNBC)
- Leana Wen (Wapo/CNN)
- Imran Ahmed (CCDH)
- Joe Smyser (Project VCTR)
- Brandy Zadrozny (NBC)
- Honorable mention (inside US)
- Not included in the lists above, but worthy of investigation. Not in any particular order.
- All state medical boards who investigated any physician for issuing waivers, speaking out against the vaccines or masks, or mandates, or prescribing live-saving drugs
- AMA
- IDSA
- Biden White House coronavirus response coordinator Jeff Zients and Biden's Chief of Staff Ron Klain
- Rick Bright, former head of BARDA who didn't fund early treatment and sabotaged HCQ
- Robert Redfield, former CDC director
- Mika Brzezinski and Joe Scarborough
- Chris Cuomo, Jeff Zucker and everyone else at CNN, ESPN, MSNBC
- CNN's Jake Tapper who accuses RFK Jr of being a grifter, but will not accept an open debate. Seriously?
- Jen Psaki
- Sonia Sotomayor, US Supreme Court
- Kathy Hochul, Governor of NY
- Eric Topol (Scripps)
- Zubin Damania (aka Zdoggmd)
- Monica Gandhi (UCSF)
- "Ed" (if you read the comments to my posts, you'll know who I'm talking about)
- Donald Trump (although he's more recently spoken out against mandates, he has not spoken out against the vaccines themselves)
- Mike Pence
- Deborah Brix

Continue reading at www.stevekirsch.substack.com

CEO of Moderna

cont. from page 1

alone, The Covid World reported on Sunday, citing data from GuruFocus.

Former Blackrock hedge fund manager Edward Dowd, who has accused Pfizer and Moderna of fraud in their Covid vaccine clinical trials, tweeted:

"Your CEO nuked his Twitter account yesterday…my educated guess that was done on the advice of in house lawyers. $MRNA Not suspicious at all."

Other reports noted that Noubar Afeyan, a Moderna co-founder, has sold a chunk of his Moderna shares for $1.5 billion.

In an interview with Steve Bannon's War Room last month, Dowd said:

"I also have a thesis as to what is going on at Pfizer and Moderna, and how those companies are probably fraudulent. These vaccines were pushed through and I think the clinical trial data is fraud. I believe that due to the institutional imperative that was in place at the time, and the speed with which they tried to approve these products with this unproven technology, fraud did occur."

The Covid World noted that, if Dowd's accusations were proven true, "it would mean that both Pfizer and Moderna become liable for all injuries and deaths caused by their vaccines."

"Big Pharma is supposed to be one of the most regulated industries in the country, especially with the FDA. However, the blanket declaration that Covid jabs are 'safe and effective' combined with the full immunity that was granted to drug companies involved in the pandemic suggests that this 'gold standard' is no longer trustworthy,"" The Covid World's Ethan Huff noted earlier this month.

Pope Francis secretly met with abortion-pushing Pfizer CEO twice last year

The full details of the meetings between the two prominent COVID jab promoters remain shrouded in mystery.

VATICAN CITY (LifeSiteNews) – It has emerged that Pope Francis secretly met with Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla in two officially unconfirmed meetings during 2021.

Writing in the National Catholic Register, Vatican journalist Edward Pentin broke the news that in 2021 Pope Francis met twice with Bourla, the CEO and chairman of pharmaceutical giant Pfizer. The meetings took place at the Vatican in a circumstance Pentin likened to Pope Francis' secret 2019 meeting with Melinda Gates.

The revelation is perhaps no great surprise, given that the Vatican exclusively administers (and now mandates) Pfizer's abortion-tainted COVID-19 injection for employees, and Pope Francis has been one of the foremost promoters of COVID shots.

Pentin wrote that the meetings were confirmed by "Vatican sources" as the meetings were not included in the Vatican's daily announcements, noting also that the topics discussed were still a mystery.

LifeSiteNews contacted both the Vatican's press office and Pfizer for further comment on the meetings, but as yet has received no reply. When Pentin queried Pfizer about the meetings, he was told: "We can't confirm or deny as, per our policy, the movements of our executives are considered confidential."

The Holy See Press Office "did not respond to repeated requests to confirm the meetings," Pentin added.

U.K. author and catechist Deacon Nick Donnelly commented that the revelations explained Pope Francis' persistent promotion of the COVID-19 injections.

Pfizer and Pope Francis' Vatican

Pfizer has developed a close link with the Vatican since its abortion-tainted injections have been in use there since January 2021, when Pope Francis and Pope Emeritus Benedict XVI were among the first in the sovereign state to receive one of the injections. At the time, head of the Vatican health service Dr. Andrea Arcangeli suggested the Vatican would initially be using the Pfizer vaccine, but that others would be administered subject to approval.

However, the Vatican's agreement with Pfizer is to only offer the Big Pharma's injection to employees and residents.

Bourla later made a public appearance at the Vatican in May 2021, joining a host of abortion supporters at the Vatican's fifth International Health Conference on "Exploring the Mind, Body & Soul."

Taking the virtual stage with CNN's Sanjay Gupta, Bourla's discussion dealt with: "The global response to COVID-19 has been accelerated by collaboration in data sharing, research, and epidemiological surveillance. As we re-examine our global health architecture, how do we improve future rapid response and build on lessons learned around preparedness, new vaccine development and distribution and, importantly, prevention?"

Pope Francis gave a private address to the members of the conference. It is not known whether one of his meetings with Bourla occurred at this time.

Pfizer's production of abortion pills, along with its production of a COVID-19 injection involving tests using the HEK 293 cell line – derived from kidney tissue taken from a healthy baby who was aborted in the Netherlands in the 1970s – has not seemed to deter the Pontiff from meeting with Bourla.

The link between the Vatican, which solely uses Pfizer's jab, has been further strengthened as the Vatican's secretary of state, Cardinal Pietro Parolin, recently mandated a COVID jab for Vatican employees and visitors, removing the option to test "negative" for the virus, and allowing only proof of recovery from the virus as an alternative to the injection.

A mandate has been in place for some time in the Vatican for certain employees, resulting in three Swiss Guards being sent home to Switzerland after they refused to comply with the mandated shot.

In comments to the National Catholic Register about his most recent mandate, Cardinal Parolin echoed the language of Archbishop Vincenzo Paglia, and defended Pfizer's jab from those concerned about its connection to abortion.

He acknowledged that cell lines from an aborted baby were used "in the preliminary stages of vaccine testing in the laboratory," but rejected any moral objection to the shot on this basis. "Therefore, it seems that not wanting to undergo vaccination with this motivation cannot be justified, since the vaccine that is currently used is precisely the Pfizer that uses the mRNA method," Parolin stated.

Bourla and Francis consistently pushing COVID shots

At the time of the May 2021 conference, Pfizer was hailed for creating an "effective" injection against COVID-19, and Bourla spoke of the many potential applications of the mRNA technology.

In April 2021, he had even claimed the injection was "100%" effective against the CDC's definition of "severe" COVID-19.

However, only days ago, Bourla backtracked on the claimed efficacy of his company's jab. "We know that the two doses of the vaccine offer very limited protection, if any," said Bourla in an interview with Yahoo! Finance.

Bourla instead pushed the booster jab, saying "three doses with a booster, they offer reasonable protection against hospitalization and deaths – against deaths, I think, very good, and less protection against infection."

Speaking to the BBC in December, Bourla pushed for normalizing COVID shots, suggesting that they could be part of normal life for "years to come."

Pope Francis has also regularly pushed for people to take the COVID shots, with his rhetoric becoming even stronger in recent days when he spoke of the "moral obligation" of taking the abortion-tainted injections. In August 2021, Francis notably joined a number of cardinals in issuing a public service announcement video, saying the shots bring "hope" of an end to the pandemic and called receiving the vaccine "an act of love."

