Reality News Media Group LLC

The Reality News

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com December 2024 Vol. 15, No. 12

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner

Reality News
Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
HUBERTUS WI
PERMIT NO. 106



Democrats lost by overly orchestrating anti-Trump efforts

Nancy Pelosi got blamed for top-down "orchestration," but the Cabal was broader than that

By Ken Reid

The primary reasons voters elected Donald Trump over Kamala Harris and chose a Republican Congress were Joe Biden and the Democrats' miserable handling of the economy, immigration and foreign policy – and their embrace of far-left "woke" polices, like sex-change operations for prisoners and other "transgender rights."

However, the Democrat "strategy" of hitting Trump with multiple indictments, tagging him and his supporters as "existential threats to democracy," and scaring women over abortion rights after the Supreme Court's Dobbs decision may also have backfired, because these efforts were really designed to deflect attention from the harmful impacts of Biden's bad policies and actions.

Topping it off, when he was pushed off the ticket for Vice President Kamala Harris in July, many voters may have smelled a rat. In essence, all of this was masterminded by a few political chieftains, notably former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-

continued on page 10

Avoiding self-inflicted trade and economic wounds

European debates and experiences offer guidance for President Trump and Congress

By Paul Dreissen

President-elect Trump says he will impose tariffs on foreign products, to raise revenue and in response to practices that give foreign manufacturers unfair advantages over American companies. Europe's debates over similar issues offer relevant guidance, advisories and impetus.

As the European Union looks ahead to the next five-year term of its new European Commission and Parliament, officials talk about playing "its full part on the world stage in geopolitics." Europe and the world heard it before, when Ursula von der Leyen promised a "geopolitical Commission" at the start of her first presidential term in Brussels in 2019. It didn't happen then, and it's not likely to happen now.



continued on page 9

Observations on the 2024 Election

APC Supporters and media contacts,

I want to share this article with you from my friend Mark Lerner and his Constitutional Alliance. I've worked with them for years as they have led the fight to stop the creation of a total surveillance society over our personal lives. The Alliance is also a big supporter of APC's fight to build Freedom Pods and organize at the local level to stop massive government overreach. They have a vital voice in dealing with many leaders in the new Trump Administration. Please read and post. I hope you'll consider supporting the Constitutional Alliance.

Tom DeWeese, President of American Policy Center

By Mark Lerner

I will be referring to President Elect Trump as President Trump because the public and media must look forward, not debate the past and what could have been. Those that do not accept President Trump has a mandate are being intellectually dishonest. President Trump won all swing states, and the Republicans also took back the

continued on page 20

Ave RFK Jr., we salute you

By John Leake

If RFK, Jr.'s appointment to become Secretary of Health and Human Services is confirmed by the Senate, this New Sheriff In Town will be in a position to make life uniquely uncomfortable for the legion of captured bureaucrats who infest the many agencies that will be obliged to report to him.

I worry this may be a big if, as I imagine the Vaccine Cartel is currently pulling every string, calling every favor, and even resorting to threats and blackmail in order to prevent RFK, Jr.'s confirmation.

continued on page 16



20 (or so) Obvious Questions About January 6

The FBI Admits Having 26 "Assets" in the Crowd. Who Else Had Assets and How Many?

By Jack Cashill from The American Thinker, Dec. 14th, 2024

Even before Donald Trump ascends to the presidency on January 20, his appointees should ask themselves the questions that follow—all of them simple and straightforward. With Christopher Wray stepping down from the FBI directorship, they will have a much better chance of getting straight answers quickly.

Trump's team should then share those answers widely. This information will make President Trump's pardon of more than 1500 Americans

continued on page 12

RIP Green New Scam: Efficiency Commission's First Target

By William Kovacs

President-elect Donald Trump intends to establish an Efficiency Commission, a body dedicated to identifying and eliminating inefficiencies within the federal government and reducing its size. Elon Musk appears eager to accept the challenge. While similar commissions have produced mixed results, Musk has one advantage over prior commissions: the amount of currently available information on federal inefficiencies is massive. Moreover, Trump has already identified target number 1, the subsidies given out by policies forming the Green New Deal, or as Trump calls it, "the Green New Scam."



The Biden administration's climate policy is a brilliant strategy. It uses tax subsidies instead of substantive law and the regulatory process, making it very difficult for opponents to challenge the subsidies since Congress has almost unlimited discretion over taxing and spending. Fortunately

continued on page 5

Reformist Trump Cabinet Spells Trouble for Alphabet Agencies

By Lary Bell

In addition to the creation of a temporary new Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) headed by entrepreneurs Elon Musk and Vivek Ramaswamy to cut government waste and inflation, President-elect Trump is picking strong cabinet leaders who are committed to related goals of permanently dismantling the bloated federal government bureaucracy and slashing excess regulations.

The DOJ: America has witnessed wholesale politization of the Department of Justice beginning with President Obama's self-proclaimed "wingman" Attorney General Eric Holder followed by President Joe Biden's Attorney General Merrick Garland which has similarly directed investigations and lawfare intimidations against political rivals centrally including former President Trump and his associates.



Former Florida

continued on page 18

Steve's Say . . .

2024: The Year God Reversed the Globalist Tide of Human Destruction



God's perfect Holy Plan cannot be stopped, delayed or altered. He uses all of us, regardless of our belief systems or profession of faith in Him, to realize every aspect and detail of His Holy Will to come forth at the proper time.

Whether we acknowledge Him or not, whatever good we accomplish in the world occurs by design of His Will. As soon as we begin to take credit for these outcomes instead of giving the glory to God, where it belongs, we begin to tread down the path of vanity and haughtiness, eventually convincing ourselves that we don't need God's Law or guidance to accomplish the "good" we claim responsibility to have created. That road leads any traveler very quickly into a deep dark pit of dissatisfaction and despair, a hole impossible to crawl out of without the help of our one and only Savior, Jesus Christ.

This condition describes the experience of every single human being that inhabited this Earth since Adam and Eve, slaves to the original sin of our first parents. Instead of being loving recipients of the gifts of abundance of God, trusting in His goodness and becoming faithful stewards to His Will, we decide that's not enough. We want to be glorified and praised. We want to be God, a seductive deceit with a wholly destructive end. That choice mandated death for us all, the true wages of sin.

When God gave Adam the decree not to eat from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, he certainly knew Adam would someday breech His commandment. Therefore He had to have His Holy Plan of Salvation already conceived and in place. Why would He do such a thing? Simple. Without a free will to choose between good and evil, no true love can exist. True love can only be chosen, not forced or mandated.

Why God allows suffering in this world, especially upon the very innocent, seems like a very hard question to answer. But without evil present in our world, how would we know what love is or isn't? With all the opportunities to identify the evil surrounding us, and come to the aid of those suffering from it, how could we develop into understanding the real and true love of God and the glory of His Holy Plan? How could we ever grow into a faithful, loving personal relationship with Him, the entire reason He created the human race in His image and likeness?

Ultimately, God unapologetically allows evil in the world because it leads to His greater glory. He can demonstrate to us over and over how loving, merciful and powerful He is and how much He cares for each and every one of us. And He so much loved the world that He sent His one and only Son into it to provide a pathway to eternal salvation for all who believe in Him.

So let's apply all of these concepts discussed above to America and the world of today. Our Declaration of Independence claims God as our ultimate sovereign, and everything flows down from there, codified in the Constitution, the pact between The People and their government under God's Law. Since the 250 years of our Declaration, much of America abandoned these ideals, trading their trust in God to trust in government. And the result? A bloated and tyrannical bureaucratic state, a military-industrial complex facilitating perpetual wars fueled by the fake, fiat currencies of the world banksters, and a Satanic culture of death, the depopulation agenda.

Abortions sacrifice millions of our children each year, many more enslaved for sex, hard labor, organ and adrenochrome harvesting as the "lost" 500,000 migrant children clearly unveils, not to mention all of the adults of all ages ensnared in that labyrinth of misery and torture. Slavery never ended and probably never will until the Savior returns. It remains alive and growing, still the largest and most money-producing enterprise on the planet. Insanity.

Any and all institutions populated with human beings, the fallen creatures that we discussed earlier, eventually become corrupt. The bigger these institutions become, the more opportunity for corruption seeps into its everyday operation. Fake news and false causes litter the landscape of political dialogue, covering up criminal activity while convincing the naive, uninformed or willfully ignorant that nothing's wrong here.

But in 2024 the tide finally reversed. Enough people awoke from their naivety, opened their minds and ears to Real News based upon real truth, or finally decided to confront the unpleasant facts engulfing them in their lives. The unrelenting exposure of transgressions of their government, done in their name, horrified all who understood, and moved many to action. So the 2024 Presidential election burgeoned into "to big to rig," returning our rightful leader back to governing the pathway forward for America.

Trump immediately embraced his yoke of leadership, nominating Super Patriots like Homan, Bondi and Patel to uncover, expose and rectify the ubiquitous government corruption and end the weaponization of agencies against We the People. The swamp is wide and deep. Many in Washington and beyond will fall. But they won't go quietly for they know what awaits them if the Patriots follow through on all the remedies Trump articulated in the past year.

The DOGE duo of Elon and Vivek could not demonstrate better the return of America to trust in God instead of trust in government. The plan they aim to implement will necessarily require individuals and families to reengage fully into their personal responsibilities and commitments to each other, exactly the way God proscribes in His Holy Word. The inevitable deregulation dividend from these efforts will fund entrepreneurship, allowing innovative ideas to bloom forth in the world corporate slavery desert. Free Enterprise, God's economic system, will see a rebirth in America and then throughout the world.

By returning to tariffs to fund federal operations and killing off federal individual income tax, Trump will bring back the original constitutional framework America requires to be One Nation Under God. Trump understands America's most valuable asset and most powerful weapon, America's consumer market, can be harnessed to compel peace throughout the world while advancing our security at home. And ultimately by eliminating income tax and returning back to the constitution, Trump will return America to a gold backed currency, destroying the Federal Reserve Central Bank, for the 3rd time in America's history, and freeing the citizens once again from the bankster slavery of interest paid on borrowed fiat currency.

Already national leaders capitulated to Trump's demands, as Canada, Mexico and France so clearly showed these past 2 weeks. They all know the greatness of Trump's leadership ability and the strength of his support, not only from the American people but a big majority of people around the world. None of this could be possible without the death of the Fake News. Great Job Anons!

Accomplishing these goals of the MAGA agenda will not be easy. The drone activity occurring over many East Coast states and many military bases across the nation prove the war between the Black Hat and White Hat elements in our government and military continues to heat up, portending something really big about to happen. None of us knows the timing or exact nature of whats coming, but that's a good thing. If we knew, the bad guys would also know.

In the end, we have to trust God and His Holy Plan, which never fails and comes forth at its appointed time. So celebrate the birth of Jesus Christ, the Savior the Father sent forth at His appointed time, to pave a pathway to Him for all who believe in the Son.

May the Joy of the Christmas season fill your hearts and His grace, glory and abundance bless your homes.

REALITY NEWS
RealityNewsMedia.com
StevenWelcenbach *Owner/Editor*
414-491-3421
We'd like to hear from you.
Please e-mail article content to realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com
For advertising information call 414-491-3421
We reserve the right to edit or reject content.
Printed by a Local Company: 414-840-0299

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____
Mailing Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____
☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ DISCOVER ☐ AMERICAN EXPRESS
Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____
Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and apprreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

-Ppage 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to happen..."

Order Melissa's Book on Amazon!

Search: Something Beautiful is Goind to Happen . . . by Melissa Young.





Quit Fearmongering, Climate Change is Not a National Security Threat

By Linnea Lueken and H. Sterling Burnett

A recent post at The Nation titled "Climate Change Is the Real National Security Threat" claims that climate change is the reason hurricanes Helene and Milton were so damaging and that it is the premier national security threat to the United States. This is nonsense. Not only is there no evidence supporting the idea that climate change is "supercharging" hurricanes, but contrary to The Nation's assertions, mass migration and unrest are also not being caused by climate change.

The Nation begins by describing the usual threat assessment reports given to Congress by the Director of National Intelligence (DNI). This report covers a range of international threats like our enemies abroad, international conflicts etc., but The Nation highlights and amplifies a section in the report which cites climate change is a threat.

The Nation writes:

"…in a section on "transnational issues," are we told that climate change also poses a risk to US security, by triggering mass migrations and unrest overseas. Missing from the DNI's warning, however, is the threat that climate change poses to our country—to our lives, communities, and vital infrastructure. Now, in the wake of Hurricanes Helene and Milton, this oversight should be recognized as one of the nation's greatest intelligence failures ever."

The Nation claims that "while we've spent trillions of dollars on the ostensible effort to defend our country and our allies from hostile nations, we've done pitifully little to protect ourselves or others from the destructive forces of climate change." This claim is ironic since federal, state, and local governments have spent trillions of dollars on green energy boondoggles, climate related subsidies, and implementing and enforcing climate restrictions over the past couple of decades. This fact is conveniently ignored by The Nation and the numerous climate grifters, who keep demanding ever more money and power.

This is an especially grotesque amount of money spent because there is no evidence that the money being spent or the restrictions imposed on people's freedom have had or will have any impact on climate change; or that climate change, based on the impacts so far, is a problem warranting government action to prevent it at all.

For instance, the DNI report claims that climate change triggers mass migrations and unrest overseas. This is false. So-called "climate refugees" have not materialized in over a hundred years of climate change, even as alarmists claimed that nations would be displaced due to rising seas. As discussed in Climate at a Glance: Climate Refugees, nearly all the nations that are commonly listed as at risk due to crop failures have actually seen increasing crop yields during this allegedly dangerous period. Data show that deaths due to climate and weather-related disasters have actually declined over the past few decades.

Figure 1: Source: Nima Yaghmaei et al., The Human Cost of Disasters: An Overview of the Last 20 Years (2000-2019), U.N. Office for Disaster Risk Reduction and Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters, October 13, 2020, https://www.undrr.org/media/48008/download

Bad weather can cause unrest, but it doesn't mean climate change is the cause of any bad weather or weather related unrest abroad. As discussed in more than 70 posts at Climate Realism addressing similar claims, in the countries where mass emigration has occurred in recent years, it has been driven by war, civil strife, and political unrest, and economic conditions unrelated to changing climate conditions in the form of long term trends in worsening weather or agricultural or economic decline.

The Nation's claim that hurricanes Helene and Milton were made more destructive because of climate change equally lacks any basis in scientific fact or data. The magazine writes: "[t]he destructive powers of Helene and Milton were vastly amplified by the effects of climate change," because of rising water temperatures due to human emissions of greenhouse gases, which then created "the energy that transforms ordinary hurricanes into superstorms like Helene and Milton." This is false. Climate Realism has debunked similar claims made by various mainstream media outlets that climate change caused or contributed to Hurricanes Helene and Milton in multiple posts, here, here, here, and here, as a few examples.

While warm waters do contribute to hurricane formation, this has always been the case. However, the majority of this year's warming is known and widely reported to have been driven by a natural El Niño event in the Pacific. It is notable that The Nation neglected to mention this fact, when other outlets, for instance, the typically-alarmist BBC acknowledged it. Warm water is a necessary but not sufficient condition for hurricane strength and formation. In a recent Climate Realism post, here, the South China Morning Post admits that, in addition to warm waters, factors such as wind shear and favorable regional circulation patterns contribute to or prevent the formation of cyclones.

Data show that hurricanes have not been getting more extreme amid the modest warming of the past century, as discussed dozens of times at Climate Realism. This fact is backed up by hurricane records that have been reported even by outlets like CBS.

Weather resilience is worthy of planning for and funding and critical for national security, but what The Nation is asking for is not that. They are asking for societal restructuring based on the false premise that current and future weather can and needs to be changed by human efforts, otherwise, the magazine implies, U.S. national security is at risk. No one should fall for this falsehood. The U.S. does face a variety of national security threats; climate change is not among them, much less it being the most important threat security threat the nation faces. Quite simply, the claim that climate change poses a national security threat to the United States is, in the words President-elect Trump uses to describe climate change itself, "a hoax."

The Dark Truth Behind the Climate Agenda

Climate Cult uncovers the sinister truth behind the climate change agenda, showing how it undermines personal freedom, drives inflation, and redefines foundational values like justice and equality. Rooted in Marxist ideology and propagated by powerful global institutions, this agenda isn't about protecting the planet—it's about controlling people.



With compelling research and bold facts, Climate Cult will equip you to stand firm in defense of liberty against a movement determined to reshape society and erode the American way of life.

While supply lasts, from now through the end of December, as our way of saying "Thank you!" when you donate any amount and request it, we'll send you a FREE copy of this great book. To request yours, simply donate online at www.CornwallAlliance.org/donate, or by mailing your check to Cornwall Alliance, 875 W. Poplar Ave. Suite 23-284, Collierville, TN 38017, and when you do, just be sure to ask for A Very Climate Cult or mention Promo Code 24-12. But act soon; the offer is good as long as supply lasts, or to the end of December and we expect lots of requests for this book!



Cornwall Alliance
For The Stewardship Of Creation

Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance

The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

Top 10 Climate and Energy Action Items for President Trump in His Second Term

By James Taylor, Steven Milloy

This list was compiled and presented by The Heartland Institute, E&E Legal Institute, Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT), Truth in Energy and Climate, and The American Energy Institute.

1. Paris Climate Treaty and Endangerment Finding. Determine that the Paris climate agreement is properly a treaty (rather than a mere "Executive agreement") that requires Senate ratification. Transmit the treaty to the Senate for its advice. This is will legally relieve the US of any and all obligations under the treaty until and unless the Senate formally ratifies it. Repeal the Obama/Biden EPA determination that carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases are threats to the public health and welfare (the "endangerment finding").

2. EV mandate and California waiver. Repeal the Biden tailpipe rule/EV mandate and withdraw the California CO2 waiver that allows California to set tailpipe emissions and de facto national gas mileage standards. Request legislation clarifying that the 1975 Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) pre-empts state regulation of fuel economy, including Clean Air Act waivers, and that clarifies that the Clean Air Act cannot be used to regulate CO2. 3. Green New Scam and Grid Security. Freeze all Inflation Reduction Act climate/energy spending pending review. Ask Congress to repeal all the energy subsidies in the Inflation Reduction Act through budget reconciliation. For national and economic security purposes, bar electricity grid reliance on variable sources of electricity generation such as wind and solar.

4. Oil and Gas. Restore active federal oil and gas leasing on federal lands and offshore, including the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska (NPRA) and the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) in Alaska. Lifting moratoria on offshore drilling in areas put off limits by prior presidents. Reverse the Biden moratorium on federal leasing for coal mining. Streamline the permitting process for energy production. End the Biden moratorium on LNG export terminals.

5. Presidential Appointments. Appoint officials at federal agencies like EPA, Interior, DoE, FERC and other key agencies who will aggressively permit new oil and gas pipelines, LNG terminals and other infrastructure required for producing oil, gas and coal. Streamline the permitting process. Terminate all existing federal science advisory boards and reconstitute only the ones legally required. Appoint qualified and pro-energy individuals to the boards.

6. Offshore wind. Foreign offshore wind developers threaten consumers, endangered species, and iconic maritime communities whose prosperity depends on the fishing. The industrialization of fisheries by offshore wind development should be terminated by delisting unleased wind energy areas, revoking the "30×30"

continued on page 7



THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization founded in 1984 and located in Arlington Heights, Illinois.

We have deep expertise on climate change and energy policy, budget and tax issues, school reform, health care policy, and the rise of socialism.

We host several websites including heartland.org, heartlanddailynews.com, climaterealism.com, climateataglance.com, and stoppingsocialism.com.

We also produce podcasts, e-newsletters, videos, books, policy studies, and shorter publications.

We have a comprehensive government relations program as well. We are a trusted resource for state elected officials across the country.

Our e-newsletter, *Heartland Weekly,* is free. Donations are tax-deductible.



Contact Us
The Heartland Institute
3939 North Wilke Road
Arlington Heights, Illinois 60004
312-377-4000
webmaster@heartland.org

International and state interference in US energy policy must end

Trump and the Supreme Court should restore our national energy and climate sovereignty

By Paul Driessen

America has long considered Europe an exemplar and leader in cultural and political thought. We've frequently cited European laws, policies and viewpoints as guidance for how the United States should change or behave on multiple issues.

Even after the USA eclipsed the Euro continent economically, militarily and (some say) culturally this past century, we have generally continued to do this. In recent years, we've even done so on energy policy, in response to false claims that Earth's climate is changing dangerously due to man's activities.

We've done it despite witnessing how German, British and European electricity prices have skyrocketed, industries have lost millions of jobs, blackouts have become more frequent, and people cannot afford to heat their homes properly, because of those climate and energy policies.

President Obama unilaterally followed Europe in signing the Paris climate treaty, obligating America to stop using abundant, reliable, affordable fossil fuels; switch to expensive, unreliable, weather-dependent wind and solar power for electricity generation, transportation, manufacturing and defense; pay "developing countries" tens of billions a year in "reparations" for climate change; and let UN and foreign politicians and bureaucrats exert control over America's future.

Trump45 withdrew the USA from the pact. President Biden re-subjugated us. Trump47 plans to pull us out again – but should also send the treaty to the Senate for prompt attention, debate and likely rejection under our Constitution's "treaty clause" (Article II, Section 2), as should have been done years ago.

That would be a major step in meeting his pledge for renewed commonsense regulation, energy dominance and resurgent economic vitality.

Meanwhile, a week after Mr. Trump's reelection as President, a legal ruling at The Hague shows European views on energy policy may likewise be moving back toward common sense.

On November 12, The Hague's Appeals Court judges overturned a May 2021 District Court order requiring that Shell Oil Company accelerate its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction levels by 45% by 2030, versus the company's overall 2019 GHG emissions.

The 45% ruling reflected Paris Agreement goals and would have applied to Shell's direct and indirect operational emissions (drilling, production, refining and pipelines), as well as total emissions by its oil and natural gas retail customers worldwide. If the lower court opinion had stood, Shell would have been compelled to more than double its "net carbon intensity" reductions beyond its existing pledge.

The appellate judges refused to impose any specific reduction targets for Shell, citing the company's substantial emissions reduction efforts, plus insufficient scientific consensus around GHG reduction percentages needed by individual energy companies.

Unfortunately, they still held that Shell had a general legal duty to curb the effects of supposed manmade climate change. How that might happen – amid rapidly growing GHG emissions by China, India, Indonesia, Vietnam and other rapidly developing countries – the judges did not say. That reality, and the soaring prices and job losses, are driving Europe's rising anger over energy and climate policies.

Other than "red counties" and the new Trump Administration, is America moving toward common sense? The jury is still out, as 30-plus U.S. state and local climate lawsuits are still pending. However, there is at least one bright spot among all that litigation.

In July, a circuit court in Maryland dismissed the City of Baltimore's lawsuit alleging violations of Maryland's nuisance, trespass and deceptive marketing laws by multiple energy companies. Judge Videtta Brown ruled that emissions produced outside Maryland were beyond the authority of state law.

She also called the city's efforts to hold energy companies accountable for supposed misinformation an attempt "to get in the back door what they cannot get in the front door."

This was the third climate lawsuit against energy companies dismissed (New York City's in 2021) or narrowed in scope (Delaware's earlier this year), with claims deemed largely preempted by federal law.

Judge Brown's analysis reflects pro-industry arguments presented by 19 state attorneys general, alleging before the Supreme Court that lawsuits spearheaded by Connecticut, California, New Jersey, Minnesota and Rhode Island attempt to regulate energy and commerce across all 50 states.

