The Reality News

Reality News Media Group LLC

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com **December 2020** **Vol. 11, No. 12**

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner

Reality News
Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11



Republican Legislatures Choose Alternate Electors in NV, WI, GA, PA, AZ to Preserve Election Challenge Options

By Denis G. Rancourt, PhD

State legislatures in Nevada, Wisconsin, Georgia, Arizona and Pennsylvania have selected republican electors to preserve cases and lawsuits of election fraud in each state. If the outstanding court cases are successfully argued the republican electors would be able to cast votes for President Donald Trump.

Trump Campaign Senior Advisor Stephen Miller explains what is happening today as each state presents and casts their votes for state electors. The only date outlined in the constitution is January 20th. As Miller outlines: "We have more than enough time to right the wrong of this fraudulent election result."

continued on page 24

Sheldon Whitehouse's Climate Inquisition continues

Senator Torquemada wants to jail those who dissent from his alarmist views on climate



By Paul Dreissen

Five years ago, I said Senator Sheldon Whitehouse (D-RI) behaves like Torquemada, using Inquisition-like tactics to harass "manmade climate crisis" skeptics, and threatening to prosecute them for racketeering. Tomas de Torquemada was the Grand Inquisitor of the Spanish Inquisition that interrogated, tortured, imprisoned

continued on page 7

"A Republic if you can keep it"

"Remove not the ancient landmark, which thy fathers have set." Proverbs 22:28

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The following was posted on forbes.com. "When Benjamin Franklin was asked after a session of the Constitutional Convention, 'What kind of a government have you given us?' he replied, 'A democracy, if you can keep it.' Our republic is founded on the principle that it will continue only as long as the people keep democracy alive. From Lexington to Korea, American youth have fought to preserve democracy. With each political campaign, the people who vote keep democracy alive. Each citizen who

continued on page 14

Get ready for nationwide blackouts under Biden

California's climate and energy policies will bring thousands of blackouts to entire country





By Dr. Jay Lehr & Tom Harris

The power disaster unfolding in California will soon occur across the country, if Joe Biden gets his way. The Golden State has been sweeping

continued on page 4

Walter Williams

By Tom Woods

I don't relish sharing bad news, but I absolutely do want to pay tribute to a great man.

The great economist and syndicated columnist Walter Williams has died.



In addition to his column and public speaking, Professor Williams wrote numerous books with provocative titles, including The State Against Blacks, Race and Economics: How Much Can Discrimination Explain?, and Up From the Projects: An AutobWALTER WILLIAMS: The true plight of black Americans (column) | Free | annistonstar.comiography.

His message didn't win him much adulation from the

continued on page 12

Dec. 3rd - Trump invokes foreign interference provision of his 2018 executive order, authorizing military response to cyber warfare, see NSPM 13

By Healthranger

Ask yourself this question: What was the purpose of yesterday's White House speech about election fraud and vote rigging? If you think it was all about Trump communicating to the people, think again. This speech was really about Trump communicating with Chris Miller and the DoD about foreign interference in the U.S. election while laying out the key national security justifications that are necessary to invoke what I'm calling the "national security option" for defending the United States against an attempted cyber warfare coup. In this article, I present details from 10 USC, Section 394. Subtitle A, Part 1, Chapter 19, "Authorities concerning military cyber operations" as well as National Security Presidential Memoranda (NSPM)

continued on page 19

2021 Georgia Senate Runoff Election Is Second Battle of Atlanta



By Williams Kovacs

The 2nd battle of Atlanta is on January 5, 2021. Atlanta and its suburbs comprise almost two-thirds of the population of Georgia. The battle is over two Senate seats. The winner of these seats will determine which political party controls the Senate and the policy of the nation for years to come. Currently, the Senate is 50 Republicans, 48 Democrats, 2 Georgia vacancies, and a Democrat vice president to break ties.

Should the Democrats win the two Georgia Senate seats, they will control the

continued on page 18

Political Correctness and the Magic of Christmas



By Gary Wickert

The politically incorrect Christmas season is here and, once again, Christians who comprise the world's largest religion by far – over two billion strong – will tiptoe quietly to church on Christmas Eve hoping they do not offend anybody who may overhear them wishing someone a "Merry Christmas." Millions avoid referencing this holiday because it has become earmarked by commercialism, isn't actually mentioned in the Bible, is

continued on page 11

Biden 'Miracle' Election Numbers Don't Add Up



By Larry Bell

You can go ahead and count me among a growing number of skeptics regarding confidence of any "President-elect Biden" certainty. I'll share some less-than-rocket-science reasons (you can trust me on this) why that dangerously unguided bombastic missile won't launch.

Following Dismal Record and Campaign, Gets Most Votes in History.

continued on page 20

Steve's Say . . .

From: Steven J Welcenbach <alchem1@earthlink.net>

Subject: Our slate of electors MUST be directed to vote for Donald Trump who handily won our state when illegal votes are removed

Date: December 14, 2020 at 7:30:36 AM CST

To: Rep.Vos@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Sanfelippo@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Rodriguez@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.August@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Murphy@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Hutton@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Dittrich@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Knodl@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Born@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.OttJ@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Krug@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Jagler@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Petersen@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Thiesfeldt@legis.wisconsin.gov, Rep.Kuglitsch@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Nass@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Marklein@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Kooyenga@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Fitzgerald@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Stroebel@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.LeMahieu@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Craig@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Wanggaard@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Kapenga@legis.wisconsin.gov

Cc: Rep.Brandtjen@legis.wisconsin.gov, Sen.Darling@legis.wisconsin.gov

Good Morning Everyone,

The whole world saw and knows the Democrats are attempting to steal the US Presidential election using every voter fraud mechanism ever invented including Hugo Chavez's pet invention. The numbers don't lie. EVERYONE on planet Earth knows this is happening, including all Leftists. Outside what you hear on television they're boasting about it.

Wisconsinites that believe in the United States of America as founded, the Constitution and the Rule of Law demand you all send a slate of Electors to the Electoral college to vote for Donald J. Trump. For he actually won our state by a large margin when only legitimate (legal) votes are counted.

Now we will see just who will defend truth and the people they represent. Now we will see if anyone in our Wisconsin Republican delegation has any political courage to take the tough vote. Or as Glenn Grothmann so astutely remarked after voting for the 2011 reforms, "It wasn't a tough vote at all. It was just a vote to do the right thing."

Today all Wisconsinites will know where the representation we voted for stands. Is their legislative position about their own personal ambitions and "job" or is it to implement the will of their electorate using the borrowed authority they've been entrusted with by their constituents?

Regardless of how each of you votes today, America and the world will be forever changed.

What side are you going to be on? Choose wisely.

God Bless You All, and May God Bless the United States of America.

REALITY NEWS
RealityNewsMedia.com
Steven Welcenbach Owner/Editor
414-491-3421
We'd like to hear from you.
Please e-mail article content to realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com
For advertising information call 414-491-3421
We reserve the right to edit or reject content.
Printed by
Express News, Germantown, WI

From: Peter Gilbert <pggilbertsaab@yahoo.com>
Subject: This means that our mass email blast to legislators this morning must have worked?
Date: Dec. 14, 2020 at 7:02:29 PM CST

'HISTORIC AND UNPARALLELED': ALL CONTESTED STATES - PA, GA, MI, WI, AZ, NV, NM GOP Electors cast ALL Cast Votes For President Trump - Geller Report News



The Battle Lines are Drawn and the Traitors will be Flushed Out

By Steve Welcenbach

Monday the state legislatures of the "six" battleground states where the most obvious examples of widespread, choreographed and premeditated election fraud occurred acted correctly and morally. Each state legislature sent an alternate set of electors to the electoral college January 6th 2021 opening where the US congress will attempt to formerly decide who won the 2020 presidential election. Many things could happen.

But now, due to the alternate electors designated by the Republican legislative majorities of these states, Trump actually holds the upper hand, as long as someone in the House and Senate act to challenge the validity of those designated by the executive branch, the traitorous plea of Senate majority leader Mitch McConnell to stand down and not challenge notwithstanding. Certainly plenty of senators crave the chance to protest the fraudulent election results including our own Ron Johnson. A senator from Alabama already professed his commitment to do just that. So off we go.

Congratulations Republicans in our Legislature and those of the other states! You did your job. I heard that you did get some nice encouragement from Trump and his legal team. But credit is due to you all for standing up and doing what's right, unprecedented as it was.

Now the Trump legal and law enforcement teams have a couple more weeks to get the complete picture of the fraud scheme, how it played out in real time and demonstrate to everyone the incontrovertible evidence of what



really occurred. Then our Federal Legislatures have a clear and direct pathway to award this presidential election to the real winner - Donald Trump. And I think we'll get presented with some real data as to just how big a victory it was.

Trump won this election. Everyone on both sides knows it. What scares government employees at all levels and in all branches? Accountability. Strange, but that's the entire reason for putting them in those positions.

The comments of Bill Barr and the little traitorous trick Mitch McConnell tried to pull shows the width and depth of the swamp muck. A person can only conclude now that AG Bill Barr had no intention of ever prosecuting his criminal buddies in the Deep State and Mitch McConnell finds no reason to address the ubiquitous corruption all around him. China owns almost everyone in DC, many in statehouses and plenty in cities and municipalities.

We know Trump's team has the smoking guns for this election fraud to be put to bed forever. Even if

continued on page 10

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____
Mailing Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____
☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ ☐ DISCOVER ☐
Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____
Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link:
https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen…"



Cornwall Alliance
For The Stewardship Of Creation

Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



Fossil Fuels Can Liberate Latin Americans from Energy Poverty—But Greens Say No!



Figure 1. Share of population facing energy poverty for the evaluated countries.

By E. Calvin Beisner

What does it mean? That lots of people in these Latin American countries suffer form Energy Poverty (EP) and Extreme Energy Poverty (EEP).

How many?

For Energy Poverty, 29% of Colombians, 32% of Dominicans, 76% of Guatemalans, 98% of Haitians, 72% of Hondurans, 30% of Mexicans, and 65% of Peruvians.

For Extreme Energy Poverty: 62% of Colombians, 14% of Dominicans, 62% of Guatemalans, 92% of Haitians, 59% of Hondurans, 17% of Mexicans, and 42% of Peruvians.

And what are Energy Poverty and Extreme Energy Poverty?

The study behind this graph used the World Energy Assessment's definition of Energy Poverty as "absence of sufficient choice in accessing adequate, affordable, reliable, high-quality, safe, and environmentally benign energy services to support economic and human development."

The authors then devised the new term, Extreme Energy Poverty, as having access to no more than 30% of "energy services": the energy "needed to provide cooked food, comfortable temperatures, lighting, drinking water and drainage, essential medical care and basic material for education and communication, while enabling all kinds of devices to be used," plus "agriculture, trade, manufacturing, industry and mining."

Whether extreme or not, energy poverty kills.

That's why it's so urgent to end it. And the quickest, least expensive, most technically sure way to do that is to bring fossil fuels to bear—coal, oil, and natural gas can, not merely for a family here or a family there but for a society numbering in the hundreds of thousands to the millions, provide all these energy services so vital to human life and thriving faster, cheaper, and more easily than any other sources, particularly solar and wind, the darlings of the Green, climate-alarmist movement.

That's why I'm so eager for you to see the documentary video Fractured: Language, Lies & Energy, by my friend Mark Mathis. Fractured shows the huge benefits from fossil fuels and exposes the lies that make many people think they bring more harm than benefit.

I'd like to send you a FREE DVD of Fractured as our way of saying thanks when you make a donation of literally ANY size, no matter how small.

All you need to do to request your copy is make your donation through our secure online giving page, by mailing your check to Cornwall Alliance, 3712 Ringgold Rd. #355, Chattanooga, TN 37412, or calling us at 423-500-3009 by midnight on November 30. In any case, mention Promo Code 20-11 or ask for Fractured. When giving online you can put the code in the comments box on the second page of our donation form.

Help us help you win the war to protect the world's poor—and everyone else—from destructive decisions on energy and the environment!

Please note we do ask international donors to pay for shipping of the free gift.

The Lazy Man's Way to Avoid Grappling with an Argument

By E. Calvin Beisner

I received this via email:

I have seen a meme going around on Facebook that says: "Just so you know, Legitimate scientists tend to publish their research in academic journals, not on YouTube." What is your take on this? It does come from a very strong Democrat supporter.

The truth is that legitimate scientists publish in both places.

However, scientists closely associated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the central figures of which are alarmists (and many of them not scientists at all but government bureaucrats), have largely taken control of the peer review process at most academic journals that deal with climate and climate change and have essentially barred skeptics' articles from publication there.

Using "Legitimate scientists publish in academic journals" to discredit a YouTube video (or a paper published in something other than an academic journal) leads quickly to some unintended consequences.

On the one hand, those who use it against a video (or paper) by a "climate skeptic," claiming that if the person has done a YouTube video (or published an article outside an academic journal) he therefore must not be a "legitimate scientist," wind up having to conclude that Dr. James Hansen, one of the most widely cited and popular climate alarmists, must not be a legitimate scientist, since he's done plenty on YouTube.

On the other hand, they also are stuck having to admit that Dr. Roy W. Spencer, one of the most widely cited and popular climate skeptics, is a legitimate scientist, since he's published in academic journals (as in the many articles listed on his website).

Continue reading on the Stewards Blog.

John Kerry as climate czar? Only Democrats could pick a guy with 6 houses, 12 cars, 2 yachts and a private jet, to tell you that YOU should take the bus to stop pollution.



The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

The Geothermal Revolution



By Craig Rucker

So-called "renewable" energy is all the rage. The problem is that wind and solar, the most popular renewables, are intermittent, inefficient energy sources that are far better at generating subsidies than electricity.

Then there's geothermal, which just might be a zero emissions (if that's your thing), renewable energy source that scales.

David Wojick explains the science at CFACT.org:

There is a revolution coming in geothermal energy. How big it will be and how fast it can grow remains to be seen, but the revolutionary technology is here now... The Earth's crust we live on is just a thin film wrapped around an 8,000 mile diameter molten ball. In some places under the deep ocean this crust is estimated to be just 3 miles or so thick. It is somewhat thicker under the continents but the point remains; it gets hot fast as you drill down into the crust. That heat is geothermal energy.

Fracking enabled the U.S. to achieve the long-sought goal of energy independence. Turns out fracking is a gift that keeps on giving. Wojick explains that fracking has profound applications for geothermal, allowing energy producers to pinpoint underground heat sources with greater accuracy than ever before:

With hydraulic fracturing (or fracking) we can make these geothermal reservoirs where we want them, the size we want them, and where the heat is the temperature we want, especially very hot. This includes the so-called "supercritical" water at 400 degrees C, which is now used in the most advanced power-plants.

The anti-energy Left is champing at the bit, hoping Joe Biden will make their most destructive fantasies come true.

Up to this point, they've given a somewhat tacit approval to geothermal energy...but will that continue if it is linked to "fracking"? Guess we will have to wait and see!

For nature and people too.



California's blackouts teach what not to do

By Craig Rucker

California has the world's fifth largest economy. It boasts a larger GDP than Great Britain's. Yet California just suffered widespread power outages.

Why can't a state with this kind of economic power keep its lights on?

You know the answer -- Green ideology.

California has essentially become a one-party state. No branch of California government is commanded by a majority that understands energy.

Steve Goreham explains the consequences of bad energy policy at CFACT.org:

California has the least reliable electrical power system in the United States. It isn't even close. According to data by Eaton Corporation, the Golden State leads the U.S. in power outages every year, with more than twice as many as any other state over the last decade...

The problem of California's poor electric reliability will likely get worse. On Sept. 10, 2018, then-Gov. Jerry Brown signed Senate Bill 100, committing California to obtain 100% of its electricity from "clean energy sources" by 2045. Replacement of coal, nuclear, and natural gas generators with wind and solar will continue to erode grid reliability.

California has been forced to go begging to neighboring states to keep its electric grid afloat.

Energy analyst Ron Stein gives us the sorry numbers detailing the shutdown of California's generating capacity at CFACT.org. After shutting down coal energy, California is shutting down clean natural gas and the last of its emissions-free (if that's your thing) nuclear!

Despite a struggling power grid, recently shuttered and soon-to-be closing electric plants include:

Encina at 854 MW
Mandalay at 560 MW
Etiwanda at 640 MW
Redondo Beach at 1,310 MW

PG&E's Nuclear 2,160 megawatt Generating Plant at Diablo Canyon is to be shuttered in 2024.

The 823 mw Natural Gas Power Plant at Scattergood in Playa Del Rey, to be shuttered in 2024. The 575 mw Natural Gas Power Plant at Haynes in Long Beach, to be shuttered in 2029. The 472 mw Natural Gas Power Plant at Wilmington, to be shuttered in 2029.

California needs all that power!

Intermittent, wind and solar plants are great at generating subsidies, but inefficient at generating electricity.Burning up trees and relabeling it "biomass" is ridiculous.

The rest of the U.S. has slashed CO2 emissions (if that's your thing) by commissioning over 2,000 natural gas plants, with hundreds more being constructed.

China, meanwhile, put over 1,000 gigawatts of COAL(!) electricity online, and is this minute constructing hundreds of gigawatts more!

Would someone please explain to the clueless Greens running California that wind and solar are inefficient, clean-burning natural gas allowed America to slash emissions more than any other nation, nuclear energy is safe and emissions-free, and shifting manufacturing from an energy-starved America to an energy-rich China means more emissions, not less?

Get Ready *continued from p. 1*

away the forms of energy that have provided reliable electricity for decades, under the same agenda the former Vice-President is planning for America as a whole.

Power outages are now commonplace in California. Last summer, the state suffered its first rolling blackouts in nearly 20 years. Imagine if this happened in Chicago in the middle of winter.

California's trouble is explained by officials who now openly admit to an over-reliance on wind and solar power. The governor said there was not enough wind to keep the turbines going, while cloud cover and nightfall restricted solar power. The Los Angeles Times recognized the root of the problem:

"… gas-burning power plants that can fire up when the sun isn't shining or the wind isn't blowing have been shutting down in recent years, and California has largely failed to replace them …"

Consequently, the state has fallen thousands of megawatts behind its needs. Governor Gavin Newsom admitted, "we failed to predict and plan for these shortages" and took (nominal) responsibility for the rolling blackouts. Now he wants everyone to conserve power, while the state looks for new sources of energy, most likely fossil fuel-generated power from neighboring states.

All this is happening while California continues its intention to transition to 60% renewable energy by 2030 and 100% "climate-friendly energy" by 2045, as required by state law.

Indeed, in their October 6 open letter to Newsom, the heads of the California's Energy Commission, Independent System Operator and Public Utilities Commission wrote: "We are unwavering in our commitment to meeting California's clean energy and climate goals."

Team Biden plans to go even further, committing to making the entire nation 100% renewable within 15 years. The United States would fall tens of millions of megawatts behind on its electricity needs.

Like the California government, the incoming Biden-Harris administration is acting entirely under the unfounded belief that climate change is a manmade calamity that can be stopped by eliminating fossil fuel use. They are clearly unaware of the Climate Change Reconsidered series of reports of the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC).

These documents summarize thousands of studies from peer-reviewed scientific journals that either refute or cast serious doubt on the climate scare. They conclude that we are not causing a climate crisis.

Yet, in their October 6 report, Preliminary Root Cause Analysis – Mid-August 2020 Heat Storm, the same heads of California's Energy Commission, Independent System Operator and Public Utilities Commission highlighted the "climate change-induced extreme heat storm across the western United States" as the first cause of the blackout.

In their view, apparently, shutting down coal, gas and nuclear power plants in California and in states from which California imports electricity played only minor roles.

California's determination to shift to so-called "green" energy – which is actually anything but clean, green, renewable and sustainable – is being echoed by politicians across the nation. The result, especially in states that don't enjoy California's mostly benign weather, is going to be that those in the poorest neighborhoods and those on fixed incomes may be forced to choose between heating and eating.

It also means people trying to run their homes, offices, factories, hospitals and schools on intermittent, weather-dependent, much more expensive wind and solar power will have to get used to never knowing when or for how long their electricity will be on or off. Now in California; soon in the entire USA.

continued to p. 9

ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



"Walk toward the fire. Don't worry about what they call you."
ANDREW BREITBART

Slight, beneficial warming from more carbon dioxide!

Exhaustive study finds more CO2 and water molecules will not cause dangerous warming

By David Wojick, Ph.D.

Precision research by physicists William Happer and Willem van Wijngaarden has determined that the current levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide and water vapor are "saturated." In radiation physics that means adding more CO2 or water molecules will bring modest warming that will benefit plant growth, and thus all life on Earth. More CO2 and H2O will not cause dangerous warming.

From this point forward, emissions from burning fossil fuels will bring little additional global warming, and what does occur will improve forests, grasslands and agriculture. There is no climate emergency.

This finding is astounding, paradigm shattering, contrary to what alarmist scientists have told us for decades. Scientifically, it resolves a huge uncertainty that has plagued climate science for over a century: How should saturation be measured, and what is its extent regarding the primary greenhouse gases?

