Reality News Media Group LLC

The Reality News

Mission Statement
Committed to Constitutional Government
Individual Liberty
Dissemination of Truth

ONLY $20 FOR THE FIRST YEAR!

Subscription information available on page 2

www.RealityNewsMedia.com July 2020 Vol. 11, No. 7

"The essential quality of a market system, contrary to popular thinking, is not that it promotes greed; But rather, that it renders greed harmless." Israel Kirzner



Denver Airport Painting 1994

Censored: A Review Of Science Relevant To COVID-19 Social Policy And Why Face Masks Don't Work

By Denis G. Rancourt, PhD

Masks and respirators do not work.

There have been extensive randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies, and meta-analysis reviews of RCT studies, which all show that masks and respirators do not work to prevent respiratory influenza-like illnesses, or respiratory illnesses believed to be transmitted by droplets and aerosol particles.

Furthermore, the relevant known physics and biology, which I review, are such that masks and respirators should not work. It would be a paradox if masks and respirators worked, given what we know about viral respiratory diseases: The main transmission path is long-residence-time aerosol particles (< 2.5 μm), which are too fine to be blocked, and the minimum-infective dose is smaller than one aerosol particle.

The present paper about masks illustrates the degree to which governments, the mainstream media, and institutional propagandists can decide to operate in a science vacuum, or select only incomplete science that serves their interests. Such recklessness is also certainly the case with the current global lockdown of over 1 billion people, an unprecedented experiment in medical and political history.

Review of the Medical Literature

Here are key anchor points to the extensive scientific literature that establishes that wearing surgical masks and respirators (e.g., "N95") does not reduce the risk of contracting a verified illness:

Jacobs, J. L. et al. (2009) "Use of surgical face masks to reduce the incidence of the common cold among health care workers in Japan: A randomized controlled trial," American Journal of Infection Control, Volume 37, Issue 5, 417 – 419. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19216002

continued on page 5

Reality News
Media Group LLC
PO Box 429
Butler, WI 53007

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GERMANTOWN, WI
PERMIT 11

Greens promote child slave labor and ecological destruction

Why don't African black lives and ecological values matter? or impacts in and beyond Virginia?



By Paul Dreissen

The US Supreme Court recently ruled 7-2 to reverse a lower court ruling that had invalidated a permit for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, which will bring West Virginia natural gas to Virginia and North Carolina, for home heating, factory power, electricity generation and manufacturing petrochemical feedstocks.

continued on page 5

They Don't Want You To Know: Reddit Mods Remove Viral GP Article About Woman Murdered for Saying 'All Lives Matter'



By Cassandra Fairbanks

The moderators on Reddit's "Unpopular Opinions" board have removed the viral Gateway Pundit article about the murder of Jessica Doty Whitaker, who her fiance says was shot in the head for saying "All Lives Matter."

After the article gained over 15,000 upvotes,

continued on page 12

End Subsidies to ALL Energy Sources

The Covid-19 lockdown at a blueprint for a permanent economic shutdown to save the Earth

By Dr. Jay Lehr & Tom Harris





The quagmire of outdated energy subsidies in the U.S. needs to end. They were built up over a century of programs once intended to help develop

continued on page 18



Dr. Dennis Avery: My Friend and My Mentor

A Tribute by Steven J. Welcenbach

On June 20th God called home one of His greatest personal displays of living truth, when Dr. Dennis Avery left us all to be with his Savior. Congratulations Dennis! You've transformed our world with your life's work, your infectious cheerfulness, your impeccable logic trains of thought as you explained your conclusions from your carefully gathered and evaluated data sets.

My fortunate convergence with this humble and generous man of enormous intellect and keen insight began when I obtained the book he co-wrote with Dr. Fred Singer (who also recently died) entitled "Unstoppable Global Warming Every 1500 Years" around 2005. They made the case so strongly and convincingly that nature, not man, ruled the climate with real world data along with historical and geological evidence. That watershed moment vaulted me into the world of climate realism and activism.