It's noteworthy that states with the greatest focus on climate change and wind, solar and battery power already have the most expensive residential electricity in America's Lower 48. At 13.9¢ per kilowatt-hour, Maryland is well behind California (19.9¢), Massachusetts (21.1¢) and Connecticut (21.6¢), but pricier than Louisiana (9.4¢), Tennessee (10.8¢) and 32 others. (It's much worse in über-green Germany.)

Despite Maryland's legal bright spot, however, the other 30-plus cases are charging ahead – because green activists have rigged court systems in their favor.

Activists at the Environmental Law Institute's Climate Judiciary Project

continued on page 14

HOT JACK

Idiots and Experts

By Jack

Donald Trump's Department of Government Efficiency is a concept that is WAY, WAY overdue and needs to be put into effect. But that gets me thinking. Why shouldn't Wisconsin do the same thing? Why should the people of

Wisconsin pay all the extra taxes to support government corruption, graft, and kickbacks that only benefit the Special Interests of the Elected Officials and the Officials themselves?

If there are whole departments of waste in the Federal Government, might there not be similar Institutions of Waste within the State bureaucracy? The Department of Public Instruction comes to mind. What do they do that your local School Board shouldn't be doing? How about the Wisconsin Election Commission? Why do we need to pay for a group of prosecution-immune people who BREAK our election laws and subvert our elections? I'm sure that you might have an additional idea or two to discuss with your Assemblyman and Senator.

Will the Politicians in Wisconsin man-up and do what the voters ultimately want, make the Government more efficient and LESS EXPENSIVE? Politicians? Do-what-the-voters want? What a concept! Initially you might think that this has ZERO chance of getting through the Legislature and being signed into law by Governor Gau. But is that really the case? Have political dynamics changed over the last two years?

Over the last two years the deal put together by Robbin' Vos, Tony Evers and Maggie Gau (Has anyone ever seen Tony and Maggie in the same room?) has cut the legs out from under the State Republicans (Be sure to thank Mr. Vos the next time you see him.) bringing the State's Legislative power to the edge of flipping over. During these same two years the re-emergence and re-election of DJT has brought forth a whole new style of Governance, one that is responsible to the PEOPLE. Imagine that! Now let's suppose that this new concept of Government catches on with the people. That could change the entire political scene for 2026.

If someone smarter than the current Republican leadership and their "Consultants" were to think about it just a little, they might see a Wisconsin D.O.G.E as the perfect political tool to both solidify control of the Legislature and reclaim the Governorship in 2026. If they were to push the issue, sell it to the People, bring it to a Vote in both houses, who would vote against it? Would the Swampy Republicans commit political suicide and risk certainly being primaried? Would the Dems resist going along with the National D.O.G.E trend, or would they flip the issue on the Republicans and use it themselves? Would Tony veto it thereby handing the Governor's office over to the competition? Aren't these some interesting questions?

But it has to start somewhere. It must start with the People pushing the concept with their State representation. But the most important step will be the support or non-support of the Legislative Leadership. Will Robbin' Vos be smart enough to push it forward? Will new leadership be named based on this very issue?

The answers to most of the above questions are still to be written and it'll be interesting to watch it all play out. There is one thing that is 100% true. 85+% of Wisconsin Voters will be in favor of a more efficient government. Even the Marquette Law School Poll could figure that out.

Radiation-Detecting Drones over New York and New Jersey?

By John Leake

It strikes me as extremely unlikely that the DoJ, FBI, CIA, and FAA do not know the identity of the flying objects spotted over New Jersey and New York City. According to multiple news reports, the FBI claims to be investigating these sightings, with an official acknowledging public concern while suggesting there might be a "slight overreaction." The Pentagon has repeatedly stated the drones are not of foreign origin.

I believe it is a reasonable assumption that at least some members of these federal agencies know the identity of these flying objects, but are, for some reason, unwilling to disclose it to the public.

An October, 31 2023 press release issued by the U.S. Department of Energy—Radiation-Detecting Drone Soars Over Portsmouth for Collaborative Testing—may yield a clue about the flying objects spotted over New York and New Jersey.

Is the Department of Energy or some other federal agency using the drones to try to detect radiation? To phrase the question in a more alarming way: has some federal agency received intelligence that a radiation emitting device has been planted somewhere in the greater New York City area?

On a highly personal note: For some time now I have worried that at least some of the puppet masters now running the Biden administration are extremely nefarious actors who may be tempted to stage a false flag attack in the United States in order to blame it on Russia so that the U.S. government will declare full scale war on Russia before Trump is sworn in on January 20, 2025. This could also serve as a pretense for declaring martial law before Trump is sworn in.

Though I am certainly NOT predicting it, I would not be surprised if a so-called "dirty bomb" combining conventional explosives with radioactive material is detonated in the greater New York area, with Russia (or Iran) blamed for it.

"What the welfare system and other kinds of governmental programs are doing is paying people to fail. In so far as they fail, they receive the money; in so far as they succeed, even to a moderate extent, the money is taken away." - Thomas Sowell

---

It is not sufficient to see and to know the beauty of a work. We must feel and be affected by it. - Voltaire

RIP Green New Scam

cont. from page 1

for taxpayers, the Biden administration's arrogant force-feeding of Americans with costly electric vehicles, windmills, solar panels, high energy bills, and expensive consumer products contributed to the defeat of Kamala Harris, a strong proponent of the scam.

The federal trough is filled with tax expenditures—tax credits, subsidies, grants, and deductions. While Musk can recommend draining the trough, real reform will require Congress to repeal Biden's Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act ("IIJA") and the Inflation Reduction Act ("IRA"), which are the legislative money laundering vehicles. The likely roadblock to success will be a Democrat-led Senate filibuster.

The subsidies are massive. Biden's IIJA contains 452 specific funding sources and gives away $850 billion in construction grants for transportation, energy, water, broadband, and environmental programs.

Biden's IRA gives away hundreds of billions and perhaps trillions in tax credits, loans, and loan guarantees to corporations undertaking the government's obsession with climate protection activities. The scale of these subsidies, estimated at $1.2 trillion by Goldman Sachs, is staggering. A few of the beneficiaries include electric vehicles ($379 billion), energy manufacturing ($156 billion), renewable electricity production ($82 billion), energy efficiency ($42 billion), hydrogen production ($36 billion), biofuels ($34 billion), and carbon capture and storage ($31 billion). The Energy Department is authorized to lend up to $400 billion to advance climate projects.

Two "poor" corporations received subsidies: Exxon, valued at $461 billion, received $7 billion for hydrogen and carbon capture, and Ford Motors received a $9.2 billion loan for two battery companies.

Perhaps the multi-trillion-dollar oil and gas industry is the most stunning illustration of corporate greed. It lobbied President-elect Trump to maintain the subsidy parts of the $1.6 trillion climate, energy, and infrastructure agenda that provides $369 billion in subsidies and tax credits for their "clean energy" projects. The oil and gas industries do not support tax credits for electric vehicles since they do not use gasoline. From the Bush administration, until Biden's subsidies became available, the oil and gas industry opposed most climate change laws, regulations, Executive Orders, and proposals. Suddenly, subsidies make their principles turn around.

Recently, Constellation Energy announced that it will reopen the infamous Three-Mile Island nuclear plant, known for its 1979 meltdown., The facility will sell its energy to Microsoft's massive AI data hog facilities. Of course, it will all happen using the corporate IRA tax subsidies. Microsoft has a market cap of $3.1 trillion, and Constellation is valued at $80 billion. The U.S. government is penniless and is $35 trillion in debt, yet it subsidizes wealthy corporations.

If repealing these climate tax expenditures fails, Congress should make all tax expenditures subject to the appropriations process so they are part of the budget process. Currently, Federal IRA tax expenditures are not subject to the appropriations process. There is an unlimited charge on the federal treasury since anyone who conforms to the government's desires gets credits that reduce their income tax. For example, taxpayers purchasing electric vehicles receive up to $7,500 in credits to reduce their federal income taxes. Other activities include battery storage, energy efficiency, residential green energy, hydrogen, carbon capture, solar and wind generation, and electric vehicles.

These federal tax credits create few jobs. "Total [cost for each green job created] range from $2 to $7 million per job." The jobs created, however, will only have an average annual wage of $45,000. Where have all the billions gone? Into corporate pockets everywhere!

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities estimates that in 2019, IRS tax expenditures carried a value of $1.3 trillion for the recipients. Additionally, the IRA tax credit adds another $1.2 trillion. None of these $2.5 trillion in tax credits is part of the appropriations process. No wonder Congress cannot control spending.

If both legislative efforts fail, there are options. Congress should request the General Accounting Office ("GAO") to audit the agencies that gave away hundreds of billions in less than two years. Trump should order the appropriate Inspector Generals to conduct audits and investigations. All financial irregularities should be prosecuted. Agencies like the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") do not have the experience or safeguards to distribute billions quickly.

Even with the subsidies, the subsidized projects are going bankrupt. Many wind and solar projects need help connecting to local power grids, which often require larger power lines and new transformers. The high cost of these upgrades results in canceled projects.

Other projects are abandoned due to a lack of corporate credit. While the government provides subsidies to green industries, many banks won't extend the additional credit needed to complete construction because of a facility's poor balance sheet. A cancellation of wind farms in New Jersey is a recent example. Orsted, a sizeable Danish wind farm developer, dropped two mega-projects offshore due to $4 billion in cost overruns.

The Wall Street Journal noted offshore wind power is "bleeding cash." Today, offshore wind project costs are 49% more than in 2019. About 60% of all offshore wind projects awarded have been canceled or are at risk of cancellation.

The green industry is not a good investment. Over time, many of these government monuments to green technology will be abandoned across the national landscape, creating more of a drain on the treasury and local communities as they litter the nation with the hazardous waste contained in the metals in the equipment.

The IRA even monetizes tax credits for environmental groups. To increase the gift of taxpayer money, Congress authorized the IRA to monetize the subsidies by allowing the granting agency, usually the EPA, to directly pay cash equal to the value of the credit to the non-profit organization. The receiving organization monetizes the tax credit by treating it as a refundable credit on any tax liability. The IRA also allows non-profits without tax liability to transfer all or a portion of the tax credits to an unrelated party for cash.

Newly created non-profits received billions in subsidies. In April 2024, the EPA announced billions in grant awards to non-profits under its recently established $14 billion National Clean Investment Fund and $6 billion Clean Communities Investment Accelerator. One non-profit with a prior year's check balance of One Hundred Dollars received a $940 million award. Another non-profit received $2 billion one month after receiving non-profit status.

Eliminating the New Green Scam is an easy trillion-plus in savings.

William L. Kovacs, author of Devolution of Power: Rolling Back the Federal State to Preserve the Republic rreceived 5 stars from Readers' Favorite. Previous book, Reform the Kakistocracy, received the 2021 Independent Press Award for Political/Social Change. He served as senior vice president for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and chief counsel to a congressional committee. He can be contacted at wlk@ReformTheKakistocracy.com.

Quote of the Week:

"What I learned: If you want to get a narrative established, the crucial thing is to pepper it with errors, questionable things. So that the critics will seize on those and not question the basic narrative." – Richard Lindzen (2023)

Number of the Week:

$3,558,000

This Week:

By Ken Haapala, President, Science and Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was December 14th, 2024

Scope: Discussed in This Week is the view of Richard Lindzen that the IPCC and its collaborators publish reports with many errors so those attempting to correct the errors don't pay attention to the major message which is erroneous: CO2 is the dominant cause of climate change. This can be considered as a new form of divide and conquer. Also discussed is the expansion of a paper by the CERES – Science team. Members of the CO2 Coalition assert that the rise in CO2 since the industrial revolution comes primarily from human activity. John Robson continues his exploration of the lack of discussion of global greening in the summaries of UN IPCC reports. Meteorologist Cliff Mass complains about the failure to control vegetation which is needed to keep utility lines from failing. The UN has a new cause in combating desertification.

****

Divide and Conquer: The above quote of the week comes from a living scientist, Richard Lindzen, rather than one who has passed. Lindzen is an exceptional scientist and puzzle solver, and nature's secrets are revealed to us in puzzles. Ron Clutz provided a good transcript of a key section of a lengthy video interview of Lindzen by Jordan Peterson posted by Peterson on January 5, 2023. The brief biographical sketch of Lindzen given on the interview states:

"Richard Lindzen is a dynamical meteorologist. He has contributed to the development of theories for the Hadley Circulation, hydrodynamic instability theory, internal gravity waves, atmospheric tides, and the quasi-biennial oscillation of the stratosphere. His current research is focused on climate sensitivity, the role of cirrus clouds in climate, and the determination of the tropics-to-pole temperature difference. He has attained multiple degrees from Harvard University and won multiple awards in his field of study such as the Jule Charney award for "highly significant research in the atmospheric sciences." Between 1983 and 2013, he was the Alfred P. Sloan Professor of Atmospheric Sciences at MIT where he earned emeritus status in July of 2013."

In response to a question asking how do the IPCC and its collaborators mislead the public, with the interesting graphics removed, the transcript of a part of the interview by Ron Clutz gives the response by Lindzen.

"You're touching on something that took me a while to understand. You know Goebbels famously said: If you tell a big enough lie and repeat it often enough, it'll become the truth. there's been a lot of that in this. But there are aspects of establishing the narrative, that is, what makes something the truth that I hadn't appreciated.

So, the narrative was that the climate is determined by a greenhouse effect and adding CO2 to it increases warming. And moreover, besides CO2 the natural greenhouse substances– water vapor, clouds, upper-level clouds–will amplify whatever man does.

Now that immediately goes against Le Chatelier's principle which says: If you perturb a system and it is capable internally of counteracting that, it will. And our system is so capable.

So that was a little bit odd. You began wondering, where did these feedbacks come from? Immediately people including myself started looking into the feedbacks, and seeing whether there were any negative ones, and how did it all work?

But underlying it, and this is what I learned: if you want to get a narrative established, the crucial thing is to pepper it with errors, questionable things. So that the critics will seize on those and not question the basic narrative.

The basic narrative was that climate is controlled by the greenhouse effect. In point of fact the earth's climate system has many regions, but two distinctly different regions. There are the tropics roughly minus 30 to plus 30 degrees latitude, and the extra Tropics outside of plus or minus 30 degrees.

They have very different dynamics, and this is the crucial thing for the Earth by the way. And this is a technicality and much harder to convey than saying that greenhouse gases are a blanket or that 97 percent of scientists agree.

This is actually a technical issue. The Earth rotates. Now people are aware that we have day and night, but there is something called the Coriolis effect. When you're on a rotating system it gives rise to the appearance of forces that change the winds relative to the rotation. So, at the pole the rotation vector is perpendicular to the surface, while at the equator it's parallel to the surface: it's zero.

And this gives you phenomenally different Dynamics. So, where you don't have a vertical component to the rotation, vector motions do what they do in the laboratory in small scales. If you have a temperature difference, it acts to wipe it out.

During the Ice Age [the temperature difference between the Equator and the poles was]about 60 degrees Centigrade, today it's about 40. 50 million years ago, something called the Eocene the difference was about 20. So that's all a function of what's going on outside the tropics. Within the tropics the greenhouse effect is significant but what determines the temperature change between the tropics and the pole has very little to do with the greenhouse effect.

It is a dynamic phenomenon based on the fact that a temperature difference with latitude generates instabilities. These instabilities take the form of the cyclonic and anticyclonic patterns that you see on the weather map. From even a casual look at a weather map, you can see the tropics are very different.

The systems that bring us weather travel from west to east at latitudes outside the tropics. Within the tropics they travel from east to west. The prevailing winds are opposite in the two sections.

Sometimes people say that changes due to the greenhouse effect are amplified at the poles. That is not true: there's no physical basis for that Statement. All they do is determine the starting point for where the temperature changes in mid-latitudes and that's determined mainly by Hydrodynamics.

Okay that's complicated to explain to someone and yet it's the basis for those claims of seemingly large significance of these small numbers. You know they're saying if Global mean temperature goes up one and a half degrees it's the end. That's based on it getting much bigger at high latitudes and determining that. But all one and a half degrees at the equator (or in the greenhouse part of the Earth) would do is change the temperature everywhere by one and a half degrees, which for most of us is less than the temperature change between breakfast and lunch."

The transcript by Clutz does not continue onto what TWTW considers some other important points.

· An increase of 1.5°C (almost 3°F) depends on positive feedback impacts which are contrary to Le Chatelier's principle.

· If water vapor has a positive feedback, it has to be that it is part of an infrared feedback, which no one has detected.

· The effect of increasing CO2 is less than the margin of error for the measurement of water vapor.

· There is little warming even if CO2 causes it.

· Tipping points may appear but only if the system has few degrees of freedom [few influences. The climate system has many influences, some of which are unknown or poorly known.]

Last week's TWTW attempted to differentiate between the water cycle (for which the phases of water transport heat from the surface to the upper troposphere) and the greenhouse effect (for which water vapor is the dominant greenhouse gas) because the two roles are significantly different. The water cycle has a net cooling effect, as William Kininmonth stated. However, water vapor is the dominant greenhouse gas, which slows nighttime cooling, freezing, that would otherwise kill growing plants. Yet, as Lindzen states, no one has detected an increase in the infrared (greenhouse) influence of water vapor from an increase in surface temperatures. The claims by global climate modelers since the 1979 Charney Report that water vapor will amplify the warming caused by CO2 (or whatever cause) have not been substantiated with physical evidence.

****

The Mysterious Sun: On November 2, TWTW discussed a report by Ronan Connolly and the Center for Environmental Research and Earth Sciences (CERES) on the uncertain role of the Sun on Earth's climate. There are some 27 feasible explanations for the Sun's role, and the UN International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and its collaborators choose the most limited one – the Sun has little influence on Earth's changing climate. The CERES-Science Team members; Willie Soon, Ronan Connolly, and Michael Connolly, produced a lengthy report published by The Heritage Foundation "The Unreliability of Current Global Temperature and Solar Activity Estimates and Its Implications for the Attribution of Global Warming" which amplifies the earlier report. The summary of the

continued on page 7

Number of the Week: $3,558,000. Last week's TWTW discussed the failure of wind, solar, and storage in Broken Hill, Australia, in October 2024. The power resources could not serve the small community, even though nameplate capacity stated the resources were more than adequate. On Saturday, Dec 7, Jo Nova reports:

"Last Wednesday, during the near-miss of a blackout in Sydney, the AEMO [Australian Energy Market Operator] spent $3,558,000 on 'demand reduction' which means they paid productive industries to stop working to save the grid from a blackout. Translated: poor electricity users in New South Wales paid $3.5 million to businesses to do nothing, because the grid didn't have enough energy, and the people in charge really didn't want any embarrassing blackouts so close to an election."

Once again, consumers have to pay for nothing due to poor policy decisions made by politicians without critical thinking skills.

What Destructive Environmentalists Won't Say About The Green Revolution

By John Stossel

People eagerly give money to rich environmental groups. The Natural Resources Defense Council has $463 million in assets.

It claims it uses law "to confront the climate crisis."

What it really does is pay lawyers to torture people who try to do useful things.

Example: America needs minerals like copper and silver to make things. Even President Joe Biden made a speech saying America will need 400-600% more such minerals to make "solar panels, wind turbines and so much more!"

An iPhone alone requires aluminum, iron, lithium, gold, copper …

But when investors dare try to dig up such minerals in America, the NRDC objects and uses political connections to stop them.

Twenty years ago, entrepreneurs tried to open a mine in Alaska. Before they even got the application in, the EPA vetoed it.

Why? Because groups like the NRDC say the mine "would be a catastrophic threat to the wildlife and … fragile ecosystem."

They get their way because when Democrats run the EPA, they not only support NRDC's positions, they even hire NRDC employees.

The next Republican administration removed the EPA's veto. The Army Corps of Engineers then studied the mine and concluded that it wasn't an environmental threat.

So, is Pebble a bustling mine today? No.

Democrats got elected and vetoed it again.

Physicist Mark Mills wonders why anyone would try to open a mine in America today. "Why in the world would you put millions, maybe billions of dollars at risk, spending those decades to get a permit, knowing there's a very good chance they'll just cancel a permit? How in the world do you build mines in America knowing that that's the landscape you have?"

Well, you don't.

America now ranks second to last in the time it takes to develop a new mine — roughly 29 years. Only Zambia is worse.

"You start applying for permits," says Mills, "You're going to be waiting not months, not years, but decades!"

Waiting while the NRDC sues and runs frightening anti-mine ads, saying nature will be "destroyed by a 2,000-foot gaping hole in the ground!"

Mills points out their deceit. Today's mines disturb "a tiny infinitesimal pinprick in the landscape" and we do need to disturb the landscape a little, because "we need metals and materials and minerals to build everything that exists to make society possible!"

I confronted NRDC spokesman Bob Deans, saying the NRDC killing mines also kills people's opportunity. He responded that "clean" energy creates jobs.

"We created 50,000 new jobs in this country, putting up wind turbines, solar panels, building the next generation of energy efficient cars. This is where the future is!"

"But also, you need copper and gold," I point out.

"That's right," says Deans, "And we have to weigh those risks."

But the NRDC doesn't weigh the risks. They just oppose American mines.

I asked Deans, "Are there any mines that the NRDC doesn't complain about?"

"Sure," he replied.

He said he'd send us some names. But he never did.

I asked again this month. Again, no names.

"Don't hold your breath," says Mills. "The mines that they implicitly support are in Africa."

"Implicitly" because they don't actually say mining should be done in poor countries.

"They don't say that," says Mills, "But the green movement has been perfectly happy outsourcing mining to disadvantaged countries where thousands of children in bare feet, working by hand with shovels, dig minerals out of the earth."

At least in America, children wouldn't be digging with their hands, advanced equipment would make mining safer and our rules would reduce pollution. You'd think environmentalists would want more mining done in America.

"To have a sane world," says Mills, "we should be doing a lot more of it. Not all of it. But not none."

Allowing America to do more would definitely be good. Our future needs minerals.

"Society can't exist without mines," concludes Mills.

Every Tuesday at JohnStossel.com, Stossel posts a new video about the battle between government and freedom. He is the author of "Give Me a Break: How I Exposed Hucksters, Cheats, and Scam Artists and Became the Scourge of the Liberal Media.

The views and opinions expressed in this commentary are those of the author and do not reflect the official position of the Daily Caller News Foundation.

Copyright 2024 by JFS Productions Inc.

SEPP *cont. from page 6*

new report states:

"Despite the confidence with which the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) claims to have 'settled the science' around the detection and attribution of climate change, this challenging scientific debate has not yet been satisfactorily resolved. The IPCC did not provide a strong enough argument for its choice of the available global temperature trends, and the same applies to its choice of the available Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) datasets. The IPCC was overly confident and premature in its detection and attribution statements. The scientific debate is still ongoing, and the scientific community is not yet in a position to establish whether the observed temperature changes since the 1800s are mostly natural, mostly human-caused, or a mixture of both."

The Key Takeaways are:

1. "The IPCC claims to have 'settled the science' around the detection and attribution of climate change, but this debate has yet to be satisfactorily resolved.

2. The evidence indicates that the IPCC has been overconfident and premature in its detection and attribution statements.

3. The scientific community cannot yet establish whether temperature changes since the 1800s are mostly natural, mostly human-caused, or a mixture of both."

The report discusses "World's Global Temperature" and How It Is Determined. It gives maps showing the weather stations used since 1850 in 50-year intervals. Since the beginning, the stations have mostly been in urban or partially urbanized areas, subject to the Urban Heat Island effect. This effect is discussed in some detail. Further, the report gives examples of how warming biases (which are unrelated to climate change) can enter into the data.