Just as "the greenhouse effect" is nothing akin to how greenhouses work, in radiation physics "saturation" is nothing like the simple, everyday concept of saturation. Your paper towel is saturated when it won't pick up any more spilled milk. Greenhouse gases are saturated when adding more water, methane or carbon dioxide molecules has no significant further effects on planetary warming and climate.

Dr. Happer is known as a leading skeptic of "dangerous human-caused climate change." He co-founded the prestigious CO2 Coalition and served on the National Security Council, advising President Trump. But his career has been as a world-class radiation physicist at Princeton. Dr. van Wijngaarden teaches and conducts research in pure and applied physics at York University in Canada. Happer's numerous peer-reviewed journal articles have collectively garnered over 12,000 citations by other researchers.

In their study, Professors Happer and van Wijngaarden (H&W) analyzed saturation physics in painstaking detail. Their preprint, "Dependence of Earth's Thermal Radiation on Five Most Abundant Greenhouse Gases," goes far beyond any work done previously on this complex problem.

To begin with, standard studies examine the absorption of solar radiation by greenhouse molecules using crude absorption bands of radiation energy. H&W go far beyond this, to analyze the millions of distinct energies, called spectral lines, that make up these bands. Their detailed line-by-line approach is an emerging field that often yields dramatically new results – and here contradict prevailing climate theory.

Moreover, H&W do not look only at absorption. As Dr. Happer explained it to me: First, thermal emission of greenhouse gases is just as important as absorption. Second, how the atmosphere's temperature varies with altitude is just as important as its concentration of greenhouse gases.

The two physicists therefore looked hard, not just at absorption, but also at emissions and atmospheric temperature variation. The work is far more complex than I, most non-physicist scientists, and certainly most citizens and politicians can understand. However, the conclusions are simple and dramatically clear.

Happer and van Wijngaarden's central conclusion is this: For the most abundant greenhouse gases, H2O and CO2, the saturation effects are extreme, with per-molecule forcing powers suppressed by four orders of magnitude at standard concentrations. (Forcing power means effects on atmospheric temperature.)

Their graphs are especially compelling: Figure 9 and Tables 2 and 4 show that, at current concentrations, the forcings from all greenhouse gases are saturated. The saturations of the most abundant greenhouse gases, H2O and CO2, mean the per-molecule forcing is weakened by a factor of 10,000.

The other greenhouse gases analyzed are ozone, nitrous oxide and methane. These are also nearly saturated, but not as completely as water vapor and carbon dioxide. They are also even less significant components of the atmosphere than CO2 (0.0415% or 415 ppm), which in turn is tiny compared to H2O (3% or less). At just 0.00019% methane truly has minuscule influence on climate.

The climate science community clearly needs to consider this work very carefully. This may not be easy since three major physics journals have refused to publish it. Their reviews have been defensive and antagonistic, instead of thoughtful, science-based or helpful. Climate alarmism seems to control these journals, and they tend to censor contrary findings. That's why H&W released the preprint version.

Undaunted, H&W are now extending their analysis to include clouds. Alarmist climate science bases its "dangerous manmade" global warming, not on the CO2 increase alone, but also on incorporating positive water vapor and cloud feedbacks: emphasizing heat-trapping properties of clouds, while largely ignoring the degree to which clouds also block or reflect incoming solar radiation. Because carbon dioxide and water vapor are both saturated, it is highly unlikely that any positive cloud feedbacks can do much damage. However further careful analysis is needed to know this for sure. Stay tuned.

Meanwhile, America and the world are forced to ponder only "permissible" climate science – which is being used to justify demands that we eliminate the fossil fuels that provide 80% of all US and world energy, and replace that energy with enormous numbers of solar panels, wind turbines, batteries, new transmission lines ... and mines to produce their raw materials ... all with major environmental impacts.

"Permissible" climate science is also being used as the basis for computer models that purport to predict planetary warming and weather 50 to 100 years from now. The models have not gotten anything correct up to now, which is understandable since the physics on which they are based is so faulty.

The good news, says Science and Environmental Policy Project president Ken Haapala, is that humanity's use of fossil fuels and addition of CO2 to the atmosphere are not causing a climate crisis. Cutting existing atmospheric CO2 levels in half would have little effect on climate – but would harm plant growth and the ability of forests, food crops and grasslands to survive droughts and other stress. "Carbon capture" (actually carbon dioxide capture) is of little value, and would just increase electricity prices.

As to climate "tipping points" – at which the Earth gets inexorably hotter, never to cool down – the very notion is laughable. Over the ages, our planet has swung back and forth from moderate to very warm periods; from ice ages and mile-high glaciers across half of North America and Europe to interglacial periods, like the one we are in now; from the Medieval warm period to the Little Ice Age, 1350-1810, Haapala notes. (The LIA was ending just about the time the fossil fuel and industrial era began.)

Put another way, because greenhouse gases are already saturated, there is no reason we should accept IPCC or other claims that planetary temperatures could rise more than 3.0 C (5.4 F) without compelling empirical evidence of strong atmospheric warming. That evidence is totally lacking in IPCC reports, and satellite measurements find no strong warming. Accepting alarmist claims is science denial.

In reality, according to atmospheric temperature trends measured by satellites and weather balloons, and tracked by the Earth System Science Center, University of Alabama-Huntsville, the warming trend is modest. Since January 1979, it has remained at +0.14C/decade (+0.12C/decade over the global-averaged oceans, and +0.18C/decade over global-averaged land areas). That's just 0.25F per decade, or 2.5F per century – modest, beneficial warming; certainly nothing remotely catastrophic.

Some of that warming is likely to be manmade. But most of it is natural and not at all unprecedented.

Moreover, the atmospheric "hot spot" above the tropics predicted by climate models is nowhere to be found. Put another way, for carbon dioxide to have significant impacts on global temperatures, humanity would have to burn more fossil fuels than are known to exist on our planet, Haapala concludes.

It's no wonder climate alarmists, computer modelers, Green New Deal proponents, and wind turbine, solar panel, battery and concrete salesmen want to silence Happer and van Wijngaarden – or at least keep their work out of scientific journals. It's also not surprising that China is happy to see the H&W science suppressed: its companies will be the ones selling us turbines, panels and batteries. Follow the science!

David Wojick is an independent analyst specializing in science, logic and human rights in public policy, and author of numerous articles on these topics.

Senator Roberts calls on CSIRO head to resign

SIRO has been caught out relying on discredited scientific papers and unvalidated models as the basis for advice to government on climate policy, which is a multi-billion dollar drag on the economy.

Senator Roberts said, "This is shameful, and I call on the CSIRO Chief Executive, Dr Larry Marshall, and executive Dr Peter Mayfield, to resign.

"Both have been complicit in the economically destructive policies based on CSIRO's misplaced climate research."

The controversial, but central claim, that carbon dioxide from human activity affects climate and needs to be cut, was the focus of Senator Roberts' cross-examination of CSIRO.

When CSIRO was asked for evidence of anything unprecedented in climate due to human carbon dioxide, and despite nearly 50 years of climate research, it could only provide the discredited Marcott (2013) paper on temperatures and the discredited Harries (2001) paper on carbon dioxide.

Both papers wilted under scrutiny, with CSIRO representatives in agreement with the concerns raised, resulting in CSIRO withdrawing the papers.

Astrophysicist and Geoscientist Professor Willie Soon was scathing in his assessment of CSIRO's use of Marcott (2013) by saying "Two weeks after publication this paper was completely destroyed and yet, someone as high up as CSIRO trying to say this paper is legitimate and can be used as a supporting scientific evidence, is scientific malpractice".

Senator Roberts stated, "Robust science reflecting the highest standards of integrity and transparency should be the core business of CSIRO.

"How could it be that climate scientists were unaware that the evidence being used for significant policy-making was based on poor quality and discredited scientific papers.

"CSIRO's lack of understanding of the papers they cited shows laziness and lack of intellectual rigor. Clearly, CSIRO cannot honestly claim that human activity is causing climate variability."

When pressed further regarding the view of CO2 being dangerous, CSIRO were quick to point out that they never claimed CO2 was dangerous, rather that it was politicians that assigned the word danger to human CO2.

CSIRO also agreed that temperatures today are not unprecedented.

In a last ditch attempt CSIRO referenced the United Nations' reports relying on unvalidated computer models, despite freely admitting CSIRO had not done due diligence on any UN work.

CSIRO also admitted it had not done due diligence on data from the Bureau of Meteorology.

Senator Roberts added, "In my discussions with eminent international scientists, Professor John Christie stated he has closely examined CSIRO's Access Models and found them below par, as the projections simply do not match what we actually see in the real world."

Professor Christie added, "Climate is so complex, our ignorance of the climate system is enormous, and the myriad of models have not even agreed on a key variable, CO2 sensitivities.

"The CSIRO models are running overly warm and this has been proven when comparing real data of the last 40 years with the climate model projections."

Dr David Evans, one of the world's top computer modellers, states, "CSIRO climate models should not be used for policy as they are not right yet.

"The performance of all climate models, including CSIRO's, are not sufficiently validated and consistently overestimate warming."

Senator Roberts added, "It is the duty and responsibility of politicians to base costly policies and economic structural change on robust scientific evidence, not discredited papers and deficient models."

Senator Roberts calls for "a halt to all climate policies and spending until credible empirical evidence is provided to justify the spend, and for an Office of Scientific Integrity to scrutinize science used for policy.

"The onus is now on parliament to provide the empirical scientific evidence proving that carbon dioxide from human activity needs to be cut, and until that is provided, government must immediately stop wasting billions of dollars on vested interests riding the climate gravy train."



Figure 9. COVID-19 Using the March 24 Exclusive Guidelines vs Using the 2003 Guidelines. Had the CDC used the 2003 guidelines, the total **COVID-19** be approximately 16.7 times lower than is currently being reported. [1][30][State & Territory Health Departments]

Quote of the Week:

"Real liberty is neither found in despotism or the extremes of democracy, but in moderate governments."
– Alexander Hamilton

Number of the Week:

17,000

This Week:

By Ken Haapala, President, Science and Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was December 12, 2020

Misleading Data: Writing for the CO2 Coalition, Richard Lindzen and John Christy address surface temperatures and the global mean temperature anomaly, which are used for the global climate models used by the UN Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC) and its followers to claim frightening global warming from emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) by humans. In the executive summary they state:

"At the center of most discussions of global warming is the record of the global mean surface temperature anomaly—often somewhat misleadingly referred to as the global mean temperature record. This paper addresses two aspects of this record. First, we note that this record is only one link in a fairly long chain of inference leading to the claimed need for worldwide reduction in CO2 emissions. Second, we explore the implications of the way the record is constructed and presented and show why the record is misleading.

"This is because the record is often treated as a kind of single, direct instrumental measurement. However, as the late Stan Grotch of the Laurence Livermore Laboratory pointed out 30 years ago, it is really the average of widely scattered station data, where the actual data points are almost evenly spread between large positive and negative values.

"The average is simply the small difference of these positive and negative excursions, with the usual problem associated with small differences of large numbers: at least thus far, the one-degree Celsius increase in the global mean since 1900 is swamped by the normal variations at individual stations, and so bears little relation to what is actually going on at a particular one.

"The changes at the stations are distributed around the one-degree global average increase. Even if a single station had recorded this increase itself, this would take a typical annual range of temperature there, for example, from -10 to 40 degrees in 1900, and replace it with a range today from -9 to 41. People, crops, and weather at that station would find it hard to tell this difference. However, the increase looks significant on the charts used in almost all presentations, because they omit the range of the original data points and expand the scale in order to make the mean change look large."

Thus, based on the physical evidence used by the IPCC and its followers, the fear of CO2 causing dangerous warming is based on a statistical interpretation of the evidence coupled by a chain of interpretations that is highly questionable. The chain of reasoning is extremely weak. Terming the evidence "robust" and the science "settled" is absurd.

Lindzen and Christy use the BEST series of surface temperature anomalies of almost 3000 stations with at least 100 years of continuous observations to present a series of graphs. These graphs include annual temperature anomalies, seasonal temperature anomalies, and the averages (means) of these sets of data. The authors state

"In order to obscure the fact that the global means are small residues of large numbers whose precision is questionable, the common presentations plot the global mean anomalies without the scattered points and expand the scale so as to make the changes look large. These expanded graphs of global means are shown in Figures 5 and 6". [For the figures see the link to the paper.]

But by expanding the scale of the minor increases in temperatures, the advocates introduce a problem they fail to address:

"We also see a reduced rate from 1998 (best seen in Fig. 6) until the major El Niño of 2016 occurred. Even if one could attribute all the 1978-1998 warming to the increases in CO2, the slowdown clearly shows that there is something going on that is at least as large as the response to CO2. This contradicts the IPCC attribution studies that assume, based on model results, that other sources of variability since 1950 are negligible." [For the figure see link to paper.]

The IPCC and its followers dismiss natural variation which, historically, is many times greater than the modest amount of surface warming that has occurred since 1950. Their evidence contradicts their claims. Further, since 1979 when appropriate satellite observations began, the surface warming has been greater than atmospheric warming, thus the additional warming must be coming from an additional source than greenhouse gases. Most likely, the greatest source is the urbanization and changing land use, which are dismissed by the IPCC.

The authors conclude with an interesting composite graph (Figure 9) showing the range of temperature changes in fourteen US cities. Reading from left to right, the graph shows a miniscule increase for the last 120 years, a slightly larger increase from 8 am to 10 am, a greater increase. Increases continue according to data selection; such as from sunrise to afternoon, yearly average of coldest to hottest, etc.. Humans experience these changes annually, yet the IPCC and its followers claim that a miniscule increase is frightening? In concluding, the authors state:

"At the very least, we should keep the large natural changes in Figure 9 in mind, and not attribute them to the small residue, the global mean temperature anomaly, or obsess over its small changes."

To simplify some of the graphs, writing in WUWT, David Middleton puts a household thermometer next to them to show how tiny the "dire global warming" is. See links under Challenging the Orthodoxy.'

Censorship: In the editor's note to the Lindzen and Christy paper, Caleb Rossiter, Executive Director of the CO2 Coalition, writes:

"When I became executive director of the Coalition two years ago, I never imagined that we would be chosen by the authors of such a paper as their publisher. Instead, I would have thought that this type of detailed piece, while written in an accessible style, would have appeared in a scholarly journal.

"However, the CO2 Coalition seems to have become a "publisher of last resort" for scholarly work that falls outside an enforced, dual narrative: that there is an existential climate crisis from emissions of industrial warming gases, and that society can replace the fossil fuels that are the source of those emissions with wind and solar power, at no cost to our economy or health.

"As with recent papers by economist Dr. Bruce Everett (The Social Cost of Carbon: Pick a Number, Any Number; and Do Government Policies Favoring Fossil Fuels Hamper the Development of Wind and Solar Power?) and physicists Dr. William Happer and Dr. W.A. van Wijngaarden (Methane and Climate), the authors of this paper decided that finding a home in the standard journals of their profession, in which they have published for decades, might take too much time and in fact not prove successful at all.

"Why? I regret to report that there has been a steady, silent takeover of academic publishing in science and economics by the "climate crisis" and "easy to change sources" narrative. So, this paper, which in earlier days would have, as a matter of course, been published by a scholarly journal, now finds a home with our advocacy group.

"Fortunately for our readers, both among experts and in the general public, we also rely on peer review, with rigor and expertise equal to that of the professional journals. And I believe that our process provides far better expertise than that of UN and U.S. governmental reports on climate science and energy economics, which are ultimately edited by a non-specialist bureaucracy and approved by governments. Our reviewers are the full board and selected members of the Coalition, a number of whom have been peer reviewers for the scholarly journals, the UN IPCC and agencies of the U.S. Government."

Rossiter's statement is well put. Scholarly journals now act as censors preventing "undesirable" ideas from reaching the public no matter how factual or scientifically important they are. Once notable scientific journals have shown they prefer government approval and acceptance by boisterous politicized advocates to applying the scientific method in analyzing the suitability of a paper. See links under Challenging the Orthodoxy.

Incorrect Physics? After TWTW began commenting on the work of van Wijngaarden and Happer on the greenhouse effect and the extension of that work by Howard Hayden, several readers stated that they too have trouble getting their work published. They use different databases such as MODTRAN rather than HITRAN used by van Wijngaarden & Happer to arrive at their conclusions that the greenhouse effect is greatly overestimated,

The global climate models are modified weather models which have many issues: they cannot predict more than ten days to two weeks in advance, often far less. They must be updated at least daily or several times a day. The long-term prediction value of such modeling is highly suspect. Weather is subject to many more influences than the greenhouse effect. The work of Lindzen and Christy, above, shows how difficult it is to extract a clear signal from noisy land-surface temperature data that is considered the finest (BEST). It may be that the approach by the climate modelers is simply the wrong approach to capture the greenhouse effect in the noisy data.

Cont. reading at http://www.sepp.org

Number of the Week: 17,000. Historian John Robson discusses that the high estimate of carbon dioxide emissions by the UN IPCC, called Representative Concentration Pathway, RCP8.5, is so extreme that it is untenable, totally impossible. He states that in work published in May, Roger Pielke Jr. and Ritchie Justin found that about 17,000 published papers used this indefensible estimate. As Pielke and Justin write:

Climate science research and assessments have misused scenarios for more than a decade. Symptoms of this misuse include the treatment of an unrealistic, extreme scenario as the world's most likely future in the absence of climate policy and the illogical comparison of climate projections across inconsistent global development trajectories.

Thus, the papers use indefensible high-end estimates of CO2 emissions and climate models that cannot be validated and greatly overestimate the influence of CO2 on temperatures. What should such papers be called – fictionalized science or science fiction? See links under Challenging the Orthodoxy.

Why Worry about Warming? Cold is the Killer

By Viv Forbes

Green alarmists are fanning a firestorm of fear about man-made global warming.

Earth always cycles between warmth and cold. Every recent warm period (Medieval Warming, Roman Warming etc) was a time of plenty for all life on Earth, whilst cold periods like the Little Ice Age saw crop failures, famine, migrations, invasions, disease and death.



Sea levels rise as ice sheets melt, and fall as they rebuild. Coastal dwellers and offshore coral reefs must always migrate landward or seaward, or north/south, following the climatic environment they prefer.

Warming/cooling phases are triggered by solar system cycles which are often accompanied by volcanism. These drivers are far more powerful than any human influences.

Oceans cover over 70% of Earth's surface and dominate our weather and climate. When the sun or the volcanic trenches and the rings-of-fire warm the oceans, two things happen. Firstly, water evaporates to form more clouds which shade, cool and rain on the Earth. Secondly, CO2 plant food is expelled into the atmosphere, like CO2 bubbles leaving a warming beer. This makes the oceans more alkaline.

With the additional moisture and CO2 plant food in the atmosphere, all plants benefit - forests expand, deserts shrink and grasslands, crops and marine plants flourish. All animals that live on plants or on grazing animals are also well fed. Soon farmers, graziers, foresters, fishermen, city dwellers and tax collectors welcome better times.

But climate is never still - changing climate and variable weather are normal conditions on Earth. For the last million years, Earth has basked in recurring short Warm Periods (about 12,000 years) followed by long brutal Frigid Periods (about 80,000 years).

Evidence from the Greenland Ice Cores shows that Earth's 1000 year average temperature peaked about 7,500 years ago and has been trending down for over 3,000 years. There are always short term fluctuations but we are past the warming peak. We live now in the latter days of the Holocene Warm Period. There may be short bursts of warming, but the big trend is down. A frigid period lies ahead.

As cyclic solar heating declines, land cools quicker than the deep oceans. Moisture will still evaporate from the warm oceans and fall on the cooling land as rain and snow. Snow and ice will again advance over the northern grain belts. Continued evaporation soon triggers cooling of the oceans which then re-absorb atmospheric moisture and CO2.

Plants always suffer greatly in the developing cold, dry, CO2-starved atmosphere. And when plants suffer, animals and humans face hunger and famine.

Industries and cities powered by coal, oil, gas and nuclear power are largely immune to changing climate. However we are making a huge climate gamble by demonising reliable hydro-carbons and nuclear power and rushing into intermittent, climate-dependent energy such as wind and solar propped up by "humungous batteries".

The Holocene Warming is almost over.

After today's bountiful warmth comes the cold, dry, hungry phase of Earth's climate.

Fruitful warmth is no threat. Bitter cold is the deadly menace.

Whitehouse *cont. from page 1*

and executed thousands for religious heresy.

The senator took great umbrage, and denounced me in Senate chambers where I once worked. But he didn't change his ways. If anything, he has become more intolerant and vindictive.

He recently said Democrat control of the Senate would enable him and his colleagues to launch investigations, haul climate realists before committees (for star-chamber show trials), and even employ grand juries and criminal prosecutions – to intimidate, silence and punish climate crisis nonbelievers.