Pfizer Admits Luciferase Component

Pfizer admits in its patent the LUCIFERASE component in its SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. The Pfizer patent directly states that it's serum is deleting genes 69-70 and 242-244. So these lying bastards are not "protecting" against anything. They are inducing the variants through gene deletion. Do not drink their poison.



Integrity, Liberty, Prosperity

cont. from page 14

It took even less integrity for this group of infiltrators to promote a Christian Heritage resolution that makes sure the faith is shelved into some ineffectual corner. "RESOLVED, That the rich spiritual and diverse religious history of our nation from its founding to the current day be affirmed; and be it further RESOLVED, That any effort to remove, obscure, or purposely omit such history from our nation's public buildings and educational resources be rejected in the strongest manner." "Rich and diverse religions" in "the current day" today means Islam, Buddhism, Atheism and Mormonism. There were no Mormons in existence at the time of our founding, neither were there any JW's. For their first 60 years, Mormons were the enemies of America. The variety was one of Christian denominations, and every colony had their State supported Church, and many states supported a particular denomination after Independence. Religion meant the public exercise of faith, but it did include everything under the sun.

These people have no integrity, as a matter of fact, they are the enemy. The enemy among us, undermining our goals, destroying our strategy, and ensuring our defeat time after time. The fact is, one must always apply measures to keep your group your group, and ensure that new people are like-minded and agreeing to your terms. An easy acceptance policy means you are open to change agents and infiltrators, and instead of fighting the outside enemy you are constantly fighting infiltrator because your political corps has been turned into a debating chamber. A boxer who punches his opponent will beat a boxer who punches himself every single time.

Authoritarians

cont. from page 15

invading Ukraine.

But this is where we are in our politics in America. There are hundreds of United States citizens who have been sitting in prison for over a year because they had the temerity to question the validity of the 2020 election, and they trespassed at the United States Capitol. Yet there are thousands of people who rioted in our cities, burned buildings to the ground, injured cops in 2020, who had all their charges dropped. Why, because they supported the liberal orthodoxy, whereas the election protesters believe the opposite.

Even though communism is an existential threat to America, in the 1950s, we rejected McCarthyism which wanted to destroy the very lives of communists and, communist sympathizers to protect America, because we knew that McCarthyism was just as much of an existential threat to America as communism, so, it was left up to us to rely on the truth about communism to defeat communism, not censorship of communism.

Censorship is dangerous because it picks winners and losers. In 2015, Barack Obama told the UN, "the future must not belong to those who slander the prophet of Islam". It seemed strange because Jesus gets slandered all the time in our culture and the world, and Barack Obama did not feel the need to stand up and defend Jesus, he only felt the need to defend Muhammad. So, our sitting President can determine which religious leaders we can slander, and which ones we cannot because he didn't say, "the future must not belong to those who slander the prophet of any religion". So, in his eyes, Muhammad is off-limits, while Jesus and Buddha are fair game. And what exactly did he mean when he said the "future must not belong to"? Are those who slander the "prophet of Islam" to be denied a future? What exactly is he insinuating with that veiled threat? Part of religious tolerance is being strong enough in your faith to tolerate when people criticize or even mock your religion and religious leaders. But when it

Continue reading on page 21

The CDC's Flawed Case for Wearing Masks in School

The agency's director has said, repeatedly, that schools without mask mandates have triple the risk of COVID outbreaks. That claim is based on very shaky science.

By David Zweig

The debate over child masking in schools boiled over again this fall, even above its ongoing high simmer. The approval in late October of COVID-19 vaccines for 5-to-11-year-olds was for many public-health experts an indication that mask mandates could finally be lifted. Yet with cases on the rise in much of the country, along with anxiety regarding the Omicron variant, other experts and some politicians have warned that plans to pull back on the policy should be put on hold.

Scientists generally agree that, according to the research literature, wearing masks can help protect people from the coronavirus, but the precise extent of that protection, particularly in schools, remains unknown—and it might be very small. What data do exist have been interpreted into guidance in many different ways. The World Health Organization, for example, does not recommend masks for children under age 6. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control recommends against the use of masks for any children in primary school.

Seen in this context, the CDC has taken an especially aggressive stance, recommending that all kids 2 and older should be masked in school. The agency has argued for this policy amid an atmosphere of persistent backlash and skepticism, but on September 26, its director, Rochelle Walensky, marched out a stunning new statistic: Speaking as a guest on CBS's Face the Nation, she cited a study published two days earlier, which looked at data from about 1,000 public schools in Arizona. The ones that didn't have mask mandates, she said, were 3.5 times as likely to experience COVID outbreaks as the ones that did.

This estimated effect of mask requirements—far bigger than others in the research literature—would become a crucial talking point in the weeks to come. On September 28, during a White House briefing, Walensky brought up the 3.5 multiplier again; then she tweeted it that afternoon. In mid-October, with the school year in full swing, Walensky brought up the same statistic one more time.

But the Arizona study at the center of the CDC's back-to-school blitz turns out to have been profoundly misleading. "You can't learn anything about the effects of school mask mandates from this study," Jonathan Ketcham, a public-health economist at Arizona State University, told me. His view echoed the assessment of eight other experts who reviewed the research, and with whom I spoke for this article. Masks may well help prevent the spread of COVID, some of these experts told me, and there may well be contexts in which they should be required in schools. But the data being touted by the CDC—which showed a dramatic more-than-tripling of risk for unmasked students—ought to be excluded from this debate. The Arizona study's lead authors stand by their work, and so does the CDC. But the critics were forthright in their harsh assessments. Noah Haber, an interdisciplinary scientist and a co-author of a systematic review of COVID-19 mitigation policies, called the research "so unreliable that it probably should not have been entered into the public discourse."

This is not the only study cited by Walensky in support of masking students, but it's among the most important, having been deployed repeatedly to justify a policy affecting millions of children—and having been widely covered in the press. The agency's decision to trumpet the study's dubious findings, and subsequent lack of transparency, raise questions about its commitment to science-guided policy.

The Arizona study, published in the CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, looked at school-associated outbreaks in Maricopa and Pima Counties, comparing rates across schools with and without mask mandates for students and staff. "The school year starts very early in Arizona, in mid-July, so we had the advantage of being able to get an early look at data," one of the lead authors, J. Mac McCullough, told The New York Times. The early look revealed that just 16 outbreaks had occurred among the 210 schools that had a mask mandate in place from the start of classes, versus 113 among the 480 schools that had no mandates at all. According to McCullough and his colleagues, this amounted to a 3.5-fold increase in incidence of outbreaks for the no-mandate schools.

Yet the study's methodology and data set appear to have significant flaws. The trouble begins with the opening lines of the paper, where the authors say they evaluated the association between school mask policies and school-associated COVID-19 outbreaks "during July 15–August 31, 2021." After reviewing school calendars and speaking with several school administrators in Maricopa and Pima Counties, I found that only a small proportion of the schools in the study were open at any point during July. Some didn't begin class until August 10; others were open from July 19 or July 21. That means students in the latter group of schools had twice as much time—six weeks instead of three weeks—in which to develop a COVID outbreak.

When I brought this issue to Megan Jehn, the study's corresponding author and an epidemiologist at Arizona State University, she acknowledged that exposure times varied across schools. The ones without mask mandates were open longer overall, she told me—but the difference was too

Continue reading at www.theatlantic.com/science/archive

Letter to Secretary Department of Defense

February 1, 2022
The Honorable Lloyd J. Austin III
Secretary Department of Defense

Dear Secretary Austin:

On January 24, 2022, I held a roundtable featuring world renowned doctors and medical experts who shared their perspectives on COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and safety and the overall response to the pandemic. At that roundtable, I heard testimony from Thomas Renz, an attorney who is representing three Department of Defense (DoD) whistleblowers, who revealed disturbing information regarding dramatic increases in medical diagnoses among military personnel. The concern is that these increases may be related to the COVID-19 vaccines that our servicemen and women have been mandated to take.