Which then explains why I found

continued on page 7

The Other Epidemic Threatening Wisconsin



By Rep. Joe Sanfelippo

Within the span of weeks, the spread of the novel coronavirus disease reached epidemic proportions, disrupting every aspect of our lives. Previously unheard-of concepts like social distancing and curve flattening suddenly consumed our collective attention. While we continue to rightly take sweeping action and dedicate unprecedented resources to addressing the threats from COVID-19, the dangers posed by the ongoing and worsening crime epidemic

continued on page 9

Who Has More Legal Power – Corporations or Humans?



By Williams Kovacs

Perhaps a discussion of "personhood" that compares the political rights of humans and corporations belongs more to a John Handey, "Deep Thoughts" segment on Saturday Night Live than as an article in "medium.com." But it is a serious question with significant legal implications for whom government protects and how we govern society. John Handey might quip: "One thing an artificial person, we call a corporation,

continued on page 20

Anti-Fossil Lobbyists Pressure Facebook to Ban Opposing Science



By Larry Bell

The "Climate Power 2020" organization published a July 1 open letter signed by former gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams, former presidential candidate Tom Steyer, and 13 leaders of groups working to ban fossil fuels urging Facebook to shut down the page of the CO2 Coalition, a non-profit professional organization of distinguished climate scientists and energy economists that

continued on page 4

Steve's Say . . . America Needs to Apologize to No One



By Steve Welcenbach

America is an idea.

America could exist anywhere on this planet if the people populating that land embraced the idea. America is not confined by geography nor by history. Just like the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

In fact, America represents God's greatest gift to man regarding the application of His law in governing a nation-state. The greatest government innovation ever.

You see, America remains to this day the ONLY country on earth that acknowledges God as the supreme authority, not just in America but in the entire universe. That authority gets transferred through each individual, family, community, state and finally to the national government. So you see the HIGHEST office in the land is CITIZEN, the FAMILY the basic societal unit and the LOCAL government most important, the federal least.

The Declaration of Independence, the original founding document, declares, "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. —That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,..

So America declares:

1) All men (people) are created equally in the eyes of God the Creator

2) All inherent human rights come from God, not man

3) The SOLE purpose of government is to secure these God-given Rights

4) Governments can only be legitimate with the consent of the governed

Like the Gospel of Jesus Christ, this philosophy applies to ALL people, no mention of race, ethnicity or other artificial man-made construct used to divide and collectivize people. There are no racial divisions in the Gospel of Jesus Christ nor in the idea of America.

The radial American idea of self-government under God remains today the most radical governmental innovation ever. As John Adams and some of the other founders pointed out, only a moral and pious people living their lives in accordance to God's Law (10 commandments) can pull this off. So it's obvious why America looks like it does today.

But Steve, what about slavery, the great American sin? The Original Sin of the Founding that makes the Founding illegitimate? I got news for you. America is RESPONSIBLE for the ABOLITION of slavery.

I know you probably went to a Bolshevized government run public school if this comes as a surprise. Here's the truth. The institution of slavery has been worldwide in all cultures throughout all recorded history. This includes ALL African and Native American cultures. The Arabs and later the Muslims have been the greatest slave traders on the planet up to this very day. See Dr. Sowell's comment next to this piece. And most slaves traded were caucasian.

The Declaration of Independence became the very first effort to rid this evil from a culture. The fact that the final achievement of this goal took another 87 years should come as no surprise, since no American idea could exist in the world until the Revolutionary War severed British governance. Not to mention dismantling a worldwide, historically accepted institution required the unravelling of numerous issues of legal status, compensation, and practical considerations of what happens on the other side for individuals. Nonetheless, many people died in the cause to change the direction of the world regarding the practice of one human owning another. And Please Thank the Lord God for that.

George Washington owned slaves. So did many of the Founding Fathers. But decoupling this fact from the historical and cultural context that almost everyone with any wealth in the entire world owned slaves, is not only disingenuous but ignorant. Yet these slave owners desired and attempted to actually eliminate this evil from the nation they were founding. So the America haters insist on eliminating their memory from our history just because they weren't alive to see their efforts to its conclusion. The danger of idiocy of such magnitude will destroy many lives. It already has.

What about Robert E. Lee? Why shouldn't we dump his statue and erase him from our history? Because his life shows him to be a great American. He became such a great military commander because of his West Point training, heroism in the Mexican-American War and other military campaigns, and his commitment to this family, state and country. Anyone second-guessing someone faced with choosing loyalty between their state or federal governments do so at their peril. After the war General Lee made giant efforts to unify the country and facilitate opportunities for the newly freed regarding education and subsistence.