People could certainly conclude that the thin-skinned senator would feel right at home in Inquisition Spain, Stalinist Russia, Red Guard and Xi Jinping China, or book-burning pre-Holocaust fascist Europe. Their history of silencing dissenters, erasing them from history, and sending them off to gulags and salt mines (or worse) is legendary. Their economic and governing ideology is classic fascism:

an extreme, intolerant system, under which an authoritarian government does not own businesses and industries outright, but does dictate what they can make, do, sell and say – while controlling citizens' thoughts, speech and choices – through intimidation, silencing, arrest, prosecution, and fear of being fined, jailed, fired, sent to penal or reeducation colonies, and being beaten or executed.

These tactics are reprehensible and dictatorial. They are un-American and anti-science. Indeed, science achieves no progress without dissent, discussion and debate. It requires not just hypotheses, theories and computer models, but solid, empirical evidence to confirm or disprove hypotheses, models and predictions.

Discussion, debate, dissent and evidence are especially vital in addressing the assertion that humanity faces an unprecedented manmade climate crisis. That assertion is being used to justify demands that the United States, Europe and developed world eliminate the fossil fuels that provide over 80% of our energy, petrochemical and pharmaceutical raw materials, fertilizers and countless other benefits.

It is being used to justify demands that we replace this reliable, affordable energy and raw material base with wind, solar, battery and biofuel power. Not only are these alternatives intermittent, weather-dependent and far more expensive. They involve extensive mining, land use, wildlife, pollution and other environmental impacts. They are not renewable, sustainable, environment-friendly or climate-safe.

In the United States alone, we would have to replace some 7.5 billion megawatt-hours of electricity and electricity-equivalent fossil fuel use per year; replace enormous amounts of oil and natural gas raw materials; and overhaul our transportation, home heating and other systems. That would require millions of wind turbines, billions of solar panels, billions of 1000-pound battery modules, tens of millions of acres of corn, canola, soybean and other biofuel crops – and tens of trillions of dollars.

Democrat urban population and voter centers will likely oppose those industrial-scale installations in their backyards. They would have little objection to locating them in what many ruling, media and Hollywood elites imperiously and derisively refer to "flyover country" – western, Midwestern and southern states.



This "transformation" – under the Paris climate treaty, a Green New Deal or a Biden-Harris regulatory program – would massively disrupt America's economy, jobs, living standards, health and wellbeing, especially for poor, minority, blue-collar, fixed-income and flyover country families and communities.

Climate alarmists insist that any lost jobs would be replaced with "green" jobs. But those would be mostly minimum-wage positions: hauling, installing, maintaining, dismantling, removing and landfilling turbines, panels and batteries. Moreover, most of those green technologies would be manufactured overseas, especially in China, because environmentalists battle any mining in the USA, and a climate-focused energy system would provide insufficient reliable, affordable power for factories.

Those huge and unprecedented amounts of mining and manufacturing would require fossil fuels. So the only thing that would change is where the fossil fuel use and emissions occur.

It would be mostly in Asia and Africa, in countries that are not obligated under the Paris climate treaty to reduce their fossil fuel use or greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions; countries that will build as many hundreds or thousands of coal and gas-fired power plants as needed to lift their people out of poverty ... and make "green energy" technologies they will happily sell to America, Australia, Canada and Europe.

That means, even if the US went cold-turkey on fossil fuels, it would make no difference to global GHG emissions or global atmospheric concentrations. And that means, even if carbon dioxide is the primary factor in climate change, destroying US and other modern economies would bring no climate benefits.

The EU's and UK's unwavering belief in human-caused climate cataclysms is already hammering its industries, workers and families, as numerous articles attest: here, here, here and here, for instance.

Thankfully, however, it is becoming increasingly clear that assertions of Climate Armageddon have been miscalculated, exaggerated or fabricated. Average global temperatures are rising far less rapidly than predicted by climate models: by at least a half-degree F.

Violent (F4-F5) US tornadoes have actually declined in number the past 35 years (1985-2020) versus the previous 35 years (1950-1984); and in 2018 not one F5 tornado touched down in the United States. For a record twelve years, from Wilma in 2005 until Harvey and Irma in 2017, no Category 3 to 5 hurricane struck the US mainland. Overall, there is little or no trend in tropical cyclone activity or intensity.

All that is not surprising in light of new research by Drs. William Happer and Willem van Wijngaarden that strongly indicates even doubling carbon dioxide (and other greenhouse gases) in Earth's atmosphere would have minuscule effects on global temperatures and climate (but would benefit plant growth).

Indeed, it is impossible to distinguish human influences from natural factors, fluctuations and cycles regarding temperatures, polar ice, storms and droughts. Some scientists certainly claim otherwise – and generally just blame humans. But they have little or no actual, empirical evidence to support their claims, predictions and models. They simply say the science is settled, and we must ban fossil fuels, so shut up.

With so much at stake for America and the world, this is completely intolerable. At the very least, those claiming we face a climate calamity must be required to present solid empirical evidence to support their assertions – and engage in in robust, transparent debates with manmade climate change skeptics.

That is precisely what Senator Torquemada seems determined to prevent and punish, while transforming "the world's greatest deliberative body" into a Russian Politburo or Chinese National People's Congress – and an integral part of the $multi-trillion-per-year Climate Industrial Complex.

In that quest he would certainly be aided by the Big Media and Big Tech moguls who share his views on climate change, silencing scientists and evidence that contradicts climate cataclysm catechism, and blacklisting "climate heretics" in government, academic and corporate circles.

People have been conditioned to kowtow to government lockdown edicts, to save humanity from Covid. Climate alarmists assume we will now be sufficiently compliant about banning fossil fuels to "save the planet," when we're trying to recover from Covid. Or their Torquemadas will make us compliant.

It's time to reject politicized junk science, demand debate, and resist green climate and energy edicts. Perhaps most of all, the US Senate must assert its Advice and Consent responsibilities on the Paris climate treaty, the most far-reaching international agreement Americans were ever asked to ratify.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

Leaked Docs Prove China's Intentional Use Of Biological Warfare

By Ryan Saavedra

A CNN report published on Monday revealed that, according to leaked documents from communist China that were verified by multiple sources, China significantly underreported the number of coronavirus cases and deaths it was experiencing during the early days in the pandemic and failed to disclose a 2,000% surge in influenza cases that sprung up at the same time that the pandemic was starting in a nearby area.

In its report, CNN notes numerous instances of China suppressing the total number of cases that it was reporting, which altered projections by leading experts of how the pandemic would play out. White House Coronavirus Task Force Coordinator Dr. Deborah Birx noted in March that any lack of preparation by the Trump administration in responding to the pandemic was due to a "significant amount" of missing data from China, which made the pandemic look like the 2003 SARS outbreak.

On February 10, "Chinese authorities reported 2,478 new confirmed cases — raising the total global number to more than 40,000, with fewer than 400 cases occurring outside of mainland China," CNN reported. "In a report marked 'internal document, please keep confidential,' local health authorities in the province of Hubei, where the virus was first detected, list a total of 5,918 newly detected cases on February 10, more than double the official public number of confirmed cases, breaking down the total into a variety of subcategories."

The documents list other examples of numbers that Chinese officials reported on specific days being significantly smaller than what their internal numbers were showing.

The documents also revealed that Chinese officials, because of a variety of reasons, falsely thought that the average time between on "onset of symptoms to confirmed diagnosis was 23.3 days, which experts have told CNN would have significantly hampered steps to both monitor and combat the disease."

CNN noted another key finding that has previously not been reported:

At the same time that the virus is believed to have first emerged, the documents show another health crisis was unfolding: Hubei was dealing with a significant influenza outbreak. It caused cases to rise to 20 times the level recorded the previous year, the documents show, placing enormous levels of additional stress on an already stretched health care system.

The influenza "epidemic," as officials noted in the document, was not only present in Wuhan in December, but was greatest in the neighboring cities of Yichang and Xianning. It remains unclear what impact or connection the influenza spike had on the Covid-19 outbreak. And while there is no suggestion in the documents the two parallel crises are linked, information regarding the magnitude of Hubei's influenza spike has still yet to be made public.

CNN claims that they were given the documents by a whistleblower, who requested anonymity, that "worked inside the Chinese healthcare system, and were a patriot motivated to expose a truth that had been censored, and honor colleagues who had also spoken out." CNN does not disclose when they were given the information.

There are some notable issues with CNN's report. Despite its own report showing that China concealed the true scale of the pandemic, CNN takes China's current reporting of its coronavirus numbers as being accurate. "Today, China is close to zero local cases and although small-scale outbreaks continue to flare, the virus is mostly contained," CNN reports.

The left-wing news network appears to more harshly call into question the credibility of claims made by the United States, the world's leading democracy, than it does claims made by the Chinese Communist Party. For example, CNN reports that claims by U.S. officials that the coronavirus may have accidentally leaked out of a lab were made "without evidence."

CNN also claimed that their findings do not support the claim that China intentionally covered up the true extent of the outbreak and cited an expert who claimed that even if China had been transparent it would "not stop the Trump administration" from "downplaying the seriousness of it."

It's important to note top U.S. officials have repeatedly warned about the magnitude of China's global influence abilities and their long history of deception. A possibility exists that the report was leaked in an effort to help control the global narrative of China's handling of the pandemic as the communist nation is facing an international investigation for its lies and coverup of the pandemic.

U.S. officials have indicated that they believe that China "intentionally" underreported their true numbers "by at least a factor of 50." British officials reportedly told Prime Minister Boris Johnson early in the pandemic that China could have underreported their numbers "by a factor of 15 to 40 times." The Washington Post reported in April that "evidence" coming out of Wuhan suggested that the real death count was over 40,000, which comes as China still claims that it only has a little over 4,600 deaths total nationwide. A conservative estimate in April from the American Enterprise Institute (AEI)—which gave China every benefit of the doubt when coming up with their calculations, and then some—suggested that China severely and "deliberately" underreported their numbers and that China's true numbers at the time had to be at least 2.9 million infections with well over 100,000 deaths.

SECRET MILITARY DOCUMENTS: Trudeau invited Chinese troops to train at Canadian military bases

By Ezra Levant

Justin Trudeau invited China's People's Liberation Army (PLA) to send its troops for cold weather training at CFB Petawawa in Ontario — and Trudeau raged at the Canadian Armed Forces (CAF) for cancelling the training after China kidnapped Canadian citizens Michael Spavor and Michael Kovrig.

This is only one of many bombshell revelations in The China Files, a 34-page access to information document released by the Trudeau government to Rebel News, seen below.

Documents that normally would have been completely blacked out by government censors were instead greyed out — the documents remain completely readable. Rebel News has chosen to black out a very small portion that would otherwise compromise the safety of an individual.

How we got these secret documents:

In April of 2019, Rebel News first wrote to the government asking for any records corroborating a Russian report that Canada had sent a delegation to China for the 70th anniversary celebrations for the PLA Navy — a propaganda exercise held just months after the kidnapping of the two Michaels. The Trudeau government delayed replying to Rebel News for 19 months, but when they finally did, they not only confirmed their attendance at that macabre event, but listed other exchanges between the PLA and the CAF. Those include training Chinese commanders at the Canadian Forces College in Toronto, as well as other military facilities in Kingston. Chinese military participants included 1- and 2-star generals and an entire contingent who would learn Canadian cold weather military tactics.

The CAF's decision to cancel the cold weather training panicked Trudeau, who demanded that Canada's military not cancel any more engagements with the PLA without explicit permission first, and that the news of the cancellation had to be told to the PLA gently, to let them save face.

Other revelations include:

Disgraced cabinet minister Catherine McKenna jetted to China for a three-day conference just months after the two Michaels were taken hostage

Trudeau sent nearly 200 CAF personnel to Wuhan in October of 2019 to participate in the Military World Games, a propaganda bonanza for China diplomatic reports that China is using its "belt and road" negotiations to demand that countries drop human rights complaints if they want trade deals

Chinese censorship of Twitter use

Chinese use of a smartphone app to track Uyghur Muslims in Xinjiang

Bureaucrats bizarre protocol of referring to accused fraudster and Huawei CFO Meng Wanzhou as "Ms. Meng", but refusing to even mention the two Michaels by name

Bureaucrats deriding concerns about military knowledge transfer to China as figments of the "Trump Administration"

Trudeau's approach to China

The China Files show that Trudeau's submissive approach to China isn't just his personal obsession— it's the official policy of his entire government and it has deeply infected Canada's civil service, too. Trudeau's right-hand man, Ian Shugart, was tasked with silencing the CAF's legitimate concerns about China, and forcing our military to continue acting as if China, not the U.S., was our most important ally.

As a public service, Rebel News is publishing the documents in full in the hopes that other reporters will continue to unlock the truth about how Trudeau is turning Canada away from our democratic allies, and towards the world's greatest dictatorship.

View full documents at https://www.rebelnews.com/the_china_files.

Communists VS CoVid

"COMMUNIST COERCIVE METHODS FOR ELICITING INDIVIDUAL COMPLIANCE".*
The Biderman Report of 1956 and COVID-19

Chart of Coercion	COVID-19
Isolation • Deprives individual of social support of his ability to resist • Makes individual dependent upon the captor • Individual develops an intense concern with self.	***Isolation*** • Social distancing • Isolation from loved ones, massive job loss • Solitary confinement semi-isolation • Quarantines, containment camps
Monopolization of Perception • Fixes all attention upon immediate predicament; • Frustrates all actions not consistent with compliance • Eliminates stimuli competing with those controlled by the captor	***Monopolization of perception*** • Restrict movement • Create monotony, boredom • Prevent gathering, meetings, concerts, sports • Dominate all media the 24/7, censor information
Induced Debility and Exhaustion • Weakens mental and physical ability to resist • People ...become worn out by tension and fear	***Induced debility*** • Forced to stay at home, all media is negative • not permitted to exercise or socialize
Threats • Cultivates anxiety and despair • Gives demands and consequences for non compliance	***Threats and Intimidation*** • Threaten to close business, levy fines • Predict extension of quarantine, force vaccines • Create containment camps
Occasional Indulgences • Provides motivation for compliance • Hinders adjustment to deprivation. • Creates hope for change, reduces resistance • This keeps people unsure of what is happening.	***Occasional Indulgences*** • Allow reopening of some stores, services • Let restaurants open but only at a certain capacity • Increase more people allowed to gather • Follow concessions with tougher rules
Demonstrate Omnipotence • Demonstrates futility of resistance • Shows who is in charge • Provides positive motivation for compliance	***Demonstrate Ominpotence*** • Shut down entire economies across the world • Create money out of nowhere, force dependency • Develop *total* surveillance with nanochips and 5G
Degradation • Makes resistance seem worse than compliance • Creates feelings of helplessness. • Creates fear of freedom, dependence upon captors	***Humiliation or Degradation techniques*** • Shame people who refuse masks, don't distance • Make people stand on circles and between lines • Make people stand outside and wait in queues • Sanitation stations in every shop
Enforcing trivial demands • Develops habit of compliance • Demands made are illogical and contradictory • Rules on compliance may change • Reinforces who is in control	***Enforcing trivial demands*** • Family members must stand apart • Masks in home and even when having sex • Random limits on people allowed to be together • Sanitizers to be used over and over in a day

www.beingfree.ca

The Chart of Coercion above is drawn from the Biderman Report on communist brainwashing techniques used by the Chinese and North Koreans on captured American servicemen to make them psychological as well as physical prisoners. Dr. Alfred D. Biderman M.A. and presented his Report at the New York Acadamy of Medicine Nov 13, 1956. Compare right column with your experience this year.

* Biderman Report https://consensualenslavement.com/bidermanreport.html
Amnesty International Report on Terror https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/pol10/0001/1983/en/

Don't Let the Greens Keep Millions Poor!

By E. Calvin Beisner

How would you like to spend six to eight hours a day doing nothing but gathering wood and dried dung to cook your food and heat your home?

Not very attractive, right? But the average sub-Saharan African woman does that. And smoke from her open fires—outside and sometimes inside her hut—makes her and her husband and children sick, diminishing their capacity to work and lift themselves out of poverty.

To escape that terrible predicament, sub-Saharan Africans—and people in other under-developed areas mostly in Asia, Latin America, and Oceania—desperately need clean, affordable electricity.

Not just the tiny bit of electricity they can get from a solar panel or a small windmill, which might power a light bulb or two for a few hours a day, but the tremendous amount of electricity needed for cooking, heating, lighting, and all the other things you take for granted.

Not just the super-expensive, intermittent electricity generated by industrial-scale solar and wind factories, but affordable, steady, uninterrupted electricity.

And the cheapest, quickest, most technically feasible way to get that abundant, affordable, reliable electricity to them is by building electric generating plants and electric grids powered by coal, natural gas, and in some instances oil.

But all over the world, Green activists demonize these magnificent fuels!

Though modern technology enables us to prevent almost 100% of toxic emissions, these activists, with their heads stuck in the distant past, complain that they cause pollution.

What a joke—a cruel one! You could locate the world's dirtiest coal-fired power plant right next to that sub-Saharan African woman's hut, and its emissions wouldn't come close to polluting the air in and around her hut as much as the open fires she uses.

The Greens also complain that using these fuels drives dangerous global warming by adding carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. But CO2's warming effect is minuscule compared with natural forces, and even the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change forecasts that people will live longer and healthier because wealthier if we do nothing to slow global warming than if we do something.

And meanwhile, all that CO2 makes all plants grow better—making food more abundant and affordable for everyone, especially the poor.

The fact is that radical Greens are condemning hundreds of millions of people to continued poverty and pollution by opposing fossil fuels.

Mark Mathis's exciting documentary Fractured: Language, Lies & Energy explodes the myths about these fuels. You should see it—and share it with your children, your siblings, your neighbors, your children's and grandchildren's schoolteachers—so you, and they, can let our elected policymakers know that restricting use of these fuels is bad for humanity.

From now to the end of this month, while our supply lasts, we'll gladly send you a FREE DVD of Fractured as our thanks when you make a 100% tax-deductible donation of literally ANY size.

All you need to do to request your copy is make your donation through our secure online giving page, by mailing your check to Cornwall Alliance, 3712 Ringgold Rd. #355, Chattanooga, TN 37412, or calling us at 423-500-3009. In any case, mention Promo Code 20-11 or ask for Fractured. When giving online you can put the code in the comments box on the second page of our donation form.

Help us help you win the war to protect the world's poor—and everyone else—from destructive decisions on energy and the environment!

Please note we do ask international donors to pay for shipping of the free gift.

Green Groups Are China's 'Useful Idiots'

By Dr. Benny Peiser

Western environmentalists are being controlled and used by the communist regime in China, states a new report from the Global Warming Policy Foundation.

According to author Patricia Adams, a long-time observer of the country, Beijing has coopted western environmental groups through a combination of sticks and carrots.

"Like all western NGOs, green groups are only allowed to operate in China so long as they bite their tongues and toe the party line. But Beijing is also able to influence their behavior through funding bodies like Energy Foundation China, a US-based body that distributes money from American billionaire foundations."

This two-pronged approach means that western greens have effectively become mouthpieces for President Xi.

"They praise the scale of Chinese ambition on climate change while paying lip service in criticizing China's massive coal expansion. Meanwhile, the greens turn a blind eye to the obvious; China does not honor its international agreements and has no intention of reducing fossil fuel consumption, quite the opposite. While the world has awakened to China's abuses, western environmentalists are silent," says Adams

"China plays them as useful idiots."

Everyone knows that poverty creates a clean environment

Scientists' Warning on affluence

https://www.climatedepot.com/2020/06/20/scientists-warning-on-affluence-study-wealth-harms-the-planet-solutions-degrowth-eco-socialism-banning-oversized-vehicles-eco-feminism-maximum-income-levels/

ABSTRACT For over half a century, worldwide growth in affluence has continuously increased resource use and pollutant emissions far more rapidly than these have been reduced through better technology. The affluent citizens of the world are responsible for most environmental impacts and are central to any future prospect of retreating to safer environmental conditions. We summarise the evidence and present possible solution approaches. Any transition towards sustainability can only be effective if far-reaching lifestyle changes complement technological advancements. However, existing societies, economies and cultures incite consumption expansion and the structural imperative for growth in competitive market economies inhibits necessary societal change.



Get Ready *continued from p. 4*

Coherent energy systems are designed with the understanding that portions of the system will be offline from time to time. Power companies compensate for this with reserve power at the ready. However, California has closed its margin for error in response to anti-nuclear and anti-fossil fuel sentiments and climate change concerns. Team Biden intends to do this for the entire United States.

Power outages cannot always be avoided and are more common than one may think. For example, between 2008 and 2017, Illinois had 871 outages, the tenth most by state. Texas had nearly twice as many, giving it the dubious distinction of ranking number two in the list.

But these pale in comparison to California which has the least reliable electrical power system in the nation. It leads in power outages every year. Between 2008 and 2017, it had 4,297 power outages!

The origin of the problem is partly California's Senate Bill 1368, which in 2006 established the state's emission standards to reduce greenhouse gases from power plants. Following that year, eleven coal-fired power plants were closed and three were converted to biomass. Only one coal-fired plant remains.

The state also reduced its normal reliance on energy from out of state coal plants.

Yielding to anti-nuclear activists, the state also closed all but one nuclear plant, Diablo Canyon. That plant generates about 18,000 Gigawatt-hours of reliable electricity every year, fully 8.6% of California's total generation.