Based on data from the Defense Medical Epidemiology Database (DMED), Renz reported that these whistleblowers found a significant increase in registered diagnoses on DMED for miscarriages, cancer, and many other medical conditions in 2021 compared to a five-year average from 2016-2020. For example, at the roundtable Renz stated that registered diagnoses for neurological issues increased 10 times from a five-year average of 82,000 to 863,000 in 2021. There were also increases in registered diagnoses in 2021 for the following medical conditions:

Hypertension – 2,181% increase
Diseases of the nervous system – 1,048% increase
Malignant neoplasms of esophagus – 894% increase
Multiple sclerosis – 680% increase
Malignant neoplasms of digestive organs – 624% increase
Guillain-Barre syndrome – 551% increase
Breast cancer – 487% increase
Demyelinating – 487% increase
Malignant neoplasms of thyroid and other endocrine glands – 474% increase
Female infertility – 472% increase
Pulmonary embolism – 468% increase
Migraines – 452% increase
Ovarian dysfunction – 437% increase
Testicular cancer – 369% increase
Tachycardia – 302% increase

Renz also informed me that some DMED data showing registered diagnoses of myocarditis had been removed from the database.5 Following the allegation that DMED data had been doctored, I immediately wrote to you on January 24 requesting that you preserve all records referring, relating, or reported to DMED.6 I have yet to hear whether you have complied with this request.

At the roundtable, Renz revealed the names of the brave whistleblowers who uncovered this information in DMED: Drs. Samuel Sigoloff, Peter Chambers, and Theresa Long.7 Any retaliatory actions taken against these individuals will not be tolerated and will be investigated immediately. In order to better understand what, if any awareness DoD has about COVID-19 vaccine injuries to service members, I request you provide the following information:

1. Is DoD aware of increases in registered diagnoses of miscarriages, cancer, or other medical conditions in DMED in 2021 compared to a five-year average from 2016-2020? If so, please explain what actions DoD has taken to investigate the root cause for the increases in these diagnoses.

2. Have registered diagnoses of myocarditis in DMED been removed from the database from January 2021 to December 2021? If so, please explain why and when this information was removed and identify who removed it.

Please provide this information as soon as possible but no later than February 15, 2022. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Ron Johnson
Ranking Member
Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

Puzzle Answers

1	6	9	3	8	5	4	7	2
5	4	7	9	6	2	1	3	8
8	3	2	4	7	1	6	5	9
6	8	5	2	3	9	7	1	4
7	2	3	1	4	8	5	9	6
4	9	1	7	5	6	8	2	3
9	7	8	5	2	4	3	6	1
3	1	6	8	9	7	2	4	5
2	5	4	6	1	3	9	8	7

A	C	T	U	P	■	A	S	P	■	R	E	N	T	A
N	O	O	S	E	■	V	I	A	■	A	R	E	A	S
T	H	R	E	E	C	E	N	T	S	W	O	R	T	H
S	O	I	■	W	O	R	S	E	N	■	D	O	S	E
■	■	■	K	E	N	■	■	N	O	N	E	■	■	■
■	T	H	I	E	V	E	S	■	■	A	D	O	R	E
F	A	Y	E	■	E	R	N	E	S	T	■	T	E	A
E	L	E	V	E	N	G	A	L	L	O	N	H	A	T
T	O	N	■	S	T	O	R	M	Y	■	E	E	L	S
E	N	A	C	T	■	■	L	O	N	G	A	R	M	■
■	■	■	H	E	A	D	■	■	E	A	R	■	■	■
O	L	E	O	■	W	I	S	E	S	T	■	A	L	E
S	I	X	O	C	L	O	C	K	S	H	A	D	O	W
H	E	I	S	T	■	D	U	E	■	E	R	O	S	E
A	S	T	E	R	■	E	D	S	■	R	I	S	E	S



50 Things Conservatives Believe

What conservatives really believe

By John Hawkins

One of the worst things about modern politics is that debate between both sides has mostly been replaced with naked propaganda. Instead of finding out where people stand by trying to come to an agreement with them, many people only see the worst comments from the other side told through propaganda outlets that are looking to generate outrage, not produce understanding. Quite frankly, if the only information I had about other conservatives was acquired from MSNBC, CNN, the New York Times, and Keith Olbermann, I'd assume they were evil. However, the vast majority of what liberal news outlets tell the world about conservatives is unrepresentative or just not true.

I can definitively tell you that's true because I created Right Wing News in 2001 and it ended up being one of the most prominent conservative websites in America for over a decade. I was part of the Tea Party movement and have done hundreds of hours as a guest on conservative talk radio. I've created one of the biggest and most active conservative Facebook pages in history. I've been one of the most-read conservative columnists online and my work has appeared EVERYWHERE in the conservative movement. Among other outlets, I've written for Human Events, Townhall, PJ Media, Bongino.com, The Daily Wire, BizPac Review, The Western Journal, The Gateway Pundit, The Dispatch, Hot Air, and National Review. The conservative movement has become significantly more splintered in the last few years and NO ONE PERSON can truthfully claim to be a spokesman for all conservatives, but if anyone could, I'd be just as good of a candidate for the job as anyone else you could find out there.

Granted, NOBODY can give you a meaningful list of 50 things that EVERY conservative believes because there's enough intellectual diversity in the movement to make that impossible, but I can tell you that what you are about to read are things MOST CONSERVATIVES believe. I can tell you that from two decades of experience and I can also tell you that I deliberately left certain issues that I personally believe in, that I don't think a majority of conservatives buy into, off the list to try to make it as accurate as possible.

If you have friends, family, children, or even know people who've been fed a lot of propaganda about what conservatives believe, show them this list. Let them see what we really believe and what we really want for the country.

1) So very true.



2) Safe spaces are ridiculous, even talking about microaggressions is evidence you are way too sensitive, hearing a different opinion isn't "trauma," and having "personal pronouns" is evidence of mental illness.

3) Being a Christian nation with strong morals is a big part of the reason America has been a success. The further we get away from our Christian roots, the more immoral the country will become and the less successful our nation will become.

4) There's no moral difference between aborting a child and drowning a baby to death in a bathtub.

5) Women already get equal work for equal pay. To the extent that there's a difference between what men and women make, it's a result of women quitting work to stay home with kids, working fewer hours than men, or taking less dangerous, more pleasant jobs.

6) Both men and women lie about everything under the sun, including sexual assault. So no, an allegation alone is not proof that a man acted improperly or illegally. Like every other crime, there needs to be proof, not just blind acceptance of someone's unproven claim. #MeToo

7) You can't change genders and claiming that you can is as ridiculous as claiming you can turn into a buffalo or a wolf. Of course, if you genuinely believe that you're a wolf, we'll send you to an asylum, but if you claim you can change genders, we try to bring your deranged fantasy to life. This is bad for everyone. It hurts women who have to share bathrooms with men and compete against them in sporting events and it hurts the mentally ill people who believe that they can change genders. You don't cater to and encourage people's mental illnesses; you help them get better.

8) Gender is not a social construct. Masculinity in men and femininity in women are healthy things. Men and women are not interchangeable.

9) What we've been doing is this:

10) Racism exists, but America isn't structurally racist or even racist at all in any meaningful sense. If it was, you'd have American minorities leaving the country and minorities certainly wouldn't be flocking here by the millions.



11) White supremacy is a tiny, nearly irrelevant fringe movement in America, not a serious problem or a significant threat.

12) Diversity of opinion is important, but diversity based on skin color is not.