Also, the report discusses how NOAA's manipulation of the data through "homogenization" has altered the data. The data can no longer be considered rigorous or accurate. After going through other issues, the report concludes:

"The purpose of this report is to highlight the wide range of scientifically valid but contradictory conclusions that can be drawn from the various methods, procedures, and datasets that are used to study long-term global temperature trends. Despite the confidence with which the IPCC claims to have 'settled the science' around the detection and attribution of climate change, this challenging scientific debate has not yet been satisfactorily resolved.

We think that the IPCC did not provide a strong enough argument for its choice of the available global temperature trends. We have concerns about the urbanization bias contaminating urban weather station data and the urban blending contaminating homogenized temperature datasets. The IPCC claims that urban warming is only a small part of the observed warming, but our studies suggest that urban warming is a substantial part of the warming in the current global temperature estimates. [In blunt language one could state: our studies demonstrate that urban warming is a substantial part of the warming in the current global temperature estimates.] We propose as a first step solution to develop a temperature record that considers only rural thermometer stations. Our published rural record suggests that the IPCC's temperature estimates might have up to 40% extra warming due to urban bias and flawed homogenization.

We also have concerns about the IPCC's handling of the ongoing scientific debate over the changes in solar activity (TSI) since 1850. The TSI estimate used by the computer model simulations that contributed to the IPCC analysis was guaranteed to show that global warming was 'mostly human-caused.' However, we have identified at least 27 different estimates of the changes in TSI since 1850. Several of these estimates suggest that global warming is 'mostly natural,' and several suggest that global warming is a mixture of natural and human-caused factors.

We therefore conclude that the IPCC was overconfident and premature in its detection and attribution statements. The scientific debate remains ongoing. In our opinion, the scientific community is not yet in a position to establish whether the observed temperature changes since the 1800s are 'mostly natural,' 'mostly human-caused,' or 'a mixture of both.'

The scientific debate about how much global warming is manmade and how much is natural has not been resolved. We hope that in its Seventh Assessment Report, the IPCC will not continue with its scientifically weak approach."

****

CO2 Increase from Human Activity: In "The Human Contribution to Atmospheric CO2: How Human Emissions Are Restoring Vital Atmospheric CO2" Ferdinand Engelbeen, Renee Hannon, and David Burton of the CO2 Coalition present a lengthy report why the increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere since the industrial revolution is primarily the result of human activity, mainly CO2 emissions. The authors recognize that the warming of the oceans does increase CO2, as seen in ice cores which show, contrary to Al Gore,

continue reading at www.sepp.org





Top 10 *cont. from page 4*

pledge, implementing a cumulative effects approach to planning, and developing a balanced interagency process not dominated by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM).

7. Coal. Repeal all the anti-coal regulatory actions of the Biden administration and promote coal as a preferred means of producing electricity. Commence a review of related air quality regulations issued by EPA.

8. Litigation. Withdraw from, so as to terminate, industry litigation over Biden administration regulatory actions. Re-staff the Justice Department's Environment and Natural Resources Division attorneys who will zealously defend administration priorities.

9. Regulatory Reform. End regulatory agency use of the linear non-threshold model (LNT) for radiation and chemical risk assessment. Reinstate the EPA rule against the use of "secret science." Request legislation to require that federal courts no longer defer to regulatory agencies on scientific matters.

10. Regulatory Burden. Request the REINS act be passed to require congressional authorization of regulations with a significant economic impact, including but not limited to those with an economic impact of $100 million or more.



French Government Collapsing: When Will Liberals Learn?

By Gary Wickert

Liberalism and radicalism in France has gone viral, but this is nothing new. A quarter century ago Frenchmen paraded down the Champs-Elyssees, loudly signing La Marseillaise—the quite lengthy French National Anthem—and celebrating the bicentennial of the French Revolution. But the French Revolution was only the beginning of France's downward spiral into liberal self-cannibalism. As America's Founding Father John Adams described it, "Helvetius and Rousseau preached to the French nation liberty, till they made them the most mechanical slaves; equality, till they destroyed all equity; humanity, till they became weasels and African panthers; and fraternity, till they cut one another's throats like Roman gladiators."

Shortly after the fall of the Bastille in July of 1789, the French penned "The Declaration of the Rights of Man." It houses some libertarian principles similar to those found in the Declaration of Independence, but also served as a bellwether for the liberal course the French people had set sail on. It contains Obama-like liberal sound bites such as "The principle of all sovereignty resides essentially in the nation. No body nor individual may exercise any authority which does not proceed directly from the nation." Many believe that France's liberal tendencies and governing problems for the next two-and-a-half centuries can be traced to this document and the mistaken political belief that liberty consisted in being part of a self-governing community rather than the individual right to worship, trade, speak, etc. From that point on, French political philosophy held that the state is the embodiment of the "general will," which is sovereign and thus unconstrained.

Only a couple of years later, France's "Reign of Terror" saw this "unconstrained" government take harsh measures against those suspected of being enemies of the state, including priests and noblemen. "Surveillance committees" meted out local terror, price-control measures gutted the economy, and the country saw an anti-Christian fervor tear down the moral principles of the entire nation. France began to look like early 20th Century Soviet Union following the Bolshevik Revolution.

France doubled down on economic and political radicalism during the French Revolution of 1848, leading to wide-spread political disorganization and ambiguity. Fast forward to 2012 and France launched the Union of Democrats and Independents. Shortly thereafter, a socialist, Emmanuel Macron, launched the uber-liberal party La République En Marche!, and was elected President of France in the 2017. The new party formed an alliance with the Democratic Movement, and ejected all conservative Republicans. At the same time, a group of socialists founded The Radical-Socialist Party, later renamed, the Radical Party of the Left.

The French liberal tradition, from Montesquieu to Lefort and Gauchet, has consigned France to a slow and painful death. There is a Communist Party and several Trotskyist parties. There are parties on the right, left and center with silly names like Les Républicains, En Marche, Rassemblement National, and La France Insoumise. But it has no significant party that confesses to being "liberal." That is because all of these parties are imbued through and through with the French liberal tradition. As pointed out by the French historian Francoise Melonio, "the vision of a largely beneficial, controlling, protecting state has been accepted in France by both Catholics and Socialists, conservatives and radicals, revolutionaries and counter-revolutionaries."

As a result, France is being ravaged by pension obligations, much like liberal cities and states in America. The idea that government will take care of its citizens has led to unrealistic pension promises and an entire nation on the brink of economic collapse as the pension system begins to run deficits. Macron desperately proposed a modest raise in the minimum retirement age from 62 to 64, a proposal the vast majority of French citizens oppose and which labor unions are fighting tooth and nail. Macron invoked Article 49.3 of the French Constitution which allows the government to pass a bill without a National Assembly vote. However, the Article also allows a no-confidence vote against the government.

Reminiscent of Kamala Harris' promises of affordable homes, $25,000 down payment assistance, business start-up handouts, and other goodies paid by taxpayers, the French government over-promised what it could do. It must now engage in a sort of permanent coup d'état. The only other options, which would have been equally unfavorable, would be to lower pension payments or drastically raise taxes, the latter of which is unthinkable in a country which ranked number one in terms of the tax-to-GDP ratio in 2023. It already has one of the world's lowest retirement ages and highest spending on pensions.

In 2023, the country was racked with violent protests by over one million people and today, with a no confidence vote looming, for the first time in decades, a government is at risk of being overthrown. If the no confidence vote succeeds, Macron will remain president but will have to appoint a new prime minister. The country's instability, along with a similar instability growing in Germany, has put the European financial markets on unstable footing.

France lacks the democratic foundation America enjoys. It appears that the majority within its liberal government is willing to put the interest of individuals above that of the future of the country. That is the inherent danger of focusing on what your country can do for you. America dodged a bullet on November 5. But it too, is only one election cycle away from chaos.



HOT JACK

Lawfare in Wisconsin

By Jack

Lawfare is the use of a powerful government position to persecute your opposition. Lawfare not only seeks to affect election results, but also PERSONALLY DESTROY individual citizens.

On December 10th, 2024, Vicki McKenna interviewed Jim Troupis on her WISN radio show. Mr. Troupis is being persecuted by your "allegedly elected" Attorney General, Josh Kaul. Why? Because Mr. Troupis, a lawyer, had the gall to represent Donald J. Trump in court. And some say because Kaul is trying to make a name for himself and run for Governor. You should listen to Vicki's podcast titled "Targeted Lawfare." It's a perfect example of how government uses POWER to destroy individuals who they determine to be their political enemies.

Among other things, the Podcast will discuss how Kaul is persecuting Troupis and how Mr. Troupis has spent over $500,000 in defense of false charges. And how much as Kaul spent? It doesn't matter. Kaul is not paying for it. YOU are. The Podcast will also mention other people across the State, who are targeted by

Kaul. And who's to say that the list won't grow. Anyone who speaks out against Kaul and exposes the truth is taking on the potential to be jailed and fined.

But maybe the tide is turning? Maybe the election of DJT will turn things around, not that Trump's election itself will deter Attorneys General, or the FBI or the CIA from carrying on with their Gestapo-type tactics. What will make a difference is ACTION by the Trump Administration to go after those who perpetuate Lawfare across the nation and throw their asses in JAIL. Establishing LEGAL PRECEDENT that exhibits punishment for GOVERNMENTAL ABUSE OF POWER is the only thing that will stop the abuse.

Everyone has or knows children who have misbehaved. Those children will continue to misbehave if they are allowed to. When a parent takes action and provides CONSEQUENCES against such misbehavior, that behavior most often stops. The same principle applies to the non-grownups running our lives. Until they are punished, they will continue to abuse their power.

One of the major reasons that WE re-elected President Trump was to Make Government Honest Again. WE are depending on YOU, Mr. Trump to clean up the corruption that we have been living with for years.

Keep your promise, Sir. If you don't do it, no one EVER will.



Fentanyl

"There is a way which seemeth right unto a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death." Proverbs 14:12

By Riley J Hood

On June 24th, 2022 my upstairs tenant, Darren, died from a drug overdose. He came home from Scotty's pub, and collapsed and died. I worked with Darren in the same machine shop for five years, I knew his family, I went to school with his two elder brothers. I knew he had a drug problem. He was behind on the rent, a true example of Ben Franklin's maxim, "Creditors have better memories than debtors." There was an autopsy done, and in his body were found cocaine and fentanyl.

You may be smoking pot, or snorting coke, but you are exposing yourself to a fentanyl overdose. In the 1970's pot was sometimes laced with "angel dust," and the results were disastrous. There were also many people who overdosed from heroine. Dead is dead, yet millennials were never confronted with the consequences of these behaviors. While they think they are superior, they are making the same mistakes as prior generations. Millennials openly brag, "Drugs won the war on drugs." Of course, millennials can't grasp that they are not today's winners, but today's losers. My tenant Darren lost the war on drugs, so did many other people I know, and their families.

I support President Trump's proposed tariff increases on China, Canada and Mexico. I support tariffs, because I support the US Constitution, and I would rather see increases on the prices of imports funding the federal government, than an income tax bill. If you hate tariffs, you hate the Constitution, and you hate America. Even worse, if love the importation of recreational drugs, you also hate America and your fellow Americans who are caught up in the addiction to these substances. Addiction to drugs makes slaves of men, women, and children.

The dope-dealer always gives the ladies the first one for free. He knows what he is doing. The drug producers in Mexico and Columbia acknowledge that they are killing people, but they are in it for the easy money. If Americans were flooding Mexico or China with heroin, opioids, Crystal Meth, and fentanyl, we would be getting lectures about how "racist" we all are, and how we place a lower value on the lives of Hispanics and Asians. But when it is your friends and neighbors who being killed, that is just fine. Donald Trump is saying enough is enough, and it is about time.

Avoiding Trade *cont. from page 1*

To be fair, it was a challenging five years. The unprecedented Covid pandemic kept the EU focused on domestic questions of healthcare, lockdowns, debt financing and student learning. Russia's Ukraine invasion shortly after ensured that European policy priorities remained largely regional.

But possibly the most significant blow to her dream of a geopolitical Commission was entirely self-inflicted: the EU's obsession with the utopian Green Deal.

Especially by raising energy and petrochemical prices to exorbitant levels, the Green Deal regulatory regime undercut EU competitiveness, particularly in agriculture and industry. European Commission international ambitions were thereby reduced to a domestic protectionist agenda designed to hobble potential competitors by erecting non-tariff barriers affecting – and infuriating – Europe's trading partners, whether they compete on productivity or cost.

Citing the "climate crisis," the EU demanded that the rest of the world adopt its own crippling regulations – or risk losing access to its markets. Soaring prices for "clean, renewable, sustainable" wind and solar energy (and duplicative backup power) destroyed jobs, made home heating and food extremely expensive for many families, and reduced living standards.

The EU Deforestation Regulation would have forced European trading partners to certify the ecological purity of entire supply chains. Brussels' recent U-Turn on the EUDR demonstrated that the law was a huge miscalculation in both climate and trade policymaking.

Even the famously "green" Biden-Harris administration opposed the Brussels proposals as too onerous and too hastily introduced. Imagine having to document and geolocate every cocoa bean in a bar of chocolate, every particle of coffee in an espresso, every chip of wood in a particle board, or every gram of cobalt in backup and electric vehicle batteries. Only Brussels would concoct such insanity!

Even Germany, the EU's richest economy and arguably Europe's most vocal advocate of planet-care, balked at the prospect of implementing the EUDR.

If geopolitics truly matters to the EU in the coming term, the EU Commissioner will go back to core principles on trade, not invoke trade suppression. That means identifying the biggest opportunities in terms of markets. Europe, India and ASEAN are obvious examples.

Equally obvious, alienating the USA – the biggest and wealthiest market in the world, capable of self-reliance and in-kind responses to hostile trade regulations – does not seem like a smart idea.

The European Union has an interest in advancing international commerce, rather than wantonly raising regulatory barriers that developing countries cannot afford and developed economies won't accept.

European economies are exporters. As with the USA, EU jobs and financial systems depend on selling high-value goods and services. A mercantilist, capricious, quasi-lawless global economy – like China's – is not in Europe's (or America's) interest.

The starting point should be liberalizing, rather than raising barriers, and working with allied trading partners that have similar principles. And yet, the EUDR is a perfect example of a regulation that has the EU actively working against its closest partners; it's also been disastrous for the EU's international image. Indeed, other than a few single-issue NGOs and a handful of unelected EU officials, the Commission would be hard-pressed to find any EUDR proponents.

Second, trade policy needs to be strategic, not dogmatic. China and Russia – especially in their growing alliance with Iran, North Korea and other BRICS countries – are the most obvious and significant threats to the global economic order, and Europe has a responsibility to mitigate such threats.

Weaponizing trade is justified when the goal is preventing carnage in Ukraine, or stopping hacking or surveillance equipment from being installed in grid and other networks. Similarly, deliberate attempts to dump subsidized products or flood markets should not be confused with free trade and should be addressed accordingly. In such cases, a smart, deliberate, targeted response is warranted.

One problem with the Green Deal's EUDR lies in its indiscriminate, dogmatic application of punitive measures, including against allies like Malaysia, Mexico, Thailand or the United States. Moreover, materials targeted under the EU Deforestation Regulation (rubber, coffee, cocoa, palm oil and cobalt) are key ingredients for Europe's own supply chains

Palm oil from Malaysia, for example, is an ingredient in some of Europe's most famous food and cosmetic brands. Besides, Malaysia already has its own sustainable palm oil standard, so there is no need for additional punitive EU regulations.

Similarly, Brussels shouldn't impose deforestation restrictions on cutting trees for wind and solar metals and minerals – if trade-partner countries are trying to comply with EU climate and environmental rules.

Wage, child labor and deforestation standards for cobalt mining for wind energy and battery technologies are problematical primarily because China, the Democratic Republic of Congo and their ore producers deliberately mix all ores – from artisanal and corporate mines alike. That makes it impossible to determine which ores come from operators that exercise strong environmental and human rights standards, and which involve horrible ecological and human rights abuses.

Tariffs often help domestic producers of materials like lumber and steel – but hurt consumers of those same materials, by raising the costs of building houses and manufacturing automobiles, and thus increasing prices already strapped families must pay. Retaliatory tariffs on products that involve near-slave wages or other abuses, or come from adversarial nations, are more justifiable; but consumers still pay more.

Greater international cooperation is a good third principle. While rhetoric in Brussels is cheap, over the past five years the EU engaged in more bullying than cooperation, toward its own member states and partners outside the Union. In trade, unilateral and punitive Green Deal barriers too often trumped dialogue and cooperation.

This approach rarely worked. It enraged everyone from European and American businesses to Asian small farmers. For instance, there is no reason the EU should reject Malaysia's Sustainable Palm Oil standards (MSPO), just because of its EUDR.

Other countries also have analogous standards for their major commodities, which should be considered for acceptance. There is no reason not to address sustainability and environmental progress as part of free trade, voluntary partnership or mutual recognition agreements – rather than through tariffs, other trade barriers or excessive regulations.

The new European Commission will certainly have its share of the unexpected during its five-year term. But it should at least try to avoid self-inflicted injuries and choose economic growth over anti-competitive regulations.

The incoming Trump Administration and Republican Congress will undoubtedly apply that approach.

Paul Driessen is senior policy advisor for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of Eco-Imperialism: Green power, black death and articles on energy, environmental, climate and human rights issues.



WE SUPPORT
LIFE, LIBERTY, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS
WE ARE NORTHEAST WISCONSIN PATRIOTS
N·E·W PATRIOTS
WORKING TO PRESERVE YOUR FREEDOM AND SAFETY. JOIN US!
We host speakers once a month in the Green Bay area. We invite everyone concerned about the future of our communities, state, and the nation to join us and help us save America.
www.NEWPatriots.org

Freedom's voice in the New London area
Wolf River Area Patriots
WolfRiverPatriots.com

4 Senate Seats Lost to RNC_Data Clown Show

By Omega4America

There is no question 4, perhaps 5 if Pennsylvania is stolen, senate seats were lost to Republicans because of the extraordinary incompetence of RNC Data - the useless IT people at the RNC.

As we will continue to show over the next months with published data in each of the swing states, the RNC could have stopped the senate steal in each of these 5 states by simply comparing incoming ballots, with property tax rolls and NCOA and other databases - flagging the thousands of ballots cast from ineligible addresses.

These ballots can be flagged the day they arrive - not weeks after the election.

Such ballots form a powerful narrative for the GOP.

Imagine this:

"Here are 82,345 ballot IDs, cast in the great state of (Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Arizona, Nevada, Michigan, pick one) each of which has come from an address that an official U.S. Government database says is ineligible. This election is being stolen!"

That should have been the narrative on November 2 or 3, days before the steal!

That narrative is so simple, so compelling, nobody would dare to screw Sam Brown and Kari Lake after votes are cast - because they proved IN ADVANCE- there were more than enough bullshit ballots in the system to challenge the election.

You will notice none of the national voter integrity organizations are demanding this.

You will notice none of the DC-based "think tanks" who pay their CEOs $450,000 a year are talking about this.

You should also notice even the state level voter integrity orgs will not demand this - for the simple reason they cannot do it.

These organizations CANNOT COMPARE MULTIPLE DATABASES, IN REAL TIME - TO STOP A STEAL!

So why are you sending them money?

These organizations, to whom Americans dole out tens of millions of dollars, have 1980s technology and that obsolete technology just cost YOU, the voter, 4 senate seats, too many house seats to measure right now and enough GOP senators to make a Matt Gaetz AG a slam dunk!

So if Matt Gaetz gets tossed because of RINOs in the senate, remember your pals at the RNC Data let this happen with perhaps the absolute worst data operation in any major political organization in decades.

The RNC mindlessly invested millions of dollars for 200,000 trained poll watchers.

A poll watcher is a 1980s voting artifact in an age when the steal is from mail-in ballots, to ineligible addresses, collected by NGOs and voted for their candidate.

The RNC listened to the national voter integrity gals who told them to bring in the 200,000 useless viewers of the fraud - so they, the national voter integrity orgs could make millions training them.

People, you are watching a grift - first hand and we are going to continue to publish the data to prove what a grift it is.

You are being gaslighted into believing Trump won because of a great ground operation. You are being gaslighted into believing there was this huge voter registration in Amish country.



Well the data is coming in and those stores are bullshit.

Here is a story from the Washing Post, who I know you despise, but the data is the data. We will be doing a lot of analysis to get deeper into this data. There was no significant change in registrants who voted. The Amish did not outperform 2020.

You were gaslighted - again.

You may thing Trump is going to clean the swamp, and he is going to do it, but do not think he is not bringing in a little swamp of his own. The grifter class in the Republican Party is All Pro and they outclass the Left in every way.

You will never see a story about a Leftist campaign manager making $22 million in two years - grifting donors. You did hear that about a Republican guy - not only in 2024 but in a previous Trump election. It's a thing.

Leftists are into ideology - that's why when we run the Dark Money system for Leftist NGOs, we find them with small salaries, lots of volunteers and connections to thousands of sub-orgs.

When we run the salaries for Republican NGOs, we find half a million dollar salaries, huge staffs, big offices in DC, few ties to other organizations and zero ground game.

Or zero effective ground game.

Or zero effectiveness - they publish reports nobody but their spouse reads, raise tons of dough, have soirees to pitch and entertain donors - delivering zip!

The Republican types will raise $50 million for a ground game - end up on the Laura Ingraham Show or Bannon Show talking about how they saved America, while the numbers show they had zero impact in 5 swing states.

Ask Sam Brown, Kari Lake, Mike Rogers, Eric Hovde and soon maybe McCormick.

The data is the data.

Dan Bongino has the Bongino Rule: before you believe a story, give it time to marinate so you get to see how it plays out.

It's marinate time for that voter registration and get-out-the-vote "success."

Now the data is coming in and it shows no impact - other than raising tons of dough, from which the organizers profited handsomely.

We will publish deep dives on this later.

We do not work with politicos - we are preparing reports for large donors so they can see the impact, or perhaps non-impact of their donations.

Within days of the senate steal, we were contacted by 7 figure donors to the candidates and their PACs, and they were pissed off. How could Trump win and their guy get smoked? So we told them - and now we are showing them.

Remember, we are the Fractal-quantum guys.

We track every voter in Pennsylvania - dating back years.

We know the date they registered.

We know if they voted.

So we can determine to the person - no algorithms, no statistics, accurate to the voter - if a 2024 voter registration drive drove new votes.

We are doing this in every swing state after the holidays.

We are in the process of showing this data to the large donor class and the PACs so they can see the impact, literally the dollar impact, of their donations.

This has never been done before because nobody could process that kind of data.

While we do not work with politicos, we are being asked to testify in Congress - not about this icky voter stuff, but about NGOs - who are undermining American culture, running child sex trafficking operations, and using tax exempt dough to influence elections.

We are building a 50-state database, of every voter, from the time they register until they pass into the death registry - tracking every voter, across different states, across changing voter IDs.

This database is

continued on page 12

The Milwaukee Clerk's Office has the responsibility to prove that every ballot counted there, is connected to a verifiable eligible voter

By John Ellis

On Wisconsin Outdoors has been reporting for more than a year that the Wisconsin Elections Commission has more than 4 million ineligible voters on its statewide voter registration list.

The millions of ineligible voters are the source of unverifiable fraudulent ballots counted in Wisconsin's elections.