So all this anarchy and blather about getting rid of statues, reparations, racism and inequality, that America owes certain groups of people this or that, evaporates when one reads those words from the Declaration - the American creed. Those words enclose the gift that America provides to each of its citizens and to everyone around the world seeking to become one.

And what a gift.



REALITY NEWS

RealityNewsMedia.com

Steven Welcenbach *Owner/Editor*
414-491-3421

We'd like to hear from you.

Please e-mail article content to
realitynewsmediagroup@yahoo.com

For advertising information call
414-491-3421

We reserve the right to edit or reject content.

Printed by
Express News, Germantown, WI

We need your support!
Please subscribe to Reality News, mailed Monthly directly to you for only...
$20 per year

Name _____

Mailing Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone Number (required) _____ E-mail _____

☐ Check Enclosed Bill my: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ DISCOVER ☐ AMERICAN EXPRESS

Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date _____

Signature (required) _____

Please make checks payable to Reality News Media Group LLC. Mail all payments and forms to PO Box 429, Butler, Wisconsin 53007

Fax credit card orders to 262-781-0626 or call to place telephone orders 414-491-3421

Have your credit card ready!

Order online at RealityNewsMedia.com



Order Melissa's Book On Amazon!

Search:
Something Beautiful is Going to Happen . . . by Melissa Young

Or Visit Link:
https://www.amazon.com/dp/1941049990/ref=cm_sw_r_cp_apa_i_597DbME6ZZ47

"I choose gratitude and forgiveness over anger and bitterness. This allows me to see and appreciate the beauty and blessings in most everything."

- page 182 in "Something Beautiful is Going to Happen..."



Our Vision

We aspire to a world in which people, made in the image of God, reconciled to Him by Jesus Christ, and reflecting God's own creativity, work together to enhance the fruitfulness, beauty, and safety of the Earth, enabling more and more of our neighbors to enjoy good health, long life, freedom, justice, and spiritual and material abundance, for the glory of God and the good of our fellow man, thus fulfilling the two Great Commandments to love God and love our neighbor.

Our Mission

We seek to magnify the glory of God in creation, the wisdom of His truth in environmental stewardship, the kindness of His mercy in lifting the needy out of poverty, and the wonders of His grace in the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Our Identity

A coalition of theologians, pastors, ministry leaders, scientists, economists, policy experts, and committed laymen, the Cornwall Alliance is an evangelical voice promoting environmental stewardship and economic development built on Biblical principles.

Schedule a Speaker

Dr. Beisner and many Fellows, Adjuncts, and Contributing Writers are available to speak for churches, seminaries, universities and colleges, schools, and other groups. To schedule a speaker, email Calvin@CornwallAlliance.org.

Connect with us Socially

Follow Us on Facebook
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance
Follow Us on Twitter
@CornwallSteward

Other ways to Connect

www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.facebook.com/EarthRisingBlog
@EarthRisingBlog

The Cornwall Alliance
3712 Ringgold Rd. #355
Chattanooga, TN 37412

Phone 423-500-3009
www.CornwallAlliance.org
www.EarthRisingBlog.com
www.Facebook.com/CornwallAlliance



Terrified of Light: The Depressing Argument for Crippling Our Space Program

By Robert Zubrin

In his book Dark Skies, political scientist Daniel Deudney defends the thesis that human safety requires the preservation of ignorance.

"Large-scale space expansion must be viewed as something akin to a full-scale nuclear war and assiduously avoided. . . . Learning to live on Earth in ways consistent with the continued viability of the biosphere clearly requires an acceptance of limits."

— Daniel Deudney

No human society has ever failed because it was too technologically advanced or possessed too much scientific knowledge. Many, however, have suffered catastrophe because of deficiencies in those areas. Even so, there has been no shortage of writers willing to defend the counterfactual thesis that human safety requires the preservation of ignorance. With his book, Dark Skies, Johns Hopkins professor Daniel Deudney provides a noteworthy addition to this clinically interesting genre.