13) We should select people based on merit, not skin color.

14) Falsely calling someone racist is as wrong as being racist.

15) If you need to show ID to buy alcohol, fly on a plane, cash a check, or drive a car, asking people to show ID to vote is a no-brainer, especially since it would make our elections more secure.

16) MLK was right when he said:



17) Putting career criminals in jail is the best way to reduce the crime rate.

18) Homeless people should not be allowed to defecate on the streets.

19) Shoplifters should be prosecuted.

20) The government should not allow looting or rioting, and people engaged in looting and rioting, whether it's at Wal-Mart or the Capitol Building, should face severe penalties.

21) Deliberately blocking traffic during a protest merits time in jail.

22) Efforts to take guns away from law-abiding citizens because criminals do bad things with them makes about as much sense as taking your car because your neighbor got drunk and killed someone in a wreck.

23) Competition is healthy and good for kids, which is why participation trophies shouldn't exist.

24) Parents should have a lot of input into what their kids are taught, and those same parents should be given a great deal of latitude to choose which school their tax dollars and children go to if there are multiple options available. This is why charter schools, magnet schools, private schools, and school vouchers are good for the country.

25) Schooling should be about reading, writing, math, science, and history, not promoting or encouraging, homosexuality, transsexuality, or Critical Race Theory to students. People can promote or endorse what they want on their own time, but it shouldn't be occurring in the classroom.

26) If you take out a student loan, yes, you should have to pay it back and you shouldn't be asking everyone else to pay your way. Not only does it make no sense, because the vast majority of college graduates make enough money over their lifetimes to pay for the degree, but it's also obviously extremely unfair to people that paid their loans off. It's also unjust to ask people who didn't go to college to pay because someone else changed their mind about paying off a college loan they willingly took out.

27) Teachers work for the parents, not vice-versa. The government works for the people, not vice-versa. The purpose of immigration is to benefit the Americans that are already here, not vice-versa. We have far too many people that get all of this backwards.

28) Real science requires genuine and open debate, testable hypothesis and proof, not just empty rhetoric declaring that something is "scientific consensus" or that anyone who doesn't take a particular position "doesn't believe in science."

29) The case that global warming is happening, is caused by man, and can be realistically reversed by policy changes being suggested on the Left is so dubious that it's practically non-existent. Between the dishonest studies, climate models that don't work, and innumerable failed predictions of doom, there is simply no rational case for spending massive amounts of money or making widespread changes to our lifestyles to fight global warming without a real and solid scientific case being made.

30) The best way to help the poor is not to make them dependent on the government, it's to create an environment that allows them to help themselves. Excellent K-12 education, low taxes, low crime, low inflation, low regulation, and low barriers to small business creation, along with creating an environment that's generally friendly to business, all help people succeed.

31) Why would anyone who cares about the country want more criminals, people that don't pay taxes, or people that are completely uninformed voting? If anything, we'd be better off if it were significantly harder to vote and fewer Americans were voting.

32) When it comes to the government programs, John D. Rockefeller was right when he said:



33) Given the fact that even if you get vaccinated and get not 1, not 2, but 3 boosters, you can still get COVID and spread it to other people, it makes no sense for the government or companies to hassle people that aren't vaccinated or force them to get the COVID vaccine if they don't want it. Worst case scenario, they're only hurting themselves. There should be no one discriminated against or forced to take the COVID vaccine if they don't want it.

34) It's clear at this point that cloth and paper masks quite obviously do so little, if anything, to fight COVID that they shouldn't be mandated.

35) We should have a loser pays legal system. If you sue someone and lose, you should have to pay their legal bills.

36) Communism and socialism run counter to human nature and increase the amount of poverty, dysfunction, and oppression wherever they are implemented.

37) The minimum wage should be zero. If someone wishes to pay you $4 per hour and you wish to work for $4 per hour, why shouldn't both of you be able to voluntarily agree to that? Forcing businesses to pay people more than what they're worth costs jobs and makes it harder for new workers to get experience.

38) Whether we're talking about energy, housing, or goods, government-imposed price controls inevitably lead to shortages

39) The private market is cheaper, more efficient, and better run than the government. That's why, as often as is practical, we should let the private market handle as much as possible while giving the government as little as possible to run.

40) Government-run public schools aren't performing poorly because they're underfunded. They have plenty of money. They're performing poorly because of teachers' unions that care nothing about kids and because of a lack of competition. Put vouchers in place that allow parents to choose which school to put their kids in and you will start seeing real improvements.

41) Illegal aliens are bad for the country, depress wages for the poor and middle class, and don't deserve citizenship since they entered the country illegally. That's why the United States should make every effort, including building a wall, to keep illegal aliens out of our country.

42) The solution to healthcare isn't letting the government take over the whole thing, it's making market reforms that will reduce costs. Let insurance companies sell across state lines. Implement tort reform. Require hospitals to post the cost of procedures. Change abusive patent laws that allow companies to charge exorbitant prices for drugs for decades. Make healthcare plans portable between jobs. Too much government control is why healthcare is such a mess today. Less government and more of the private market will lead to better service at a lower cost.

43) Just so:



44) New laws and regulations often do harm and seldom do any good. In fact, if we didn't pass a new law or a new regulation for the next decade and only took existing laws and regulations off the books, the country would be much better off.

45) The individual will do a far better job of determining what's in his best interest than the government.

46) The closer the government is to the individual, the more likely they are to do a good job of serving him. This is one of the many reasons we don't want centralization of government power in DC.

47) We are not citizens of the world; we are citizens of the United States. We may wish the rest of the world well, but America should come first and what happens to our fellow Americans is more important than what happens to people in the rest of the world.

48) Government is a necessary evil under the best of circumstances and when it gets as big as it is today, it's a dire threat to the American people.

49) The biggest long-term threat to America by far is our own spending. If we don't get our spending under control, it will lead to the end of Social Security and Medicare in their current form, the loss of America's status as a reserve currency, out-of-control inflation, and eventually a debt-driven economic apocalypse that will destroy the country.

50) Yes, indeed:



Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

Saturday Meeting

I met Lieutenant Gubernatorial candidate Kyle Yudes prior to the Ramthun event at Kewaskum High School He avers the true America First agenda. I asked him to keep readers informed.

Message from Kyle Yudes:
Good morning Steve,

It was great meeting you on Saturday! I see the passion in your eyes and it fires me up even more than I already am! I truly appreciate you taking the time to meet with us. I do not take your personal time for granted, so thank you. I feel we are all on the same page for the most part.

We need to clean house within the party and replace the people in it with people that will uphold and defend the constitution, and all it stands for, with everything they have. This is my simple mission, TO RESTORE LIBERTY. We need to do that through the constitution, accountability and election integrity. With out those 3 basic foundations, we will never regain our freedom again.

I will continue to fight with everything I have in me and will not stop! I have lost friends, and family over my pursuit of the truth and freedom, and that's fine by me. I know I am not alone in that. SO that is a little bit about me. Below is last week's email/newsletter I sent out my upcoming events list and pics. Let me know if you want something different or this is the direction you are looking for. I have been doing these since November. Weekly emails sent out. So I have others ready to go if you want something more. I also can write something for you that is more towards what you are asking for if need be.

Thank you again for the meeting and I look forward to speaking with you again.
-Kyle Yudes

Rules for Thee Not for Me

It is clear our government servants have forgotten who the boss really is. We the People of Wisconsin are the rightful masters of our government, and it is by the consent of the governed that government derives its power. So why are our elected officials continuously thumbing their collective noses at us?

The following is from Pixie. The young freedom fighter that stood up to the Eau Claire Health Department and her school and won. I personally had a large role in this young lady being empowered to stand up and fight for what it right and just. She describes what happened to her as she traveled to Madison to observe her constitutionally protected right of petitioning the government.