With the election between Eric Hovde and Tammy Baldwin still very much in doubt, it is the responsibility of the Milwaukee Clerk's Office to prove that every ballot counted there, is connected to a verifiable eligible voter.

John Ellis, On Wisconsin Outdoors

Trump *cont. from page 1*

CA), House Whip James Clyburn (D-SC), House Minority Leader Hakeem Jeffries (D-NY), Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY), and probably the Obamas, Clintons and their "strategists."

Indeed, on MSNBC November 9, former Harris spokesperson and one-time Bernie Sanders operative Symone Sanders-Townsend (no relation to the senator), mouthed off against Pelosi, saying she "orchestrated the very public demise of the president. And thank God for Joe Biden, that he came out and, yes, endorsed his VP. Pelosi is known for being "calculated," she went on to say, but "where is her calculator now?"

The key words here are "orchestration" and "calculating." One could say "None dare call it conspiracy," or resurrect then-First Lady Hillary Clinton's 1998 phrase "vast right-wing conspiracy" that "has been conspiring against my husband since the day he announced for president."

Ms. Clinton was denounced for calling it a "conspiracy." In reality, a group of conservative activists and publications did continue an "opposition research" campaign against President Bill Clinton from the day he was elected in 1992, to persuade Congress and courts to investigate him, impeach him and undermine his presidency.

It didn't really work. Like Donald Trump, Bill Clinton was impeached, but he resurrected himself, while Hillary went on to become a US Senator from New York and Secretary of State – and came close to defeating Trump for president in 2016.

The vast-left wing orchestration against Trump, however, was much more intricate, extensive and orchestrated than what conservatives used to tarnish Clinton. For example:

• Advancing the phony "Russiagate" scandal, at the highest levels of government

• Supporting and glorifying the "mostly peaceful" "Resistance" against the Trump presidency

• Instigating the 2019 House impeachment

• Demanding school and business lockdowns during the Covid pandemic, to hurt the economy and President Trump

• Supporting and capitalizing on the George Floyd riots

• Perpetuating "January 6" investigations, hearings, indictments and media coverage

• Promoting fear and hysteria following the Dobbs decision and various state limitations on abortion

• Pushing challengers out of the 2020 primaries to anoint Joe Biden (South Carolina Congressman James Clyburn's "masterstroke")

• Preventing a competitive 2024 primary against Biden

• Holding a Biden-Trump debate after primaries had ended, to see how President Biden would perform – and then forcing him off the ticket because he bombed

• Coronating Kamala Harris after Biden was ousted

Many are convinced that all these schemes were orchestrated by the Cabal of Pelosi, Schumer, the Obamas, the Clintons, and their strategists. Perhaps they wanted Biden to be the 2020 nominee, instead of independent-minded people like Senators Amy Klobuchar or Elizabeth Warren, or outsiders like Pete Buttigieg or Michael Bloomberg, because they knew they could manipulate Biden more easily.

However, Joe Biden was never a leader in the US Senate; he was a follower. He does little thinking for himself, but makes decisions based on the advice of bureaucrats, political aides and special interests. He largely approved all the big-spending legislation and regulatory initiatives the Democrats and his Obama-holdover aides wanted when they regained control of Congress after 2020. And he opened the nation's borders to salve the bleeding hearts of his policy wonks.

"Joe Biden is nothing more than a Trojan horse for the radical socialists," former Vice President Mike Pence warned in 2020. In the end, that radicalism doomed the Democrats in 2024.

In anointing Biden "The Nominee" during the 2020 primaries, octogenarians Clyburn and Pelosi looked over Biden's eroding cognitive abilities. When he inevitably became a liability to the Democratic cause, they orchestrated his departure from the ticket for the younger Harris. They also engaged in incessant "lawfare," Trump indictments and January-6 investigations.

Former Republican

continued on page 19

GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

HOT JACK

Vos to Lead State-Wide Spending Increase

By Jack

If (when) elected, Speaker of the Assembly by his carefully purchased Henchmen and Bitches, Robbin' Vos will proceed to "work on" the State Budget. And will the budget for the next two years be more than the budget for the last two years? What do you think? Has Vos EVER done a budget for less of YOUR DOLLARS than the previous budget? Of course not. And why should he? It's not HIS money now, is it?

So where does our government do its best work? Where do they spend the most money with the highest level of efficiency and return on investment? How about Education? In the last budget Vos held firm and reduced Education Spending by 5% in order to force the bloated and poor performing schools to tighten their belts and do a better job. Oh, wait. That didn't happen, did it? In the last budget education spending went UP. Again!! It goes up every time that Vos and his cronies vote on a budget. And when you listen to them after passing the budget they will proudly proclaim that they didn't spend as much as the Democrats wanted. WOW! That's a high bar. Great job, Robbin.'

And there's the rub. The Republicans don't spend as much as the Democrats want to spend. That's the deal year after year. "Hey Dems. How much do you want? OK, ask for 10% more and we'll meet you in the middle at your original figure." Can you imagine that conversation happening in some dark, smoke-filled popcorn factory? We sure don't want to have any confrontation going on, do we?

Well, as an alternative, how about this? Keep the next Education Budget at the level of the LAST budget. That's right. Freeze it. Unless…… Unless full State-Wide School Choice is put into effect. If you want more money, then earn it. Do something that will actually IMPROVE education. Will the next Speaker of the Assembly be bold enough to do that? Not if it's Vos.

The last budget was just a Happy Meal short of $100Billon. What's this budget going to be? My bet is

$118Billion. That's another $18Billon. What's that come out to per person in Wisconsin? Heck, it's not YOUR money. Oh, Wait.

You might think that Evers and the Dems have Vos over a barrel. After all, they must pass a budget. But what if a budget isn't passed? What if they tell Tony and Maggie to "pound sand"? What happens then? Maybe the budget stays at the levels of the last budget right across the board. If that's the case, then where is the leverage? It's right with the person who has the largest level of testicular fortitude. Again, that won't be Vos.

Biden's Love of Country

"Thou givest thy mouth to evil, and thy tongue frameth deceit." Psalm 50:19

By Riley J Hood

After Kamala Harris conceded defeat, Joe Biden blathered about loving your country "even when you lose." Hey Joe, how about loving your country by not cheating? You won the 2020 election by fraud. 84 million votes for a senile man? I hardly think so. Even in this election cycle, the Democrat Party cheated. Saying there is no widespread fraud, because arrests are few, is like saying people don't speed when they drive, or smoke marijuana. If your party doesn't cheat, why does it defend the ability to cheat?

I can't prove it, but I think Democrats cheated in Wisconsin regarding Eric Hovde. In the City of Milwaukee, officials and firefighters were counting 31,000 ballots that magically appeared early in the morning of November 6th, when Tammy Baldwin was losing. This has happened before in Milwaukee. Here in Wisconsin, Milwaukee in controlled by Democrats, and they often run unopposed. When they are opposed, Democrats win with 70 to 90 percent of the vote. So why does Milwaukee cheat? Every time an election is close, those totals let the Democrat Party know how many votes they have to create. Milwaukee cheats so Democrats can win State-wide elections. Most districts report before Milwaukee does, so Democrat controlled Milwaukee's count is usually the final count.

Milwaukee cheated for Baldwin, like it cheated for those gyno-tyrants on our Wisconsin Supreme Court and like it cheated for Tony Evers and Josh Kaul. Milwaukee will cheat again in April for another Wisconsin Supreme Court election. While I don't know the workings of Pennsylvania and Nevada, I'm fairly certain similar behavior by Democrats were exhibited.

Even though Trump protested, the 2020 election, there was no insurrection, and no military coup, even though that idea was being discussed by others. Even though Trump was attacked by lawfare and assassins, first Biden, and then Harris lost. Still, I'm thinking that BLM and Antifa, and other armed Democrat Party groups will unleash a campaign of violence on January 20th, 2025 no matter what platitudes Biden spouts, or no matter how he impugns and persecutes the J-6 protesters.

Weaponize Crime History to Win
StopCrimeTosa.com

The False Narrative

By Peter Bernegger

Wisconsin is NOT a purple state. State Senator Mary Felzkowski promoted this false narrative in a recent speech. We are a red state and here are the voter registration numbers: Democrats: 1,476,973 Republicans: 1,797,688 Where there are 320,715 more Republicans than Democrats, a 21.7% advantage to Republicans. Instead, we have politicians running around claiming 'it will be razor-thin margins'. This false narrative is intended to condition us to expect another close election this year and to accept an unbelievable result before it occurs. It will allow the Republicans, who are doing nothing to remove millions of ineligible voters from the Wisconsin Elections Commission's (WEC) registration list, to pretend Wisconsin's November election result is legitimate as thousands of unverifiable illegal ballots are cast. The unbelievable election result we are conditioned to accept, is Joe Biden defeating Donald Trump in Wisconsin. But Republicans have been losing most elections (23 out of the last 25 statewide elections) because Robin Vos is putting up crappy RINO candidates - where real, conservative Republicans don't want to vote for these manufactured RINOS. Secondly, because the liberals are cheating in a variety of ways including inflating the statewide voter registration list.

The Beglinger Blast..... What Happened in Milwaukee?

By Omega4America

On November 5 Americans catapulted Donald Trump back into the Presidency of the United States with a decisive victory that included a sweep of the 7 so-called battleground states and the majority of the populist vote. It was a sharp rejection of the extreme liberal ideology that is destroying the fabric of our lives and threatening our freedom. While the electorate across the country breathed a collective sigh of relief, this is just the beginning of the long fight ahead to take our country back.

While the nation as a whole experienced a red wave, Wisconsin's presidential result was the closest in the country and the only one decided by less than a percentage point. Last Wednesday, the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel (JS) headlined with "State Vote Showed Purple Ripple" in an extensive article intended to make Wisconsin's variance from the rest of the country seem believable. They declared, "What we are witnessing in these voting trends and patterns is the most extended period of partisan parity and stability in the history of the Wisconsin electorate." Despite the fact that Donald Trump received 90,000 more votes than he received in 2020 and 290,000 more votes than he received in 2016, the JS attributes the result, in part, to Trump only receiving 1% more of the vote in Milwaukee, the state's most populous city. Wisconsin and Georgia are the only states where Kamala Harris received more votes than Joe Biden did in 2020.

In the race for Senate, Tammy Baldwin was declared the winner over Eric Hovde by .9%. The JS found this easily understandable because in 2022, Tony Evers, a Democrat, was re-elected Governor and Ron Johnson, a Republican, was re-elected Senator. This rationalization defies the logic of any thinking person. This election was a rebuke of ideology and the real harm done to the American people by the Biden/Harris administration and their enablers in Congress. Tammy Baldwin has a nearly perfect record of voting for the Biden/Harris agenda and her liberal extremism was clearly exposed throughout the election cycle. In this particular election with these specific issues, it is inconceivable to people willing to use their own good judgment that voters would split their ticket between Trump and Baldwin.

The bottom line for the JS is that there were no big swings in either direction in most counties and "Wisconsin played perfectly to type, splitting the two big statewide races, giving the nation its closest presidential contest, barely deviating from its 50/50 baseline, but turning out like mad".

Last week Eric Hovde posted a thoughtful video expressing his plan to pause to consider what happened in Milwaukee and what, if any, next steps are appropriate. He immediately and predictably came under fire from the media and leadership from both parties for "baseless claims" though citizens from across the state who are outraged by the well documented vulnerabilities in our election system share his concerns.

What happened in Milwaukee?

Prior to the election, a group of citizens concerned about election integrity, evaluated requested absentee ballots against established databases to determine their legitimacy. The United States Postal Service database was one of those used. The analysis revealed 5,000 requested absentee ballots in Milwaukee with one or more of 15 potential issues that are violations of the law. The analysis was then expanded to include 755,000 requests for absentee ballots statewide and revealed 155,000 that contained issues that, if confirmed, would be violations of the law. Ultimately, about 1.4 million absentee ballots were requested in the state.

These citizens notified the Milwaukee Elections Commission they would be attending their meeting at City Hall the Wednesday before the election to challenge the 5,000 absentee ballots and request the eligibility of these voters be verified. When they arrived at the meeting, there were 9 attorneys from the Democratic Party in attendance, leading the citizens to the conclusion that they had been notified by the Commission of the challenge.

The Milwaukee Elections Commission showed no interest in the findings of these citizens, nor did the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC) when alerted to the 155,000 potential issues statewide. Having no other recourse, these citizens have filed a lawsuit in Milwaukee County against the City of Milwaukee and Wisconsin Elections Commissions.

We know from reporting throughout the early voting period that Democrats were very concerned about turnout being far lower than expected in Milwaukee. We also know that voter registration was down in Milwaukee. At 4:00 a.m. the Wednesday after election day approximately 108,000 absentee ballots were delivered to the central counting location to be tallied. Of those, Baldwin received nearly 90% of the votes. Some Milwaukee precincts had greater than

continued on page 14

Short Stories with Big Lessons for Kids

By Joe Bast

I recently donated a couple boxes of books to the FreedomProject Academy, a terrific enterprise located in Appleton devoted to providing support to private schools and homeschools throughout the country. You can learn more about them by visiting their website at https://fpeusa.org/.

One of the books was a collection of short stories by Hans Christian Anderson and Nathanial Hawthorne. I was struck by how entertaining and FUN every story is… I even read two of them out loud to Diane! … and the positive moral lessons they unapologetically convey.

It occurs to me that just about every kid … and I mean kids from one to 92 — would benefit enormously if they read, or had an adult read to them, one or all seven of these stories. How many do you remember reading as a kid?

HANS CHRISTIAN ANDERSON

"It's Perfectly True." Moral of the Story: Don't spread or believe gossip. "One little feather can easily become five hens."

"The Ugly Duckling" — Moral of the Story: You may be smaller than other kids, or have pimples or dental braces, but someday you will be big and beautiful, even more beautiful than the kids who taunt you now.

"He felt quite glad to have been through so much trouble and adversity, for now he could fully appreciate not only his own good fortune, but also all the beauty that greeted him." "An overwhelming happiness filled him, and yet he was not at all proud, for a good heart never becomes proud."

"The Emperor's New Clothes" — Moral of the Story: If it's too good to be true, it probably isn't. Don't believe what others say, but ask why they believe it. Think for yourself. I couldn't help but think of Joe Biden as I read this story.

"'But he hasn't got any clothes on!' gasped out a little child. … 'But he hasn't got any clothes on!' shouted the whole town at last." And what did the emperor do when he finally realized that he had been made a fool? "'All the same,' he thought to himself, 'I've got to go through with it as long as the procession lasts.' So he drew himself up and held his head higher than before, and the courtiers held on to the train that wasn't there at all."

"The Nightingale" — Moral of the Story: Technology cannot replace the gifts and love we get from interacting with real people. Love cannot be bought or commanded. It can conquer even death.

In this story, a mechanical bird that sings one song exactly like that of a nightingale is widely celebrated, but it can't deliver the beauty of the real thing and eventually wears out. This is a wonderful message for today's youth surrounded by smart phones, flat screen televisions, and artificial intelligence.

"The Shadow" — Moral of the Story: Don't trust or do business with someone with a flawed or bad character, no matter how seemingly successful he or she is. The line between good guys and bad guys is not always clear at first, and being kind to bad people can result in bad things happening to good people.

In this story, a "learned" and gentle man puts his fate in the hands of another who lacks a sound character. He overlooks red flags, perhaps because he is complicit in the other's rise in stature or hopes to profit from his supernatural abilities, or is simply naive. He doesn't alert others to the threat, allowing them to be deceived by "the Shadow" until it is too late.

NATHANIEL HAWTHORNE

"The Gorgon's Head" — Moral of the Story: Stories written long ago (Homer around 800 BC, Hawthorne in 1852) can pack as much excitement and action in a short space as any movie or video game. READING can transport the reader into exciting and strange worlds.

This is a gothic retelling of the story of Perseus and Medusa with Perseus embodying all the traits of a virtuous and strong young man while the gods (Mercury and Minerva) provide the kinds of supernatural aides that now appear in Harry Potter and Avenger movies (winged slippers, a helmet of invisibility, a bag that can expand to hold anything).

One imagines 5 and 6 year-olds running around with cardboard swords pretending to be invisible while battling the forces of evil! It's better than a video game.

"The Three Golden Apples" — Moral of the Story: Courage, strength, and masculinity are virtues to be cultivated and put to good use. Wisdom, too, counts in the end.

This story is another gothic retelling of a Greek myth, this time the story of Hercules' 11th labor, fooling Atlas into retrieving golden apples from Hesperides. Like "The Gorgon's Head," the hero is a virtuous man doing manly things, although Hercules is even manlier than Perseus, and being older is more clever.

This story and the one that preceded it are "stories within stories," as the narrator is himself a role model for boys and girls who might want to become poets and philosophers and teachers, since not everyone is cut out to be a Perseus or Hercules.

We all like to sit back and complain about the failure of schools to "teach morals." Maybe the solution is just one short story away!

Senate *cont. from page 10*

impossible with current technology - so we are the only ones who can do it. Now that donors are seeing their money squandered by the RNC, they are waking up to a private data alternative.

It's time to privatize data analysis for donors so they can see the impact of every dollar and determine who the grifters are - and we are in the process of showing them.

As you read your conservative press blogs, wondering if Gaetz or Hegseth might not get confirmed, just remember if the RNC had checked every mail-in ballot, every day, against the Undeliverable Ballot Database, showing ineligible - uncountable ballots - there would be 4 more senators to get it done.

January 6th *cont. from page 1*

much more comprehensible to the American public and much less controversial.

—Although now the FBI admits to having 26 confidential human sources in the crowd on January 6, how many total "assets" did the FBI and other entities plant and what roles did they play?

—For what entity was Ray Epps working and under what terms?

—Who planted the pipe bombs outside the DNC and near the RNC headquarters?

—Who instructed Kamala Harris to conceal the fact that she was at the DNC when the bomb was found and why?

—Why did Harris allow hundreds of J6ers to be prosecuted for threatening her designated space at the Capitol when she wasn't at the Capitol?

—Who were the "two law enforcement officials" that told the New York Times that "pro-Trump rioters" fatally struck Capitol Police officer Brian Sicknick with a fire extinguisher, inflicting "a bloody gash in his head"?

The Bidens honor stroke victim Sicknick under the pretext he was murdered.

—Who orchestrated the 100-day plus suppression of Sicknick's autopsy report?

—If Sicknick was not murdered, as the DOJ finally conceded, why did a federal judge give Julian Khater an 80-month prison sentence for spritzing Sicknick with an over-the-counter pepper spray?

—Has there been an official inquiry into the subsequent suicide deaths of four USCP officers and, if not, why has the DOJ routinely blamed the J6ers for causing those deaths?

—Why was there no crime scene investigation in the likely homicide of Rosanne Boyland?

—Who chose to ignore the obvious video evidence of Boyland being suffocated as a result of a police action and to falsely blame her death on an amphetamine overdose?

—Who suppressed the Boyland autopsy report for 90 days and stonewalled her family at every turn?

—Why was Lila Morris, the Metropolitan PD officer caught on video repeatedly bashing the unconscious Boyland over the head with a tree branch, not even disciplined?

—Why was Metropolitan PD Lieutenant Jason Bagshaw promoted despite having been caught on video bashing the defenseless Victoria White bloody?

—Why did the DOJ not interview the eyewitnesses to the shooting death of Ashli Babbitt?

—Why did the USCP coddle and promote Babbitt's killer, Michael Byrd, despite a shooting that, according to use-of-force expert Stan Kephart, "violated not only the law but his oath."

—Who ordered the "shock and awe" raids on the homes of hundreds of non-violent protestors and why?

—Why has the so-called "Scaffold Commander" not been arrested despite multiple clear images of his face?

—Why has the man who constructed the mock gallows on the Capitol grounds not been arrested despite multiple clear images of his face?

—Why did the USCP allow the gallows to stand unmolested on Capitol grounds for more than 5 hours before the crowds gathered?

—Why was Emanuel Jackson quickly set free despite having been caught on video swinging a baseball bat at police officers over a two-hour period?

—If there was no insurrection, as the DOJ conceded, why were the sentences given to the J6ers so much more severe than the $30-50 fines given to the protestors who physically obstructed the Kavanaugh hearings?

These are the simple questions, the ones off the top. I am sure readers will think of others I may have overlooked. To be sure, more probing questions need to be asked about the January 6 Select Committee report as well as the charging documents for the J6ers.

Having read through much of this material, I am impressed by how casually—and routinely—our elected officials and federal jurists distort the facts to protect the party line. In short, they lie, and some have done so under oath.

I am impressed, too, by the shamelessness of a DOJ that can boast of its success rate in securing convictions, knowing that the accused were allowed no change of venue and faced juries pulled from a pool 95 percent anti-Trump. This needs to change.

More questions need to be asked as well about the security failures at all levels on January 6. In his otherwise worthy book, Government Gangsters, Kash Patel more or less exonerates the Pentagon. He should not have. Incompetence explains much of what went wrong on January 6, but so does treason.

Nearly 1600 American citizens were arrested for exercising their First Amendment rights on January 6, and roughly half of them have been incarcerated. Save for the insurrectionists among them—if there were any—the rest deserve not just commutation of their sentences but a full pardon. Many may deserve compensation. And all deserve the truth.







• In 2009, CBS would run a news story talking about using a teenager's blood to reverse aging. They even admit that is has a very high demand. How can CBS call adrenochrome a conspiracy theory when their own past report contradicts that?

• Adrenochrome is a chemical that's a byproduct of adrenaline.

• Satanic Secret Societies are said to extract Adrenochrome, by torturing children into releasing adrenalin into their blood, and then drinking it.

• I've heard John Podesta, Hilary Clinton and Huma Abedin are very experienced in this field.



• A country run by banks will always be in debt.

• Healthcare run by Big Pharma will never cure disease.

• A state run by war will never know peace.

• A nation run by the media will never know the truth.

Wisdom to Live By

"The only time anyone should watch the news is to study how psychological manipulation works on the general public.



THE GAME PAGE
Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Plait
6. Tired to the point of exhaustion
11. Reddish
12. Least difficult
15. An esoteric theosophy
16. Fellow sailor
17. Reverence
18. Rapacity
20. Martini ingredient
21. Ailments
23. Numerous
24. Gave temporarily
25. Depend
26. Make (one's way)
27. Canine tooth
28. Apothecary's weight
29. Terminate
30. Means
31. Predaceous
34. Outfit
36. Lenient
37. Adept
41. Found in some lotions
42. Easter flower
43. Tall woody perennial grass
44. Study hard
45. Stare
46. Haul
47. Autonomic nervous system
48. Goddess of divine retribution
51. Before, poetically
52. Confined
54. Incarcerate
56. English blue cheese
57. A bleaching vat
58. Shoe stud
59. Caught a glimpse of

DOWN
1. Fighter
2. German measles
3. American Dental Association
4. Doing nothing
5. Twosome
6. Further back
7. Not clergy
8. Catch a glimpse of
9. Ambition
10. Chemical agent
13. Insect wounds
14. Canvas dwelling
15. Vagrant
16. Outraged
19. Correct
22. Any change in bodily function
24. A cord worn around the neck
26. Cry
27. Coniferous tree
30. Concubine
32. Regret
33. Stories
34. January's birthstone
35. Stretchable
38. Deprive through death
39. Acquired the knowledge
40. Nosed (out)
42. Bewail
44. Scoundrels
45. Columbus's birthplace
48. Anagram of "Tine"
49. Bothers
50. Dribble
53. Total
55. Japanese apricot



Answers on page 19

Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

7	5	9	3			2		
			5			3	7	
6			4					1
	9	5	7			1		
	8						5	
		7			5	4	3	
9					4			7
	1	2			9			
		6			1	9	2	5

Answers on page 19

Windmill Facts



That little yellow thing at the top of the picture is a CAT-9 bulldozer. It is burying acres and acres of windmill blades used for " Green Energy." Why?