Deudney's book contains over 200,000 words, and costs only $36 retail, so purchasers will be rewarded with over 5,500 words for every dollar spent, which is well above industry standards for new hardcover books. The writing style is sort of Germanic, but it is better than Hegel, so readers who enjoyed The Phenomenology of Mind should find it at least equally pleasurable. It is true that most of the material is filler, but levity is provided by many original technical errors sprinkled generously throughout the text. Deudney claims, for example, that the temperature of objects in Earth orbit is 300 degrees Centigrade, that bodies made of water will freeze "instantly" in deep space, that the limit of Earth's gravitational field is 30,000 kilometers, that objects in space "must move fast or they will fall down," and — contradicting Newton and Copernicus, respectively — that different laws of motion apply to objects on Earth and in space, and that there is a geographical boundary between Earth and space. (N.b.: Earth is in space.) Crusaders for emergency measures to upgrade elementary- and secondary-school science education will doubtless find much useful evidence here to buttress the importance and urgency of their cause.

Deudney is not up to date on the latest progress in space science and technology, claiming, for example, that we now know that Earthlike planets are very rare in the universe. In fact, the results of the recently completed Kepler space telescope mission indicate that there are over 80 billion Earth-sized planets in the habitable zone of their stars in the Milky Way galaxy alone, with comparable results likely in trillions of other galaxies as well. He also appears unaware of the tour-de-force avalanche of technical advances demonstrated by the SpaceX company over the past decade. Indeed, he is not able to spell the name of the company correctly. But then again, who among us can?

The more serious problems with the book appear when we consider Deudney's ideas. Deudney, a former senior researcher with the Worldwatch Institute, a Malthusian think tank, places no value on people. As he puts it, "exponential humanism, devoted to the perpetual expansion of human biomass, easily slides into planetary-scale ecocide." In fact, he says, such additional human biomass in the form of new extraterrestrial branches of human civilization represents a threat, because it could unleash technological progress.

"If the monstrosities and menaces of the ever-widening technological cone of possibility can be thwarted only by staying within a narrow path of human preservation and enhancement, then space expansion must be assessed for its effects on the reversals, regulations, and relinquishments constituting the barriers of restraint. . . . If space expansion makes the creation and preservation of restraints even more difficult, the probability of otherwise unrelated catastrophic and existential outcomes will rise, making it a potent catalyst for multisided disaster."

This is one of the major reasons why, according to Deudney, efforts to colonize Mars must be stopped before it is too late. "Once a viable human colony on Mars has been established," he says, "a crucial threshold will have been passed and the path opened to the full range of catastrophic and existential threats likely to result from space expansion."

Another reason is that once there are Martians, they, alongside other, allied extraterrestrials, would attack Earth. "If the expansion of space continues," Deudney says, "the day will inevitably come when Terra is reduced to a marginal player, at the mercy of its gargantuan, and probably monstrous, off-spring."

The key weapon that the Martians will use in destroying Earth is the diversion of asteroids to cause catastrophic impacts, a stratagem that Deudney says might also be used by a terrestrial superpower to crush the rest of the globe. For this reason, he warns, asteroid mining must be stopped. Why the Belters might want to use their settlements as kamikaze projectiles remains unexplained, as does why a nuclear power would use an easily divertible — it's infinitely easier to make an asteroid miss a planet than hit one — method of attack (one, moreover, taking years to strike its target) and prefer it to much faster delivery using ballistic missiles, aircraft, or even ships.

To prevent such catastrophes, we need to make sure that launch costs remain high. "Everything that space expansionists want to do in space depends upon accessing space more cheaply," says Deudney. "If technological breakthroughs make possible cost reductions of several orders of magnitude, reversals and relinquishment will become vastly harder to achieve and maintain."

Technological and scientific progress have been the primary engines responsible for advancing the human condition since the Stone Age. Deudney, however, urges us to regard them with terror. We simply must stop them. So let's cripple our space program.

Truly, fear is the mind killer.

This article was originally printed on National Review. Reused with permission of the author.

Climate campaigners want to make the shutdown permanent

By Craig Rucker

The world is reopening and economic recovery is gathering steam.

Global warming campaigners don't like this one bit. They view the COVID-19 shutdown as a model for the future they want.