On Tuesday night, January 11, 2022, I traveled to Madison with my mom and dad right after school to testify in support of SB 336 prohibiting discrimination based on vaccination status, along with other such bills. We stayed in a hotel right next to the capital building so I would be well-rested for what I had to do in the morning. After a good night of sleep and some breakfast, my mom, dad, and I walked to the capitol building.

Once I got there, I gaped at the building; it was intricately decorated and massive! The interior seemed bigger than the exterior! I waited in a small overflow room with a TV showing the hearing because there were so many people there to fight and stand up for their basic human rights. I was very nervous at first, but after a while, it sunk in what I had to do and how much support I had!

After about 5 hours, I was called, along with a few other people, to go downstairs and wait for my turn inside the hearing room. While I was on deck to testify, Senator Carpenter became belligerant toward a decorated military officer and war veteran. Carpenter raised his voice, used profane language, called us all names like "snowflake" or "conspiracy theorist". He yelled out that he is gay and knows what discrimination is, and that the way unvaccinated people are being treated is not discrimination. He also scolded all of us for not wearing a mask, and meanwhile, he was not wearing a mask. He realized what he had done and quickly donned one.

His outburst made mee feel extremely uncomfortable and a little scared. I was up next. I started organizing my notes, and then my name was called. I explained my story, how the school treated me differently than vaccinated children (who are still susceptible to Covid) by allowing them to continue their education while mine was denied and no alternative was offered. I also explained how Lieska Geise, the director of public health, told my mom I would be "punished" in court for refusing to quarantine as a healthy person with robust antibodies and no symptoms. Public Health sent 4 armed policement in brown uniforms from their own private police to remove me from school if necessary, and the crowd gasped at this.

The entire time I spoke, Senator Carpenter was signing autographs and completely ignoring me aside from a timed glance up every time he placed a card on the pile.He had his feet up on the table legs underneath where he was wiggling them impatiently and shaking the whole table. It made me feel like I didn't matter and wasn't worth his time because I am not vaccinated. The whole time I shared my story, I was afraid that he was going to start screaming and swearing at me as he had done to those who testified before me.

Once I finished sharing my story of discrimination, the chairman said with excitement, "Wow! I've actually heard your story before, it's amazing what you did!" I thanked him, stood up, and walked out of the room with my mom. Once we returned to the overflow room upstairs, everyone cheered and applauded.

It saddens me that when this bill lands on the desk of Governor Evers, it will probably not pass. People are being forced to show vaccination cards around the nation to eat at restaurants, buy groceries, and attend events, which is the definition of discrimination. I know that I did everything in my power to fight back for our freedom, and I will never hand over my basic human rights!



Rep. Ramthun

cont. from page 1

actions in past press releases indicates to many Patriots his impending endorsement.

Ramthun's election as Governor would position Wisconsin to lead the nation in reinstating sovereign state control over the Federal government, currently composed of a criminal syndicate of foreign installed puppets and citizen traitors. America First voters compose 95% of the Republican voting base using Republican voter support of Donald Trump's policies as the indicator.



Mike Lindell

Pixie, age 14

We must reign in the tyrants that have forgotten why they are in Madison. We must stand together as a united Wisconsin and vote people like this out of office. The republican party likes to say there will be a "red wave" this November. From what I am seeing and experiencing all around the state, it's not going to be a red wave, it is going to be a RED, WHITE & BLUE WAVE! Patriots everywhere are standing up and telling their elected officials and the government "NO MORE!!!!"

Authoritarians

cont. from page 18

comes to Mohammad, his followers believe he must be completely untouchable. To correct Obama's statement, the future must not belong to authoritarians. They are more of a threat than those who slander religious leaders.

But that's how these people work, religious liberty for me, but not for thee. If people have the right to worship Muhammad, then other people should have the right to slander Muhammad. We, as Christians, know this first hand because Jesus is mocked all the time in movies and on television but as believers, we are strong enough in our faith to not see that as an existential threat to our religion because even Jesus warned that this would happen. Part of being a Christian is learning to live with the ridicule from non-believers, and being strong enough to know that Jesus is the Lord and Savior regardless of what they say. The necessity to execute those who mock or speak out against Muhammad exposes how unsure his followers are in their own beliefs. We Christians know that Jesus Christ can stand up to all comers. Jesus defeated death and the devil; do you think he's going to shrink away from some pseudo-intellectual atheists who mock him? Do you think his truth cannot defeat their shallow arguments so they need to be censored, or executed? Come on now. He's the alpha and the omega.

But that goes to the larger point. When you are strong in your beliefs whether they be political or religious, and you know that what you believe in is grounded in the truth, then you do not need to censor or execute those who speak out against your beliefs, against the truth. The call for censorship is the red flag that points the way to what is the truth and what is false. That which is being censored is usually the truth, and those who are calling for censorship are usually the ones who are the purveyors of falsehoods. If Muhammad was the one true God, then it would not be necessary to execute a young woman who posted a disparaging cartoon of him on the internet. Mohammed would be bigger than that. Yet his followers are continually proving how small he is. Just as if the orthodoxy that Dr. Fauci continually spouts was the truth, there would be no need to censor or cancel those who questioned or contradicted him because the truth defeats all comers. The call for censorship and canceling merely exposes those censors and cancelers for how small and how wrong they are.

One Nation Under God

Abortion advocates change their terminology again… but the deception is the same

By Nancy Flanders, February 9, 2022

To make the violent and deadly act of abortion appear justifiable, its supporters lean heavily on euphemisms to hide what it truly is. Fetus, choice, reproductive rights, reproductive health care, and bodily autonomy, for example, are carefully crafted words used with purposeful intent to disregard and dehumanize human beings in the first stages of life. To convince people that abortion must be legal, abortion advocates use terminology obscuring what abortion really is — shifting the focus toward the woman and completely away from the human who is killed. It's a script that proponents have relied on to continue the charade, but that script continues to change. An update to the script was shared by the United States of Women (USOW) on its website.

"How to talk like an abortion advocate" recommends that supporters ditch certain terminology in favor of a new set of euphemisms in order to ensure the act of killing preborn human beings remains legal, unrestricted, and supported.

"ABORTION IS SAFE"

The top priority on USOW's list of how to talk like an advocate is to start saying "abortion is safe," rather than the former go-to terminology ("make abortion safe," "dangerous, illegal abortions"), which implied that legalizing abortion would make it safe.

To imply that any abortion — even an illegal one — is "not safe" would apparently not fit the updated narrative. This is a calculated attempt to promote at-home, "self-managed" abortions done via a "no-test" protocol — meaning without even a medical exam, ultrasound, or blood test prior to taking the pill. This is extremely dangerous, and abortion advocates know it. Legalized abortion was built on the idea that women should undergo abortions in a legal medical facility under the supervision of a doctor, and they insisted this would make it safe. Now, the narrative has shifted to insist that it is always safe for women to undergo chemical abortions alone.

However, abortion can never truly be safe, because at least one person dies every time an abortion is successful. Saying abortion is safe, therefore, completely contradicts everything we know about the definition of safety (something unlikely to cause danger, risk, or injury). Someone is always harmed during an abortion. Killing is not a safe act, and abortion is killing; therefore, abortion is not safe.





Women do not escape unharmed either, though there is no way to know for sure how many women have suffered physical harm from legal abortion. This is because approximately half of U.S. states are not required to report complications, so "safety" in terms of the harm done to women remains an unknown. Risks, however, include a perforated uterus, hemorrhaging, infection, and death. For the women who have suffered these injuries, abortion was not at all safe.