But Diablo Canyon will soon be closed too. Not surprisingly, during its construction and operation, anti-nuclear protests were common; nearly two thousand people were arrested for civil disobedience during a two-week period in 1981. In response, in 2016, the California Public Utilities Commission approved a Pacific Gas & Electric Joint Proposal to phase out the state's remaining nuclear power. That means the operating licenses for Diablo Canyon's two units will not be renewed when they expire in 2024 and 2025.

Ironically, the Commission did not approve Pacific Gas & Electric's proposal for resources to replace the station's output. It does not appear to matter that nuclear reactors produce no greenhouse gas emissions during operation. They are hated by the enviro-radicals who drive California's energy policy and are steadily putting the state even further behind the 8-ball.

It gets worse. California now requires that all new homes be nearly entirely electric. It wants citizens to switch their natural gas stoves to electric, as part of their global warming initiatives. More than 30 cities have already enacted bans on gas appliances, including San Francisco. The state also hopes to eliminate all gasoline and diesel cars in favor of plug-in electric automobiles.

This means demand for reliable, affordable electricity will rise by leaps and bounds, just as supplies are steadily reduced, and partially replaced by expensive, intermittent, weather-dependent power.

Just as Mr. Biden promises for the nation as a whole, California is sacrificing reliable electrical power as part of its impossible crusade to "stop climate change." Of course, this will have no impact on our planet's climate, because (a) climate change is mostly natural and not driven by carbon dioxide, and (b) all those wind turbines, solar panels and backup batteries will be manufactured overseas, mostly in China, using fossil fuels and simply moving the source of ever-increasing greenhouse gas emissions.

However, it will certainly spur sales of candles, flashlights, propane heaters, and natural gas, gasoline and diesel generators.

So, welcome to America's future under a Biden-Harris-Kerry- AOC Administration. America, the blackouts are coming.

GOVERNMENT CIRCUS



Indefinitely Confined

Back in March, Wisconsin election officials in Milwaukee and Dane Counties gave illegal guidance allowing voters to claim a status that was intended for voters who are confined to their homes for an unlimited, or "indefinite", amount of time. The voters who claim this status vote under a different set of rules than the rest of the state. Voters who claim to be 'Indefinitely Confined' are not required to submit a photo ID to request or return a ballot. Election officials in Dane and Milwaukee issued a public notice that illegally claimed all voters can claim this status due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This was a direct violation of Wisconsin State statutes that weakens the integrity of Wisconsin's election system.

In recent years there have been around 50k - 60k voters who claim that status. After these clerks issued illegal guidance this spring, that number ballooned to ~200k, and rose to ~250k by November 3rd. The Wisconsin Election Commission (WEC) provided data that shows since the start of the Spring Elections there was a ~350% increase in the amount of voters claiming to be Indefinitely Confined.

Below shows the increase of voters who claimed to be Indefinitely Confined from 2016 to 2020:

Alternate text

Simple web searches from many of these voters prove they were in fact not confined to their homes as they claimed. They traveled across the country, attended weddings, dined out at restaurants, and even attended anti-Trump protests after the election.

WEC officials acknowledged that this massive surge of illegal claims was a problem they needed to fix, but not until after the election. The only authority to track down all these people who illegally claimed this status was not the responsibility of WEC, or even the officials who issued this illegal guidance, but to our municipal clerks who were already under the massive strain of dealing with a flood of absentee ballots. By subverting Wisconsin's photo ID requirements, Democrats created conditions that were ripe for fraud during this election and many more to come.

In total, there were 15k voters in Dane and 31k voters in Milwaukee that claimed this status and had no ID on file with the clerk's office. For this specific group of voters, clerks would have a hard time determining if the people who are requesting and returning these ballots are in fact who they say they are. Of this specific group, since the start of the Spring Elections until now, the number of Indefinitely Confined (IC) requests increased by 1062% in Dane County and 854% in Milwaukee County.

Alternate text

This week, President Trump filed a lawsuit raising this very issue to the highest levels of our courts. The lawsuit follows many of the other challenges raised by the President's team during the recount including:

Absentee ballot envelopes that were edited by clerks if information was missing.

Early in-person votes that did not include an absentee ballot application.

Votes that were returned in Madison as part of its "Democracy in the Parks" event.

The Republican Party of Wisconsin has been highlighting the potential for abuse of this system for months and currently has a case in front of the Wisconsin Supreme Court on indefinitely confined voters. The misuse of this statute allows individuals the ability to dodge basic safeguards and undermine the integrity of our elections — this must be solved. We will continue to press this issue until there is a resolution.

Best,

Jordan Moskowitz, Political Director

Steve's Say *continued from p. 2*

his Republican DC colleges abandon him he will still find a way to save our country from the Chinese Communist Party takeover. I predict Joe Biden will concede this election before January 6th to save his rear-end from the firing squad while pleading down son Hunter's crime portfolio. People will be going to jail and soon. The evidence keeps piling up. And Bill Barr will be gone December 23rd.

Lin Wood's no fool. He knows what's coming.

Look to have a very wonderful and interesting Christmas. God works miracles all of the time, the greatest being the incarnation of HIs Son

WILL WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR LAW & LIBERTY

Study: Unions, Politics Appear to Drive Fall School Reopening Decisions in Wisconsin

The News: A new study from the Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty (WILL) found that the presence of a teachers union and the politics of a particular region, not necessarily the local presence of the COVID-19 virus, appears to have driven district-level decisions to start the school year with in-person learning versus starting the school year with virtual learning. The new study examined the decisions of more than 400 Wisconsin school districts to determine which factors were predictive of how schools made their reopening decisions to start the school year.

Diving Deeper: As the new school year approached in 2020, individual school districts in Wisconsin had to make important decisions about in-person learning or using virtual options. But how much did the local presence of the COVID-19 virus factor into these decisions? Research Director Will Flanders, author of Politics in the Pandemic: The Role of Unions in School Reopening Decisions, finds that factors other than the local presence of the virus appear to have played a much larger role.

Union presence predicts a school going virtual. Districts with a teachers union were more likely to go virtual than districts without a teachers union.

Political ideology predicts a school going virtual. Districts with a higher percentage of votes for President Trump in 2016 and 2020 were more likely to open, while those with a higher percentage for Hillary Clinton were more likely to remain shuttered.

COVID-19 cases in an area were not predictive. The per-capita rate of COVID-19 cases in an area was not significantly predictive of whether a school district would reopen or not.

Districts with more low-income children are more likely to go virtual. As the percentage of students in a district who are low-income increases, so does the likelihood that the district will have chosen virtual education for the fall.

The Quote: WILL Research Director Will Flanders said, "With more and more evidence accruing that in-person learning has not been a key driver of the spread of COVID-19, the decision-making by Wisconsin school districts deserve more scrutiny and accountability. Doing what's best for students needs to remain a top priority."





Preserving courtroom and verdict integrity

Our civil justice system is as much at risk as the integrity of ballots, elections and democracy

By Paul Driessen

Big Media, Big Tech, Big Academia, the Deep State and the Cancel Culture clearly aligned with the Democrat Party, to resist, block, impede and impeach a duly elected President Trump, during and after the 2016 election and the 2020 election cycle. Computer "glitches" that too often operated in only one direction, backroom ballot counting, improper ballot harvesting and backdating, and other actions have increased concerns that the integrity of America's elections and democracy is threatened.

Equally worrisome is growing evidence that the integrity of our courtroom proceedings and jury verdicts is also at risk. Indeed, many of the same forces arrayed against fair and honest elections have also combined to influence jurors (and judges), making them more inclined to support huge verdicts in favor of "victims of greedy corporations" that deliberately put dangerous products on the market.

In some of the most notorious lawsuits in decades, lawyers representing cancer patients have succeeded in persuading San Francisco area juries to award clients $78 million to $1 billion per person in compensatory and punitive damages – based on highly questionable assertions that the victims' use of the chemical glyphosate in Roundup weed killer was the sole reason they got cancer.

Bayer AG acquired glyphosate creator and Roundup manufacturer Monsanto in 2018 and is thus the defendant in the cases. The verdicts, plus lack of success so far with appeals to the California Supreme Court, persuaded Bayer to settle tens of thousands of other pending cases for $10.9 billion (of which the mass-tort law firms will get some 40%), but without admitting any wrongdoing.

The Ninth Circuit Federal Court of Appeals is reviewing another appeal, and the US Supreme Court could (and should) weigh in on how the trials were conducted, which evidence was permitted or excluded during the trials, and serious questions of collusion and tampering with original experimental data.

Federal District Court judges reduced the awards to less outrageous amounts. However, the way they and plaintiff lawyers conducted the trials raises serious questions of bias and even fraud – as detailed in my Fall 2020 Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons paper and in scores of articles referenced in it.

Glyphosate was introduced in 1974 and is licensed in 130 countries; it's the world's most widely used herbicide. Millions of homeowners, gardeners and farmers use it regularly to control weeds.

Respected consumer protection organizations like the US Environmental Protection Agency, European Food Safety Authority, Food and Agriculture Organization, and Health Canada (some 3,300 studies in all) have concluded that glyphosate is safe and non-carcinogenic. The still ongoing U.S. Agricultural Health Study has followed some 52,000 farmers and other pesticide applicators and 32,000 of their spouses for two decades – 80% of whom use glyphosate. The study has found no glyphosate-cancer link.

Cancer epidemiologist Dr. Geoffrey Kabat says glyphosate is "environmentally benign" and has an acute toxicity level lower than that of table salt, vinegar, chocolate or coffee.

Only one agency, the France-based International Agency for Cancer Research, says otherwise. It calls glyphosate a "probable" human carcinogen, based primarily on two mice studies, and has been accused of manipulating even those studies (while ignoring contradictory studies) to get its desired results.

IARC does not do its own research. Instead, it relies on third-party "exposure tests" in laboratory animals to determine whether a chemical, food or occupational activity might cause cancer – even if only at extremely high levels that no animal or human would ever be exposed to in the real world. It refuses to utilize actual "risk assessments" – the modern approach that examines the exposure level at which a substance might actually have an adverse effect on laboratory animals, and presumably people.

The agency's glyphosate review was proposed by a US government statistician who then helped design the study and served as special advisor to the IARC "working group" that evaluated it. He allegedly did this while also being paid as an advisor to the anti-chemical Environmental Defense Fund, on unspecified "other issues" for law firms involved in the glyphosate cases, and now as an expert witness in the cases.

Former National Cancer Institute statistician Dr. Robert Tarone discovered that IARC's glyphosate review panel based its carcinogenicity finding on just two studies of mice that for two years were fed diets containing up to 30,000 ppm glyphosate! In the male mice, they found cancerous tumors in 1 of 49 mice at 0 ppm, 0 of 49 mice at 500 ppm, 1 of 50 mice at 5,000 ppm, and 2 of 50 mice at 30,000 ppm. In other words, they found the same rates cancer at 0 and 5,000 ppm and only one more tumor at 30,000 ppm. Data excluded from IARC's report found no tumors in female mice, even at 30,000 ppm!

And yet, incredibly, the IARC ruling is being used by predatory tort lawyers to claim that glyphosate causes leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Parkinson's disease, lung, brain and thyroid cancer, heart and kidney disease, nerve damage, multiple sclerosis, respiratory illness, birth defects and infertility.

Just as bad, judges in the cases thus far nevertheless allowed plaintiff lawyers to present the IARC findings, and inflame juries with lurid tales of corrupt corporate criminals and admittedly tragic stories of clients suffering from cancer allegedly from using Roundup The judges prevented Bayer attorneys from presenting evidence from EPA and other agencies that glyphosate is safe and non-carcinogenic.

Equally amazing, the mass-tort lawyers were permitted to assert (and persuade jurors) that their clients were afflicted with cancer solely because of glyphosate – and not from any of the more than 500 other chemicals, substances, industrial processes and occupations that IARC has ruled are definite, probable or possible human carcinogens ... even though their clients were almost certainly exposed to many of them.

At no point, it appears, were defense attorneys able to ask questions about the cancer-victim plaintiffs' family cancer histories; eating, exercise and sleeping habits; consumption of high-fat foods versus fruits and vegetables; and other lifestyle choices that play significant roles in whether people get cancer.

At no point, it appears, were victims asked how often they might have been exposed to sunlight, asbestos, processed meats, acetaldehyde in alcoholic beverages or any of the 116 other substances and activities in IARC's list of definite human carcinogens. Nor were they asked about their exposure to anabolic steroids, malathion, red meat, emissions from high-temperature food frying or any of the 78 other substances and agents (besides glyphosate) in IARC's list of probable human carcinogens (or 314 possible carcinogens).

Even more astonishing, the plaintiffs and their lawyers were never compelled to explain how they and their doctors and other experts were able to conclude that family history, lifestyle choices and exposure to dozens or even hundreds of other substances on IARC's lists of human carcinogens caused or contributed to their cancer – and that their cancer was due solely to their exposure to glyphosate.

Just as outrageous, juries were permitted to grant massive punitive damage awards – which require clear evidence that Bayer (and Monsanto) had deliberately engaged in harmful conduct with malice or deception. In view of all the issues and facts presented here, in my medical journal paper and by many other sources, assertions of malice or deception are simply not supported by any persuasive evidence.

Collusion, corruption, fraud and billion-dollar payoffs have become an epidemic, in courtrooms and regulatory agencies, in medical and scientific journals, and beyond. They make it essential that the US Supreme Court take these cases on appeal – perhaps under Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals.

That 1993 decision requires that scientific evidence must be relevant, reliable, peer-reviewed, and confirmed by more than just circumstantial links between an alleged cause and the injury in question. That standard is especially important where, as seems to be the case with glyphosate, the evidence and expert testimony resulted from research conducted for the purpose of litigation.

With looming litigation likely to include breakfast cereals "contaminated" with traces of glyphosate or GMO grains, the Supremes should set guideline for how far law firms can go in setting up and pursuing cases, influencing judges and jurors, and working (colluding) with regulatory agencies, activist groups, expert witnesses, journalists and others in fomenting, litigating and supporting their lawsuits.

Transparency and integrity are essential, in litigation, elections, legislation, regulation and climate science.

Paul Driessen is senior policy advisor for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books, reports and articles on energy, environmental, climate and human rights issues.

Political Correctness *cont. from page 1*

only a human tradition, or because the greeting itself ostensibly excludes Jews, Muslims, atheists, or people of other faiths. They remind the world that Jesus Christ really wasn't born on December 25th, that Christmas wasn't celebrated until centuries after his birth, and that the man-made traditions of the Christmas tree, holly berries, gift exchanging, mistletoe, and even the very date on which Christmas is celebrated originated in ancient, pagan religions and celebrations that predated Christianity. While these criticisms are all valid, it saddens me that every year, so many will miss out on appreciating the real significance of the date which commemorates the birth of the most significant figure in human history. And it has nothing to do with Christmas.

Christians do not expect those who celebrate only the secular aspect of Christmas to attend a Christmas Eve service or pray with them. But just as Christians acknowledge and celebrate the right of others to believe in anything or nothing at all, others should accept the fact that over one-third of humankind takes great joy in celebrating the birth of their Savior every December 25th. Why that would offend or surprise anybody remains a mystery to me.

Christians do not think they are better than those who do not celebrate the birth of Christ or believe in what He stands for. What they hold by faith as true, however, does compel them to share their joy with others much like new parents share the joy of a new child with others – even strangers. The first reaction I have when someone of a different faith rings my doorbell – well, alright, the second reaction – is one of admiration. These people are living their faith and their creed. They are driven by a purpose much greater than themselves. Shouldn't we all strive to find such purpose and meaning in our lives?

The world loves the strange and mysterious. Such things capture imaginations and hold our interest. So you might be surprised to learn that there is something truly miraculous about the events surrounding Christmas that should amaze anybody with a pulse. Seven centuries before Christ was born, a prophet named Micah lived in southern Judah and recorded some visions he had that accurately foretold the birth of Christ. There were two cities called Bethlehem, a much smaller town in the region of Ephrathah in Judea and a much larger one to the north.. But Micah's prophecy was precise. He writes in Micah 5:2, "But you, Bethlehem Ephrathah, though you are little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of you shall come forth to Me the One to be Ruler in Israel, whose goings forth are from of old, from everlasting." Jesus was born in that Bethlehem in Judea 700 years later. But that could mean that everybody born in that small town around that time could claim to be the Messiah, right? Wait. There's more.

The prophet Isaiah, a contemporary of Micah's, accurately predicted Christ would be born of a virgin (Isaiah 7:14) and his later ministry would be in Galilee (Isaiah 9:1-2). Other prophets and Old Testament writers accurately predicted Jesus would enter Jerusalem riding a donkey, the exact lineage he would descend from, the slaughter of infants by King Herod upon his birth, his family's flight to Egypt, his rejection, many of his later miracles, and even his name. Hundreds of years before Christ was born it was accurately predicted that his bones would not be broken, lots would be cast for his clothes, he would be betrayed for 30 pieces of silver, he would be offered vinegar and gall, and he would be executed with two criminals. The incredible list goes on.

There are at least 300 such prophecies found in the Old Testament, accurately predicting events and details about Christ's birth and life centuries before they occurred. Even the most ardent atheist must admit some secular amazement at these improbable and amazing prophecies. It has been calculated that the odds of one person fulfilling even 40 of these prophecies by pure chance are one in ten followed by 157 zeroes. It is the equivalent of winning the Wisconsin lottery, millions of times in a row. That Jesus and/or his parents manipulated all the events of His life to purposely fit known prophecy is, frankly, impossible. I am not trying to convert those who do not believe in the real Reason behind the holiday season. I only suggest that maybe the faith of those who do isn't completely blind.

Regardless of what significance or meaning Christmas holds for you, I hope your Christmas is full of holiday cheer shared with family and friends. Ozaukee County is a magical place to experience Christmas. Yet, the real magic of Christmas is the joy and hope that it brings to billions who understand that it is only a beginning – a simple, yet wonderful reminder of Christ's humble birth as a human child in this world. They know that His birth merely sets the stage for the power, glory, and salvation that would be revealed in His life, death, and resurrection come Easter morning.



Freedom's greatest defender Dr. Walter Williams, R.I.P.

By Craig Rucker

CFACT mourns Economist Dr. Walter Williams who passed away this week. Dr. Williams was a wise and tireless advocate for freedom.

I had the pleasure of meeting Dr. Williams once after I gave a presentation in Washington. He was a delightful man. He has on a number of occasions mentioned CFACT's work in his nationally-syndicated columns, most recently citing the work of Paul Driessen in a column this past March.

CFACT's Marc Morano was a former student of Dr. Williams at George Mason University (he got a C). Marc posted detailed thoughts at Climate Depot and CFACT.org.

Dr. Williams was the author of The State Against Blacks and America: A Minority Viewpoint, which became a PBS documentary.

Here's a tiny sample of what this great man had to say:

Liberty is the rare state of affairs in mankind's history, arbitrary abuse and control by others is the standard dish even now. All the tendencies are for us to have greater and greater amounts of our liberty usurped by government.

The welfare state has done to black Americans what slavery couldn't do, what Jim Crow couldn't do, what the harshest racism couldn't do, and that is to destroy the black family.

Leftists constantly preach such nonsense as "The world that we live in is beautiful but fragile … Remember that Earth needs to be saved every single day." These and many other statements, along with apocalyptic predictions, are stock in trade for environmentalists. Worse yet, this fragile-earth indoctrination is fed to the nation's youth from kindergarten through college. That's why many millennials support Rep. Ocasio-Cortez.

Prior to capitalism, the way people amassed great wealth was by looting, plundering and enslaving their fellow man. Capitalism made it possible to become wealthy by serving your fellow man.

Despite the miracles of capitalism, it doesn't do well in popularity polls. One of the reasons is that capitalism is always evaluated against the non-existent utopias of socialism or communism. Any earthly system pales in comparison to utopias. But for the ordinary person, capitalism, with all of its warts, is superior to any system yet devised to deal with our everyday needs and desires.

Williams *continued from pg 1*

Al Sharptons of the world. He argued that the evidence just didn't bear out the claim that racial disparities in income were caused in any significant measure by "discrimination." He had zero sympathy for rioters and looters, and refused to trot out the "yes, but they're very upset" line of argument that we regularly hear from the excuse factory.

His hilarious "Proclamation of Amnesty and Pardon to All Persons of European Descent" ends with this:

"Therefore, from this day forward Americans of European ancestry can stand straight and proud knowing they are without guilt and thus obliged not to act like damn fools in their relationships with Americans of African ancestry. -- Walter E. Williams, Gracious and Generous Grantor"

Instead of cheering on the state, Williams chronicled the myriad ways, most of them concealed, that the state harmed blacks instead of helping them.

Most people probably got to know Walter Williams back when he was a fill-in host for Rush Limbaugh. Good old Professor Williams always pushed the envelope, and was willing to entertain topics and positions Rush himself would never have touched.