CFACT senior policy advisor Paul Driessen explains:

Echoing former Obama science advisor John Holdren, they want the United States and other modern societies to de-develop and de-industrialize, establish low-consumption life styles that ensure "more equitable distribution of wealth," and tell poor countries how much "ecologically feasible" development they will be permitted to pursue.

CFACT's Marc Morano posted extensive coverage to CFACT's Climate Depot including this royal pearl of wisdom from Britain's Prince Charles who told the World Economic Forum:

We have a unique but rapidly shrinking window of opportunity to learn lessons and reset ourselves on a more sustainable path... We have a golden opportunity to seize something good from this crisis. Its unprecedented shockwaves may well make people more receptive to big visions of change.

Does anyone remember Yvo de Boer?

CFACT does.

CFACT has been fully engaged with the UN climate change process since its inception. Yvo used to run it, until he left to cash in as a professional carbon trader.

"The only way that a 2015 agreement can achieve a 2-degree goal," de Boer said in 2013, "is to shut down the whole global economy."

Both the terror that prompted the COVID-19 lock down, and the frightening scenarios climate pressure groups launch into an all-too-receptive media, are grounded not in reality, but extreme scenarios in computer models. They have never approached reality.

As CFACT explained as the virus economic shut down was in its infancy: every dollar you spend, no matter how trivial, has an economic multiplier effect. In a free market economy that power is tremendous. When we withdraw from the marketplace, a reverse multiplier takes hold with a strangling grip.

Freedom is the right of every person on Earth. Like America's Founding Father's, we at CFACT hold that truth to be self-evident.

Freedom feeds the world. Socialism and control starve it.

Whatever challenge we face, it is economic freedom that will provide the means to meet it.





The Heartland INSTITUTE
Ideas that empower people

ANDREW BREITBART FREEDOM CENTER AT THE HEARTLAND INSTITUTE

On March 1, 2016, on the fourth anniversary of his death, The Heartland Institute dedicated the public meeting space in its new home in Arlington Heights in honor of Andrew Breitbart.

The Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center is a state-of-the-art meeting facility with seating for 75. Events are scheduled to take place every week in the space, featuring authors of new books, freedom activists and thinkers, and civic and business leaders.

"Andrew Breitbart was a genuine American hero," said Joseph Bast, president of The Heartland Institute, in a video announcing the dedication. "He inspired millions of people to stand up and speak the truth about what is happening in America. We could not be more pleased to have his name forever affixed to The Heartland Institute. We are honored that his family and friends believe this is a suitable addition to his legacy."

For more information about the Andrew Breitbart Freedom Center, please contact Nikki Comerford at ncomerford@heartland.org or 312/377-4000.



"Walk toward the fire. Don't worry about what they call you."
ANDREW BREITBART

The Heartland Institute is a national nonprofit research and education organization devoted to discovering, developing, and promoting free-market solutions to social and economic problems.

On Behalf of Environmentalists, I Apologize For The Climate



By Michael Shellenberger

I would like to formally apologize for the climate scare we created over the last 30 years. Climate change is happening. It's just not the end of the world. It's not even our most serious environmental problem.

I may seem like a strange person to be saying all of this. I have been a climate activist for 20 years and an environmentalist for 30.

But as an energy expert asked by Congress to provide objective expert testimony, and invited by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) to serve as Expert Reviewer of its next Assessment Report, I feel an obligation to apologize for how badly we environmentalists have misled the public.

Here are some facts few people know:

Humansarenotcausinga"sixthmassextinction"

The Amazon is not "the lungs of the world" Climate change is not making natural disasters worse Fires have declined 25% around the world since 2003

The amount of land we use for meat — humankind's biggest use of land — has declined by an area nearly as large as Alaska

The build-up of wood fuel and more houses near forests, not climate change, explain why there are more, and more dangerous, fires in Australia and California

Carbon emissions have been declining in rich nations for decades and peaked in Britain, Germany and France in the mid-seventies adapting to life below sea level made the Netherlands ribh not poor. We produce 25% more food than we need and food surpluses will continue to rise as te world gets hotter.

Habitat loss and the direct killing of wild animals are bigger threats to species than climate change. Wood fuel is far worse for people and wildlife than fossit fuels.

Preventing future pandemics requires more not less "industrial" agriculture. I know that the above facts will sound like "climate denialism" to many people. But that just shows the power of climate alarmism.