For women who do manage to escape physical harm, there are sometimes mental health issues to contend with. A study in the British Journal of Psychiatry found that post-abortive women experience an 81% increased risk of subsequent psychiatric issues, including depression. Teen girls are 10 times more likely to attempt suicide if they have had an abortion in the last six months compared to girls who haven't, and post-abortive teenage girls are also up to four times more likely to die by suicide compared to adult women. But all post-abortive women face an increased risk of suicide. Two studies found a six to seven times higher suicide rate after abortion, while a third study revealed that a shocking 31% of women who have had abortions have considered suicide afterward.

"PEOPLE SHOULD BE TRUSTED TO MAKE DECISIONS ABOUT THEIR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTHCARE THAT ARE BEST FOR THEM"

This language assumes that abortion — the intentional killing of a human being in the womb — is a form of health care. Health care prevents or treats illness; abortion kills. Even if a woman is at risk of death due to a health condition during pregnancy or a pregnancy-related health issue, such as pre-eclampsia, taking the time to purposefully kill her preborn child is not going to do anything to save her life more than a preterm delivery or an emergency C-section would. It takes two to three days to carry out abortions in the second and third trimesters, but it takes under an hour to perform a C-section which could save both lives.



Terms no longer allowed in the pro-abortion script are "safer than carrying a pregnancy to term" and "difficult decision." That's because the truth is that there is no solid proof that abortion is safer than carrying to term. In addition, in order to be "pro-choice," people are now expected to celebrate abortion as right and good — and to do that, the idea that a woman might struggle to make the decision to kill her preborn child must be brushed off. If abortion is good, it cannot be looked upon as "a difficult decision."

"ABORTION BANS ARE RESTRICTIONS AND PLACE UNNECESSARY HURDLES IN THE WAY OF YOUTH, BIPOC AND LOW-INCOME FOLKS."

Legalized abortion was born of the eugenics and birth control movement, established in order to reduce the populations of certain groups of people — including Black and underprivileged Americans. Arguing that it's a negative to put restrictions in place because it will allow more people of color and more poor children to be born is helping to achieve the goals of eugenics.

In addition, the law places "hurdles" in the way of murdering most people — except when those individuals are preborn human beings. Abortion is an injustice, and every effort should be made to restore justice and the right to life for all human beings.

"PEOPLE WHO GET ABORTIONS"

Now removed from pro-abortion speech is the word "women," to be replaced with phrases such as "person with a uterus," and "pregnant people," in the name of inclusion. And yet, in all of this inclusion, the humanity of preborn human beings is still denied.

As long as pro-abortion language ignores the fact that a living human being exists inside the mother's womb during pregnancy and that this human being is killed, it will never be honest language.



Wisconsin Bill Would Ban Abortions When Unborn Baby's Heart Begins Beating

By Micaiah Bilger, Feb 8, 2022

Wisconsin state lawmakers hope to replicate Texas's life-saving efforts by passing a law that protects unborn babies with beating hearts this year.

On Tuesday, the state Senate Committee on Government Operations held a hearing on a heartbeat bill, Senate Bill 923, sponsored by state Sen. Julian Bradley, R-Franklin, according to the AP.

The bill would prohibit abortions once an unborn baby's heartbeat is detectable, about six weeks of pregnancy. Exceptions would be allowed if the mother's life is in danger. Like the Texas law, the bill includes a private enforcement mechanism that allows private individuals to sue abortionists who violate the abortion ban. Abortionists accused of violations also would be subjected to unprofessional conduct investigations by the state Medical Examining Board.

Bradley said he hopes to save unborn babies' lives in Wisconsin just like Texas has been doing.

"After passing the heartbeat bill in September, 10,000 lives have been saved in Texas," Bradley said in a statement online. "Every beating human heart ought to be protected from abortion. That's why I've authored the bill for Wisconsin."

If it passes, the legislation could save thousands of unborn babies from abortion every year. According to the state health department, 6,511 abortions were performed in 2019. Most unborn babies are aborted after their hearts are beating.

Wisconsin Right to Life, which supports the bill, pointed to recent polling showing that half

Continued on page 23

VOICE OF JERUSALEM

The Ayatollahs' Men in Washington

By Caroline Glick

Former Iranian Foreign Minister Javad Zarif recently co-authored a book in Farsi about the 2015 nuclear deal that tells us a great deal about how we should be assessing the nuclear negotiations taking place in Vienna between Iran and the P5+1 (the U.S., Russia, China, France, Germany and France). Titled, The Nuclear Deal: The Untold Story of the JCPOA, Projecting Iran's Security, Rights and Development, the book sheds light on a critical question. How did the U.S. come to accept Iran's negotiating terms on all the major issues despite bipartisan opposition to Iran's illicit nuclear program?

Iranian human rights activist Heshmat Alavi translated the relevant portion of Zarif's memoir on his Twitter account. Zarif wrote that in 2014, the regime decided to send a copy of their draft agreement to the other negotiating teams through "an individual in contact with the U.S. delegation and an active International Crisis Group (ICG) member"

"The goal," Zarif explained, "was to pave the path for lobbying for our draft agreement."

Zarif wrote that the highly secretive move produced the desired result. After the ICG's Iran desk officer Ali Vaez received Iran's draft agreement, the ICG published its own policy paper, which he authored that reflected the contents of the Iranian draft. Vaez's paper, "Iran and the P5+1: Solving the Nuclear Rubik's Cube," was embraced by Americans and became the basis for the 2015 nuclear deal.

Until 2014, Vaez's boss was Robert Malley, who joined the Obama administration that year after serving as the ICG's Program Director for the Middle East and North Africa. Shortly after joining the Obama administration as Special Assistant to the President and the White House Coordinator for the Middle East, North Africa and the Gulf Region, Malley became a leading member of the U.S. negotiating team with Iran.

In 2017, Malley returned to the ICG as its head of policy and later became its president. Malley left the ICG again in 2021 when President Joe Biden appointed him to serve as his envoy for talks with Iran.

As Alavi noted, the ICG denies that it served as lobbyist and effectively as a proxy of Iran, presenting Iran's draft agreement as its own. True or not, both Malley's and Vaez's records indicate that even if they didn't launder Iran's draft agreement to sell it to the Obama team, they have long been champions of the Iranian regime and apologists for its terror proxies. Both men support the full removal of nuclear sanctions on Iran. Last October, when Iran was still refusing to renew nuclear talks after the change of government in Tehran, and so signaled Washington that it would accept no meaningful restraints on its nuclear program, Malley said, "We are prepared to remove all of the sanctions that were imposed by the Trump administration."

Vaez, for his part, has defended Iran's nuclear program, attacked sanctions, and defended Iran's missile program, among other things. He has praised the current government, led by Ebrahim Raisi, known as "the butcher of Tehran" for his central role in the mass murder of tens of thousands of dissidents in the 1980s.

Malley and Vaez have served as apologists for Iran's terror proxies Hezbollah and Hamas. In 2008 Malley was dropped from the Obama presidential campaign after it was revealed that he maintained significant contacts with Hamas and Hezbollah terrorists. Malley defended his ties to terrorists recently. As Malley put it, "It's a mistake" to think of Hamas and Hezbollah only as terrorist groups. In his words, "The are social movements, probably the most rooted movements in their respective societies."

Alavi documented that the Iranian state media referred to Vaez as "Zarif's protégé," and as the individual "who played the main role in composing the 2015 nuclear agreement text."

The Iranian media also reported that in the current negotiations Vaez is serving as the intermediary between the U.S. and Iranian delegations in Vienna together with Russian Ambassador Mikhail Ulyanov.

When Biden appointed Malley to serve as his chief envoy to the talks with Iran, many commentators claimed that the move was geared towards pleasing the Bernie Sanders/Squad wing of the Democrat Party. Biden, they argued is much more moderate than Malley. Although comforting, this claim ignores Biden's long record of support for the Iranian regime, whether as a senator, as the vice president or now, as President.