Because these blades need to be disposed of, and there is presently no way to recycle them. That's how green energy works! Who knew? Maybe the people that make them knew. Why would they let that cat out of the bag? After all, they are government-subsidized with taxpayer money (just like every electric car). Also, politicians do not want those huge eyesores in their backyard.

Right now, the average wind farm contains about 150 turbines. Each wind turbine needs 80 gallons of oil as a lubricant, and we're not talking about vegetable oil, this is a PAO synthetic oil based on crude. 12,000 gallons of it for 150 turbines, and that oil needs to be replaced once a year. It is estimated that a little over 3,800 turbines would be needed to power a city the size of New York... That's 304,000 gallons of refined oil per year for just one city. That's 25+ wind farms.

Now, you have to calculate every city across the nation, large and small, to find the grand total of yearly oil consumption from " clean" energy. Where do you think all that oil is going to come from? Well, since January 2021, it comes from our enemies in the Mideast. Not to mention the fact that the large equipment needed to build these wind farms runs on petroleum. As well as the equipment required for installation, service, maintenance, and eventual removal. And just exactly how eco-friendly is wind energy anyway?

Each turbine requires a footprint of 1.5 acres, so a wind farm of 150 turbines needs 225 acres. To power a city the size of NYC, you'd need 57,000 acres; and who knows the astronomical amount of land you would need to power the entire US. All of which would have to be clear-cut land because trees create a barrier and turbulence that interferes with the 20mph sustained wind velocity necessary for the turbine to work properly. Also, keep in mind that not all states are suitable for such sustained winds. Boy, cutting down all those trees is gonna upset a lot of green-loving tree-huggers.

Let's now talk about disposal.

The lifespan of a modern, top-quality, highly efficient wind turbine is 20 years. After that, what happens to those gigantic fiber composite blades? They cannot be economically reused, refurbished, reduced, repurposed, or recycled. So, it's off to special landfills they go. And, they're already running out of these special landfill spaces for the used blades that have already exceeded their usefulness. Those blades are anywhere from 120 ft. to over 200 ft. long, and there are 3 per turbine. And that's with only 3% to 7% of the nation currently being supplied with (intermittent, i.e., unreliable) wind energy. Just imagine if we had the other 93% of the nation on the wind grid... 20 years from now you'd have all those unusable blades with no place to put them... Then 20 years after that, and 20 years after that, and so on. "Green energy?"

Also, about 500,000 birds are killed each year from wind turbine blade collisions, many of which are endangered hawks, falcons, owls, geese, ducks, and eagles. Apparently, smaller birds are more agile and able to dart and dodge out of the way of the spinning blades, whereas the larger soaring birds aren't so lucky.

Here's another problem with windmills. The generator and switching equipment operate at high power and voltage. Everything in the windmill nacelle (look it up) is compact due to limited space, so there's a danger of arcs and electrical fires. This is prevented by putting all the electrical equipment in a pressure vessel filled with sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), a synthetic gas that has dielectric properties which suppress arcs and fires. However, windmills leak this gas, something around a pound each per year. SF6 has an atmospheric lifetime of 3,200 years and is 22,800 times more effective as a greenhouse gas than carbon.

This Is Another Item That Falls Under The Category Of, "GEE WHIZ, I Guess We Didn't Think This Through"(!!!)

Are You Listening, AOC?

If you do not take an interest in the affairs of your government, then you are doomed to live under the rule of fools ~Plato

Milwaukee *cont. from page 11*

100% turnout, which officials explained as attributable to same day registration. Same day registration surged by 50%. According to the City of Milwaukee Elections Commission website, voter turnout in Milwaukee was 85%, more than 20% higher than the national turnout and significantly higher than Wisconsin as a whole.

In a Marquette Law School blog about Wisconsin voter turnout published on the website on November 12, John Johnson reported that about 125,000 more people voted in 2024 than 2020. The number of votes in Milwaukee County grew by 4,346 despite the adult population falling by about 11,900 between 2020 and 2023. He reported "Voter turnout in Wisconsin this year was very high—among the highest ever in presidential elections in Wisconsin. Unofficial returns show 3,422,802 votes cast for president, up from 3,298,041 in 2020". He goes on to explain that the University of Florida Election Lab estimates that 76.4% of eligible voters cast a ballot in Wisconsin compared with 63.5% nationally.

So what really happened in Milwaukee? We will never know because our elections are not verifiable.

The top priority in cleaning up our election system is the Registered Voters list that contains more than 4 million names of ineligible voters. The WEC, along with legislators in both houses of state government, have steadfastly refused to purge the rolls of ineligible voters despite citizen demands that they do so. Also high on the list are 250,000 driver's licenses that have been issued to non-citizens who are here legally. Those licenses are identical to citizen licenses and can easily be used to vote illegally. The legislators and WEC have refused to address this potential source of voter fraud.

Donald Trump's victory did not reduce the importance of the continued fight for election integrity in Wisconsin. We have a critical Supreme Court election next spring and must continue to demand system flaws are addressed with more rigor than ever. Until we reform our current system, we cannot verify that every ballot cast has been cast by an eligible voter. Recounts are a waste of time and money. Miscounting the ballots that are cast is not the problem.

The movement to inform and mobilize the electorate to demand election integrity in Wisconsin continues undeterred. Along the way there will be many attempts to deflect from the truth by those who are invested in the status quo. They will demand that concerned voters prove there is election fraud. It is not the responsibility of the voters to prove that fraud has occurred. It is the responsibility of the legislature and the WEC to make sure the system is designed so fraud cannot occur. The correct response to these deflections is to demand proof that every ballot cast has been cast by an eligible voter. This is the meaning of verifiable.

Interference *cont. from page 4*

(CJP) have helped "educate" more than 3,000 judges on how to understand the "facts" of climate change as related to legal issues. Even more disturbing, CJP's materials were crafted by activists who advise state attorneys general and municipalities in these cases and/or support their claims through legal briefs.

It's all part of a coordinated "think global, sue local" strategy – except no thought is given to global GHG emissions from China, India or other developing countries. It also hampers efforts to develop reliable, affordable, accessible coal, oil and gas; and easier for state and local governments to mandate and subsidize expensive, intermittent, environmentally destructive wind, solar and battery electricity.

These cases permeate liberal state politics and affect American consumers nationwide.

Liberal state politicians want "green, renewable" electricity but know it's expensive. To make it seem cheaper, they support tax-funded and deficit-increasing subsidies – and states and municipalities that drag energy companies into $5-trillion tobacco-style legal settlements, to help pay for an ultimately $100-trillion pseudo-renewable energy infrastructure.

Of course, consumers across America will eventually foot this bill via rate hikes and higher taxes – along with lost jobs, lower living standards and blackouts – to support alarmist policies and the "privilege" of having unreliable "green" power for an all-electricity society.

Climate fearmongers think voters and consumers are powerless to stop this, since the process is playing out in state courts, where climate realist views and votes don't count.

However, President-elect Trump has numerous energy and climate options at his disposal. Perhaps more important, the US Supreme Court has signaled an interest in hearing two climate cases: City & County of Honolulu v. Sunoco and Alabama v. California.

The court could rule that energy companies are not legitimate lawsuit targets for the "climate crime" of producing what America and humanity need to survive and thrive. It may also say federal courts have jurisdiction in these cases, and are the proper venue, because fossil fuel use, greenhouse gas emissions and climate change are interstate, regional, national and international in nature – and no state, much less any municipality, has jurisdiction or authority to impose narrow, provincial interpretations of law, science and commerce on the rest of the country and world.

Hopefully, the Supreme Court will follow the Hague's common sense in hearing these cases and ruling in favor of fossil fuel energy that truly is the foundation of health, welfare, prosperity and security.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES
HANDGUN FUNDAMENTALS
Sat., January 4; 10:00 AM - 2:00 PM
SKILLS CLINIC DRAW UNSTRUCTURED
Thurs., January 16; 6:00 PM - 7:00PM
CONCEALED CARRY + HOME DEFENSE
Sat., January 18; 9:00 AM - 5:00PM
WOMENS ONLY HANDGUN FUNDAMENTALS
Sun., January 26; 10:00 AM - 2:00PM
Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.
12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005
262-226-2900
info@wifirearms.com
★★★★★
Five-Star Confidence
Hours
Mon: Closed thru Labor Day
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-5pm
Sun: 10am-4pm
wifirearms.com
An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex
WISCONSIN FIREARMS
WFTC
TRAINING CENTER

Our Mission...

At the heart of CFACT, our goal is to enhance the fruitfulness of the earth and all of its inhabitants. CFACT accomplishes this through four main strategies:

Prospering Lives. CFACT works to help people find better ways to provide for food, water, energy and other essential human services.

Promoting Progress. CFACT advocates the use of safe, affordable technologies and the pursuit of economic policies that reduce pollution and waste, and maximize the use of resources.

Protecting the Earth. CFACT helps protect the earth through wise stewardship of the land and its wildlife.

Providing Education. CFACT educates various sectors of the public about important facts and practical solutions regarding environmental concerns.

Stay Informed...

Listen to Podcasts or join our email newsletter

Visit
www.cfact.org/podcasts/
or
www.cfact.org/about/stay-informed/

Our Staff

Craig Rucker
President and Co-Founder

Marc Morano
Director of Communications

Christina Norman
Director of Development

Paul Driessen
Senior Policy Advisor

Adam Houser
National Collegians Director

Become A Truth Warrior- Donate Monthly

Give Securely Online at
www.cfact.org/donate

CFACT
Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 1025
Washington. DC 20006

www.CFact.org



DOGE climate and energy targets

By Craig Rucker

What happens when an irresistible force meets an immovable object?

What happens when Elon and Vivek meet our federal bureaucracy?

Buckle up! We're about to find out.

Add Elon and Vivek, btw, to those rare people such as Elvis, Einstein, Denzel, Cher, Mookie, Ringo, Lincoln, Reagan, and indeed their new partner Trump, for whom one name will suffice.

"DOGE," or the "Department of Government Efficiency," is a key Trump initiative aimed at reforming our tragically bloated government.

Elon and Vivek will spearhead efforts to reduce, restructure, streamline, deregulate and root out duplication, waste, fraud, red tape and abuse.

This is way overdue, but whenever it's been tried before, the status quo easily triumphed.

Entrepreneurs maximize innovation and efficiency and profit thereby. Bureaucrats maximize security, perquisites and control.

When Elon acquired X, the then-named Twitter had 7,500 employees. Elon immediately fired 3,750 of them and another 1,250 packed up and quit. Government is nothing like this simple.

Federal bureaucrats know that when it comes to conflict, their swords are weak, but their shields are mighty.

Bureaucrats fight from behind a mighty defensive structure of outdated laws, regulations, civil service rules and union contracts. They rely upon their superior institutional knowledge of our byzantine web of government rules and processes to thwart outsiders. Bureaucrats scoff at the naiveté of any citizen who believes common sense can compel change.

Team DOGE must quickly obtain an expert knowledge of the rules that constrain and enable how government actually functions that meets or exceeds that of the entrenched bureaucracy and the vast network that profits from government dysfunction.

More importantly, team DOGE must resolve not to throw up their hands in frustration, no matter the obstacle. The presidency comes with great powers, but demands expertise. The eunuchs who hold the keys to the Forbidden City will take great delight in their game of obfuscation and delay. They will crow over every naive misstep and blocked initiative.

CFACT has long expertise and a deep bench on issues of climate, energy, the environment and individual freedom. The opportunities in these essential areas are ripe.

Check out the early list of opportunities for reform CFACT senior policy advisor Paul Driessen posted to CFACT.org. CFACT senior policy analyst Bonner Cohen lays out the reasons for exiting the UN's Paris Climate Agreement and how a return to best constitutional practices can help. CFACT's good friend Doug Pollack posted to CFACT.org his hope that the new Administration will rescue American industry from those he aptly describes as Green monsters.

The Left knows how to forum shop for activists judges to block reform. The media that ran cover for the failures of the Biden/Harris Administration stands ready to pull false alarms and frighten people, particularly our seniors and people who care about our environment (as all of us should).

We recommend the CFACT method of patiently, but relentlessly debunking false narratives with verifiable facts.

CFACT will continually lay out a roadmap, as we have always done, of opportunities for free market efficiency and reform.

DOGE will be listening.



COP 29: Climate cash freak out

By Craig Rucker

$250 billion is an "insult" say climate campaigners at the big UN climate conference in Azerbaijan.

They are demanding over $1 trillion of your dollars per year be transferred to developing nations and their attendant climate profiteers starting now.

The COP 29 presidency released a draft calling for a "collective quantified goal on climate finance" of $250 billion per year, ramping up to $1.3 trillion per year by 2035.

Read the COP 29 presidency's draft climate finance proposal at CFACT.org.

The Guardian quotes Panama's climate envoy as saying, "This is definitely not enough. What we need is at least $5tn a year, but what we have asked for is just $1.3tn. That is 1% of global GDP. That should not be too much when you're talking about saving the planet we all live on." $250 billion "comes to nothing when you split it."

The COP 29 outcome is now teetering as climate pressure groups and poorer nations insist on higher redistribution of cash.

That monstrous portions of all this spending will end up in the pockets of climate kleptocrats, with little or no impact on the temperature of the Earth, doesn't enter into it.

As three decade veterans of the UN climate process, CFACT has seen this drama play out many times before.

The conference goes into a last minute panic, then goes into extra innings. Then some time over the weekend delegates emerge bleary eyed from a late night session and announce a glorious compromise that lines the wrong pockets while accomplishing nothing meaningful for the planet.

CFACT's Marc Morano told Sky News that, "this whole conference was about money, money, money" for a UN climate "slush fund."

Check out my article at Newsmax calling on once and future President Trump to "Net Zero" the USA out of these UN climate meetings. I share a pile of climate absurdities and solutions that solve nothing such as eating insects to change the weather.

As UN climate delegates fight over the billions and trillions of dollars they crave, they do so under the cloud of an incoming Trump Administration that is threatening to pull the world's number one economy out.

Let's see how eager Canada, Europe, Japan and Australia are to continue throwing good money after bad if the U.S. calls "game over" and departs the field.







Over 300 pages of evidence from the CDC show that vaccines cause autism

By Steve Kirsch

I recently received a treasure trove of electronic documents from deep inside the CDC. These documents have never been made publicly available.

The documents include voice-recordings, emails, hand-written notes, diagrams, and data.

The often repeated claim that "vaccines don't cause autism" is quite simply inconsistent with this evidence which can be authenticated.

I am working with Trevor Fitzgibbon to pitch this to all the mainstream media so that I'm not talking to an echo chamber with this data. It is much better if we can get the blue-pilled media to red-pill their peers; it's unlikely to happen any other way.

This is a huge scandal and our kids having been paying the price for decades all because the CDC doesn't want to publicly admit they were wrong

I spoke with a top journalist at Inside Edition who thought that it's one of the biggest stories of the decade. He said he would try to interest his friends at 60 Minutes and other outlets in viewing the data (the story is too big for IE).

I will keep you apprised.

The documents can be authenticated by people inside and outside the CDC.

I've sent the documents to others on our side (just in case something happens to me).

Brian Hooker analyzed the CDC autism study data from the DeStefano paper; the evidence I received confirms what he found

The Hooker paper is published in the scientific peer-reviewed literature and is simply an analysis of the data that the CDC officials told CDC scientist William Thompson to destroy.

Look at the last row. The OR and p-value. We are done. Nobody has pointed out a calculation mistake in this paper. It passed two rounds of peer-review at TWO different medical journals!!

Age cut-off for first MMR vaccine	Odds Ratio	*p*-value	95% Confidence Interval	Number of Cases/Controls: Before
				After
18 months	1.49	0.066	0.98 – 2.26	125/290
				60/190
24 months	1.82	0.029	1.06 – 3.11	162/381
				23/99
36 months	3.86	0.005	1.49 – 10.0	178/429
				7/51

See the 3.86 odds ratio in the last row? See the .005 p-value? Those are damning. There is no way to explain such large effect sizes.

This is why Coleen Boyle ordered Thompson to destroy the subgroup data showing the high OR value: because they couldn't make the signal go away so they made the data go away.

Also, the evidence I obtained shows that Coleen Boyle would have flatly refused to testify in Congress about the matter had US Congressman Bill Posey been able to follow through on his desire to have a hearing. Why would she do that if they weren't hiding anything? Unfortunately, Posey was ordered by his peers in Congress to nix the investigation to protect the drug companies. That's why it never happened.

OR= 3.86 with a p-value of .005 is an absolute train wreck.

It means that most of the autism in that subgroup is caused by vaccines.

There is no other viable explanation of the data.

If the MMR shots are safe with respect to autism, all the OR values in the table above should all be very close to 1 (and the p-values should be >0.10) because these are measure of the timing of the MMR shot (not the timing of the autism diagnosis) which has to be IRRELEVANT if the shots are safe.

How did the CDC respond to Thompson's allegations that his boss Coleen Boyle illegally ordered him to destroy the subgroup analysis documents linking the MMR vaccine and autism?

The CDC did an internal investigation and talked to the people in the room where the incident happened. Four of them said it didn't happen and that Thompson fabricated the whole story. The CDC internal investigation determined they were right because it was 4 against 1. That's it.

But my evidence chest shows that all the evidence is consistent with his narrative about being ordered to trash the data they didn't like.

And the DeStefano paper itself is evidence because the 3.86 odds ratio in the data should have been disclosed in the paper.

In science, you are not allowed to do a subgroup analysis, find a signal, and then not mention it in the paper. If you think the signal is false, you then need to provide the data showing it is false. You can't unwind the video tape, destroy the evidence, and pretend it didn't happen… that is unethical. You have to keep going down the rabbit hole. That's how science works. It is illegal to destroy government data. And you never destroy any study data prior to publication of your study. That is unprecedented. Why would they do that? They did it to hide the signal because they couldn't hide it through statistical manipulation.

Nobody can explain the pediatrician temporal data: the CDC switched topics when asked by a pediatrician to explain the data she observed

Pediatrician "L" (she didn't want her named used until after she goes through her records EMR records manually to confirm her estimates) estimates around 180 kids in her practice had rapid onset autism. Of those, she believes the majority happened within 2 week after a vaccination visit. She never thought about looking at the actual data so it could be close to 100% of the cases. EMR systems don't track this, so you have to manually look at each case individually. She told me would do that.

There is no way to explain these numbers if vaccines don't trigger autism.

When she confronted the CDC and asked them "So how do you explain why autism cases are so likely to happen just after vaccination if vaccines don't cause autism?" they just switch topics and point to what the peer-reviewed studies showed and avoid answering the question. Real scientists never switch topics when asked to explain data. Science is about the search for truth, not dismissing data you don't like.

But Dr. L is hardly alone.

Pediatrician Doug Hulstedt did track the case history of all his incoming patients with autism. He has seen over 180 autistic kids in his 35 year career as a pediatrician. He is highly rated by his patients for his medical care.

Of the 44 kids with "rapid onset" autism, 100% of these cases happened within 14 days after a vaccination of one or more vaccines.

100%.

All Doug did was a medical history by asking for the vaccination records of the kids and the date that the autism was first noticed by the parents.

There is not a single study in the medical literature that looked at kids with rapid onset autism and plotted the date of the vaccine that was most proximate to the event, whether it was before or after. Why is that? Because it would find a huge signal. That's why the studies never do it.

If vaccines don't cause autism, there will be an equal number of cases where the "closest vaccine" to the onset data was before vs. after.

This is why these autism studies never find a signal. They always use a methodology which is not sensitive to timing. They never use the more sensitive measure I just describe. And most pediatricians if you ask them their numbers don't know because they don't track it. And pretty much all of them refuse to look at what their numbers are.

Pediatric practices where the parents choose not to vaccinate their kids have ZERO autism cases

I know of a large pediatric practice in California. In 25 years, none of the thousands of kids in the practice (nearly all of whom are not vaccinated at all), they haven't had any cases of autism among the unvaccinated kids. ZERO.

How does the medical community explain that? They don't.

So if autism was primarily caused by environmental toxins or heredity, then how do we explain this data? All the surrounding pediatric clinics have standard autism rates.

Studies published in the medical literature show odds ratios between 4.2 and 5.0 when comparing fully vaccinated vs. fully unvaccinated kids

How can every study in the peer-reviewed literature that compares fully vaccinated vs. fully unvaccinated kids show very similar odds ratio for autism? Every study. No exceptions. The odds ratio in these studies is over 4. Since 99% of the kids are vaccinated, the population attributable risk (PAR) is 75% or more. In other words, most of the autism cases are being caused by the shots because we have a high ratio and because all five Bradford Hill criteria are satisfied in spades. If there is a higher likelihood explanation for the odds ratio, why isn't anyone in the world able to show it? The attacks are all hand-waving arguments without any evidentiary support whatsoever, i.e., FUD.

So the precautionary principle of medicine demands that we should presume vaccines cause autism until proven otherwise.

Here are the studies:

Here are the studies that you simply never hear about:

Paul Thomas (2020) had 0 autism cases in 561 unvaxxed patients total. For patients who followed the CDC vaccination schedule, there were 15 autism cases in 894 patients. The Fisher exact test p-value is 0.0008 which is stunning. The OR was infinity, with a 95% lower confidence limit of 2.3. See also this excellent article about the study which points out that "unvaccinated children are healthier in several metrics and enjoy 25 times fewer pediatric visits." The bad guys were able to get the paper retracted by claiming the higher rates of autism among the vaccinated were due to more office visits. The problem with that criticism is that the reason for retraction was later disproven in a subsequent paper!

Hooker (2021): 5.03 odds ratio for autism in the vaccinated vs. unvaccinated.

Mawson (2017): 4.2 odds ratio for autism in the vaccinated vs. unvaccinated (666 in this study)

Geier (2013): 2.1 to 3.4 odds ratio in the VSD database depending on age at injection. Higher odds ratio when older. That study, published in the peer-reviewed literature, was done using a two-phase methodology used by the CDC. It showed that the HepB vaccine was strongly associated with autism. They looked at each of the 3 injection times and in all cases the OR was high and the p-value was low! The study has never been retracted. After Brian Hooker found this signal in VSD, his access was immediately revoked by the CDC with no explanation. Nothing like being open to data transparency is there?

Garner / Control group (2022): 82 odds ratio for autism in the vaccinated vs. unvaccinated. "For those with zero exposures to post-birth vaccines, pre-birth vaccines, or the K shot, the total rate of autism in the entire CGS is 0% (0 of 1,024)" Doing an OR calculation relative to my survey of 10,000 children: OR=82 CI:5.1197 to 1315 z statistic: 3.114 Significance level. P

continued on page 23

Ave RFK *cont. from page 1*

It reminds me of the treacherous adversity Cicero faced when he made the bold decision to prosecute Gaius Verres on the charge of misconduct, especially extortion, during his term as governor of Sicily (73-71 BC). Cicero was a lawyer of the equestrian class. Verres was one of the most powerful patricians in Rome with extensive connections in the Senate and every other institution, not to mention nefarious characters who could procure an assassin for him.

RFJ, Jr.s appointment to HHS Secretary may be the greatest turning of the tables story in U.S. political history, and I almost wish Anthony Fauci were still head of NIAID so that he could meet his new boss in January.