In reality, the above facts come from the best-available scientific studies, including those conducted by or accepted by the IPCC, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and other leading scientific bodies.

Some people will, when they read this imagine that I'm some right-wing anti-environmentalist. I'm not. At 17, I lived in Nicaragua to show solidarity with the Sandinista socialist revolution. At 23 I raised money for Guatemalan women's cooperatives. In my early 20s I lived in the semi-Amazon doing research with small farmers fighting land invasions. At 26 I helped expose poor conditions at Nike factories in Asia.

I became an environmentalist at 16 when I threw a fundraiser for Rainforest Action Network. At 27 I helped save the last unprotected ancient redwoods in California. In my 30s I advocated renewables and successfully helped persuade the Obama administration to invest $90 billion into them. Over the last few years I helped save enough nuclear plants from being replaced by fossil fuels to prevent a sharp increase in emissions

Until last year, I mostly avoided speaking out against the climate scare. Partly that's because I was embarrassed. After all, I am as guilty of alarmism as any other environmentalist. For years, I referred to climate change as an "existential" threat to human civilization, and called it a "crisis."

But mostly I was scared. I remained quiet about the climate disinformation campaign because I was afraid of losing friends and funding. The few times I summoned the courage to defend climate science from those who misrepresent it I suffered harsh consequences. And so I mostly stood by and did next to nothing as my fellow environmentalists terrified the public.

I even stood by as people in the White House and many in the news media tried to destroy the reputation

and career of an *continued on page 12*

Anti-Fossil Lobbyists *continued from p. 1*

present opposing "denial" facts and views.

Addressed to Facebook Oversight co-chair/former Denmark Prime Minister Ms. Helle Thorning-Schmidt, the letter chastises Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg for refusing to "acknowledge that climate denial on his platform is as dangerous a threat to future generations as any."

As one of the earliest CO2 Coalition members, I can offer firm assurance that none in the organization have ever denied the reality of climate change, a natural phenomenon that has been occurring for complex reasons over many millions of years.

Nor is there any dispute that the climate has been warming in fits and starts since the last ice age thawed about 12,000 years ago or so, and most recently since the Little ice age ended in the mid-1800s (before the Industrial Revolution introduced smokestacks and SUVs).

CO2 Coalition members include highly distinguished atmospheric physicists, climatologists and statisticians who indeed know a great deal about how climate and energy systems actually work. They are also very familiar with ways that computerized models that are widely used to project future global temperatures don't work.

Established in 2015 as a 501(c)(3), the CO2 Coalition publishes open source studies and articles. Some of these investigations have demonstrated that when tested after a few years against actual temperatures, model projections used by the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) have proved to run three times too hot.

CO2 Coalition Chair Patrick Moore and Executive Director Caleb Rossiter have also responded to the "denialist" charges with basic undeniable facts.

For example: "The UN IPCC and U.S. government scientific agencies agree that their data show no statistically significant increases in rates of sea-level rise, hurricanes, floods, wildfires, and other dangerous or damaging weather in the 70 years since carbon dioxide emissions became a factor in global temperature."

In reality, the models are based upon thousands of guesses about mathematical values to be put on many poorly understood variables and interactions over decades-long cycles. Or as Oxford physicist Fred Taylor says in his textbook, "Elementary Climate Physics," the models are "opaque" and "in their infancy."

The CO2 Coalition argues that demonstrably failed modeled projections are by their nature far too unlikely and uncertain to use as a basis for alarmist justifications to ban the source of 80 percent of U.S. and world energy in exchange for unreliable and costly "renewable" wind and solar energy. They point out that mining, shipping, refining, construction, transmission, and disposal of these intermittent sources of power are almost entirely fossil-fueled, thus hardly renewable.

In addition, wind and solar are also four times more expensive than natural gas-fired electricity and gasoline transportation.

The CO2 Coalition has invited the Climate Power 2020's letter signatories along with any others to "identify a single denial of a scientific or economic fact in our publications or public statements." They add, "Surely some of the answers will involve climate models, and even though model projections are more opinion than fact, more mathematical art than science, we look forward to such a debate."

Meanwhile, the Department of Justice is recently exploring a list of reform laws aimed at curbing biased censorship of information by Big Tech giants such as Facebook, Google, Twitter and YouTube.