In 1979, like then president Jimmy Carter, Biden supported Ayatollah Khomeini and his revolutionaries when they overthrew the Shah and transformed Iran into an Islamic theocracy. Unlike Carter, who soured on Khomeini and his henchmen after Iranian revolutionaries stormed the U.S. embassy in Tehran and took the U.S. diplomats hostage, Biden continued to support them. Throughout the hostage crisis Biden was one of the most outspoken opponents of strong U.S. action being taken to free them in the Senate.

In the wake of the Sept. 11 attacks, then chairman of the Senate Foreign Affairs Committee Biden advocated sending Iran a gift of $200 million to show U.S. support for the Islamic world.

Biden was the architect of the U.S. withdrawal from Iraq in 2011 that effectively transferred control of the country to Iranian surrogates and proxies. Biden was one of the most outspoken supporters of then president Barack Obama's decision to negotiation the nuclear deal with the mullahs despite the fact that it gave Iran an open road to a nuclear arsenal and upended the U.S. alliance structure in the Middle East, imperiling America's Arab allies and Israel.

After then president Donald Trump abandoned the nuclear deal in 2018 due to Iran's breach of the limitations the deal placed on its nuclear activities, Biden vowed that he would return to the deal if elected.

Shortly after his election, he removed Iran's Yemeni proxy, the Houthis from the list of foreign terrorist organizations. He ended the effective enforcement of U.S. sanctions against Iran and over the past year has abrogated many of the most effective sanctions. Biden also persuaded South Korea and Qatar to pay Iran billions of dollars.

And Biden appointed Malley to lead the U.S. negotiating team. Far from seeking to stiffen Malley's appeasatory position on Iran, Biden has given him full backing against all detractors. When Prime Minister Naftali Bennett refused to meet with Malley during his visit to Israel last November, Biden reacted with rage. Biden took his revenge on Bennett by refusing to speak with the Israeli premier for several months.

Two weeks ago, three members of the U.S. negotiating team in Vienna resigned. Led by Malley's deputy, international sanctions expert Richard Nephew the three viewed Malley's genuflective posture towards Iran as counterproductive. According to the Wall Street Journal the three men believed that given Iran's nuclear progress, the 2015 deal was no longer relevant. They also advocated censuring Iran for its breaches of the nuclear deal through the UN's International Atomic Energy Agency. The dispute between Malley and Nephew was brought before Biden. Biden sided with Malley. Nephew and his colleagues resigned.

The consonance between Malley and Biden's policies leads inevitably to the conclusion that the administration is not a partner to those seeking to block Iran's path to nuclear weapons. It is Iran's partner. No deal that Biden is interested in will block Iran's path to a nuclear arsenal. If a deal is concluded, Iran and its terror proxies will be its only beneficiaries. They will be enriched by the abrogation of the sanctions.

How is this reality reflected in Israel?

The Bennett-Lapid-Gantz government's actions on Iran give the impression that Israel's leaders will not accept that this is the reality in which we operate. Monday, after speaking with Biden for the first time in months, Bennett said that Israel is maintaining its freedom to act "in any situation," regardless of whether an agreement is reached between Iran and the world powers.

Who was Bennett speaking to when he said that?

He wasn't talking to the domestic audience. There's no debate in Israel about whether Israel should retain its ability and freedom to act independently to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons. Israelis take it as a given that this is the government's policy.

The obvious audience for Bennett's statement was the Biden administration. Bennett's statement, like his dispatch of his national security advisor Eyal Hulata to Washington this week both demonstrated that Bennett still believes that Biden is interested in what Israel has to say, that Israel can influence Biden's stance on Iran. And Bennett is not alone. Foreign Minister Yair Lapid and Defense Minister Benny Gantz also have made clear by their statements and actions that they do not understand that Israel has no one to work with on anything related to Iran in the Biden administration.

Some might argue that Israel has no choice but to present its relations with the Biden administration as harmonious. Acknowledging that the Biden administration is hostile to Israel's existential interests would undermine Israel's international position.

But there is a flipside to this argument. The gushing praise Bennett, Lapid and Gantz heap on Biden and his team, and their truckling lobbying efforts towards them alienate Israel's actual allies in the effort to block Iran from becoming a nuclear armed regional hegemon. Israel's allies include the Sunni Arab states in the Persian Gulf, Egypt, and the Republicans in Congress. They are all aghast at Biden's pro-Iran positions. And for them, Jerusalem's genuflections and praise for Biden are a sign that Israel is no longer serious about preventing Iran from becoming an nuclear armed state.

The Saudis and Emiratis are responding the administration's betrayal by hedging their bets. On the one hand, they are reaching out to Tehran. And together with Egypt, they are strengthening their ties with China and Russia. On the other hand, they are keeping close to Israel. Gantz's visit to Bahrain, like President Isaac Herzog's visit to Abu Dhabi over the past week were signs that the Arab states still hold out hope that Israel will take matters in hand and reinstate itself as the leader in the fight against Iran.

As for the Republicans, they have remained stalwart in their opposition to reinstating the 2015 nuclear deal and removing the sanctions on Iran. This week 32 Republican senators signed a letter to Biden warming that they will block the implementation of any deal that is not brought to the Senate for approval.

A reality-based Israeli policy would focus on working with Israel's allies, who see the Iranian threat as Israel sees it, not with an administration that views Israel as a threat to its goal of realigning America away from Israel and the Sunni Arabs and towards Iran and its terror proxies. So long as Israel's leaders remain in denial about Biden's support for the Iranian regime, they will undermine Israel's standing in the eyes of its allies and so weaken Israel's ability to act either alone or with those allies to block Iran's march to the bomb.

Bill Would Ban Abortions *cont. from page 22*

of Americans believe abortion should be illegal once an unborn baby's heartbeat can be detected.

"A heartbeat is an undeniable sign of life, and reminds us of the humanity of unborn children who are deserving of protection," said Gracie Skogman, legislative director at Wisconsin Right to Life. "The Wisconsin Heartbeat Act, if passed, would be a powerful step forward in ensuring the protection of all children, and furthers our mission of making abortion both illegal and unthinkable."

The Wisconsin legislature has a Republican majority, and lawmakers have passed several pro-life bills in recent years. However, Gov. Tony Evers, a pro-abortion Democrat, has vetoed all of them.

This week, the Planned Parenthood abortion chain attacked the new heartbeat bill as "extreme," according to the AP.

"Our family members, friends, and neighbors … should not be denied the ability to safely access time-sensitive health care," said Mike Murray, executive director of Planned Parenthood Advocates of Wisconsin.

But killing unborn babies in abortions is not health care, and Skogman said it's clear that unborn babies are valuable even at their earliest stage of life.

"Ultrasound technology allows mothers to hear the beating of their child's heart as early as six weeks," she said. "Furthermore, by six weeks, a baby's brain and spinal cord have started to develop, blood vessels begin forming in the circulatory system, and the baby has begun to develop pain receptors."

Since 1973, the U.S. Supreme Court has forced states to legalize abortion on demand under Roe v. Wade. States that want to protect unborn babies may only do so once they reach the point of viability, currently about 22 weeks. Roe made the United States one of only seven countries in the world that allows elective abortions up to birth.

However, the Supreme Court gave renewed hope to the pro-life movement last year when it refused twice to block the Texas heartbeat law. As a result, thousands of unborn babies' lives have been saved from abortions since September.

Polls indicate that most Americans want stronger protections for unborn babies. Gallup has been asking about the legality of abortions by trimester for decades. Its polls have found steady, strong opposition to abortion on demand. Additionally, a 2019 Hill-HarrisX poll found that 55 percent of voters said they do not think laws banning abortions after six weeks – when an unborn baby's heartbeat is detectable – are too restrictive.