Despite suffering from spasmodic dysphonia, RFK, Jr. has proven to be one of America's strongest voices in defending our Constitutional Republic from public health officials and politicians wielding emergency power. In rousing speeches reminiscent of his father's famous University of Capetown address in 1966, he has articulated why we should never allow our constitution to be compromised by fallible men who promise to keep us safe.

For decades, he worked as an environmental attorney to protect the natural world from corporate industrial polluters. He has been especially troubled by the contamination of our waterways with hazardous waste, including mercury. In 2005 he became concerned about a mercury compound used as a preservative in childhood vaccines, which prompted him to conduct a thorough investigation of vaccine safety in general. He quickly realized that the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act had granted vaccine manufacturers too much immunity from civil and criminal liability for injuries or deaths caused by their products. In the absence of legal liability, the only thing that could possibly regulate their conduct was scrutiny from a public figure like him.

The vast majority of men of his social status would have concluded that taking on the Vaccine Cartel was far more daunting than David taking on Goliath, and that doing so was a surefire way to personal ruin. It took a man of RFK, Jr.s extraordinary qualities—bold, energetic, and diligent combined with a love of adventure, a streak of derring-do, and measure of devil may care—to enter the water with this leviathan.

Sure enough, his critical questioning of vaccine safety was not met with debate, but with vitriolic, ad hominem attacks and accusations of being a conspiracy theorist. Implied in these attacks is that no one should dare even question the safety of vaccines.

After being in the wilderness of media blackouts and social ostracism for decades, RFK, Jr. has, with true grit, made his way to the center stage of federal authority with a clear mandate to promote the health and well-being of the American people. In terms of a man overcoming adversity, his story is one for the ages.

The Beglinger Blast... It's Time to Flip the Script



Dr. Francis Collins



Anthony S. Fauci, M.D. Former NIAID Director

Francis Collins and Anthony Fauci, architects of the government's response to COVID, were a far greater threat to the American people than the pandemic.

By Joan Beglinger

If there is one word that accurately describes Donald Trump it is authentic. He refuses to put his finger in the political winds to see which way they are blowing; he will not bend to political correctness; and, most importantly, he does exactly what he says he is going to do. It got him elected the 47th President of the United States.

He comes to Washington DC for the second time having shed the naivete from his first term and determined to root out corruption and waste in the government bureaucracy through reconstruction and reform. He is moving at lightning speed to make appointments that are unconventional by any measure and signaling he fully understands Washington insiders are not who he needs to get the job done.

Members of the media and liberals snicker at Trump's plans to go after waste, fraud, and abuse throughout government agencies and programs. They sneer at business titans Vivek Ramaswamy and Elon Musk as they confidently predict they can find up to $2 trillion in wasteful government spending and then sunset the newly formed Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) they are leading by July 2026 as a 250th birthday present to the American people. The naysayers claim Trump and his picks just don't understand how the government works and they predict that career government bureaucrats can outlast any administration's efforts to make meaningful change.

We do not have to look further than the health care government bureaucracy to understand how desperately reform is needed. The COVID pandemic exposed corruption and betrayal of the American people at a level we would not have imagined.

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is a huge federal bureaucracy with more than 80,000 federal employees and an annual budget of greater than $1.6 trillion.

Two agencies of this department, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and The National Institutes of Health (NIH) played prominent roles in the COVID pandemic response.

The FDA is responsible for ensuring food is safe and drugs and medical devices are safe and effective.

The NIH supports biomedical and behavioral research in the United States and abroad, conducts research in its own labs and clinics, trains researchers and promotes sharing medical knowledge.

Trump is assembling a leadership team well equipped to remake the healthcare bureaucracy into one that is focused on serving the American people. It's ironic that bureaucrats from the agencies they will lead have tried to destroy them.

Trump has nominated Robert F. Kennedy Jr. to serve as Secretary of HHS. He is viewed as a major threat by the defenders of the status quo for many reasons including his willingness to expose and fight how corruption in the food and pharmaceutical industries and in politics is contributing to the chronic illness epidemic that plagues our country.

Marty Makary, a renowned oncology surgeon and Johns Hopkins Professor, has been selected by Trump as Commissioner of the FDA. He was one of the few who demonstrated the integrity and courage to speak out against government mandates and policies that were not supported by the scientific evidence during the pandemic. His latest book "Blind Spots: When Medicine Gets it Wrong and What it Means to Our Health" reveals a man who can be trusted to lead with integrity.

Jay Bhattacharya is a recent addition to the Trump healthcare leadership team, nominated as Director of NIH. He is a highly respected Stanford Medical School Professor and economist who became known as "the man who talked back" when he had the audacity to speak out against COVID lockdowns. His story is a real-life example of Trump's "enemies from within." The men who at the time ran the NIH, Tony Fauci and Francis Collins, tried to destroy him.

Bhattacharya, as a result of his own research and that of many others, co-authored "The Great Barrington Declaration" with two renowned epidemiologists, Sunetra Gupta from Oxford and Martin Kulldorff from Harvard. They cautioned, "As infectious disease epidemiologists and public health scientists, we have grave concerns about the damaging physical and mental health impacts of the prevailing COVID-19 policies and recommend an approach that we call focused protection." They believed rather than shut down the country the focus should be on protecting those at greatest risk.

Within days of the publication of the Great Barrington Declaration, Francis Collins, Director of the NIH, sent a message to Anthony Fauci, Director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) that said, "This proposal from the three fringe epidemiologists seems to be getting a lot of attention. There needs to be a quick and devastating public takedown of its premises." Collins then told the Washington Post "This is a fringe component of epidemiology. This is not mainstream science. It's dangerous."

This was part of a vicious effort to silence dissenters. When Elon Musk bought Twitter, he invited Jay Bhattacharya to an in-person meeting to show him how Twitter had blacklisted him to prevent him from reaching the broad population he was trying to alert to his concerns. Twitter had a very sinister approach to blacklisting that kept Bhattacharya from ever knowing communications he thought he had tweeted had gone nowhere.

In the end, everything Jay Bhattacharya was saying was supported by the evidence from lockdowns to masking to vaccines. When asked why he thought the medical establishment was so invested in destroying those who questioned the COVID response, Bhattacharya said it was inconvenient to their reputations to have noted professionals say it was not necessary to be inflicting such harm on the American people.

Anthony Fauci and Francis Collins were a far greater threat to the American people than the COVID pandemic. They suppressed scientific debate, censored

continued on page 20



Dermatology's Horrendous War Against The Sun

By A Midwestern Doctor

I always found it odd that everyone insisted I avoid sunlight and wear sunscreen during outdoor activities, as I noticed that sunlight felt great and caused my veins to dilate, indicating the body deeply craved sunlight. Later, I learned that blocking natural light with glass (e.g., with windows or eyeglasses) significantly affected health, and that many had benefitted from utilizing specialized glass that allowed the full light spectrum through. This ties into one of my favorite therapeutic modalities, ultraviolet blood irradiation, which produces a wide range of truly remarkable benefits by putting the sun's ultraviolet light inside the body.

Once in medical school, aware of sunlight's benefits, I was struck by dermatologists' extreme aversion to it. Patients were constantly warned to avoid sunlight, and in northern latitudes, where people suffer from seasonal affective disorder, dermatologists even required students to wear sunscreen and cover most of their bodies indoors. At this point my perspective changed to "This crusade against the sun is definitely coming from the dermatologists" and "What on earth is wrong with these people?"

The Monopolization of Medicine

Throughout my life, I've noticed the medical industry will:

•Promote healthy activities people are unlikely to do (e.g., exercising or quitting smoking).

•Promote unhealthy activities industries make money from (e.g., eating processed foods or taking a myriad of harmful pharmaceuticals).

•Attack beneficial activities that are easy to do (e.g., sunbathing or consuming egg yolks, butter and raw dairy).

Much of this issue appears rooted in the controversial history of the American Medical Association (AMA). In 1899, the struggling organization revitalized itself by offering the AMA seal of approval to manufacturers who simply disclosed their ingredients and advertised in AMA publications. This strategy boosted AMA's advertising revenue fivefold and its physician membership ninefold in a decade. For example, the AMA widely encouraged cigarette smoking, even when it was known to be dangerous.

The AMA then monopolized medicine by establishing a general medical education council, that allowed them to become the national accrediting body for medical schools, effectively eliminating the teaching of competing medical practices like homeopathy, chiropractic, naturopathy, and, to a lesser extent, osteopathy, as states often denied licenses to graduates from "low-rated" schools.

The AMA then further solidified this monopoly by having the media widely promote AMA campaigns against "medical quackery" (e.g., treatments they couldn't buy the rights to) and mobilizing the FDA or FTC against competitors. Many remarkable medical innovations hence were successfully erased from history and part of my life's work and much of what I use in practice are the therapies the AMA erased from history.

These monopolistic tactics never stopped. For example, after Dr. Pierre Kory testified to the Senate about using ivermectin to treat COVID-19, he faced intense media and professional backlash. Professor William B. Grant, then emailed Kory, stating that the same thing had been done to vitamin D research for decades.

Note: a few doctored trials were published that "debunked" ivermectin, thereby allowing the AMA to erase the vast body of evidence supporting the use of ivermectin—a standard tactic identical to what they did 72 years ago to bury Ultraviolet Blood Irradiation.

The Benefits of Sunlight

One of the oldest proven therapies in medicine is sunlight exposure, which effectively treated the 1918 influenza, tuberculosis, and various other diseases. The success of sunbathing even inspired the development of ultraviolet blood irradiation.

Given its safety, effectiveness, free availability and lack of a lobbyist to protect it, it's hence plausible that those aiming to monopolize medicine would seek to restrict public access to it. Medicine's campaign against sunlight has been so effective that many are unaware of its benefits, including:

Mental Health: Sunlight is crucial for mental well-being, notably in conditions like seasonal affective disorder, but its benefits extend further, as unnatural light exposure disrupts circadian rhythms.

Cancer Prevention: A large epidemiological study discovered that women with higher solar UVB exposure had half the incidence of breast cancer, and men half the incidence of fatal prostate cancer. This 50% reduction greatly exceeds the effectiveness of current prevention and treatment approaches. Likewise, unnatural light has been repeatedly observed to worsen cancer outcomes.

Longevity and Heart Health: A 20 year prospective study of 29,518 Swedish women found that sunlight avoiders were 60% more likely to die overall (and 130% more likely to die than the highest sun exposure group). Notably, smokers who got sunlight had the same mortality risk as non-smokers who avoided the sun as the greatest benefit of sunlight exposure is a reduction in death from cardiovascular disease.

Skin Cancer

According to the American Academy of Dermatology, skin cancer is the most common cancer in the United States, with current estimates suggesting that one in five Americans will develop skin cancer in their lifetime. Approximately 9,500 people in the U.S. are diagnosed with skin cancer every day.

The Academy emphasizes that UV exposure is the most preventable risk factor for skin cancer, advising people to avoid indoor tanning beds and protect their skin outdoors

Cont. reading at midwesterndoctor.com

Conspicuous Omission Noted in DoJ OIG Jan. 6 Report

By John Leake

The Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General recently published a report titled A Review of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Handling of Its Confidential Human Sources and Intelligence Collection Efforts in the Lead Up to the January 6, 2021 Electoral Certification.

Much of the media commentary has focused on the 26 Confidential Human Sources (CHS), 4 of whom entered the Capitol during the riot, while 13 entered the restricted area around the Capitol.

Based on the reporting I've seen thus far, I am not sure if evidence has emerged that these 17 paid informants also acted as agents provocateurs, exhorting members of the crowd to enter the restricted area and Capitol.

While I believe the precise activities of these Confidential Human Sources should be thoroughly investigated, what really caught my eye is the following from the report's Summary of Findings.

While the FBI undertook significant efforts to identify domestic terrorism subjects who planned to travel to the Capital region on January 6 and to prepare to support its law enforcement partners on January 6 if needed, we also determined that the FBI did not take a step that could have helped the FBI and its law enforcement partners with their preparations in advance of January 6.

Specifically, the FBI did not canvass its field offices in advance of January 6, 2021, to identify any intelligence, including CHS reporting, about potential threats to the January 6 Electoral Certification. Several FBI officials told the OIG that it is common practice for the FBI to ask field offices to canvass their sources for information—in advance of a large event, such as the Inauguration, the Super Bowl, or other events with significant attendance and to report that information to the requesting field office, which, in this instance, would have been the WFO.

FBI Deputy Director Paul Abbate, who was Associate Deputy Director at the time, described the lack of a canvass prior to January 6 as a "basic step that was missed," and told the OIG that he would have expected a formal canvassing of sources to have occurred, through the issuance of an intelligence collection product, because it would have been the most thorough approach to understanding the threat picture prior to January 6. We determined that, despite some discussions about issuing a formal intelligence collection product specific to both the January 6 Electoral Certification and the January 20 Inauguration, the FBI only issued an intelligence collection product for the Inauguration.

This reminds me of the critical period between August 6, 2001—when President George W. Bush received a Presidential Daily Brief titled "Bin Ladin Determined To Strike in US"—and Sept. 11, 2001, when the strike occurred.

As the August 6, 2001 brief noted:

Nevertheless, FBI information since that time [U.S. missile strikes in Afghanistan in 1998] indicates patterns of suspicious activity in this country consistent with preparations for hijackings or other types of attacks, including recent surveillance of federal buildings in New York.

The FBI is conducting approximately 70 full field investigations throughout the US that it considers Bin Ladin-related.

What remains extraordinarily strange about the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks is that no one serving in a command capacity within the FBI took any action to neutralize the plot based on field agent reporting on the suspicious activities of the 19 hijackers, many of who were being observed by FBI field agents long before the attacks took place.

Same with the Curtis Culwell Center attack in Garland, Texas in 2015, when an undercover FBI agent encouraged shooters, followed them to the attack site, and didn't stop them. For more on this bizarre incident, see my post "Tear Up Texas": FBI Encouraged a 2015 Shooting & Did Nothing to Stop It.

And people wonder why guys like me don't trust the FBI!

Reformist Trump *cont. from page 1*

Attorney General Pam Bondi, President-elect Trump's pick to head the agency is tasked to tamp down rising crimes trends that plague communities and end current weaponization of DOJ against organizations and individuals deemed hostile to partisan interests.

The FBI: Fully in concert with the Obama and Biden White House agendas and DOJ marching orders, FBI headquarters has conspired to spy on the Trump campaign and presidency, concealed evidence of Biden family corruption including foreign influence peddling and tax evasion on Hunter's "laptop from hell" throughout the 2020 election period, and even conducted an armed raid on the former president's personal residence for classified documents he was arguably authorized to keep while ignoring those found in Joe's garage and other Biden properties.

Former White House National Security Council senior director for counterterrorism, chief of staff to the director of national intelligence, acting defense secretary, and staff member of the House Intelligence Committee Cash Patel who played a pivotal role in exposing the Hillary Clinton campaign's political Trump Russia collusion hoax is the ideal person to head the agency.

The DOD: Disgraced by incompetence responsible for the Biden administration's epic Afghanistan withdrawal debacle, the Defense Department under its current secretary, General Lloyd Austin III, no longer enjoys nor deserves public confidence to protect our nation from powerful foreign aggressors.

Trump's pick to replace Austin, Princeton and Harvard graduate Pete Hegseth, would be welcomed by military rank-and-file as a competent replacement: a boots-on-the-ground Afghanistan and Iraq veteran with two bronze stars and a fierce critic of weak and woke Pentagon policies that destroy combat morale and recruitment.

DHS: Rather than secure sovereign U.S. borders from unvetted illegal migrants including criminals, violent gang members and prospective terrorists, the Biden Department of Homeland Security headed by Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas has instead worked to block state and local policing efforts to protect communities and citizens from a cartel-enriching human and narcotics trafficking invasion.

Count on South Dakota Gov. Kristi Noem who has been tagged to head DHS to end Biden-Harris administration open border insanity.

HHS: The National Institutes of Health (NIH) administered under the Department of Health and Human Services has not only lost substantial public trust regarding scientific objectivity and transparency regarding the true COVID-19 pandemic origin but is now under congressional investigation in a coverup as likely sponsor of taxpayer funding of China's Wuhan laboratory that created the deadly coronavirus through the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NAID) formerly headed by Anthony Faici.

Trump HHS secretary nominee, Robert F. Kennedy Jr, a staunch critic of HHS/NIH failures to ensure adequate vaccine safety tests, address a national childhood obesity epidemic and eliminate toxic chemicals additives in food can help restore badly eroded vital public confidence in America's top health agency.

EPA: The Environmental Protection Agency which once provided crucially important regulatory services necessary to ensure clean air, land and water and preserve natural living systems has become pirated by ideologues and green energy subsidy opportunists who brand carbon dioxide essential to all advanced life forms as a dangerous "climate pollutant" under its Clean Air Act to control the type of cars we drive and ways we heat our homes.

Whereas EPA is not officially a cabinet level organization, its top administrator is typically given cabinet rank.

Expect Trump pick for this position, pragmatic former New York Rep. Lee Zeldon, to reverse current EPA rulemaking attempts to put fossil fuel industries out of business, drive up energy costs and inflation, and push American consumers into electric vehicles that depend upon China for rare earth minerals used in their batteries.

DOE: Captive to powerfully influential teachers' unions that destructively shut down schools during COVID-19 for low-risk children, oppose charter school options for failing public schools in low- income neighborhoods, incorporate age-inappropriate sexual materials along with divisive Critical Race Theory (CRT) and unpatriotic 1619 Project propaganda into K-12 curricula, the federal Department of Education is a strong candidate for abolishment altogether.

Linda McMahon, Trump's nomination to head the department may also be the one to end it and transfer its roles and responsibilities to states and municipalities where they properly belonged before the agency was created by Congress in 1979.

Formerly serving as a member of the Connecticut Board of Education and later appointed as Small Business Administrator during Trump's first term, McMahon is leaving her position as CEO of the multi-billion-dollar World Wrestling Entertainment organization (WWE) to pursue policies she has previously championed including expanding school voucher programs and restoring powers to parents in schools.

Recognizing that cabinet-level nominees require Senate confirmation, a GOP majority supported by a major electoral and popular election mandate augers for optimism that all will be successfully approved.

The country deserves no less.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded the Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture and the graduate space architecture program.

The Fight Has Just Begun

By Bill Savage

The teacher for my high school typing class must have had a crystal ball as I now, with my wrists straight and elbows bent, sit at a keyboard and type "now is the time for all good men to come to the aid of their country" without wondering why. It makes perfect sense to me now. Yes, Trump won. He won the election, which was the first battle, but he hasn't won the war, not by a long shot. Anyone who thinks that the left is just going to accept the will of the people hasn't been paying attention for the last 50 years. The media will not be shy about dramatizing every move. Every deportation will show crying children and heartbroken friends and relatives. Weak minded republicans will be to the media as blood in the water is to a shark. They will work themselves into a frenzy. Who thinks the FBI is going to surrender anytime soon? Or any other member of the swamp? Will it be the same old fickle voter that runs and hides as Trump is vilified for anything and everything that he does? Remember how quickly the "TEA Party" members were reduced to a bunch of racist bigots? We have a real chance now to do some real damage to the leftist kooks and the global elite's anti-American agenda. Will we take advantage of it? Will we sit by and watch senators who confirmed such poor picks like Pete Buttigieg deny President Trump his cabinet choices? Will we remain silent while left-winged judges make a mockery of the rule of law and delay needed reforms? Let's see who will stand up and be counted in 2025! Oh, by the way… HAPPY NEW YEAR!



How the 'Big Guy' Actually Pardoned Himself

By Larry Bell

Raise your hand if you ever believed that Joe wasn't going to pardon Hunter — and by extension himself — when he repeatedly gave his "word as a Biden" that he wouldn't do so.

Me either.

For example, Biden said "yes" when asked by David Muir during a June ABC News interview whether he would "accept the jury's verdict no matter what it is."

A week later, when asked the same question by reporters at the Group of Seven summit in Italy after the Federal Court in Delaware found Hunter guilty of lying about his drug use when he bought a handgun in 2018, the president responded: "I said I'd abide by the jury decision. And I will do that. And I will not pardon him."

All that was missing was his word as the Biden family's Big Guy.

That certainly wasn't Joe Biden's first whopper.

Biden insisted during the 2020 presidential debate with Trump that Hunter had taken no money from China, something that his son later admitted to in federal court.

This lie was evident from a threatening July 2017 WhatsApp text message demanding payment from Henry Zhao, a Chinese Communist official, stating: "I am sitting here with my father and we would like to understand why the commitment made has not been fulfilled," and "I would like to resolve this now before it gets out of hand, and now means tonight."

The last sentences make two additional references to Biden the elder, "I will make certain that between the man sitting next to me and every person he knows and my ability to forever hold a grudge that you will regret not following my direction."

Adding credibility to the threat, a Senate investigation found $5.1 million was sent to Hunter-linked companies from a subsidiary of Chinese company CEFC less than two weeks after the message.

The Washington Free Beacon reported Hunter's laptop includes photographs of him at his father's Wilmington, Delaware, home the day he sent the text message to Zhao.

Joe Biden also knew differently when 51 former intelligence officials, mostly Obama-Biden administration veterans, dismissed the existence of Hunter's "laptop from Hell" in FBI possession for nearly a year as likely Russian disinformation just before the 2020 election.

Biden now claims that the justice system has been "infected" by raw politics in Hunter's case.

Here he is right because his Justice Department under Attorney General Merrick Garland assured that his boy got very special kid glove treatment.

House Ways and Means Committee whistleblower testimony by former IRS officials reveal that the tax evasion case against Hunter seemed to be "influenced by politics."

Citing several examples, Gary Shapley Jr. who had supervised the IRS investigation said that "at every stage" of the probe, decisions were made that "had the effect of benefiting the subject of the investigation" with references to Hunter Biden's father.

"Among other things, we wanted to question Walker about an email that said: 'Ten held by H for the big guy,'" Shapley said. "We had obvious questions like who was H, who the big guy was, and why this percentage was to be held separately with the association hidden."

Shapley charges that Assistant U.S. Attorney Lesley Wolf "interjected and said she did not want to ask about the big guy and stated she did not want to ask questions about 'dad.'"

The IRS also allowed the statute of limitations to expire for the most serious tax evasion charges along with a sweetheart plea deal designed to bury the evidence. It would have worked if not for honest Judge Maryellen Noreika exposing the caper with basic questions that caught the friendly rigged prosecution flat-footed.

Hunter pleaded guilty to nine federal tax evasion charges in September which would have landed you or me in the slammer for sure.

These offenses included claiming hundreds of thousands of dollars in false deductions for payments to escort services and dancers, a sex club membership, and his daughter Naomi's law school tuition as business expenses.

The pardon was apparently timed to pre-empt Hunter's sentencing scheduled for Dec. 12 on gun charges and Dec. 16 on tax charges.

The expansive breadth of the pardon allows Hunter to skate not only on crimes he is already convicted of, but also on any he may have committed in the past nearly 11 years … not coincidentally beginning around the time Hunter first got his remarkable no-show annual million-dollar board position with Burisma, a corrupt energy company under Ukraine government investigation.

Perhaps recall that then-V.P. Joe Biden publicly threatened to withdraw a billion-dollar Ukraine loan guarantee if they didn't fire Viktor Shokin, the prosecutor.

Many unanswered questions remain regarding Biden family entanglements in Ukraine, China and other countries when Joe was vice president and the period after he left office after 2017.

Previous House Oversight, Judiciary, and Ways and Means impeachment inquiry investigators have found more than $20 million from foreign sources allegedly paid to at least nine Biden family members through more than 20 shell companies with no identified services rendered.