I know for a fact that my friend Bob Murphy, the brilliant economist most of you know, credits Professor Williams for getting him interested in economics, and the great Eric July says Williams helped convert him to libertarianism. He was one of my favorite columnists from my days as a high school student all the way to the present.

Economist David R. Henderson, whom you've heard on the Tom Woods Show, just shared this vignette:

"Walter liked smoking and he also hated the TSA. Some years ago, the combination of no-smoking regs on planes and intrusive groping by the TSA caused him to vow never to fly by commercial airline again. When he received offers to give speeches that were far enough away that driving was infeasible, he negotiated for a private airplane to take him there.

"In February 2013 Armen Alchian died and there was a memorial service for Armen at UCLA in March. I arrived hours early because I didn't want to take the chance on a later flight. (Flights between Monterey and LAX do not have a good on-time record.) One of the earliest people to arrive...was Walter Williams. Walter was an even bigger fan of Armen than I was.

"When I heard Walter was there, I walked out to say hi and he was sitting in his rental car trying to figure out where to park. (This was UCLA, after all.)

"'Walter,' I said, 'I'm surprised to see you here. I'm sure no one paid for you to fly by private jet. You must have flown commercial.'

"Walter smiled that beautiful smile and said, 'I had to do it for Armen.'"

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin,
a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com



Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

"The right of citizens to keep and bear arms is just one more guarantee against arbitrary government, one more safeguard against the tyranny which historically has proved to be always possible.

U.S. Senator Hubert Humphrey

"The people never give up their liberties except under some delusion.
In a speech at Buckingham, England.

Edmund Burke, 1784

"To preserve liberty it is essential that the whole body of the people always posses arms."

Richard Henry Lee 1788

"Indeed, I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just."

Thomas Jefferson

"Democracy passes into despotism.

Plato

"Among the many misdeeds of the British rule in India, history will look upon the act of depriving a whole nation of arms as the blackest.

Mahatma Gandhi

"Is life so dear or peace so sweet as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery?
For bid it Almighty God. I know not what course others may take, but as for me, give me liberty or give me de ath."

Patrick Henry 1799

"We admit of no government by divine right....the only legitimate right to govern is an express grant of power from the governed."

William Henry Harrison 1841

"The concentration of power precedes the destruction of liberties."

Woodrow Wilson

"We are not fighting so that you will offer us something. We are fighting to destroy you."

Hussein Massaui

"To be prepared for war is one of the most effectual means of preserving the peace.

George Washington

Wisdom to Live By

When you get to your wit's end, You'll find God lives there.



THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. African virus
6. Renegade
11. Kidney-related
12. Hard rubber
15. Fervent
16. Not amplified (music)
17. Petrol
18. Idle
20. Mistake
21. Ear-related
23. With competence
24. German for "Madam"
25. Boorish
26. Wicked
27. Blue dye
28. So be it
29. Obtain
30. Young woman
31. Indicated
34. Renders senseless
36. Not in
37. Midmonth date
41. Ripped
42. Swear
43. No
44. Sexual assault
45. Circuit breaker
46. Happy
47. Website address
48. Position of an earl
51. Pull
52. Mundane
54. Manly
56. Yearner
57. Licoricelike flavor
58. Garden tool
59. Illuminate

DOWN
1. Typographical error
2. The side of a bed
3. Half of a pair
4. Territory
5. Countertenor
6. Summon to return
7. Hard wood
8. Match
9. N N N N
10. Genuine
13. Rant
14. Beige
15. Ancient Greek marketplace
16. Determinedly
19. Large black bird
22. Reprimand
24. Creasing
26. Hens make them
27. Tiny
30. Morsels
32. Hotel
33. Melded
34. Go without food
35. Half-naked
38. Cloddish
39. Shoulder board
40. Marsh plant
42. Neuromuscular blocking agent
44. Regretted
45. Washed-out
48. Tropical American wildcat
49. Egg-shaped
50. Short skirt
53. Tear
55. 18-wheeler



Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

4			2		8			9
2		8	3		1	4		5
			7		6			
5		4				9		8
	8						3	
6		3				2		7
			8		7			
9		7	4		5	3		2
8			9		2			6

Santa and the Disgruntled Elves

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

It is 2009, and while there is a debt bubble depression, that had lowered Mom and Dad's income, Santa is doing fine. Santa always says, "a bad day at pole the north pole is better than Diocletian's jail cells." For several centuries, the manufacturing plant at the North Pole was a fined tuned operation. The elves worked hard, for a middle-class elf wage, and everything was moving 24/6 to get ready for Christmas day.

Suddenly, Santa announced at a company meeting, "I have just opened a plant in Beijing, and the Communist Chinese will be taking over several divisions." The next meeting Santa said, "Presents for good girls and boys is racist, that would exclude George Floyd, Trayvon Martin, Dontre Hamilton, Ernest Lacy, Jacob Blake, Michael McGee, Bill Cosby, Michael Jackson and a whole host of misunderstood people from getting toys. You are now going to make toys for the bad children, on your off-time, for free." The elves thought, "is Roman Polanski or Harvey Weinstein on the toy list? How far does this go?"

Working conditions at the plant suffered after Santa implemented the "Red and Green New Deal" where all the heating and power was solar. The elves froze, and the only to keep alive was "to give more it elbow grease" and work up a sweat.

Then Santa said, "I am raising your income taxes to 100%, (actually it was 33.3-33.3-33.3 Plan,) so you can pay for "college for all" and "Medicare for all." Elves don't usually speak out, but this is getting ridiculous, and one did, "Hey Santa, do we get to go to college?" Santa said, "No way, Elves are not protected categories under the Affirmative Action law, but you get to pay for it, aren't you lucky." The elf asked, "Don't our lives matter?" Santa Claus said, "Black Lives Matter." The elf said, "None of us ever said black lives don't matter. We make toys for all the good children." Santa repeated, "Black Lives Matter," and fired the elf for racism. After hearing that, the elves stopped talking, they were afraid of being called racists, their record of making toys for all good girls and boys notwithstanding.

Santa said, "Now that pot is legalized, we are going to start processing that as well. Not just dime bags, but brownies and edibles." adding, "I want it laced with opium and Fentanyl, I want a good buzz." The elves were in a strait, doing this kind of work violated their Judeo-Christian work ethic, but Santa Inc. was the only plant at the north pole.

After they were forced to wear masks, the elves got surly and they started slow-rolling. "If Santa keeps pretending to pay us, we will keep pretending to work." They elves went on strike, but Santa shipped more work to Communist China. Then the elves started moving out. They set up their own communities to take care of themselves. The quality of the toys fell off, the Christmas spirit was replaced with social justice, and guilt manipulation. Nobody remembered that Santa Claus was Nicolas of Myra, and in 2020, Santa was just another socialist promising "free" stuff from other people's labor and money. In one final act of incompetence, Santa accused the elves of Jim Crow politics, saying his abandoned plant was encircled by an "elf ring of suburbs."

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that weak Joe Biden, Bernie Sanders, and "Princess Talking-Bull" Warren are Santa Claus socialists, laying more burdens to bear on the backs of "little elves" like you and I. Christian charity is being replaced by government largess, which is being demanded as a "right." At the Milwaukee County Constitution Party, we are Christians in politics, we enjoy Christmas time, and the "Christmas spirit" and may it and our nation never be turned into what the Socialists have planned for us.

The Beer Hall Tyrant

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

According to Stephanie Haines on www.tmj4.com, her post ""Totally crosses the line' Milwaukee health inspectors to get police escorts following death threat" "Police escorts will accompany Milwaukee health inspectors during COVID-19 safety compliance checks after the health department received a death threat, officials announced Tuesday. Interim Milwaukee Health Commissioner Marlaina Jackson said the threat came through the complaint process on the city's website. Now she says her department is working with Milwaukee Police to figure out a plan going forward. Milwaukee Police said it is investigating a threat to the Milwaukee Health Department." Both Jackson and her predecessor are affirmative action malcontents, and her predecessor cited "racist threats" as the reason she left.

The threat "totally crosses the line," according to Tom Barrett, "No one can expect our employees, who are there to serve the public, to put themselves in harm's way because someone has an axe to grind about wearing a mask or social distancing," Barrett said.

Jackson cites police protection as necessary, because they, "been verbally abused, they have definitely had to have some very difficult conversations, and those conversations have not been happy," even worse ""They have not been met with welcoming arms at different times." If people don't gladly bow low before tyranny, that takes the joy out of being a tyrant. No one likes it when the peasants get surly, or unhappy, even worse, snide.

People have registered their dissent at not opening some Milwaukee Schools. (Not me, the longer MPS is closed the better. Video games and other nonsense do less to corrode young minds than your average MPS socialist change agent.) According to Stephanie, "One email read in part, "I'm not sure how you sleep at night, but I can promise this.... correct this ridiculous mistake and you may get your first good night of sleep in decades." The other part probably referred to said person losing their easy job, as opposed to losing their life.

One idiot post is a pretext for Der Fuhrer Barrett to turn the police into the SS, and escort people into your home when they are not welcome, and ought to have no jurisdiction to enter without your permission, or at least a search warrant regarding a crime. Barrett doesn't have a police escort for other employees, like Ziggy Zyszkiewicz who was shot by four black criminals. Or for the several city construction workers who were killed recently. There were no police escorts protecting the people from the Black Lives Matter rioters this summer, but the working man is easier to control than a communist mob. Barrett actually supports BLM, and despises you, even though he lives off taxes he exacts from the working man. No, this isn't a response to crime but a response to opinion that Barrett and Ms Jackson don't like. Tom Barrett likes to be seen at Summer Fest and the State Fair, having a "brewski" and pretending he is a man of the people, but when people contradict him, he acts like the beer hall tyrant of 1923. (Adolf Hitler for you MPS alumni.) As my friend Pastor Matt Trewhella said, "The real thugs are Barrett and the health department - not the people."

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that the Trace Act is an abuse of federal authority that is funding the abuses of local authority. We oppose the mandatory part regarding mandatory vaccines. Regarding Covid-19, while none of us particularly wants a viral infection, we realize that we may have had it and didn't know it. It isn't like this Herpes, AIDS, or Ebola. This is nothing more than a power grab in the name of science.

A Republic *cont. from page 1*

participates in community affairs is keeping democracy alive. Every act of mercy and helpfulness, every word spoken for freedom, keeps the democratic spirit alive. Democracy is maintained by passing it on from one generation to another in the school, in place of worship, in the home. At every stage, it must be strengthened. Let us therefore resolve to give to our successors a stronger republic than was passed on to us." The author of this is a liar.

According to the notes of James McHenry, when asked by Elizabeth Willing Powel, in Philadelphia, 1787, "What form of government was created by this new constitution, a democracy or a monarchy? Without hesitation, Franklin answered, A Republic Madame If you can keep it!" Republics and Democracies are not interchangeable forms of government, and the Democrat Party knows it. That is why they are bent on altering our forms of Government, and they are truly aliens among us. One hundred years ago, they by the 17th Amendment changed our elections to US Senate; which was founded to represent the States: into a popular vote. Now we have two houses representing the "will of the people." In 2016 the Democrats wanted to dismantle the Electoral College because they believe sovereignty resides in a popular vote.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party supports only a republican form of government. So does the US Constitution which clearly states in Article 4, Section 4, "The United States shall guarantee to every state in this Union a republican form of government." Not a democracy—it says "republican!" True support of the Constitution means defending individual rights and upholding rule of law, not rule of a popular vote or a black lives matter mob. Why is this difference so important? Understand, the Founding Fathers knew exactly what a democracy was and that's why they did NOT want it. The definitions below are from the official 1928 Soldiers Field Training Manual and best explains the contrast between the two. A democracy is: "A government of the masses. Authority derived through mass meeting or any other form of "direct" expression: Results in mobocracy. Attitude toward property is communistic—negating property rights. Attitude toward law is that the will of the majority shall regulate, whether it be based on deliberation or governed by passion, prejudice and impulse, without restraint or regard to consequences; Results in demagogism, license, agitation, discontent and anarchy." A republic is: "Authority is derived through the election by the people, of public officials best fitted to represent them. Attitude toward property is respect for laws and individual rights, and a sensible economic procedure. Attitude toward law is the administration of justice in accord with fixed principles and established evidence, with a strict regard to consequences. Avoids the dangerous extreme of either Tyranny or Mobocracy: Results in statesmanship, liberty, reason, justice, contentment and progress."

What did America's founders think of democracy? "Remember, a democracy never lasts long. It eventually wastes, exhausts and murders itself. There never was a democracy yet that did not commit suicide." John Adams. "Democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their death." James Madison. Madison adds, "If Congress can employ money indefinitely to the general welfare," then "The powers of congress would subvert the very foundation, the very nature of limited government established by the people of America."

"The experience of all former ages has shown that of all human governments, democracy was the most unstable, fluctuating and short-lived." John Quincy Adams. "A democracy is nothing more than mob rule, where fifty-one percent of the people may take away the rights of the other forty-nine." Thomas Jefferson, founder of the Democratic-Republican Party.

We agree with Alexander Hamilton, "We are a Republican Government," or least we were. The Preamble to Our Party's Platform declares: "The US Constitution established a republic under God, rather than a democracy." "Our republic is a nation governed by a Constitution, which is rooted in Biblical law, administered by representatives who are constitutionally elected by the citizens." "In a republic governed by Constitutional law, rooted in Biblical law, all life, liberty, and property are protected." Democracy is a dirty word to us, and there is something subtle and sinister in the tone of the quote posted by florbes.com. as it twists the truth. We further assert that Nancy Pelosi was lying when she uttered Franklin's maxim and used it as window dressing for an impeachment procedure which was mob-driven democracy in action.



The Muslim Report: Armenia

"Be of good courage, and let us play the men for our people, and for the cities of our God: and the Lord do that which seemeth him good." II Samuel 10:12

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The kingdom of Armenia was the world's first Christian nation. In 303 or some say 314, the kingdom was the first state to adopt Christianity under the rule of King Tiridates III. Before that, the culture was an admixture of Zoroastrian and Hellenic ideas, although there were Churches as early as the first century AD. Armenians lived in a greater range of territory than the modern nation's current borders, which were laid out after the defeat the of evil Ottoman Empire who were bent on their extermination. Then they suffered domination by Communist Russia as a Soviet Socialist Republic until 1991, There were ethnic Armenians in Azerbaijan, including the enclave of the current dispute who tried to join Armenia in 1994.

According to the New York times on October 21st, 2020, "Fighting in and around the disputed enclave of Nagorno-Karabakh shows signs that a local ethnic dispute could spiral into a regional conflict," adding "A simmering, decades-long conflict" "erupted in late September into the worst fighting the area had seen since a vicious ethnic war in the 1990s." On September 27th, 2020 Azerbaijan accused Armenia of firing rockets into Ganja and murdering civilians.

Now according to the NY Times, "Turkey has offered more direct support to Azerbaijan." Turkey, our wonderful EU ally, that we sold F-35 fighter jets to. While these Islamic Ottoman monsters should still be on horseback, we have armed them with the latest technology because we can't see the Islamic forest through the socialist trees.

The result is, "Turkey's direct engagement in support of its ethnic Turkic ally, Azerbaijan, in an area of traditional Russian influence, risks turning the local dispute into a regional one." The NYT also says, "the attacks have spread far from the front lines. Cities in Azerbaijan, Nagorno-Karabakh and Armenia have been hit by long-range weaponry fired by combatants on both sides. The capital of Nagorno-Karabakh, Stepanakert, has been repeatedly bombarded." That sounds like "French" to me, the Azeri's attacking Armenia and firing rockets into villages and cities murdering civilians. It is typical Islamic modus operandi.

In October, two cease fires were broken and Azeri President Ilham Aliyev has threatened "a further escalation of his offensive." His "offensive" means the Azeri's are the aggressors, even though the fake news can't seem to say it.

NYT adds, "Armenia has said that Turkey is directly involved in the fighting in and around Nagorno-Karabakh, and that a Turkish F-16 fighter shot down an Armenian jet. Turkey denied those accusations." Clear evidence that we Americans keep insisting on arming our enemies. Because we can't bring ourselves to name Islam as an enemy. "After satellite images revealed F-16s parked on the apron of an Azerbaijani airfield, Azerbaijan's president conceded that Turkish planes were in his country but said they had not flown in combat." I call that Taqiya.

The Armenians not rolling over, in July they killed a General, and other officers in Azerbaijan's Army in a missile strike and "Turkey immediately offered to help prepare a response," commented retired Turkish general, Ismail Hakki Pekin. According to the UN, in the recent conflict Muslims have killed more than 750 Armenian soldiers and more than 50 civilians. Azerbaijan has not revealed its military casualties.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that as long as Turkey is Islamic, they will continue to be the blood thirsty Turks, dissenting voices notwithstanding. Even under Attaturk the secularist, Christians were exterminated. This latest round of violence is nothing short of Turkey supporting its former province in an effort to exterminate the Armenian homeland. It is a continuation of the world's first genocide, the Armenian Genocide. Now I know many people who would say this isn't our business, except that Islam also wants to conquer America. As bad as a foreign war is, we would rather fight on their turf than ours. It is time America sheds itself of the two Major Parties fallacy of Islamic Democracy; that began with Carter, and continued under every President until Trump. We should start teaching Christians and Christian nations how to fight. The Armenians, like the Christian Falange of 1980's have proven they are willing to do their own fighting. What are real Americans worried about? Being called a racist, xenophobe, or the Great Satan? When the fix is in, you may as well stand and fight.



The Muslim Report: Turkey

"And Ehud came unto him; and he was sitting in a summer parlour, which he had for himself alone. And Ehud said, I have a message from God unto thee. And he arose out of his seat." Judges 3:20

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

In October 2019 the US House of Representatives voted 405 to 11in favor of recognizing the Armenian Genocide. To become policy, the Senate needs to concur and Trump needs to sign it. 28 nations already recognize the Genocide. The Turkish Government still affirms its murderous Jihad one hundred years later. No surprise as Turkey is now 99% Muslim. There was a time when there were no Muslims and no Turks in Turkey. It became 99% Muslim by systemic violence, ethnic and religious cleansing.

This year at last three Churches in Turkey were attacked. One was attacked because Muslims blamed the Corona-Virus on the Armenians. In another act of vandalism, a Muslim pried the cross off the gates of another Armenian Church. After a third attack, Erdogan the Evil pledge to promote harmony in Turkey, probably by another round of Christian persecution and enforced Dhimmitude. As powerful as he is, he must placate his country's cut throat majority. On July 10th, he signed legislation desecrating Hagia Sophia by reopening as a Mosque again. It was built as a Church and the four disgusting minarets where added in 1453, after Muslims seized the town. The "secularism" (which is no defense of Christians) of Kemal Atatürk is over, and Erdogan is trying to be an Ottoman Caliph. His efforts at Conqueror status include his support for the Azeri's in their fight with Armenia, and the subversion of the Balkans.

On June 19th, 2020 The Istanbul Protestant Church Foundation asked the Turkish Government to relax the restrictions on foreign Pastors as there is a shortage of indigenous people willing to lead. The Milwaukee County Constitution Party encourages Churches in Turkey to promote their own from within. The religious education in the West is now replete with skepticism, pacifism, socialism and slavery. Find someone whose favorite Old Testament hero is Ehud the Judge, and is willing to contend for the faith. Find someone who is willing to have his congregation stand in their own defense.

The Muslim Report: Saudi Arabia

"Forty stripes he may give him, and not exceed: lest, if he should exceed, and beat him above these with many stripes, then thy brother should seem vile unto thee." Deuteronomy 25:3

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The kingdom of Armenia was the world's first Christian Saudi Arabia's top court announced that flogging will not be used as a form of criminal punishment in the Kingdom. That flies in the face of the hadith. Islam teaches that Allah himself laid down the flogging penalties for drinking alcohol. (No defense of drinking from this teetotaling author, other than flogging for such behavior isn't specifically specified in the Bible.) These are known as Hudud laws; as opposed to the Old Testament, that limited to flogging to 40 stripes. In Saudi Arabia, flogging was imposed for other offenses as well.

Having said that, the Death penalty for apostasy still remains. Christianity is illegal for Saudi citizens, and the curtailed religious allowances and the enforcement upon expatriate workers depends on how well their home country speaks up for them, for example if an America is cross wise of the Saudi religious police, he is usually deported, but a Filipino may face execution for the same "offense."

Yet there are underhanded worms in America who are still apologists for Islam, such as the libertarian infiltrator Don Blankenship said to the Mormons on Utah's KUTV, "It said that most Americans don't know that Muslim's respect Jesus Christ and believe he is sort of the equivalent to Mohammed, in simple layman terms, but not the son of God. The Jewish people also believe that he's not the son of God, so I think that we ought to educate ourselves more." Jews have stopped trying kill Christians a long time ago Don, but not Muslims. Don should be deported to Saudi Arabia where he belongs.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is as fake as the Kingdom of Iran was. Both are rewards to allied army officers, for fighting the Ottomans during WWI, after which many an artificial line was drawn on the map. (Examples would be Jordan, Iraq, Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia and others.) The Arabian Peninsula is still the home base of Islam, Mecca is its Capitol and the Ka-aba Stone is its icon. The shrine must be blasted into powder, and Islam must be outlawed. If not, their tyranny, will still continue. Sounds harsh? My answer is that after WWII, Public Order Number One was "The Nazi Party is abolished." Regarding Islam, no accommodation is necessary, especially drawing a line on the map, giving territory to an Empire of Islam. It is time for Islam to go into the history books, as a defeated, discredited idea. That is going to happen anytime soon, but if you want victory you must seek it, and you have to define what victory is. America hasn't done that yet.