Canada province lifts all Covid restrictions amid protests



A protester walks in front of parked trucks as demonstrators continue to protest vaccine mandates on February 8, 2022 in Ottawa, Canada *Dave Chan AFP*

Ottawa (AFP) – Canada's Saskatchewan province announced Tuesday the lifting of all Covid restrictions including wearing of masks and proof of vaccination for indoor dining -- as truckers continued occupying Ottawa with similar demands.

Alberta was expected to soon follow suit -- despite some pushback from doctors and nurses -- while Quebec announced it would move up the loosening of restrictions.

Most of the Saskatchewan measures introduced in September, when the Delta variant of Covid-19 was spreading across Canada, will end at midnight on Sunday.

Indoor masking requirements and quarantines for anyone who tests positive, however, will remain in place until the end of the month.

"This policy has run its course," Saskatchewan Premier Scott Moe told a news conference.

The premier noted that more than 80 percent of Saskatchewan residents had received two Covid vaccine jabs, and about half got a booster -- similar to data for the country as a whole -- and that it was now time to treat Covid as endemic.

Alberta Premier Jason Kenney has proposed a phased removal of pandemic restrictions in his province. He is to provide details this week.

Meanwhile Quebec Premier Francois Legault signaled on Tuesday the lifting of Covid measures in his jurisdiction by mid-March.

"We will need to learn to live with the virus. There may be a sixth wave eventually, but we will have to live with Covid," Legault said, pointing to falling Covid hospitalizations.

Moe, who contracted Covid last month, has endorsed a convoy of truckers that converged on Ottawa to call for an end to vaccine mandates for travel between Canada and the United States.

Vaccine mandates for travelers are set by the federal government, but most other Covid measures are the responsibility of provincial authorities.

"It's time," he'd said in a video address last week for Canadians to regain their freedom and to be "getting your life back to normal."

Mayor Watson declares state of emergency for Ottawa due to ongoing demonstration

Ottawa February 6, 2022 - The Mayor has now declared a state of emergency for the City of Ottawa due to the "serious danger and threat to the safety and security of residents posed by the ongoing demonstrations." Here is the press release: https://ottawa.ca/en/news/mayor-watson-declares-state-emergency-ottawa-due-ongoing-demonstration.

One of my daughters and I went to the secondary demonstration site at Coventry Road today and found nothing but friendly, peaceful (while tired) truckers (no honking at all) and supporters. The truckers' security man told us that any homeless or other person who would like food just has to show up and they will be fed in the large heated tent they have there since he says they now have more food than they need. There were about 100 friendly people eating and visiting in the tent when we were there. The 70+ year old lady in the Information booth at the entrance to the protest site was from the local Ottawa community and told us that, while she is not a trucker, she simply wanted to help out. My wife and one of our daughters went to the primary demonstration site right downtown last weekend and found the same as we did today, simply friendly (albeit noisy on that occasion) folks working together for an important cause. I am keen to hear what others have found in their visits.

Aside from the protestors who were intentionally rammed by a van at the Winnipeg protest site, I am not aware of any "serious danger and threat to the safety and security of residents posed by the ongoing demonstrations." Has anyone receiving this e-mail seen any evidence of "serious danger and threat to the safety and security of residents posed by the ongoing demonstrations?" If so, I am all ears as we may be referencing this in an article we are working on tomorrow.

Truckers Unite

continued from page 1

convoy protest where "everything and everyone on wheels" was welcome.

"Omrop Fryslân reported that about 25 trucks, dozens of cars and several tractors gathered in Leeuwarden to drive past all 11 Frisian cities to protest the coronavirus restrictions," the Netherlands Times reported Sunday. "A convoy also drove around in Overijssel, which according to RTV Oost, consists of dozens of demonstrators in trucks and cars that would move from Hengelo to Zwolle. Convoys were also seen in Noord-Holland, Flevoland and Limburg."

According to the Toronto Sun, the Canada's Freedom Convoy "could comprise 50,000 trucks.

"[W]e want to have our choice again, and we want hope – and the government has taken that away," Harold Jonker, a truck driver, told the BBC.

Canada's leftist prime minister, Justin Trudeau, and major media in Canada and the U.S. continue to attempt to smear the truckers as radical extremists. Trudeau labeled the group as a "small, fringe minority of people who are on their way to Ottawa or who are holding unacceptable views that they are expressing do not represent the views of Canadians following the science."

Convoy leader Pat King said in a video posted to social media that police in Ottawa have done an "amazing job" and showed "real compassion" for the truckers.

Gen Thomas McInerney noted in a Telegram post: "Canada a socialist country has started a revolution. United States of America a Constitutional Republic has not."

He added: "The Canadian trucker convoy is one of the best forms of vaccine protest that I have ever seen. A country can't go on without supplies being brought in by truckers. It's a genius move to use this form of protest and I hope it is replicated all around the world until these tyrannical governments are brought to heel."

As protestors demonstrated for a third consecutive day on Monday over a cross-border vaccine mandate for truckers imposed by the leftist government in Ottawa, Trudeau, who has refused to meet with the truckers, said the protests were "an insult to memory and truth."

A Conservative member of Canadian parliament called on Trudeau to apologize to the protesters he's accused of spreading "hateful rhetoric."

"I do get very defensive of Canadians who are outside today. Patriotic, peace loving Canadians who are called misogynist and racist by the prime minister," Conservative Member of Parliament Candice Bergen said in the House of Commons on Monday. "So again, I will ask the prime minister, who may I remind this House, wore blackface on more times than he can remember. Apologize to the peace loving, patriotic Canadians who are outside right now,"

A journalist for Canadian news outlet CBC actually suggested that Russia was behind the massive protest.

"Given Canada's support of Ukraine in this current crisis with Russia, I don't know if it is far-fetched to ask, but there is concern that Russian actors could be continuing to fuel things as this protest grows. But perhaps, even instigating it from the outset," CBC host Nil Koksal said.

Big Tech melts down: Truck convoys, Joe Rogan are frying their circuits

Analysis by WorldTribune Staff, Feb. 6, 2022

Can you be knocked senseless if you don't have any sense to begin with?

After taking several severe blows from freedom fighters over the past few weeks, the Big Tech freedom suppressors have doubled down on censoring those who don't adhere to their leftist narrative.

To recap:

• GoFundMe took down the fundraising effort for the Freedom Convoy in Canada.

• Facebook removed a group organizing a Freedom Convoy to Washington, D.C.

• Spotify removed dozens of episodes of "The Joe Rogan Experience" amid calls from the Left, including the White House, to squelch the popular podcaster's free speech rights.



Freedom Convoy in Ottawa

In halting the campaign for the Freedom Convoy, which had raised over $10 million, GoFundMe claimed to "now have evidence from law enforcement that the previously peaceful demonstration has become an occupation, with police reports of violence and other unlawful activity."

In a statement Friday, the company said "Following a review of relevant facts and multiple discussions with local law enforcement and city officials, this fundraiser is now in violation of our Terms of Service (Term 8, which prohibits the promotion of violence and harassment) and has been removed from the platform."

The Canadian Trucker Convoy has since restarted its fundraiser on the Christian crowdfunding alternative GiveSendGo, raising more than $1 million in less than a day.

The Ottawa Police Department tweeted out a statement that thanked GoFundMe for caving to the city's demands to withhold funding from the convoy.

The OPD statement also called on other platforms to follow suit, while labeling the grassroots movement against Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's authoritarianism an "unlawful demonstration."

"We want to thank GoFundMe for listening to our concerns as a City and a police service. The decision to withhold funding for these unlawful demonstrations is an important step and we call on all crowdfunding sites to follow," the department tweeted.

Continue reading at www.trib247.com/articles