Whereas according to Joe, his sweeping pardon of son Hunter for all convicted, admitted and potential crimes over the past decade is intended to correct "a miscarriage of justice," a smell test suggests an opposite intent to block further self-incriminating investigations.

As he has repeatedly claimed regarding Trump, "No one is above the law."

Let's see if it turns out that he lied about that, too.

Trump *cont. from page 10*

House Speaker Newt Gingrich suggested the Democrats should have focused "on one or two" charges against Trump, not four, and the indictments "will backfire on the Left." But The Cabal apparently wanted to extend the Trump-tarnishing so that there would be no trial before the GOP nominating process, thereby giving Republicans little opportunity to nominate someone else.

Prolonging the 1/6 congressional investigation into the 2022 elections was an effort to tarnish not just Trump, but his supporters. Biden's unforgivable September 2022 speech in Philadelphia, when he attacked "MAGA Republicans" for being disloyal to America, was part and parcel of this effort

The media covered up the Cabal's intrigues. Anyone who watches CNN or MSNBC has seen how Adam Schiff, Jamie Raskin, Pelosi, Clyburn and other leading Democrats are treated with kid gloves. The media hated Trump for calling them "fake news" and colluded with the Democrats nearly every step of the way.

It's unlikely that Symone Sanders-Townsend will come clean on all of this, though our nation would benefit greatly from the disinfecting sunshine she could provide. Regardless, it seems highly unlikely that the Democrats can change their stripes, because their base and big donors have gone Loony-Left.

The Cabal probably thought throwing all those indictments and civil penalties at Trump would make him crack and cop a plea with the prosecutors. But Trump had the money and backbone to slug it out. He also had excellent lawyers, who mucked up the lawfare wheels. Essentially, he ran the clock out on the Democrats.

There's a lesson here about being "overzealous" in politics. Jerome Lawrence coauthored the 1955 play (and 1960 film) "Inherit the Wind," which examined extremism in "the Scopes monkey trial," as a metaphor for the McCarthy investigations. Lawrence wrote: "Is it possible to be overzealous, to destroy that which you hope to save – so that nothing is left but emptiness?"

With Trump wining a near landslide despite the criminal cases – and taking the Congress – it seems all the Democrat orchestrations left them nothing but emptiness.

Ken Reid has been a journalist covering Congress and the federal health agencies since 1986. He served in local elected office in Loudoun County, VA (2006 to 2017), has been involved in Trump campaigns in Virginia since 2016, and has written for the Federalist and American Thinker.





Puzzle Answers

	B	R	A	I	D			B	L	E	A	R	
	R	U	D	D	Y			E	A	S	I	E	S	T
C	A	B	A	L	A		S	H	I	P	M	A	T	E
A	W	E		E	D	A	C	I	T	Y		G	I	N
I	L	L	S			M	A	N	Y		L	E	N	T
R	E	L	Y		W	E	N	D		F	A	N	G	
D	R	A	M		E	N	D		D	I	N	T	S	
			P	R	E	D	A	T	O	R	Y			
	G	E	T	U	P		L	A	X		A	B	L	E
	A	L	O	E		L	I	L	Y		R	E	E	D
C	R	A	M		G	A	Z	E			D	R	A	G
A	N	S		N	E	M	E	S	I	S		E	R	E
D	E	T	A	I	N	E	D		R	E	M	A	N	D
S	T	I	L	T	O	N			K	E	E	V	E	
		C	L	E	A	T			S	P	I	E	D	

7	5	9	3	1	6	2	8	4
8	4	1	5	9	2	3	7	6
6	2	3	4	8	7	5	9	1
3	9	5	7	4	8	1	6	2
2	8	4	1	6	3	7	5	9
1	6	7	9	2	5	4	3	8
9	3	8	2	5	4	6	1	7
5	1	2	6	7	9	8	4	3
4	7	6	8	3	1	9	2	5

The Election of Grace

Part 2

By Matt Warneke

As we move closer to inauguration day on January 20th, those awake continue to watch the greatest show on earth play out. The election was truly about We the People, or "the chosen ones" who were no longer under the spell of the Deep State and their socialist network who infiltrated our society. Their century-long criminal enterprise of white-collar robbery through taxation, interest and inflation has been exposed.

The key to watch it unravel is to follow reliable news sources. The ones that aren't owned and managed by the Deep State, who tried to control the Dems campaign narrative, but failed regardless of their continued use of censorship, division, and outspending the GOP by over two times in October and early November. Kamala circumvented the election process and then spent $277 million the final month. She had four times the amount of big donor online contributions from questionable foreign sources utilizing schemes such as Act Blue to no avail.

I'm sure many people share my frustration with the evolution of the entire election process. During my lifetime, our government leaders from both aisles have slowly turned me into a skeptical and cynical individual. I hope and pray this new administration will return our nation to a land of peace, prosperity and happiness. With that said, much work remains to be done.

The apostle Paul's words "election of grace" referred to a small remnant of believers who had faith that they might be saved. Saul was an accomplice to Stephen's murder. He was forgiven and then given instructions to spread the good word throughout the world. He then fulfilled his divine destiny upon earth. He became another martyr like The Chosen One whose birthday celebration approaches soon.

Paul's words to us today are a reminder of our individual responsibilities for promoting righteousness and to heed his warnings of corruption. The Deep State's oversight and control over governments and elections has diminished our freedoms and bank accounts. The richest of the richest family members of generational wealth acquired their power through deception. Their lack of transparency is pathetic.

Those aristocrats used wars as a business adventure in their wealth management portfolio. They anted-up the lives of our sons and daughters serving in the military as gambling chips in their scheme of profits over peace. Only one person in the recent election was calling for peace, and his victory demonstrates that it truly was an election of grace.

Now Donald Trump has certainly had his moments where he was not the most graceful persona. He has offended many people with the way he addressed his opponents over the past 10 years. However, if you take a moment to look at his track record and America First Agenda, he has been quite an extraordinary politician and businessman.

This occurred despite the despicable character of his enemies and their destructive methods and bogus lawsuits. Now he and his team of advisors must follow through and drain the swamp even though the deep state will continue to oppose the will of the American people.

As more information is released regarding the history of corruption throughout the world, we must all come together as a nation and world to be united in the dismantling of the deep state. For Paul indicated in Romans 14:17 "For the kingdom of God is righteousness, peace, and joy", or in other words, simply grace.

The Great Awakening has begun! I now implore you to begin the healing process of The Great Forgiveness. This will require all individuals to take ownership of their malicious involvement with the great reset. Then, the following processes will be required: 1) admittance of guilt, 2) acceptance of punishment, 3) retribution of damages and theft, including health and loss of life, and 4) penitence and repentance in order to move on with life.

I'll leave you with a prayer from JFK: God guide us as we ring the bell of freedom, and stop those who wish to sacrifice our children, our way of life and our world.

Beglinger Blast *cont. from page 17*

free speech, lied to Congress, and have never accepted responsibility for any wrongdoing. They deserve to be punished.

Today, a fierce battle is being waged over the American people's right to information about government decisions made during the pandemic .

The pharmaceutical and health products industries are among the biggest lobbyists in the country. In 2023 they spent $379 million trying to influence legislators. Pfizer, one of the companies that produced a COVID vaccine, is one of the largest corporate lobbyists at more than $7 million a year. In 2024, Pfizer also contributed more than $1.2 million to Democrats' political campaigns and more than $790,000 to Republicans. Pfizer made more than $80 billion in revenue from its COVID vaccine.

In late 2021, Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency (PHMPT), an organization formed to promote transparency of the COVID-19 vaccine data used to secure "Emergency Use Authorization" from the FDA , filed a lawsuit to force a timely release of the data. Members of PHMPT are professors and scientists from Yale, Harvard, UCLA, and Brown Universities. PHMPT asserted that the data "should be made publicly available to allow independent experts to conduct their own review and analyses."

The FDA had taken the position that it needed 55 years (no, that is not a typo) in order to produce the data because it had to be closely reviewed for confidential details and trade secrets. This month, in a victory for the people, a federal judge in Texas ruled against the FDA requiring them to produce the data in the next 8 months.

In November 2023, the Texas Attorney General filed a lawsuit against Pfizer following his own investigation that accuses them of misrepresenting the COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and conspiring to censor public disclosure. The lawsuit charges that Pfizer misrepresented how long vaccine protection would last and that it would prevent transmission of the disease. The lawsuit says Pfizer tried to intimidate the public into believing they needed to be vaccinated to protect their loved ones. The suit further charges that Pfizer was involved in intimidating critics and coercing social media into silencing truth tellers.

Common sense tells us that this is only the tip of a monstrous iceberg.

Trump has only 4 years to begin reworking deeply entrenched bureaucracies and replacing bureaucrats who do not have the welfare of the American people as their primary concern. This will be a long, arduous process and will undoubtedly face tremendous resistance. Honesty and transparency from his new leadership team as they uncover waste and corruption will generate the outrage needed to fuel public support for like-minded leaders to continue this work long after Trump's term ends. An opportunity of this magnitude is unparalleled in our lifetimes and the unwavering support of the American people will be critical to success. The media and many career politicians will be doing what they can to impede the work. They have it wrong when they claim Trump's plan is doomed because people don't understand how the government works. It's time to flip the script. Understanding all we need to about how the government works catapulted Trump, a fearless disruptor, into the Presidency. The people stand with Trump and we are demanding real change.



Observations *cont. from page 1*

Senate and held the House. This document will address the surveillance state.

President Trump and Ms. Susie Wiles, President Trump's campaign manager and now Chief of Staff ran an efficient campaign. Anyone who does not acknowledge the effectiveness of President Trump's campaign is looking through a partisan lens. President Trump earned the victory; it was not given to him.

Nobody can accuse the Constitutional Alliance of being a partisan organization. We call balls and strikes regardless of which way the wind is blowing.

We asked President Trump's campaign to address the issue of placing a moratorium on the use of Facial Recognition Technology, in addition to other issues President Trump campaigned on. The Democrats could have also agreed to a moratorium on the use of Facial Recognition Technology. As it is, the Constitutional Alliance will continue to ask for a moratorium on the use of Facial Recognition Technology absent a court approved warrant. It should not be the goal of Republicans or Democrats to further the surveillance state.

We know there are people very worried about what a second President Trump administration will do. We encourage people to give President Trump the same amount of time previous Presidents had to put forth their priorities. We recognize there will be differences on cultural issues/social issues with people who did not vote for President Trump. That said, on economic issues President Trump should have the support of all people. Anyone who roots for President Trump's economic policies to fail is not just rooting against President Trump, but also our country, and the people who cannot pay their bills through no fault of their own.

Two major companies have already announced they will be moving their manufacturing from overseas to the United States to avoid tariffs. This will create jobs in the U.S. and help our economy.

The Democrats failed miserably to protect and secure our borders - full stop. There are over 1.3 million people who have already had their asylum cases heard and their claims rejected by the courts, yet these people remain in the United States. There are also gang members, drug cartel members, and other violent people who entered our country illegally and must be deported. President Trump and Republicans not only have the mandate to remove these people, but they also have the responsibility.

I wrote long ago about how much illegal immigration was costing our country in areas such as education, health care, housing, and welfare benefits. Are there benefits that people in our country unlawfully contribute? That was a question for voters. The issue of securing our borders is more than just a cost/economic issue, but more importantly a national security issue. Already Iranians and others have been charged with attempting to assassinate President Trump. Many people who are unlawfully in the U.S. have been paying taxes. We should not paint all people with a broad stroke.

As a point of fact, we still are not making sure people are who they say they are, and in too many cases failing to verify citizenship. Biometrics DO NOT identify people. Biometrics can authenticate if two people are the same person, but that is all biometrics can do.

Breeder documents such as birth certificates and other acceptable documents are what the law requires be verified, so we do know if people are who they say they are. Each time we wrote about immigration we said elections have consequences. The current status quo regarding immigration has not worked for forty years. Some people will not like the way President Trump's administration will deal with deporting people. Immigration is not part of the Constitutional Alliance's Mission Statement.

V.P. Harris' supporters went from saying there are eleven million people undocumented people in our country to now saying there are twenty million people undocumented people in our country. Those that wonder why Democrats lost credibility with their own base, it is this type of changing of facts that is the reason. We are simply stating how V.P. Harris and her surrogates have changed their story from before the election to today because they lost so badly.

One point we want to make about immigration. We do hope that President Trump is briefed on UVisa applicants. These applicants are not citizens but were the victims of violent crimes in our country. We can all agree we do not want those that commit violent crimes allowed to run free. If undocumented people were victims of violent crimes, and have not committed any felonies themselves, they should be given a pathway to citizenship which is specifically what a UVisa does. It can take years before people who commit violent crimes are caught and prosecuted, and then for appeals to be exhausted. If the people who are the victims of violent crimes are deported, we will have left violent criminals on the street to rob, injure, and/or kill our own family members. The UVisa program law must be left intact.

In conclusion, the Constitutional Alliance has taken the position for almost two decades, there must be an exemption from mandatory biometric enrollment for those who choose not to be in a global system that will at some point be able to identify people and control the ability of people to buy, sell, travel, and work. Such a system is nearly complete. The international standards and telecommunication network for the sharing of biometrics globally already exist. We have been in contact with many Christians and Jewish people who oppose such a global system. Only the Constitutional Alliance addressed this system of control almost twenty years ago. We will never stop pushing for an exemption, religious or not.

God Bless the United State of America
The Constitutional Alliance
www.constitutionalalliance.org

Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

Obvious Sin

***"Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination." Leviticus* 18:22**

By Riley J. Hood

On May 21, 2024 I was listening to AM1130, when Chad Withrow on Outkick/Hot mic attacked normal people for not drinking Bud Light because Budweiser supports the rainbow. This commentary was re-aired on August 5th. Chad beefed about cancel culture, and if people were against it, Chad posited, they should be morally consistent and accept everything, including trans-things, like the "spokes-person" for Bud Light.

Cancel culture is where the proponents of free speech cancel events they don't like because free speech is a one-sided affair that favors the socialist, and his wicked fellow travelers. A boycott, is a person choosing not to spend his money on that which overtly offends his principles. That is economic freedom, being able to spend money on what you want, within the purview of God's Law. I don't buy any beer, because I don't drink alcoholic beverages, but I understand that sentiment. I love hamburgers. I admit it. I like Whopper's from Burger King. But I have never had a burger from Hamburger Mary's for the same reason I don't go to gay-bars or watch drag-queen revues. What offends God I find disgusting.

I found the Paris 2024 Olympics disgusting. From the degrading Opening Ceremony, which signaled that this event didn't only showcase gays of all types, but transsexuality as well. On the MSN news feed, every day they posted the "trans-woman" boxer from Algeria. So, in essence, that boxer, who has x and y chromosomes, is not a woman. Thus a "man" beat up women in the boxing ring. "Men" beat up on women in wrestling as well. I haven't heard any push back from Chad Withrow.

Chad is an obvious limp-wristed millennial who doesn't have any standards, other than what he thinks is smart. His parents and his teachers gave him approval because he was able craft a set of excuses. Chad can't figure out that a biological man can never be woman, because he is so open minded that his brains fell out.

Boston Horror Show

By John Leake

When I went to college in Boston back in 1989-1993, I was fascinated by what I thought of as the Yankee Enlightenment — that is, guys like Oliver Wendell Holmes Sr. and William T.G. Morton.

In 1843, Holmes published his essay "The Contagiousness of Puerperal Fever." Like Professor Ignaz Semmelweis in Vienna—who made the same observation around the same time (I am not sure if he read Holmes's paper)—Dr. Holmes was initially ridiculed, but later vindicated, for his findings.

William T.G. Morton made history on October 16, 1846 at Massachusetts General Hospital when he successfully anesthetized a patient to undergo a surgery without feeling any pain.

I used to stop before the Ether Monument, erected in the Boston Public Garden in 1868, and marvel at how much pain and suffering had been spared by the development of anesthesiology.

I imagined that T. S. Eliot—as an undergraduate at Harvard in the years 1906-1914—had also visited the Ether Monument, which he might have been thinking about when he wrote "The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock" in 1911, with its vivid opening lines:

Let us go then, you and I,

When the evening is spread out against the sky

Like a patient etherized upon a table;

I thought of this strange and somewhat disturbing image this morning when I read a paper titled A Single Center Case Series of Gender-Affirming Surgeries and the Evolution of a Specialty Anesthesia Team. The authors are all apparently true believers that it's a good thing to treat so-called "gender dysphoria" in minors by surgically removing their healthy body parts.

Their paper boasts the following:

The Center for Gender Surgery (CfGS) at Boston Children's Hospital (BCH) was the first pediatric center in the United States to offer gender-affirming chest surgeries for individuals over 15 years old and genital surgeries for those over 17 years of age. In the four years since its inception, CfGS has completed over 300 gender-affirming surgeries.

I found the paper's second author—Elizabeth R. Boskey—to have an especially conspicuous profile.

Short Biography

Elizabeth Boskey (she/her). PhD, MPH, MSSW is currently the research lead for the Center for Gender Surgery. She received her PhD in Biophysics from Johns Hopkins University in 2000 and her MPH from Johns Hopkins School of Public Health in 2001. Dr. Boskey also holds a Masters of Science in Social Work from the University of Louisville (2015). Dr. Boskey is a licensed independent clinical social worker, an AASECT Certified Sexuality Educator, a Certified Health Education Specialist, and is WPATH GEI SOC 7 Certified. Her research interests are focused on ethical and access issues in transgender care as well as more general sexual and reproductive health issues for sexual and gender minority populations. She is currently a member of the World Professional Association of Transgender Health; American Public Health Association; and the American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors, and Therapists.

How does one get to be "the research lead for the Center for Gender Surgery" at one of the most prestigious Children's Hospitals in the world? Given Dr. Boskey's strong interest in sexuality—and given the strong role that physical appearance plays in sexuality—I wondered what she looks like.

I hasten to add that my curiosity was NOT about whether she possesses what we might loosely call conventional good looks, but whether her appearance might raise concerns about her mental health. The following image from her LinkedIn profile suggests that she herself probably went through a period of confusion about her sexuality during her adolescent years and may still be a bit puzzled.

Here I would like to INSIST that my readers refrain from making disparaging comments about Dr. Boskey's appearance. DON'T do it. To repeat: my point is not to pick on her appearance, but strictly to point out that her appearance is incongruous with her profile as an expert "sexuality educator."

I expressed a similar opinion about the American novelist Tom Wolfe when he published his book I am Charlotte Simmons about sexuality on America's college campuses in the earlier 2000s. Given that Wolfe was 72 when he began researching the book, I wondered about his suitability for writing about the sexual experiences and feelings of an 18-year-old college girl in 2002.

On the subject of female sexuality, I often think of Freud's famous confession in a letter to a colleague:

The great question that has never been answered, and which I have not yet been able to answer despite my thirty years of research into the feminine soul, is 'What does a woman want?'

Freud—who was not noted for his humility—nevertheless had the humility to admit that he didn't really understand what women long for.

As in all matters pertaining to the education and counseling of children and adolescents, it's important to understand the character, mental health, and motives of educators. This is especially important when one is contemplating making irreversible changes such as "gender-affirming chest surgeries"—i.e., double mastectomies—"for individuals over 15 years old."

Why should anyone believe that Dr. Elizabeth Boskey is qualified in the matter of counseling young girls that their "gender dysphoria" will be resolved if they get a double mastectomy?



Weaponize Crime History to Win

StopCrimeTosa.com

888-236-8838

Wisconsin Stamping & Manufacturing

Your Idea. Our Ingenuity. A Perfect Match.

TUBULAR
Raschig Rings
Compression Limiters
Spacers

STAMPING
Progressive
Compound
Shallow Draw

WIRE FORMING
2D & 3D Forms
Guards
Thread Rolling

MACHINING
Milling
Swiss CNC Turning
Drill/Tap

FABRICATION
Welding
Laser Cutting
Assembly

CAREERS: HR@WISCONSINSTAMPING.COM

www.wisconsinstamping.com

N110 W13455 North Patton Court • Germantown, WI 53022

One Nation Under God

National Pro-Life Alliance

"A doubleminded man is unstable in all his ways." James 1:8

By Riley J. Hood

If you are at the range, you should aim at the bullseye, or center mass. If you ever have to participate in a fire-fight, you aim center mass, you never shoot at the enemy's legs, or arm or head. Why? Because these smaller extremities lead to a greater chance of you missing your target. I could go on, but you get the picture.

What about in politics? If you take your eye off of the center mass, or main goal, you will never achieve your main goal. You will be distracted by other goals. The Pro-life movement's main goal used to be the recriminalization of abortion as murder. But the Pro-Life movement, especially the GOP controlled Pro-Life movement has taken their aim off of target center mass, because the GOP and their proxies merely want to regulate abortion. National Pro-Life Alliance is one such organization. In May, I received a candidate survey of ten questions. So instead of one target, they have presented ten.

1. "Will you support federal legislation giving parents the right to stop any abortion from being performed on their minor daughter, except to save a life?" This isn't Pro-Life, it is a parental rights issue. NPLA says teenage girls "fall prey" to abortionists, but young women who murder their children are perpetrators, not victims, no matter how pretty they may be. If you outlaw all abortion, you won't need to affirm parental approval to stop their daughter from murdering their grandchild.

2. "Will you support a 48hr 'cooling off' period, and mandatory counseling on risks and consequences for persons who believe they may want to have an abortion?" The only clause that isn't parsed on this question is "want to have an abortion?" If all abortion is outlawed, you won't need to cool-down period.

3. "Will you vote to require that abortion providers show the mother an ultrasound image of her child before any abortion takes place?" That is informed consent, it is not pro-life. If you outlaw all abortions, an ultrasound may be convincing, but so will being punished for murder.

4. "Will you support legislation to outlaw sex-selection abortions?" That is political correctness, that isn't prolife. Sex-selection abortion is a horrible practice, but so is all abortion. This is a case of outlawing the motive, but leaving the act of murder in place. If you outlaw all abortions, you outlaw sex-selection, or racial-selection, or any other subset of abortion.

5. "Would you vote to prohibit the US government from granting public funds to groups that perform abortions?" This is a fiscal issue. It is easier to vote that the federal government does not fund criminal acts.

6. "Will you vote to bar webcam or "telemed" abortions as well as the distribution of any drugs like RU-486 that are prescribed to cause an abortion?" This is really about what is safe for the murderess. Again, outlawing all abortions, outlaws all methods of abortions.

7. "Will you support nominees to the United States Supreme Court and lower federal courts who will uphold the right to life of every human person, born and unborn?" Yes, and Amen. We have no pro-life Justices on the SCOTUS currently. Even so, this is no substitute for immediate action.

8. "Will you vote against any bill that would codify Roe v. Wade or expand it?" That is conservatism in action, it would have left Roe v Wade in place. Of course, the Dobbs decision makes this question somewhat irrelevant. What Dobbs does is limit the federal role in baby killing.

9. "Would you support or co-sponsor a Life at Conception Act defining that life begins at the moment of conception thereby resolving for all time, as stated by the Supreme Court in Roe v. Wade when life begins?" This is a matter of personhood. Harry Blackmun, knew that the preborn baby was a person being murdered, but he was reaching for excuses. I support the recognition of personhood for all preborn babies.

10. "Will you support an amendment to the US Constitution banning abortion except to save the life of the mother?" On their explanation they state, "a Human Life Amendment" would "permanently protect all children dying at the hands of abortionists." NPLA can't even hold out from one side of the page to the other in their own newsletter, before they water their goal down with exceptions for the life of the mother. Any exception cannot mean all babies.