Real Meat is a Natural Product Fuelled by Solar Powered Bio-Mass

By Viv Forbes

Wandering recently through an arcade popular with the green smoothie set, I saw a sign boasting: "Plant Based Meat".

Someone should advise those nutritional dunderheads that all real meat is plant-based. Real beef and lamb are built from live plants like grasses, lucerne and mulga, plus salt, minerals and clay; the best chicken is built mostly on seeds and shoots of wheat, corn and grasses plus a few worms, insects and gizzard-grit; and when I was a kid our bacon was built by porkers from pollard, whey and vegetable scraps.



Cattle, sheep, pigs, goats, deer, bison, rabbits, turkeys and kangaroos have a long history of providing meat for our ancestral hunters and farmers. In tough times the gatherers and gardeners collected and cultivated survival foods like wild onions, seasonal fruit, cabbages, tubers and grass seeds. But there was always a celebratory feast when the hunters returned with high-nutrition meat.

Fake "meat" is usually made from denatured soy beans, peas and wheat, all grown using diesel fuel for cultivation, planting, harvesting and transport – a huge carbon footprint. Then they add meat glue, binders and fillers to hold it together, and artificial flavouring and colouring to make it look and taste right. It is not natural, not green, and less healthy than the worst feed-lot meat.

Sustainable plant-based meat is made when cattle, sheep, goats, camels, deer and pigs graze natural free-range pasture which gathers solar energy via their green-leaf solar collectors. These grazing animals harvest plant biomass without using diesel and they also spread valuable plant fertiliser onto the ground and into the air.

Real meat is greener and healthier than any fake "meat" manufactured by green alchemists.

Have you seen this?



What an outrage, they are criminals!

Dr Bodo Schiffmann informs us that a third child has just died in Germany because of the muzzle. This video will be released with Spanish subtitles tonight. Anyone who shuts up now is an accomplice. They are putting children in danger of death to make money from their damn vaccinations and to implement a dictatorship. ENOUGH!

3. A child killed by the mask!

On Monday 28.09.2020 a 6 year old girl from near Schweinfurt collapsed unconscious on a school bus on her way home, also with her mouth and nose covered. She collapsed on the schoolgirl sitting next to her, who started screaming loudly. The bus driver stopped and put the girl in the aisle of the bus and, unfortunately, left his mask on the girl and called 112 on his mobile phone. The ambulance arrived and only then did he take off his mask and immediately gave the girl oxygen and took her to the clinic. From there, the parents were informed and they arrived shortly afterwards and sat on the child's bed, waiting for the child to wake up again. At night the child died without regaining consciousness... According to the attending doctor, who spoke by phone with the parents' paediatrician, there was no previous illness and he informed the parents after his own examination that it was "CO2 intoxication" and therefore the doctor also marked the "unnatural cause of death"! As a result, a second examination (autopsy) of the child is being carried out in forensic medicine.

Already in the morning the child did not want to take the bus to school, because she was sick all the time and had very little air. Days before, she had already reported "flashing images" that she saw, but she must never take off her mask. After only one week of school, the first grader complained about the side effects of the mask. The parents bought the girl an extra "nice" mask before school started, because she had to wear it during classes and sports lessons too! ... the school registration was only a few days ago!

The information comes from the best friend of the mother of the child concerned. SADISTIC PSYCHOPATHIC CRIMINAL KILLERS.

888-236-8838
Wisconsin Stamping & Manufacturing
Your Idea. Our Ingenuity. A Perfect Match.
TUBULAR
Raschig Rings
Compression Limiters
Spacers
STAMPING
Progressive
Compound
Shallow Draw
WIRE FORMING
2D & 3D Forms
Guards
Thread Rolling
MACHINING
Milling
Swiss CNC Turning
Drill/Tap
FABRICATION
Welding
Laser Cutting
Assembly
CAREERS: HR@WISCONSINSTAMPING.COM
www.wisconsinstamping.com
N110 W13455 North Patton Court • Germantown, WI 53022

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES
Concealed Carry & Home Defense
Sat., January 2; 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM
Women's Handgun & Self Defense Fundamentals
Sat., January 9; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM
Handgun Fundamentals
Tues., January 5; 5:00 PM - 9:00 PM
Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.
12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005
262-226-2900
info@wifirearms.com
★★★★★
Five-Star Confidence
Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm
wifirearms.com
An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex
WISCONSIN FIREARMS WFTC TRAINING CENTER

Beware of More Potential Troubles Ahead ... With the Vaccines

From a Dear Friend,

Beware of the 12 mutations of the sar-cov2 virus ... (referenced from U Penn paper) and consider some of the notes from the true frontline doctor (not the entitled doctor of education ...) that save lives ...

I think the key message is that the Early OutPatient Treatments and prophylaxis

are the ONLY viable and meaningful way forward ... not vaccines ...

see 14:00 into this Laura Ingram show for Dr. Harvey Risch and Dr. Ramin Oskoui

https://youtu.be/JxkLDCEnLig

i am sharing with friends and colleagues that i love ... of course, we all could

be wrong ... but i doubt it ...

---

ps: GOOD NOTES from Good Doctors ...

From: Peter A. McCullough

Date: Tue, Dec 15, 2020 at 9:20 AM

Subject: Re: [C19D] Important points as the "trucks are rolling"

Colleagues

Dr. Arvinte, a brilliant pulmonologist who is working with COVID-19 on a daily basis has reason to believe that there are now 12 potential mutations in SARS-CoV-2 some of which will allow the evolutionary pressure of the PFE/BNT vaccine to have these mutant strains emerge as dominant and reinfect those who are vaccinated as they emerge with more confidence into the population. Keep in mind the clinical infection itself creates a library of antibodies, IgG/IgM/IgA against an array of viral epitopes (S-protein, nucleocapsid, etc) as well as cellular-based immunity working for antigen presentation as well as innate immunity. In my view, natural immunity will be far superior to vaccine-mediated immunity. I expect the natural infection in the US 16 M confirmed and 160 M unconfirmed (CDC estimates) will be more complete and durable resulting in less susceptibility to mutant strains. Please keep this in mind as mass vaccination administrators approach you and your clinics. Attached is the important safety data from the PFE/BNT vaccine currently being injected and the paper describing the 12 SARS-CoV-2 mutations. Let me know your thoughts.

'Raphael Stricker' via C19D List

That is the beauty of HCQ PrEP and early treatment: you may get exposed to the virus or infected with the virus but you will not die from the virus. Then we have herd immunity. Even with natural immunity there will be "escape mutants", however, and we will see how the available drugs deal with that. Wishing we could get that far instead of current blind vaccine obsession.

RS

'Raphael Stricker' via C19D List

Exactly what will happen:

1. Vaccine given to thousands
2. Lots of adverse events AND/OR doesn't work
3. More lockdowns/financial ruin/political BS
4. Meanwhile early treatment and PrEP takes hold (HCQ, ivermectin, combos, etc.)
5. Hospitalization and death rates plummet
6. Credit goes to...the vaccines!

Point by Point rebuttal of Kamala Harris Tweet



1) wildfires less than 20% of earlier 20th century in acreage burned

2) Hurricane ace index/storm near record lows this year, Globally no increase. EPAC/WPAC well below average So is total Global production this season

3) Much worse storms than what we see now have always run rampant, The list is legion and too numerous to name

4) Heatwaves are nothing compared to the 1930s , and if you didn't have rolling blackouts due to energy policy, there would be less problems, Why the rest of the nation would want to adopt the example of California is beyond any rational person. Besides cold kills more than warm, another fact ignored.

Obviously the person making this statement either does not know these facts,or does and simply seeks to hide them to push a false missive, There can be no other conclusion

Apparently the person does not understand previous times as warm as today were known as climate optimums, not climate emergencies. We can go on and on, but in this case brevity makes its point.

Lockdowns Do Not Slow COVID Spread, Three Studies Show

By Jon Miltimore

Across America and Europe, many government officials are resuming lockdowns and tightening restrictions in the face of rising COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, and deaths.

The collateral damage of lockdowns, which has been well documented, includes widespread poverty, depression, bankruptcy, and unemployment. Meanwhile, the benefits of lockdowns remain murky.

Several studies show there is little correlation between government restrictions and lower COVID mortality rates. Here are three of them.

1. The Lancet, July

A study published on July 21 in The Lancet, a weekly peer-reviewed general medical journal founded in 1823, indicated that government lockdowns were ineffective.

Researchers collected data from the 50 countries with the most cases and found lockdowns were not associated with mortality reductions in critical COVID-19 cases, although factors such as obesity, smoking, and life expectancy were.

"...government actions such as border closures, full lockdowns, and a high rate of COVID-19 testing were not associated with statistically significant reductions in the number of critical cases or overall mortality," the study concluded.

2. Frontiers in Public Health, November

Similarly, a study published by Frontiers in Public Health several months after The Lancet paper found neither lockdowns nor lockdown stringency were correlated with lower death rates. Researchers crunched data from 160 countries over the first 8 months of the pandemic, testing numerous factors—such as public health, demographics, government policy, economy, and environment—to determine how each correlated with COVID-19 mortality.

"Stringency of the measures settled to fight pandemia, including lockdown, did not appear to be linked with death rate," the researchers concluded.

3. Tel Aviv University Study, October

Research from Tel Aviv University published in October on the website medRxiv said that strict lockdowns may not save lives. Researchers analyzed mobility data collected from iPhones and found no statistical association between lockdown severity and the number of COVID-19 fatalities.

"We would have expected to see fewer Covid-19 fatalities in countries with a tighter lockdown, but the data reveals that this is not the case," the researchers explained.

Bonus: Bloomberg Analysis of Oxford University Stringency Data, May

In a May Bloomberg article titled "The Results of Europe's Lockdown Experiment Are In," data journalist Elaine He shared several visuals based on work done by the University of Oxford's Blavatnik School of Government, which tracked a range of government stringency measures across Europe.

COVID mortality, He said, did not appear to be associated with lockdown stringency.

"While not a gauge of whether the decisions taken were the right ones, nor of how strictly they were followed, the analysis gives a clear sense of each government's strategy for containing the virus," He writes.

Some — above all Italy and Spain — enforced prolonged and strict lockdowns after infections took off," He continues. "Others — especially Sweden — preferred a much more relaxed approach. Portugal and Greece chose to close down while cases were relatively low. France and the U.K. took longer before deciding to impose the most restrictive measures.

But, as our next chart shows, there's little correlation between the severity of a nation's restrictions and whether it managed to curb excess fatalities — a measure that looks at the overall number of deaths compared with normal trends," the report concludes. (emphasis mine)

Public health officials believe they can manage a virus through effective central planning, but this is folly and hubris. Human behavior and action are incredibly complex, far too complex for bureaucrats and political officials to control through policy directives (many of which are prima facie senseless).

Pandemics are serious problems, but the belief that they can be effectively managed by central planners who refuse to recognize the limits of their own knowledge and power poses a much graver threat to human freedom and prosperity in the long-run.

In his Nobel Prize-winning speech, economist F.A. Hayek warned that such a hubris had the potential to make man "not only a tyrant over his fellows, but … the destroyer of a civilization which no brain has designed but which has grown from the free efforts of millions of individuals."

The recognition of the overwhelming limits to his knowledge should teach the student of society a lesson of humility, Hayek believed.

Tragically, our leaders are about to receive a strong dose of it.

Sweden's pandemic coming to an end: they used the exact strategy advocated by non-alarmists



Dominion Voting Machines Are Part Owned By Joe Biden's Brother-In-Law

Valerie Biden Owens (Joe Biden's sister) is married to John Owens. His brother, R. Kevin Owens, is founder of Staple Street Investors, which owns Dominion Voting. Staple Street Capital is a private equity firm founded in 2009 based in New York. The co-founders are Stephen D. Owens and Hootan Yaghoobzadeh.

This is obscene. Another bombshell censored by the totalitarian Democrat-media-cabal.



Conclusion from an investment analyst about the US election

By Tom Harris

"If the factor inputs were correct and if the model factors themselves were properly selected and weighted, what did we miss? There's a one word answer to that: fraud.

"We certainly expected some fraud, there always is. Political rogues have been stuffing ballot boxes since the invention of the ballot. But, we gave this a weight of one or two percent at most.

"What appears to have happened in the election of 2020 is fraud on a scale that has never happened in the United States before. The magnitude of the voting fraud appears to have been on the order of five to ten percent of the votes cast; enough to swamp an otherwise substantial Trump lead in the crucial swing states. This was not factored into the models and it accounts for the unexpected result.

"The question of voting fraud has implications far beyond the outcome of the 2020 election. If the fraud is successful, if it continues, and if steps are not taken to eliminate it, then democracy in America is dead. That's a big topic for another day. For now, let's examine the kind of fraud alleged and the evidence available to support those claims."

If you would like me to send you his complete analysis, just

2021 Georgia Senate *cont. from page 1*

Senate.

If Democrats take control of the Senate, the left will push the nation toward massive government, staggering debt, and regulatory control over as many aspects of our lives as it can reach. If Republicans win one of the Senate seats, they maintain control of the Senate giving them the power to limit any aggressive Democrat agenda.

In the 2020 presidential election, over 5 million Georgians voted out of 7. 4 million registered voters. What is amazing - Georgia registered all but 2% of eligible voters. Turnout dramatically increased from 59% to 67%. The wildcard is that 31% of eligible voters did not vote. That is almost 2 million voters that can be recruited to vote in January. More than enough to propel either side to victory. These new voters tend to be lower-income and lean Democrat. Republicans, however, have the incumbency advantage.

Georgia historically has played a pivotal in U.S. history. In July 1864 the civil war was going poorly for President Lincoln. He was losing his fight for re-election. His Democrat opponent, General George McClellan, was calling for an armistice with the Confederacy. Atlanta was the center of military operations and supply routes for the Confederate army. Finally, a Union victory at the Battle of Atlanta. It was termed "a decisive turning point in American history" by the Atlanta Journal-Constitution in its excellent 2014 pictorial history of the battle. After the Union victory, morale in the north was boosted, Lincoln was re-elected and he saved the union.

The 2021 Battle of Atlanta will also be "a decisive turning point in American history." In 2021 the fight is again between factions holding dramatically different views of how the nation should be governed. In 1864 it was a fight between slavery and freedom. Today it is a fight between freedom from government control and a highly regulated state with a socialist agenda. If the two Democrats are elected, it will change the course of the nation as dramatically as if the South had won the Civil War.

Unlike the dictatorial procedures of the House of Representatives that allow the majority to "ram through," legislation, the Senate is a body of patience, procedure, and decorum. Its filibuster rule allows any Senator to slow-down or obstruct legislation on the floor, almost indefinitely. The filibuster can only be broken by a supermajority vote of 60 Senators.

Over the last decade, however, the use of the filibuster has been narrowed, removing lower court judges and political appointees from its application. Last year the Senate ended the use of the filibuster for confirming Supreme Court justices.

Legislation on the Senate floor, however, is still subject to a filibuster. If the Democrats win control of the Senate, they likely will eliminate the filibuster for legislation on the floor. The effect of such a move would immediately transform the Senate into a second House of Representatives, i.e. legislation could easily be "rammed-through" on a majority vote.

Democrats will pass substantive and procedural legislation that will transform the United States. President Biden will willingly sign it.

Following the urging of Elizabeth Warren, Democrats will "go bold" on domestic matters:

Additional funding of at least $ 2 trillion for Covid-19 relief.

A Biden proposed budget of $ 7 trillion, offset by $ 4 trillion in new taxes.

"Democracy Reform," legislation designed to expand the power of government through a national voter registration program, making election day a federal holiday, public financing of federal campaigns.

Two new states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, will be given statehood. Since both would be Democrat states, it is likely the Senate would remain in Democrat control for many years.

The National Popular Vote Interstate Compact will receive formal consent. It is an agreement between a combination of states, governed by Democrats, that comprise 270 electoral votes. Each participating state agrees to cast their presidential electoral votes for the winner of the national popular vote, notwithstanding the popular vote of the respective states. In essence, this eliminates the Electoral College.

Expanding Obamacare or Medicare-for-all, another trillion dollars plus program.

Enact the ProAct which expands the universe of workers subject to being unionized by establishing union dominance in the workplace. It would also give unions a monopoly in negotiating with state and local governments and allow hundreds of thousands of "home-care" workers to unionize.

Climate Change legislation, the initial cost of $ 2 trillion, in the form of subsidies for renewable energy and infrastructure.

Easing requirements on immigration, cessation of building the wall on the U.S.-Mexico border, elimination of any enforcement against sanctuary cities and the renewal of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, and free health care for illegals.

Canceling the student loan debt of approximately 37 million students, estimated to cost $1.7 trillion.

Regulations and Executive Orders will be used to achieve whatever cannot be achieved by legislation. It is anticipated that a Biden administration would quickly seek to reverse the 277 Trump deregulatory rules by reinstating prior Obama rules. These regulatory reinstatements would be in the environment, energy, climate change, labor, immigration, and the economy.

The combination of massive budgets, huge increases in the national debt, progressive legislation, and new regulations would transform the nation. The real change, however, would come from the Democracy agenda that automatically registers all voters, adds two new states and de facto eliminates the electoral college.

The U.S. will be a different country from the one our founders established. There will be a one-party rule, and a voting structure difficult to change.

Originally published in https://www.ReformTheKakistocracy.com

William L. Kovacs, author of Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens and The Left's Little Red Book on Forming a Green New Republic. Also, former senior vice president, U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Puzzle Answers

4	3	6	2	5	8	1	7	9
2	7	8	3	9	1	4	6	5
1	5	9	7	4	6	8	2	3
5	2	4	6	7	3	9	1	8
7	8	1	5	2	9	6	3	4
6	9	3	1	8	4	2	5	7
3	4	2	8	6	7	5	9	1
9	6	7	4	1	5	3	8	2
8	1	5	9	3	2	7	4	6

	E	B	O	L	A			R	E	B	E	L		
	R	E	N	A	L			E	B	O	N	I	T	E
A	R	D	E	N	T		A	C	O	U	S	T	I	C
G	A	S		D	O	R	M	A	N	T		E	R	R
O	T	I	C			A	B	L	Y		F	R	A	U
R	U	D	E		E	V	I	L		W	O	A	D	
A	M	E	N		G	E	T		B	E	L	L	E	
			S	I	G	N	I	F	I	E	D			
	S	T	U	N	S		O	U	T		I	D	E	S
	T	O	R	N		C	U	S	S		N	O	P	E
R	A	P	E		F	U	S	E			G	L	A	D
U	R	L		E	A	R	L	D	O	M		T	U	G
E	V	E	R	Y	D	A	Y		V	I	R	I	L	E
D	E	S	I	R	E	R			A	N	I	S	E	
		S	P	A	D	E			L	I	G	H	T	



Trump Invokes *cont. from page 1*

#13, covering "offensive cyber operations." See below. In today's Situation Update (Dec. 3rd), I lay out all the details of how Trump just invoked the legal framework -- and national security provisions -- necessary to allow the Secretary of Defense (Chris Miller) to activate military processes that lead to a tactical takedown of domestic enemies and active traitors. Here's the full podcast for Dec. 3rd. Tomorrow's podcast (Dec. 4th) will provide even more details on the NSPM and other efforts put in place by Trump's DOD intelligence team to trap the Democrats in acts of treason and warfare against America. Brighteon.com/85eb594a-046e-48b7-989d-25a1d868ba67

Decoding President Trump's Dec. 2nd speech

Consider what Trump said in yesterday's speech. You can watch the full speech here, but if you don't know what to listen for, you'll miss all the important language. About 95% of this speech was filler. Only 5% really matters, as I detail below:

First, he lays out that he has a sworn oath to defend the United States Constitution against the wartime "siege" that's under way: As President I have no higher duty than to defend the laws and the constitution of the United States. That is why I am determined to protect our election system, which is now under coordinated assault and siege. He then explains that the vote was criminally rigged with "fraud" (which is a crime) and that it's now time to overturn the election results and correct them: Millions of votes were cast illegally in the swing states alone, and if that's the case, the results of the individual swing states must be overturned, and overturned immediately. Then he explains that China was part of this entire plan from the very beginning, via their engineering and launching of the coronavirus, which Democrats used to justify mass mail-in ballots which were used to steal the election. This statement specifically invoked national security elements of our defense protocols: The Democrats has this election rigged right from the beginning. They used the pandemic as an excuse to mail out tens of millions of ballots, which led to a big part of the fraud... and there is no one happier than China. Trump then calls for a "full forensic audit," which can obviously only take place under military authority, since the local elections officials are corrupt, fraudulent criminals. He explains this himself: Dramatically eroding the integrity of our elections was the Democrats' number one priority. For a simple reason: They wanted to steal the 2020 presidential election. All of the Democrat efforts to expand mail-in balloting laid the groundwork for the systematic and pervasive fraud that occurred in this election. Then, about 30 minutes into the speech, he invokes legal language that clearly references Trump's Sep. 12, 2018 executive order which describes remedies for foreign interference in U.S. elections. Here's what Trump says: The only conceivable reason why you would block commonsense measures to verify legal eligibility for voting, is you are trying to encourage, enable, solicit or carry out fraud. It is important for Americans to understand that these destructive changes to our election laws were NOT a necessary response to the pandemic. The pandemic simply gave the Democrats an excuse to do what they were trying to do with many many years. Note carefully the phrase, "...trying to encourage, enable, solicit or carry out fraud." Where have we heard something very similar before? In the 2018 EO, which describes who will be subjected to having all their assets seized by the United States government -- and note that this applies to corporations, individuals, partnerships and even non-profits: (emphasis added) Sec. 2. a (ii) to have materially assisted, sponsored, or provided financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services to or in support of, any activity described in subsection (a)(i) Sec. 2. a (i) to have directly or indirectly engaged in, sponsored, concealed, or otherwise been complicit in foreign interference in a United States election; Thus, Trump just invoked the 2018 EO and sent an undeniable signal to Chris Miller at the DoD (as well as many other groups) that the Democrats, the treasonous media and the complicit Big Tech giants have all engaged in concealing, advocating or supporting "foreign interference" in the U.S. election.