Most particularly, DOJ is reexamining the infamous Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act of 1996, which provides immunity to online platforms from civil liability based on third-party content and for the removal of content in certain circumstances.

Congress originally enacted the statute to nurture a nascent industry while also incentivizing online platforms to remove content harmful to children. Expansive interpretation that courts have given Section 230, however, has left online platforms both immune for a wide array of illicit activity on their services and free to moderate content with little transparency or accountability.

Unfortunately, any such reforms may not be enough to keep Big Tech from moderating political content on so-called "misinformation" grounds using bogus "fact checking" by crony political cohorts.

This practice is famously pervasive in attacking climate alarm skeptics and publications.

In June, President Trump weighed in on this problem by issuing an Executive Order targeting viewpoint discrimination by Big Tech companies including Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, and Google.

As the president explained in a press conference, "One egregious example is when they try to silence views they disagree with by selectively applying a 'fact check.' What they choose to fact check and what they choose to ignore or even promote is nothing more than a political activism group or political activism. And it's inappropriate. If you look at what's happened, you look at where they're going, where they're coming from, I think you all see it yourselves."

Labeling articles or videos that express "climate change" skepticism as "fact checked false" are perfect examples.

Hope springs eternal.

Larry Bell is an endowed professor of space architecture at the University of Houston where he founded the Sasakawa International Center for Space Architecture (SICSA) and the graduate program in space architecture. Larry has written more than 600 articles for Newsmax and Forbes and is the author of several books.

Greens Promote *continued from p. 1*

Environmentalists had claimed the US Forest Service had no authority to issue the permit, because a 0.1-mile (530-foot) segment would cross 600 feet below the 2,200-mile-long Appalachian Trail, which is administered by the National Park Service. Justice Thomas's majority opinion scuttled that assertion.

Pipeline project developers Dominion Resources and Duke Energy should receive the USFS and other permits relatively soon – and have the pipeline in operation by early 2022 – unless a Biden administration takes over in 2021 (with AOC as woke climate and energy advisor to Biden and Democrats) and imposes Green New Deal bans on drilling, fracking, pipelines, and eventually any use of natural gas, oil and coal.

Meanwhile, environmentalist groups plan more lawsuits. They insist the pipeline would put rivers and streams at risk of increased sedimentation, scar pristine landscapes, and harm sensitive species.

These plans and assertions underscore how inflexible they have become in opposing any US fossil fuel use. How incapable of recognizing or rationally discussing the far greater human and ecological impacts from energy systems they favor. How reliant on blatant double standards and mob rule, instead of on rational, cohesive, persuasive discussion.

Barely a few years ago, the Sierra Club and allied groups gladly took $187 million and more from Michael Bloomberg, natural gas producers and other financiers to wage their War on Coal. Having closed down most US coal mines and power plants, they then turned gas from a "climate friendly bridge fuel" to evil incarnate. Today they to end fossil fuel use nationwide. Via delusion, incantation and cancellation of debate, they have convinced themselves that wind, solar, battery and biofuel "alternatives" are somehow "clean, green, renewable and sustainable." Reality says otherwise.

The Atlantic Coast Pipeline will be underground, mostly invisible beneath a grassy right-of-way. Any sedimentation will occur during short term construction operations, when some wildlife will be scared off or displaced for a spell. Any threat to sensitive species, even in the event of a leak, will be minimal.

In stark contrast, their preferred energy systems will have massive, permanent impacts – in Virginia and far beyond its borders. Virginia solar panels will blanket more than eight times the land area of Washington, DC. Hundreds of 850-foot-tall bird-killing wind turbines will create an enormous obstacle course for whales, ships and planes off the Virginia Beach coast. Many thousands of 1,200-pound batteries will provide backup power to replace coal and solar for a sunless, windless day or two.

Hundreds of miles of new transmission lines will soar into the sky and snake across the countryside. Just bringing wind-based electricity from West Virginia to Blacksburg, Roanoke and Lynchburg, Virginia – and solar energy from all those Virginia panels to Staunton and Harrisonburg – will require several new transmission lines across the Appalachian Trail. Not 600 feet below it; right across it.