The fact of the matter is that NPLA presents



10 targets, which are a distraction from the real target. The real target is, All Abortion is Murder, and must be re-criminalized as murder, immediately. NPLA's first eight goals express where their priorities are, namely the promotion the GOP and the GOP's goal of legal abortion with a few restrictions. Abortion with restrictions is still abortion, and abortion is still murder, no matter how many "conservatives" love to have it so. The NPLA cannot be serious about a Life at Conception Act or a Life at Conception Amendment, because they are in love with exceptions. They are in love with defeat, retreat, and capitulation.

The tragic thing is, the preborn need adults to speak on their behalf. Finally, why any pro-lifer is beholden to the GOP is beyond me, when the GOP would rather talk about the economy, or the border, and never mention the pre-born at all. They have already turned their back to the preborn. The GOP wants you to go away, and when you pander to them, that makes it easy for them to dismiss you.



Bloodslide-24

"Who gave Jacob for a spoil, and Israel to the robbers? did not the Lord, he against whom we have sinned? for they would not walk in his ways, neither were they obedient unto his law." Isaiah 42:24

By Riley J. Hood

Many conservatives are elated about the recent elections. I don't know what they are talking about. I am relieved somewhat that the campaigns are over. Kamala Harris was an evil candidate, and the Biden, then Harris campaign was unlike anything I have ever seen. Every fifteen minutes to a half hour there were pro-abortion ads on the television networks. There were pro-abortion ads on radio networks as well, including on conservative radio networks. The liberals were out-doing the conservatives in their own sandbox. Harris talked about "abortion rights" and "reproductive freedom," as being "constitutional rights," but she never mentioned anything about killing children. I didn't hear anything from any Republican anywhere in Wisconsin standing up for pre-born, after the primaries, and not much before. Randall Terry from the Constitution Party was on the ballot here in Wisconsin, and I know he ran pro-life ads, but I never seen nor heard any of them here in Milwaukee. So, yes, I am relieved that Kamala the Abortion Czar failed in her efforts to murder every baby she could get her hands on.

A pro-abortion referendum in South Dakota failed. South Dakota Amendment G: Right to Abortion, which would mean unrestricted first trimester and restricted second and third trimester abortion lost being rejected by 58.6% of the voters there.

A pro-abortion referendum in Florida failed only because 60% of the vote was required to pass it. Florida Amendment 4: Right to Abortion, according to Reuters, "This amendment limits government interference with the procedure before viability or when needed for the patient's health." Sounds like abortion on demand to me. 57.2% of Florida voters voted for abortion, and it only failed because Florida has a constitutional limitation requiring a super-majority to pass an amendment to their state Constitution.

The news from eight other states is much worse. Arizona Proposition 139: Right to Abortion, created a "right to abortion" until "fetal viability" and allows abortions later to protect the life or health of a pregnant woman. It amounts to abortion on demand. The measure was passed 61.6% to 38.4%. Montana CI-128: Right to Abortion, this amendment changed the state Constitution establishing a "right" an abortion. It also prohibits the government from punishing doctors or those who receive abortions. The measure passed, 57.8% to 42.2%. Missouri Amendment 3: Right to Abortion created a "right" to "reproductive rights" and removed Missouri's ban on abortion. The measure passed 51.6% to 48.4% The measure failed in almost every county in Missouri, in but Kansas City and St. Louis the measure passed by wide margins.

Colorado Amendment 79: Right to Abortion, amended their state Constitution creating a "right" to baby murder, and prohibits state and local governments from impeding "the procedure." The measure needed 55 percent of the vote to pass and was passed, 62% to 38%. This is tragic, especially when one considers the fact that Colorado was home base for several Christian ministries, such as Focus on the Family. Ohio Issue 1 legalizes abortion until "fetal viability" which amounts to abortion on demand. This amendment passed 56.8% to 43.2%. Abortion was outlawed at 22 weeks, and the Ohio Legislature passed a six-week abortion ban, which was blocked by a federal judge.

continued on page 24

VOICE OF JERUSALEM

Trump will reverse Biden's Israel delusions and bring an era of Mideast peace

By Caroline Glick

On Sunday, Israel reportedly killed Hezbollah terror boss Ali Musa Daqduq in Syria, bringing a measure of justice to the US military's Iraq war veterans.

In 2007, Daqduq and his underlings infiltrated a US base in Karbala, Iraq, killing one soldier and kidnapping — and later brutally executing — four more. CENTCOM sources on Monday expressed confidence that Daqduq had in fact been slain.

Israeli forces have killed hundreds of terrorists with American blood on their hands since Iran launched its seven-front war against the Jewish state last year.

Yet their achievements have made President Biden and his advisers uncomfortable — because they expose the truth that the Biden team has refused to countenance.

Israel's enemies are America's enemies. And when Israel defeats its enemies, America wins.

Biden and his team are not alone in their discomfort. For over 30 years, the US foreign policy establishment produced one failed policy after another, because its members collectively refused to reconcile themselves to the fact that Israel is America's greatest ally in the Middle East.

nstead, they all insisted that Israel is the source of regional instability and that the only way to forge peace was for Israel to appease its enemies — all of whom seek its annihilation.

Since that is a self-evidently impossible goal, all of those efforts failed.

Cycles of US-induced appeasement, instability, terror and war led to further US-induced appeasement, and the pattern circled on and on and on.

Donald Trump is the only US president in the past 30 years who insisted that reality be his guide.

His willingness to recognize Israel as America's greatest regional ally enabled him to see that the more powerful Israel is, the less America has to do. Conversely, the weaker Israel is, the more America has to do.

For his part, Benjamin Netanyahu is the only Israeli leader in the past generation who has refused to play along with the delusions of America's elite.

Only Netanyahu has refused to accept blame for the pathologies of Israel's enemies. He alone has refused to empower them for fear of unpleasant confrontations with Washington.

Eight years ago, Trump and Netanyahu's complementary worldviews set their nations on a course to previously unimagined achievements.

The 2020 Abraham Accords were the product of four years of unyielding joint determination to build regional peace through American support for a strong Israel and to prevent Iran from becoming a nuclear-armed state.

That momentum toward regional peace ended precipitously when Biden took office.

Biden's resolve to restore delusion to America's Middle East policy rescued, enriched and emboldened Iran and its terror proxies.

The same delusion paved the way to Oct. 7 and the seven-front regional war that followed.

Trump's election promises that reality will soon return to US Middle East policy.

Netanyahu said Sunday that he and the president-elect spoke three times since Trump's electoral triumph on Nov. 5, and that their discussions centered on the "Iranian threat."

"We see eye to eye on the Iranian threat in all its aspects, and on the dangers they reflect," Netanyahu said. "We also see the great opportunities facing Israel, in the area of peace and its expansion, and in other areas."

Biden and his team have blocked Israel from attacking Iran's nuclear installations, arguing that such a strike will cause a regional war that will force direct US military involvement.

Trump recognizes that by attacking Iran's nuclear installations, Israel will end the regional war that has been raging for 400 days — and enable the United States to stay out of the hostilities.

Netanyahu's mention of the "expansion of peace" in his remarks spoke to what the day after the war under Trump will bring.

With firm American support restored, Israel will secure the peace by controlling Gaza and a security zone in south Lebanon, and Trump will reinstate the enforcement of US economic sanctions on Iranian oil exports.

Without their nuclear installations, their terror proxies or their oil revenues, and faced with an American administration committed to standing with Israel, Iran's jihadist regime will soon lose its grip on power.

The long-suffering Iranian people will finally be in a position to overthrow and replace the ayatollahs.

With the threat of Iran removed, and Israel the unquestioned victor in the war, Trump and Netanyahu will usher in a new era of peace.

Their historic partnership will transform the Middle East from a zone of war and poverty into a region of peace, stability and prosperity.

Evidence *cont. from page 16*

= 0.0018. See also the Control Group website.

Lyons-Weiler (2022): The study was too small to assess autism risk, but showed better health outcomes among the unvaccinated than the vaccinated in other conditions. See this article which notes that the unvaccinated had better compliance to their wellness checks than the vaccinated which eliminates a common argument that anti-anti-vaxxers use. It says, "the unvaccinated families made their well-child visits with greater frequency than the vaccinated families."

Liz Mumper study: She reduced the incidence of autism in her practice by 6X limiting the vaccines given to kids. How does the medical community explain this? A 6X reduction is huge. She also replicated Paul Thomas's work.

A new study of 50,000 kids (submitted by Tony Mawson for publication but not yet published) shows the same odds ratios for chronic diseases as the Hooker and Mawson studies. The dataset is very large.

The Generation Rescue (GR) study that was done on June 26, 2007 showed that vaccinated kids were significantly worse off in every category they looked at. "For less than $200,000, we were able to complete a study that the CDC, with an $8 billion a year budget, has been unable or unwilling to do." Where is the CDC survey? Nowhere to be found! They simply don't want to do it. Read the survey and see this article for more information. GR couldn't tamper with the study or manipulate the results because it was done by a third party survey firm with no conflicts of interest. If the drug companies didn't like the result, they could have easily commissioned a different polling company. But they didn't!!! Or maybe they did and simply chose not to publish the results because they were so bad. In any event, the lack of a poll showing the opposite of the GR poll is very very problematic for the "safe and effective" narrative.

There is a failure on behalf of the other side to cite a single study that shows the opposite of what these studies show, e.g., that the fully vaccinated are either as healthy or healthier than the fully unvaccinated.

I independently found a very similar odds ratio when I surveyed 10,000 parents: "tell me about your kids"

Anyone can replicate the parent survey I did. You'll get the same results. But nobody is willing to look.

I asked 10,000 parents to tell me about their kids and listed a huge range of chronic diseases including two negative controls to validate the results.

Here are the results. The source data is available for anyone to analyze. Only one brave math professor (Hal Switkay) did just that and validated my results and methods.

The OR on the negative controls (birth defect and genetic defect) were .9 and 1.0 respectively.

For autism, I got an odds ratio of 4.5 which is midway with the values found studies I cited above (Hooker (2021) found 5.03 and Mawson's 2017 study found 4.2).

Here's the summary table of the ORs.

Note how vaccination makes all chronic diseases worse (numbers are all >1), a result consistent with the studies cited above:

1	ADHD (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder)	7.3
2	Allergies	7.7
3	Asthma / chronic Bronchitis	9.3
4	Autism/ASD	4.5
5	Autoimmune disorders including PANDAS/PANS	21.7
6	Birth defect	0.9
7	Bleeding in the brain	0.8
8	cancer	3.1
9	Cardiovascular issue (myocarditis, tachycardia, heart attack, ...)	4.1
10	Diabetes Type I (insulin dependent)	3.5
11	Epilepsy, Seizures	4.1
12	Food allergies	3.4
13	Genetic defect	1.0
14	Gluten intolerance	1.6
15	Hayfever	5.4
16	Head injury	3.7
17	Herpes	2.8
18	Learning disorder/ intellectual disability	6.6
19	Migraine headaches	6.8
20	Neurodermatitis (chronic itching, scaling, redness)	4.3
21	Neurological disorder affecting senses (deaf, blind, mute, ...)	2.1
22	Sinusitis	32.8
23	Scoliosis	4.0
24	Thyroid disease or dysfunction	5.0
25	Tics (sudden unwanted movements)	2.7
26	Other neurological disorder affecting movement (tics, ...)	5.2
27	Other serious physical health condition not listed	4.4
28	Other serious mental health/behavioral condition not listed like OCD	9.0

Odds ratios in my parent survey. The more vaccinated you were, the more likely you are to have these conditions. Fully vaccinated kids were 4.5X likely to be diagnosed with autism than their unvaccinated peers.

The autism studies published in the literature were designed to NOT find a signal. When errors were pointed out, the authors refuse to respond to the criticism. That is not what honest scientists do.

We can show how each and every one of the papers brought forward by vaccine proponents are flawed. Pick the best one and let's chat about it!

For example, there are 164 papers listed in this Michael Simpson article which he claims is proof that there is no association between vaccines and autism. He asserts that the single best paper is a 2014 meta-analysis by Taylor et al., "Vaccines are not associated with autism: an evidence-based meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies" (you can see a proof of the article here without a fee) which analyzes 10 studies (5 of each type), including Madsen (2002), Hviid (2003), DeStefano (2004), Andrews (2004), Smeeth (2004), and Verstraeten (2003).

The problem of course is that all of these papers were debunked in the SafeMinds analysis.

The best studies were the cohort studies and one of the cohort studies was judged to be "high risk." The other four cohort studies were described in the SafeMinds analysis. For the case-control studies, the two largest ones in the study were also described in the SafeMinds analysis.

So the best of the best of their best studies were debunked. These meta-analyses are only as good as the underlying papers.

Can we talk in detail about the top 3 papers in each group? They don't want to talk about it in a public forum. They never do. How are we supposed to resolve our differences if the other side won't engage in a civil dialog with anyone who disagrees with them?

Professor Hviid, a co-author of the most referenced paper showing no link (the Madsen study), refused to answer any questions about his paper. Why do they refuse to respond to legitimate questions that have been raised?

You can't prove the null hypothesis

Every scientist knows you can never prove the null hypothesis.

In plain English, you can't prove that there is no link. You can only claim "the studies we've done haven't found a link."

That is what they should be saying if they were semi-honest. But of course this is wrong. They simply avoid studies in the peer reviewed literature showing the opposite.

The people who claim there is no evidence in the peer-reviewed literature that vaccines cause autism are simply lying to you and the press is just repeating what they've heard

The bottom line here is that the press is always lying when they say vaccines don't cause autism because you can never prove a negative (per the previous section).

When people make that statement, they have to ignore the papers in the peer review literature, doctor data, and parent stories (which they can't explain away in aggregate because they are consistent with doctor reports).

At best they could say: "The studies we choose to believe didn't found a signal; the studies we decided not to believe found a HUGE signal. But we chose not to believe those other studies because everyone knows vaccines don't cause autism." See the circular reasoning here?

But there isn't any DATA that should cause people not to "believe" the studies that did show the connection. They just don't believe those studies because they come to a different conclusion and not because they actually found a legitimate flaw in the studies.

The press ignores those studies because they are told to by the "experts." This works perfectly because most journalists defer to experts they trust and will not invest the time to listening to both sides explain the data and coming to their own conclusion. They go right to believing the "expert" with the highest credentials.

continued on page 24

Bloodslide *cont. from page 22*

Toledo, Cincinnati, Columbus and Cleveland were the areas where the amendment passed. Cuyahoga County, (Cleveland) voted 74% pro-abortion.

Nevada Question 6: Right to Abortion, according to the AP News Service, "This would amend the state Constitution to establish a fundamental right to the procedure until fetal viability or when needed to safeguard the health of the pregnant person." The measure past 64.4% to 35.6%. This proposition will now be placed on a general election ballot in 2026, so there is still time for pro-lifers to organize and fight it.

Nebraska Initiative 439: Right to Abortion according to the AP, "This measure would amend the state Constitution to establish a right to the procedure until fetal viability, or when necessary to safeguard the health of the pregnant patient." The measure failed 51% to 49%, only Omaha and Lincoln voted pro-abortion. Nebraska also had another amendment on the ballot, Initiative 434. According to the AP, "This measure would amend the state Constitution to not allow the procedure in the second or third trimester, except in cases of medical emergency or for pregnancies resulting from incest or sexual assault." This amendment passed 54.9% to 45.1%, passing everywhere except Omaha and Lincoln. This amendment was put forward by the GOP controlled pro-life movement, and it legalizes 94% of all abortions by default. Basically, the pro-life movement snatched defeat from the jaws of victory.

New York Proposition 1: Equal Protection of Law amended the state Constitution expanding their "non-discrimination" clause, asserting that abortion is a "civil-right," which now reads, "ethnicity, national origin, age, disability" or "sex, including sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, pregnancy, pregnancy outcomes, and reproductive healthcare and autonomy." Their hatred of God is palpable. It was roundly supported by the gyno-tyrant Governess, the amendment passed 61.9% to 38.1%. Even worse than New York was Maryland Question 1: Right to Reproductive Freedom, which enshrined abortion access into the state Constitution, legalizing "the ability to make and effectuate decisions to prevent, continue, or end one's own pregnancy." The Amendment passed by a whopping 75.8% to 24.2%. May the hand of God strike them.

November 5th, 2024 was a bad day for the preborn. Even before that, here in Wisconsin, many 100% Pro-Life legislators, such as Andre Jacque and Janel Brandtjen lost their primaries. Even during their primaries, I didn't hear much from them defending the preborn. The GOP hid behind SCOTUS for 49 years, and now they hiding behind "the will of the peoples popular vote." America has died a demographic "moral death" as millennials are overwhelmingly pro-abortion atheists. I argued with many a millennial this year, who talked about, "I care about the living," which is lie because they only care about themselves. I'm sure they are aping that statement, which they are reading off their cell phones. My answer was, "the preborn are alive, otherwise they would not grow and be born, thus abortion is murder." America seems divided only because the majority of its citizens are now God-haters and God-haters tend to fight amongst themselves.

Trump's "mandate from the people," is a lie spouted by some of his supporters. Since when is 51% of the vote a wide-sweeping victory? Kamala got 48% of the vote, she ran a campaign that unleashed the forces of hell. She almost won, and many Democrats, such as the brazen lesbian Tammy Baldwin, won races they should have lost. The Democrats were bold, and vociferous, the GOP was cowardly, and evasive. Donald Trump won by force of personality and personal drive. I voted for Trump. The only thing salvageable from this election is that we won't have Kamala, (how many abortions have you had?) Harris, to be POTUS47. Given the bloodbath that will be unleashed, under color of law in 7 states, I'm glad we don't have an abortion Czar in the White House on top of all that. I won't stop for a minute, I will continue to stand for the preborn, and for what is still left of America.

THE EDGE…

Up Close and Personal with Geary Morales

Transformed Men Ministry's "Ride Across Wisconsin" (R.A.W.) "Ride-It-Forward" Annual Fundraiser

Hometown: Milwaukee, WI (Born March, 1953)

Current Residence: MKE Silver City, Milwaukee, WI

Transformed Men's Mission: Outreach to hurting men throughout the U.S.A. and globally in transforming their lives through the Gospel of Christ Jesus, in the power of the Holy Spirit, by the grace of God the Father. 2 Tim. 1-7

2025 Vision: Develop new channels of funding to facilitate outreach via monthly gatherings, seminars, street rallies, discipleship, mentoring, and coaching.

Collaborate with Churches, Christian Ministries, Foundations, Corporations, Individuals and Volunteers.

Transformed Men's Ride-It-Forward (R.A.W.) Fundraiser Overview:

Wisconsin Bike Federation
9th Annual **R.A.W.** (Ride Across Wisconsin) cross-country 235 mile bike trek.

Sat., August 17, 2024

La Crosse to Wisc. Dells, 100 Miles (1st day)

Sun., August 18, 2024

Wisc. Dells to Waterloo (Trek Corp. Hdqtrs) to **Milwaukee**, **135** miles (2nd day)



As I bring you the highlights of my amazing ***Ride Across Wisconsin*** (R.A.W.) 2 day, 235 mile trek from La Crosse to Wisconsin Dells (Day 1- 100 mile) to Waterloo (Trek Corp. Hdqtrs) to Milwaukee, WI (Day 2 – 135 miles),…

I must share when the journey actually began, which is more than 2 ½ years ago.

Vision Revealed Through Intention and Prayer

Transformed Men Ministry was created in January of 2021, through a series of God ordained and synchronized events.

In December of 2020 it hosted its first men's luncheon and gathering in the Church basement of Cedar Hills in Oak Creek, WI, with the late Pastor Steve Hibbler. Steve passed away in the first week of January 2021.

With that very sad beginning, our men's ministry began its mission to honor Steve, by resolving to create a unique men's ministry.



Impact, Influence, and Inspire

From its very onset, Transformed Men Ministry's mission was clear, as a para-ministry, we decided to actually serve hurting men, by bringing the message of faith, hope and love into their neighborhoods, and communities, locally, statewide, and nationally.

We have successfully done this by collaborating with Churches and Christian ministries by co-hosting monthly gatherings.by bringing our ministry team to ministry partners facilities,..

where we host a luncheon, praise and worship opening, guest speakers, and testimonials from men who have been transformed from th e inside out, as a result of their receiving Chris Jesus, as their LORD and Savior.

The gathering ends with an invitation to all attendees to come up to the front, to receive prayer and encouragement in their daily walk as born-again, Spirit-filled Christians.

Plan Provision, and Purpose

In our quest to fund these events, we have become creative in our fund development efforts, and thus our vision to create annual fundraising event that would invite individuals, churches, ministries, corporations, and foundations to come along side us to keep our mission vibrant and sustainable.

Ride-It-Forward – Ride Across WI (R.A.W)

Our request to you our reader and ministry partner is to determine how you'd like to contribute to our mission, financially and prayerfully. We have a myriad of funding options for one-time contributions, and/or monthly ongoing contributions.

Indeed, you'd like to come alongside Transformed Men Ministry to impact, influence, and inspire men to be a powerful witness and testimony to their transformed lives in Christ Jesus.

You can either contribute with a **$100** to a **$250** one-time donation for me completing the 'Ride It Forward" 2 day R.A.W. (Ride Across Wisconsin) or become a foundation, corporate, or ministry sponsor at **$250, $500, $1,000, 2, 500, or $5,000.**

How Can You Assist Us?

Just call or text me; Geary Morales at (414) 322-4545, or email me at geary.morales@gmail.com or Founder; Pastor Luis Pizarro at (414) 690-3313 or startingovero72@gmail.com.

Please also visit our ministry website at www.transformedpeopleinc.com. Until then, may the LORD richly bless you in everything you put your hand to.

2024 Ride Across Wisconsin (R.A.W.) "Ride-It-Forward" Transformed Men's Ministry Corporate Sponsor

THE DREAMBIKES STORY

Dreambikes is a non-profit; 501(c)(3) organization that provides hands-on, paid job training to teens. Working in conjunction with local youth organizations, Dreambikes teens work in the store learning how to refurbish bicycles, use the Point-Of-Sale (POS) software and how to deliver great customer service. They also provide lifelong skills to their teen employees, helping them to shift gears and find a bright future.

Dreambikes is not only a wonderful place to buy a refurbished bike, they are phenomenal community members. They have donated many to my homeless clients, making a huge impact on their ability to get them back on their feet. Cannot recommend them enough." **- Dani Koehler**

LOCATIONS

Discover our shops

Dreambikes has been serving in Wisconsin since 2008

1131 No. Sherman Avenue
Madison, WI 53704
(608) 416-5536

2021 No. M.L.K. Jr. Drive
Milwaukee, WI 53212
(414) 763-0909

www.dream-bikes.org





"I have purchased 4 bikes there for me and my family, and I've referred 2 other families. An excellent vibe, knowledgeable people, and great prices on quality bikes." **- Jon Gilgenbach**

Evidence *cont. from page 23*

Why don't I just release the evidence to Tucker, Rogan, etc.

Because I want a mainstream media source to cover the story and interview the CDC employees who will authenticate the evidence. They are unlikely do that if the story is already covered by someone else.

AI interpretation of my evidence

Believe it or not, finding an honest epidemiologist is really hard these days.

So…. all the data is being fed into a neutral LLM for analysis.

It will be very interesting to see the outcome.

Expert analysis of the data

James Lyons-Weiler is an expert on autism. He agrees that the studies designed to find an association were actually designed to not find an association.