Treason, rendition flights and military tribunals

What is the remedy for such actions of treason against the United States? Under existing U.S. law, it's a felony crime to try to rig votes. Under military law during a time of war, it's treason. And under the 2018 EO, each of the entities engaging in this behavior will have all their assets seized by the U.S. Treasury. Translated into plain language, this means that Twitter, Facebook, CNN, the Washington Post, Google, MSNBC, etc., are all now able to be completely seized, shut down or taken over by the Trump administration, as they all engaged in the defined behaviors outlined in the 2018 EO, which Trump just cited. Consider that as I list all the evidence that Trump's attorneys and DoD "white hat" team members now possess, much of which will surely be presented to SCOTUS, most likely by Sidney Powell, once one of her cases reaches that level of the judicial system:

CIA director Gina Haspel admitting to election interference in a full confession which has now been acquired. (This is covered in the Dec. 3rd Situation Update podcast, above.)

Dominion executives confessing to engineering backdoors into the systems, which has also been acquired.

Packet analysis results from "white hats" who intercepted all the real-time vote rigging traffic, which includes the specific instructions from CIA servers to add the hundreds of thousands of votes in real time to Dominion tabulation machines in swing states. This is now publicly confirmed by Col. Phil Waldron.

Log files and software evidence from the seized CIA servers in Frankfurt, which provides physical and intellectual proof that the CIA inserted hundreds of thousands of votes into the Dominion tabulation machines.

All this evidence exists right now. Trump has it all. His speech lays the official groundwork that can now be cited by other officials (namely, in the DoD and Treasury, which runs the US Secret Service), in order to justify their own initiation of orders for further arrests, rendition flights and wartime activities necessary to defend the United States of America against foreign enemies who are waging cyber warfare against the United States.

10 USC 394: Authorities concerning military cyber operations

In case you're wondering whether the U.S. military under Trump really has the authorization to respond to acts of cyber warfare with coordinated conventional military actions, read 10 USC, Section 394. Subtitle A, Part 1, Chapter 19, which is available via uscode.house.gov: (I'm bolding the especially important sections): §394. Authorities concerning military cyber operations (a) In General.-The Secretary of Defense shall develop, prepare, and coordinate; make ready all armed forces for purposes of; and, when appropriately authorized to do so, conduct, military cyber activities or operations in cyberspace, including clandestine military activities or operations in cyberspace, to defend the United States and its allies, including in response to malicious cyber activity carried out against the United States or a United States person by a foreign power. (b) Affirmation of Authority.- Congress affirms that the activities or operations referred to in subsection (a), when appropriately authorized, include the conduct of military activities or operations in cyberspace short of hostilities (as such term is used in the War Powers Resolution (Public Law 93–148; 50 U.S.C. 1541 et seq.)) or in areas in which hostilities are not occurring, including for the purpose of preparation of the environment, information operations, force protection, and deterrence of hostilities, or counterterrorism operations involving the Armed Forces of the United States. (c) Clandestine Activities or Operations.- A clandestine military activity or operation in cyberspace shall be considered a traditional military activity for the purposes of section 503(e)(2) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3093(e)(2)). f) Definitions.- In this section: (1) The term "clandestine military activity or operation in cyberspace" means a military activity or military operation carried out in cyberspace, or associated preparatory actions, authorized by the President or the Secretary that- (A) is marked by, held in, or conducted with secrecy, where the intent is that the activity or operation will not be apparent or acknowledged publicly; and (B) is to be carried out- (i) as part of a military operation plan approved by the President or the Secretary in anticipation of hostilities or as directed by the President or the Secretary; (ii) to deter, safeguard, or defend against attacks or malicious cyber activities against the United States or Department of Defense information, networks, systems, installations, facilities, or other assets; or (iii) in support of information related capabilities.

Trump announced "offensive cyber operations" just one week after signing his Sep 12, 2018 Executive Order

As you ponder all the implications of that, note carefully that just 8 days after President Trump signed his September 12, 2018 executive order, the Trump administration announced the launch of "offensive cyber operations" against foreign enemies. This was reported by the Washington Post, which explained, "The strategy incorporates a new classified presidential directive that replaced one from the Obama administration... It allows the military and other agencies to undertake cyber operations intended to protect their systems and the nation's critical networks." What would be considered "offensive cyber operations?" Kraken, of course. The 305th military intelligence battalion. Of course, just 8 days earlier, President Trump had designated elections infrastructure as "critical infrastructure." So now the pieces fit. The circle is complete. The election theft was cyber warfare against critical U.S. infrastructure. This authorizes all kinds of national security activities, such as using U.S. Army Special Forces units to raid the CIA server farm in Frankfurt, which took place shortly after the election. Also in 2018, President Trump had authorized the National Security Presidential Memoranda (NSPM) #13, covering "offensive cyber operations." Here's a list of all the NSPMs, but notably, "offensive cyber operations" is a secret and is not publicly shown. In fact, the Trump administration fought to keep this document hidden from Congress, given that in 2018, the House was run by Pelosi and other treasonous actors who had just pulled off the 2018 cyber attack on the U.S. elections infrastructure, stealing dozens of House seats in order to "win" a majority in the House, from which Adam Schiff could launch his impeachment scheme to try to remove Trump from power. Trump was able to prevent the House from reading NSPM #13 for 17 months, according to Fifth Domain, which reported: "On a bipartisan basis some of us sent a letter to the Trump administration demanding that they share with, at least some of the leadership on the Armed Services Committees, the rules of engagement for certain cyber contingencies," Rep. Mac Thornberry, R-Texas, said at the time. "The Obama folks did give us that information, the Trump people changed it, but then they were reluctant to show us." What we now know is that Trump was planning the 2020 election sting / cyber warfare "trap" in 2018, and that these cyber warfare response protocols were intentionally kept from Congress for as long as possible while plans were put in place to catch the Democrats stealing the next election (the 2020 election). I will discuss this in greater detail in tomorrow's Situation Update (Dec. 4th), which will be posted at the HRR channel on Brighteon.com: https://www.brighteon.com/channels/hrreport Rest assured, what we now know with absolute certainty is that Trump, Miller, Cohen-Watnick and other key players put the cyber warfare infrastructure in place in 2018 that would allow them to unleash a domestic military response to arrest, detain and prosecute all those who were complicit in the attack on America. The patriots, in other words, really are in charge. And they planned all this since 2018, putting in place the framework to trigger the appropriate national security resources once the Democrats took the bait and tried to steal the 2020 election. GITMO must be getting close to full capacity at this point, with lots more detainees on their way. The mass arrests are coming. Trump is in charge, and the Dems who know what's really happening are beyond terrified. They know they've been caught. Some will be charged with treason. Many will face military tribunals. A few will likely be executed after found guilty of treason. Finally, lock and load, patriots, because Trump may still need a million armed patriots to show up in D.C. as the critical moments of all this are publicly announced. Stand by for further instructions from your Commander-In-Chief.



Biden 'Miracle' *cont. from page 1*

An obvious head-scratcher is to wonder how an old notably cognitively challenged politician with no discernible accomplishments over nearly a half-century, lackluster Democratic primary performance in a field of radical lightweights, conspicuously inactive campaigning with no clear policy messaging, and no evidence whatsoever of enthusiasm within his own party ranks, could possibly have received the most votes in presidential history.

Incredulously, Joe Biden's purported 80 million-votes shattered his former boss Barack Obama's 2012 re-election performance by a staggering 15 million.

He also allegedly trampled a hard-driving campaign opponent with a record of presiding over the best economy and employment record in modern history, who drew unprecedently enormous and enthusiastic rally crowds, and who had gained more than 10 million more votes (a 73 million-plus total) since his 2016 presidential election victory.

Yeah, President Donald Trump also trounced Obama's re-election numbers, with supporters that included a record increase in Hispanic and Black voters.

Nevertheless, his alleged loss represented the first time in nearly a century and a half that an incumbent president who had gained votes in a re-election bid didn't win.

Trump Overwhelmingly Won Bellweather States, Counties

Also truly remarkable, Joe Biden would prospectively become the first president in 60 years to lose reliably predictive Ohio and Florida and still win the election.

Despite national polling which foresaw him winning both states, Biden lost Ohio by eight points, and Florida by three.

More amazingly, Trump won 18 of 19 bellweather counties with 16-point average leads throughout the nation that were determined by The Wall Street Journal and The Epoch Times to have nearly perfect voting records in predicting presidential winners over the past 40 years.

Washington's Clallam County, with a three-point Biden advantage, was the only outlier.

Joe Biden also underperformed Hillary Clinton's 2016 totals in every urban county, yet suspiciously outperformed her in metropolitan areas with murky vote tabulation histories in critical battleground swing states . . . Pennsylvania, Michigan, Wisconsin, and yes, ground zero of a critically consequential upcoming two-Senate-seat run-off, Georgia.

Biden Wins Despite U.S., State Congressional Washouts

We are led to believe that Joe Biden was so popular among voters that his all-time record win triumphed over his party's devastating losses in local House and state legislative seats across the nation.

Not even oceans of Silicon Valley and Wall Street funding were enough to accomplish the "blue wave" of Democratic wins that mainstream pollsters and pundits had posited.

Whereas polls had predicted gains of up to 20 U.S. House positions, Biden's party failed to unseat a single incumbent U.S. Republican representative including 27 races listed as "toss-ups." Democrats can now expect to lose more than a dozen seats, including four in California.

Supposedly vulnerable Republican U.S. Senate incumbents Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, R-Ky., Sens. Susan Collins, R-Maine, Joni Ernst, R-Iowa, and Lindsey Graham, R-S.C., successfully won out by strong margins over Democratic challengers who outspent them two or three to one.

As it stands now, Republicans will hold control of the Senate, with the possibility that Democrats might even the score pending a Jan. 5, 2021 runoff election for two Republican seats in Georgia.

Massive Vote Tabulation Irregularities Mostly Favor Biden

The former data and strategy director for President Donald Trump's 2016 election campaign, Matt Braynard, assembled a team to look for inconsistencies in six contested states: Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arizona, and Nevada.

The group identified, followed up on, and ran several major analyses on a variety of issues and irregularities.

Among the biggest discoveries were 2020 voters who had submitted National Change of Address forms to post offices indicating that they had moved out of state, yet who appeared to have voted in the state they moved from.

In Pennsylvania, more than 160,000 uncounted mail-in ballots were found to have been requested by or in the name of registered Republicans.

The team concluded that overall, the illegal vote numbers were presently high enough to easily overturn tabulation margins in Arizona, Georgia and Wisconsin — a combined total of 37 electoral votes that could flip the national election results.

Braynard told The Epoch Times, "This isn't speculative. This is just what the data shows."

Failures to Provide Electronic Voting System Security Safeguards

Multiple-state lawsuits independently being filed by former federal prosecutor Sidney Powell allege numerous electoral fraud and other irregular activities and features, deficiencies and security hazards associated with Dominion Voting Systems and software that may have manipulated 2020 mail-in outcomes.

A Georgia suit alleges "incontrovertible physical evidence that the standards of physical security of the voting machines and the software were breached, and machines were connected to the Internet in violation of professional standards and state and federal laws."

Among the charges, the plaintiffs allege based upon expert sources that the design and features of the Dominion software don't allow for a simple audit to see whether votes were intentionally or inadvertently misallocated, redistributed, or deleted.

An affiant identified as part of a national security detail to former Venezuelan dictator Hugo Chavez, whose name was redacted for security reasons, alleged that software used by Dominion was designed for the regime with the specific purpose to rig elections without getting caught.

Cybersecurity expert Navid Keshavarz-Nia testified that "U.S. intelligence services had developed tools to infiltrate foreign voting systems including Dominion."

Pointing to vulnerabilities in the Dominion's software, he concluded that "hundreds of thousands of votes" were transferred to Biden from Trump in battleground states.

Another complaint cites a former electronic intelligence analyst under the 305th Military Intelligence Battalion, who declared that the Dominion software was accessed by agents acting on behalf of China and Iran to monitor and manipulate elections, including the 2020 U.S. general election.

None of this computes as an honest presidential win for Joe Biden.

America cannot allow such an unjust travesty to repeat again in Georgia.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded the Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture (SICSA) and the graduate program in space architecture. Larry has written more than 700 articles for Newsmax and Forbes and is the author of several books.

Losers *"He that is slow to anger is better than the mighty; and he that ruleth his spirit than he that taketh a city." Proverbs 16:32*

I have never been "all in" with Mount Rushmore. 120 years ago, the artwork and cultural mentality was one of "patriotic inclusion." For example, I have a book printed in 1899, where the editors put the sayings of Henry Ward Beecher in with Washington, Franklin, Jefferson and Lincoln. The overarching fallacy is that Ward is a great man on that level. (Ward was a good man, but not a founding father.) In 1978 the TV show WKRP summed it up with Les Nessman's news intro, which went something like, "London, Tokyo, New York and Cincinnati." Obviously, Cincinnati is not in the same league as a major city. The sculpture of Mount Rushmore follows the same style. Theodore Roosevelt is included with Washington, Jefferson, and Lincoln, and it is directly copied from the Progressive Party banners of 1912. Mount Rushmore is a monument to Progressivism. I find it underwhelming as an inspiration, but many other Americans view it as worthwhile, as they focus on Washington, Jefferson and Lincoln.

On July 4th, 2020 President Trump held an Independence Day celebration at Mt. Rushmore in South Dakota. Taking his cues from BLM, President Julian Bear Runner of the Oglala Sioux Tribal Council said that President Trump's attendance is according to independenetco.uk, "an insult to Native Americans on whose stolen land it was built." According to the left-leaning Wikipedia, "historian Doane Robinson is credited with conceiving the idea of carving the likenesses of noted figures into the mountains of the Black Hills of South Dakota in order to promote tourism in the region. His initial idea was to sculpt the Needles; however, Gutzon Borglum rejected the Needles because of the poor quality of the granite and strong opposition from the Lakota, who consider the Black Hills to be sacred ground." Wikipedia assets, "The sculptor and tribal representatives settled on Mount Rushmore."

Mr. Bear Runner said, "The lands on which that mountain is carved and the lands he's about to visit belong to the Great Sioux nation under a treaty signed in 1851 and the Fort Laramie Treaty of 1868 and I have to tell him he doesn't have permission from its original sovereign owners to enter the territory at this time."

This begs the question, were the Sioux it's "original sovereign owners?" The land belonged to other Indians before it belonged to the Sioux. Legends of America states, "The Arikara arrived in the Black Hills by about 1500 A.D, followed by the Cheyenne, Crow, Kiowa, and Pawnee. However, when the Lakota arrived in the 18th century, they drove out the other tribes and claimed the land for themselves." All of the Sioux's sacred land and legends of the wind cave are fairly recent constructions at other people's expense.

According to the Sioux, their name was a French abbreviation of an Ojibwa word, "Nadousioux," meaning "little snakes" or "enemy." According to brittainica.com, "The Sioux tribe originally lived as Woodland Indians along the upper Mississippi in Minnesota, Iowa, and Wisconsin. The were forced west by the French and their Chippewa allies." When they say the buffalo roamed for thousands of years doesn't that translate into the Sioux being a plains horse culture for thousands of years.

The fact is the Sioux are a warrior culture. The conquered and held territory. A long comes some farmer, buys the land, and the Sioux murdered him with his family for farming on their turf. Why? Because they hate white people, and think the land should be left as is. This escalated in a war, that the Sioux lost. They lost, and could not believe they were beaten by people that they think of as inferior. Why do I say this, because in independentco.uk the Sioux Nation called me and many of you, "white Christian right terrorists." Never mind, when the Sioux "left the reservation," in 1862 they broke the Treaty of 1851. Oglala Chief Red Cloud stopped construction of the Bozeman trail. Crazy Horse killed 80 soldiers in the Fetterman Massacre. When they lost, they wanted the same treaty. Life doesn't work that way. The Germans lost territory after WWI. They lost more after WWII, and had to abide the existence of other people.

The now broken Fort Laramie treaty, was the basis of their sacred Black Hills. They won the battle of the Little Big-Horn, and then lost the war. The US Army took off the gloves, stopped playing cop, and fought it as a war, including the attack on the buffalo which logistically undercut the Sioux's ability to sustain themselves. The Sioux started a war, and they lost. The Ghost Dance rebellion and the Indian Schools may reveal the faults of white people, but the Ghost Dancers were preaching racism, in their assertion as well.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that 1890 was 130 years ago. You can lose a war and not be a loser if you get up, and rebuild your community. That is not what is happening. Indigenous Peoples Day could be something constructive, but as it is being used to destroy Columbus Day, and next white people, it is a holiday of hatred. The mistreatment of Donald J. Trump, whose only crime, in the Sioux's own words, is being a "white Christian right terrorist," is an example of the hatred they harbor towards you and I. Now this isn't all native Americans, many of them served in the Armed Forces, and a minority of them are Christians. There is a Lutheran Church that is staffed by Native Americans in my neighborhood. This author thinks that the reason our sports teams, cities and States such as Massachusetts, North and South Dakota were named after them is because they had courage, but it is past time that they join the rest of America.



Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.

Look at this Letter regarding Trump signs

Some residents in Delafield who had Trump signs in their yards woke to this letter in their mailboxes. No stamp no signature, and no envelope, simply placed in their mailboxes folded. We all know this stuff is out there but when they start coming in your mailbox, in your town it becomes personal.

What your Trump sign says about you...

- You support corrupt and tax-wasting attempts to break down our democracy – the same democracy that elected Trump in 2016. Tens of thousands have given their lives to defend this democracy over generations and I am deeply offended by your support of Trump's efforts to damage it. My extended family has actively and faithfully served in the military to protect this democracy, from WW2 forward.
- You oppose use of masks and other simple public health measures to prevent the spread of COVID in our community. You don't care about hundreds of thousands of American lives lost – and their families - including a co-worker of mine who lost multiple beloved family members to COVID.
- You support corrupt and violent movements to disenfranchise voters who are poor.
- You are racist and condone white supremacy and other hate groups based on race.
- You support systematic racism and have no interest in doing the work required to improve police training and other measures to try and address these long-standing issues. I have friends in law enforcement - they do not support Trump.
- You are sexist and condone men in power abusing that power to prey on our daughters.
- You look the other way when children at schools die in mass shootings from automatic weapons designed to kill as many humans as possible in the shortest period of time.
- You support communism by condoning the influence of Vladamir Putin in the White House. FBI and NSA report every day that Russian hackers maliciously attack computer systems here – an online war. Putin is your enemy, not the Democrats.
- You support the abuse of the White House as a platform of HATE. And you think it's ok for corrupt people to abuse government resources and exploit societal weaknesses for their personal gain. I am a devout Christian and will never support HATERS and CORRUPTION in government leadership. God and Country FIRST!!
- You think it's OK for the President of our USA not to pay personal income taxes and you would go to the same lengths to avoid paying taxes. I am PROUD to pay my taxes – taxes that support our schools, our military, our veterans, law enforcement and our infrastructure. And I'm willing to pay more to UNITE these United States.
- Despite your sad, misguided support of Trump, I wish you the best and certainly no harm. But just like Trump, your sign is offensive, ridiculous at best. Maybe the next sign in your yard will say, "For Sale?" Your beloved Russia is open for Trumpers to exploit! ☺



Sippin' Starbucks No More

By Paul Gottfried

The fate of Betsy Fresse, an evangelical Christian who refused to don a gay pride shirt in accordance with instructions from her manager in a Starbucks store in New Jersey is explained with remarkable concision in a recent Reuters article:

A former Starbucks Corp barista in New Jersey sued the coffee chain on Thursday, claiming she was fired illegally because she did not want to wear a 'PRIDE' T-shirt, which she said conflicted with her religious beliefs.

Betsy Fresse said her August 2019 dismissal from a Glen Ridge, New Jersey store, near her Newark home, for allegedly violating Starbucks' 'core values' amounted to illegal religious discrimination under federal civil rights law.

This obstinate employee was apparently given an opportunity to reconsider, as NBC points out in Starbuck's defense. Fresse received a call from Starbuck's Ethics and Compliance Helpline after she initially eschewed the caring-sharing shirt. Since she failed to give the proper diversitarian response over the phone and in conversation with the manager, she was summarily terminated.

The fired employee thereupon sued, claiming her religious rights had been violated. To my knowledge the plaintiff was not rehired; nor was the manager who fired her disciplined; and finally Starbucks denied Fresse's charges, insisting that it stands for "diversity," and therefore could not conceivably have discriminated against a Christian former employee. The fault, we are left to believe, was Fresse's appalling contempt for Starbucks' perfectly reasonable "core values."

Allow me to express my profound skepticism about Starbucks' open-mindedness. Having frequented that company in the past, I could not help but notice the obtrusive reminders about the management's politics, including cups and sugar packets adorned with leftist political platitudes. Starbucks' CEO and founder Howard Schultz would hardly qualify as a politically detached individual. He is a socially over-the-top liberal Democrat, who according to a description in National Review is "suspicious of socialism, but woke — some might say performatively so — on immigration, LGBT issues, racial justice, and abortion."

Starbucks spokespersons indicated that Fresse had been fired not because of her refusal to wear a gay pride shirt but because of her "comportment." It seems this lady brazenly told her fellow-employees that God intended marriage to be between a man and a woman. This message, which presumably came in the wake of being urged to wear the LGBT-propaganda shirt, constituted behavior that Starbucks refused to tolerate.

Let's imagine that the dissenter was a homosexual activist being forced to wear a shirt stating marriage is between a man and a woman. This assertion would not have caused the slightest scandal up until about 25 years ago, because it would have expressed what everyone across the political spectrum had always believed—or was expected to believe.

But those who, like Mr. Schultz and his media allies, are involved in a moral-cultural revolution are out to punish heretics, particularly powerless ones like low-wage evangelical employees in a coffee shop, the easiest victims to make examples of.

Would Mr. Schultz and his media allies have balked at an objection voiced by a gay activist who had been forced to wear a shirt defending traditional marriage? Would the protesting gay have been treated with the same contempt or consternation that Betsy Fresse evoked? You can bet your bottom dollar that would not have been the case. And we know why. Black Lives Matter and LGBT are top dogs in the woke hierarchy, whereas white Christians who talk about biblical teachings are holding bad cards. The high and mighty can kick them around with impunity.

But while Fresse waits to see the fruit of her lawsuit, there is a solution that might work better, and for which Schultz and other woke capitalist revolutionaries are most certainly not prepared. The little people with traditional beliefs should strike back by organizing mass boycotts against those arrogant plutocrats who scorn them and their pre-revolutionary values.

The woke Left calls for boycotts 24/7, such as those against states that did not put up transgendered restrooms fast enough to please the "LGBT community" or then-President Obama. Why can't traditionalists imitate their enemies by embracing the same useful tactics? What would happen if the little people all over the country agreed to boycott Starbucks until Ms. Fresse's job was reinstated with a raise and an apology from Mr. Schultz? This low-tax leftist may find he likes making money even more than beating up on the God-fearing.

There is much we can learn from the Left, starting with how to deal with the other side.



One Nation Under God

Presumptive Biden Chief of Staff called himself a 'soldier' in NARAL's pro-abortion 'army'

Joe Biden has named Ronald Klain as presumptive White House Chief of Staff should Biden be certified as the President-elect in coming days, despite potential legal challenges. The move comes just days after Ilyse Hogue, the president of NARAL Pro-Choice America, tweeted her support. Klain recently celebrated abortion at NARAL's 50th anniversary, tweeting, "It was an honor to join @ilyseh & @NARAL to mark #NARAL50 and discuss the need to protect reproductive freedom through the courts and judicial nominations."

In response to a March of 2019 tweet from NARAL's president, thanking Klain for "being a vocal leader on these issues" of abortion rights, Klain shockingly referred to himself as "[j]ust a soldier in your army @Ilyseh." In a tweet a year prior to this, Klain referred to Hogue as a "great leader."

NARAL has openly praised Planned Parenthood's white supremacist founder Margaret Sanger, and like Planned Parenthood, was founded by proponents of eugenics. As Live Action News previously reported, two of NARAL's three member pre-formation planning committee were men who held and promoted radical racist, eugenicist ideas about population control. In addition, employees of color within NARAL have publicly accused the organization of racism (as have employees of Planned Parenthood). Despite this history, Klain called both NARAL and Planned Parenthood "heroes" on Twitter in 2019:

Klain previously served in the Obama Administration as a top advisor, and was Chief of Staff for Vice President Biden at that time. In 2014, he was named the administration's Ebola Czar.

"Klain will oversee the Executive Office of the President and serve as a senior advisor. In addition to supporting the president-elect, Klain will work to build a diverse, experienced and talented team to help President-elect Biden and Vice President-elect Harris meet the urgent challenges facing the country," notes the press release on Klein's selection.

"Klain served as Chief of Staff for Vice President Al Gore, Chief of Staff and Counselor to Attorney General Janet Reno, and Staff Director of the Senate Democratic Leadership Committee. Klain was also Associate Counsel to President Clinton and in charge of judicial selection. Through his work on the Judiciary Committee, and in the Executive Branch, he has played a role in the selection or confirmation of eight Supreme Court Justices," the statement adds.

The Clinton/Gore administration was firmly pro-abortion. His first week as President, Clinton expanded abortion. On the 20th anniversary of Roe v. Wade, he announced a series of executive orders and lifted a ban on fetal research while paving the way for the abortion pill to be brought into the United States. According to 2018 figures, the abortion pill has been used to kill nearly 4,000 preborn children. Under Clinton's Attorney General, Janet Reno, pro-life free speech outside abortion facilities was heavily targeted.

READ: Rationed health care advocate Ezekiel Emanuel named to presumptive Biden COVID-19 task force

A report published at Haaretz noted that Klain have been heavily involved behind the scenes of judicial picks:

Klain's history with Biden dates back to Biden's chairmanship of the Senate Judiciary Committee in the 1980s. In 1991, when the committee held the contentious Supreme Court confirmation hearing for Clarence Thomas, Klain, the committee's top lawyer, was charged with investigating sexual harassment claims against Thomas made by Anita Hill… he also shepherded the late Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg onto the court during President Bill Clinton's administration – is expected by Biden aides to be a help with a Senate that may stay under Republican control. Klain himself clerked on the court for Justice Byron White.

Klain has openly criticized Trump's Supreme Court nominees, denouncing them as hostile to abortion rights.

In an op-ed published by the Washington Post, Klain referred to abortion as a "reproductive right" and called one SCOTUS justice a "threat to reproductive freedom."

He laid out his case for the "weakening" of Roe and used terms like "right to abortion," even referring to pro-life pregnancy centers and the March for Life as "so-called" — language which NARAL has urged the media to adopt.

For decades, the court has relentlessly weakened Roe — rejecting a right to abortion access for poor women, upholding restrictions on a woman's right to choose, even allowing



so-called crisis pregnancy centers to mislead women about their choices. An increasingly conservative roster of justices has played judicial Jenga with Roe — pulling out one underpinning after another, waiting to see what will bring it down…

… Each year, to mark the anniversary of the Roe decision, these activists gather in Washington for the so-called March for Life. These marchers do not carry signs saying "Federalism Now" or "Let the States Decide"; they march under banners reading "Stop All Abortion" and "Abortion Kills." Why would anyone believe they will be satisfied with a mere reversal of Roe, followed by a 50-state scramble over abortion laws? Why shouldn't we expect that, as soon as Roe goes, they will petition the Supreme Court to ban all abortions as a violation of a fetus's "right to life" under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution?

"To be clear, every woman's rights are at risk if Roe is overturned," he added.

I n a response to a tweet by Vice President Mike Pence, Klain referred to pro-lifers as "anti-choice," writing, "The anti-choice movement does not seek "federalism." They seek to ban abortion."

"The right is not just pursuing a reversal of Roe; they seek a constitutional rule to protect the fetus and strike down STATE laws that provide abortion rights. If you think the anti-choice movement will stop at reversing Roe, you are missing the real story here," Klain recently tweeted.

"Every year there's this huge project in Washington on the anniversary of Roe versus Wade and the people who march in that protest… hold up signs that say "ban abortion." Klain once claimed. "I don't think it will be long before we see Roe overturned."





VOICE OF JERUSALEM

Biden's Putting That Old Anti-Israel Band Back Together

By Jeff Dunetz

Joe Biden's been saying he will appoint a staff representing diversity, and in a way, he has. Some of his appointees are anti-Israel. Others are both anti-Israel and anti-Semitic. Biden's been announced who will be on his team if he ends up in the Oval Office, and sadly, just as I predicted, his team is as anti-Israel as is much of his track record and the sentiments of the Democratic Party base.

The team he's announced so far includes Obama and Clinton retreads unfriendly to the Jewish state. The Joe Biden anti-Israel team includes Tony Blinken, Secretary of State, Reema Dodin Deputy Director White House Office of Legislative Affairs, Avril Haines DNI, John Kerry Climate Czar, Karine Jean Pierre Deputy Press Secretary, and Neera Tanden OMB Director.

Tony Blinken, Secretary of State, was deputy secretary of state during the last two years of the anti-Israel Obama administration. He was part of a team negotiating the JCPOA that put the U.S., Israel, and the Sunni Muslim states in danger of an Iranian nuclear attack, and deputy director of the state department that gave the Jewish State a going away smack down in the UN Security Council. He is one of the leading voices pushing Biden to get back into the JCPOA.

Blinken opposed designating the IRGC Terror Organization: In a 2017 CNN appearance, Blinken opposed sanctioning and designating Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) as a foreign terrorist organization – even though terror is what IRGC's mission. The Iranian constitution established the IRGC to perpetrate terror against "enemies of Allah" (meaning, the U.S., Israel, and Sunni states) and expand Iranian Islamic sovereignty throughout the world. The IRGC's terror involvement include murdering hundreds of American troops in Iraq; Iranian nuclear proliferation and weapons delivery systems development; plotting to set off a bomb in a Georgetown restaurant (to murder a Saudi diplomat and innocent American diners); providing weapons, training, funding, and logistical support to Iranian terrorist proxies, including Hezbollah, Hamas, Iraq-based militias, the PFLP (Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine), and Palestinian Islamic Jihad; and numerous other major terror attacks, including on the Buenos Aires Jewish Center.

Blinken believes in the myth that continued Israeli control of Judea and Samaria will lead to the Israeli Arab population growing larger than the Jewish population.

During a speech at the J Street Conference in 2012, Blinken praised the anti-Israel J Street as a " constructive force."

After anti-Semite Linda Sarsour spoke at the DNC, a Biden staffer sent an email disavowing her hatred. After the email went public, Blinken apologized to Arab and Muslim Democrats about Sarsour during a private phone call, "My apologies for what we did and what happened," acknowledging the "hurt and disappointment." Adding that "Historically, Republicans and Democrats have been quick to dismiss Muslim, Arab-American and especially Palestinian-American voices, and I want to reiterate on behalf of all of us our support for your communities."

Reema Dodin, Deputy Director White House Office of Legislative Affairs. Reema Dodin has excused Palestinian Terrorism and opposed U.S. support of Israel. Of Palestinian descent, Dodin joined with Palestinians and their supporters who used to routinely and openly justify the most heinous terror attacks, blowing up buses and pizza shops, and Passover seders. In 2002, during the height of the murderous Palestinian terror spree that was killing Jews daily, she spoke at a church in Lodi, CA, condoning the terrorism saying, "The suicide bombers were the last resort of a desperate people. During that same speech, Dodin falsely claimed that the Israeli government cuts off water supplies "to coerce the Palestinian population." In fact, Israel provides abundant water to the Palestinian Arabs – far more than specified in the Oslo accords. Dodin also falsely portrayed Palestinian Arabs (the recipients of the most generous aid in the world) as malnourished and denied medical care.

That Lodi speech was given a few weeks after one of the most violent of Palestinian terror attacks. A Palestinian homicide bomber blew up the Park Hotel in Netanya, Israel, during a Passover seder. Thirty innocent civilians were killed in the attack, and 140 were injured.

The book Muslim Mafia: Inside the Secret Underworld That's Conspiring to Islamize America," by former federal agent and counterterrorism expert P. David Gaubatz and Paul E. Sperry, revealed that Dodin justified the 9/11 attacks as a reaction to U.S. support of Israel:

As a staffer for Senator Dick Durbin, Dodin recommended an anti-American witness for a Senate "Muslim Rights Hearing." San Francisco-area activist Farhana Khera. He is the founder of Muslim Advocates, an organization that sued the Department of Justice to force it to disclose the FBI's undercover operations to disrupt terrorist activities inside radical mosques and advise Muslim witnesses or suspects to not talk to the FBI.

Muslim Mafia also outed Dodin as one of CAIR's cultivated contacts inside key Democrat leaders' offices. The Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) was tied to international terrorism and Hamas during the Holy Land Foundation in 2008. And in a 2010 letter to Congress, then Assistant AG Welch reported that CAIR and Hamas are offshoots of the Muslim Brotherhood and should be avoided.

Dodin condemned U.S. strikes against the Taliban and Al-Qaeda in Afghanistan, complaining that the military was 'just going to hit innocent civilians.'" (pages 181-182 of Muslim Mafia).

Avril Haines, Director of National Intelligence (DNI). An Obama loyalist and a John Brennan acolyte. Ms. Haines' appointment was endorsed by the anti-Israel group J-Street. She signed their letter to the DNC berating them for being "silent on the rights of Palestinians and on Israeli actions that undermine those rights.' As Daniel Greenfield noted in Front Page, the purpose of the letter that Haines signed was a sell-out of the pro-Israel community.

Greenfield also noted:

"Currently, Avril Haines heads up Columbia World Projects. A big part of the university's internationalization effort is the Obama Foundation Scholars initiative. Being a Foundation Scholar requires "a proven commitment to service and leadership within a community, region or country outside the United States," and, it ought to go without saying, the right set of political agendas.

The Obama "Scholars" at Columbia World Projects she manages include Mor Efrat, the head of the Occupied Palestinian Territories Department for PHR-I. Physicians for Human Rights-Israel (PHR-I), despite its name, isn't really about medicine. It's an anti-Israel organization whose founder promotes BDS and seeks to try Israeli soldiers for war crimes. PHR-I's founder had urged a move to "integrate BDS in every struggle for justice and human rights by adopting wide, context-sensitive and sustainable boycotts of Israeli products, companies, academic and cultural institutions, and sports groups."

Even while at Columbia, Haines continued her political work as the co-chair of Foreign Policy for America. The board of Foreign Policy for America includes Jeremy Ben Ami, the head of J Street. In contrast, the Advisory Board includes Joseph Cirincione, the head of Ploughshares, a key Iran nuclear legalization pressure group, alongside Haines, and Rob Malley, the Obama adviser initially forced out for his Hamas contacts (and his father was a close friend of Arafat). He now heads Soros' International Crisis Group. Malley is also an advisor at Columbia.

John Kerry- Climate Czar. According to Biden's announcement, Kerry's climate activities including some international responsibilities. Along with his anti-Israel tenure in the Obama administration (if really you need an explanation, click here) and his belief that the Trump peace deals between Israel and Gulf Sunni states were impossible because no Arab state would make peace with Israel unless the Jewish State first made peace with the Palestinians (who refuse to make concession). Kerry's appointment as Climate Czar makes many think of the famous line in the play "Fiddler on The Roof" when Tevye's rabbi says, "May God bless and keep the Czar—far away from us."

Kerry has spent much of his post-Obama tenure trying to damage Israel and Trump's Foreign policy.

During interviews to promote his book in Sept. 2018, Kerry told an interviewer that he met with Iranian Foreign Minister Javad Zarif three or four times since leaving office and that their talks touched on the international nuclear agreement which Kerry negotiated, and President Trump killed (because it was such a bad deal for America). This was the first time Kerry admitted a Logan Act violation. However, many of his offenses were reported earlier.

In May of 2018, the Boston Globe reported that Kerry went to the U.N. to meet with Iranian Foreign Minister Javad Zarif to discuss ways to save the Iran nuke deal. "It was the second time in about two months that the two had met to strategize over salvaging a deal they spent years negotiating during the Obama administration, according to a person briefed on the meetings."

In January 2018, Israeli Newspaper Ma'ariv reported that John Kerry had given a message to Palestinian Authority Pres. Abbas, "that he should stay strong in his spirit and play for time, that he should not break and will not yield to President Trump's demands," because Trump will be out of office within the year."

Karine Jean Pierre Deputy Press Secretary- Karine Jean-Pierre is a longtime campaign adviser chief of staff to Kamala Harris.

In an opinion piece she wrote for Newsweek in March 2019, Jean-Pierre praised Democratic politicians for not going to that year's AIPAC conference, saying, "boldly choosing to prioritize diplomacy and human rights over the power of a lobbying organization." In the same op-ed, she made other false accusations and statements about Israel and AIPAC. She accused AIPAC of "severely racist, Islamophobic rhetoric," and "trafficking in anti-Muslim and anti-Arab rhetoric while lifting up Islamophobic voices and attitudes."

Jean-Pierre falsely slandered Israel when the IDF was defending Israeli citizens against Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad (PIJ) terrorists. The terrorists set off explosives at Israel's border fence in an attempt to allow 30,000 Hamas-led Arabs to invade Israel and commit more violence. \She accused Benjamin Netanyahu's government of committing war crimes against the violent Gazan protester, citing a United Nations commission slander.

Karine Jean Pierre also condemned speakers at the 2019 AIPAC Conference who criticized the Antisemites and Israel-haters in Congress like Ilhan Omar and Rashida Tlaib and AOC.

Jean Pierre is the senior advisor and national spokeswoman for the anti-Israel progressive group funded by George Soros, MoveOn.org.

Neera Tanden OMB Director The day after the 2015 Israeli election, when Netanyahu won a surprise victory in the national elections, Hillary adviser and President of the Center for American Progress, Neera Tanden, responded to a John Podesta note calling the Israeli government, ruthless wingnuts.

Neera Tanden's tenure as the head of the Center for American Progress (CAP) was marked by Antisemitism. In the lead up to the 2012 election, Politico published a piece about how CAP, lead by Tanden, was fighting to change the Democratic Party to the anti-Israel party. Stories by CAP bloggers had appeared in the vehemently anti-Israel terrorist supporting publication The Electronic Intifada. CAP bloggers have also accused American Jews of dual loyalty, calling them "Israel-Firsters."

"There's two explanations here – either the inmates are running the asylum or the Center for American Progress has made a decision to be anti-Israel," said Josh Block, who at the time was AIPAC's spokesman.

The Simon Wiesenthal Center went further they called CAP anti-Semitic:

"….When it comes to the charges of being 'Israel Firsters' and having 'dual loyalty,' we not only plead innocent but also counter-charge that these sponsored bloggers are guilty of dangerous political libels resonating with historic and toxic anti-Jewish prejudices.

"These odious charges have been around since Henry Ford in 1920 said, "Wars are the Jews' harvest," Charles Lindbergh in 1940 condemned Jews for conspiring to plunge America into World War II, and "Jewish neocons" were charged with colluding with Israel to cause the 2003 Iraq War. Recently, University of Chicago Professor John Mearsheimer, co-author of The Israel Lobby and Foreign Policy, has descended to making the accusation that American Jews — unlike American Poles, Italians, Greeks, Turks, Chinese, Africans, etc., etc. — exercise a uniquely malevolent influence over American foreign policy. ….

"The Center for American Progress ought to stick to fair-minded discussion of serious issues about the U.S's future. It ought to disown immediately 'Israel Laster' bloggers who take the low road and drag down policy debates into the gutter of individual and group defamation."

Sadly none of this is a surprise. Biden not only wants to appoint an anti-Israel team, he Vice President for the most anti-Israel and anti-Semitic president in my lifetime. But even before he joined Obama, when he was in the Senate making his own decisions, many of Biden's actions toward the Jewish state were negative and disturbing. For example, during his Senate years, Biden screwed up some easy ways to look pro-Israel. He didn't sign his name to non-binding pro-Israel letters supported by his Senate colleagues' overwhelming majority. He also warned Israel that they should get used to a nuclear Iran.

As Obama's Defense Secretary Robert Gates noted, Biden has "been wrong on nearly every major foreign policy and national security issue over the past four decades." One of those foreign policy "wrongs" was his lack of support for America's closest ally in the Middle-East-Israel. He is putting together a team that will support his anti-Israel mistake.

Republican Legislatures *cont. from page 1*