But somehow, we and our courts are supposed to believe, all these enormous industrial facilities – and the blasting, tree clearing, machinery, noise and other impacts associated with building and maintaining them – will cause no stream sedimentation, landscape scarring or harm to sensitive species.

In reality, the radical greens, utility companies and Democrats promoting these projects under the Virginia "Clean" Economy Act will simply demand that courts ignore the arguments they raised and environmental laws they cited when they raged against coal and gas power plants and the pipelines and transmission lines associated with them. They'll demand that citizen groups opposed to these monstrous wind, solar and battery complexes be thrown out of court. They'll want the same double standards applied nationally.

Eliminating fossil fuels would mean America would have to replace 100% of its gasoline and all its oil and natural gas feed stocks for pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, paints, synthetic fibers, fertilizers – and plastics for cell phones, computers, car bodies, packaging, wind turbine blades, solar panel films and countless other products. That would require turning some 700 million acres of food crop and habitat land (four times the land area of Texas) into biofuel corn, sugarcane and canola plantations for ethanol and biodiesel.

More extreme versions of the Green New Deal would eliminate coal, gas and nuclear electricity and backup power, gas for home heating, coal and gas for factories, and internal combustion vehicles. We'd replace it all with wind or solar – and use wind or solar on good days to generate enough extra electricity to charge batteries for seven windless, sunless days. That's 8.5 billion megawatts – twice what we used in 2018!

We'd need some 75 billion solar panels ... or 4.2 million 1.8-MW onshore wind turbines ... or 320,000 10-MW offshore wind turbines ... and some 3.5 billion 100-kWh backup batteries. The concrete, steel, copper, lithium, rare earth elements, aluminum, cobalt, plastic and other materials to build them would require vastly more mining and manufacturing than the world has ever seen – nearly all of it with fossil fuels.

Environmentalists oppose almost all mining anywhere in the United States, and even by US companies operating overseas under rigorous Western rules. That means essential metals and minerals get mined and processed in places like Baotou, Inner Mongolia, Bolivia, and the Democratic Republic of Congo, mostly under Chinese control, under minimal to nonexistent labor, wage, environmental, reclamation, and worker health and safety regulations. The mining and industrial areas have become vast toxic wastelands.

For cobalt alone, over 40,000 Congolese children, as young as four years old, slave away alongside their parents in mines, for a dollar a day, risking cave-ins and being exposed constantly to filthy, toxic, radioactive mud, dust, water and air. That's today – for today's battery, solar panel and wind turbine needs. Imagine how many would be needed to serve the Green New Deal. 400,000 perhaps? 4,000,000?

China alone will soon have 200 times more coal-fired generation than Virginia will be shutting down. During 2020, says consulting company Wood Mackenzie, Europe and the United States will close down 22,000 megawatts of coal-fired power capacity – even as Asia opens 49,000 megawatts of new coal-fired power plants, on top of those it already has and in addition to its growing fleet of gas-fired units.

China is building or financing numerous coal and gas power plants in Africa and Asia. India already has hundreds of coal-fired units and is building or planning 400 more. China and India are also building or planning hundreds of new airports, and putting millions of new cars and trucks on their roads. That (plus the GND mining, processing and manufacturing) means, even if Virginia or the entire USA eliminated all fossil fuel use tomorrow – it wouldn't make an iota of difference for global carbon dioxide levels.

These environmental and human rights travesties can happen only under a system of rampant double standards: the same kinds that excoriate and ban religious services and funerals, anti-lockdown protests and Trump rallies – while permitting, excusing and praising Black Lives Matter marches that have too often turned into anti-police mobs, riots, looting, arson, beatings, and murders of people like David Dorn and Patrick Underwood, whose also precious black lives certainly haven't mattered much to this crowd.

They also require that the woke Campus Cancel Culture spread its intolerant, authoritarian rule to our cities, media, social media, and even legislative bodies and courts – to instill constant anger and anarchy, and silence, defame and punish anyone who dares to offer nuanced or contrarian viewpoints. Every victory brings new demands, with no accountability for the mayhem and destruction they inflict.

Why should rural, poor, minority and working class families and communities have to accept the ecological, health and economic damages inflicted in pursuit of this pseudo-renewable energy utopia? Why should Africans, Asians and Latin Americans have to accept slave status to advance this agenda?

The situation is coming to a head. Let's hope the now-silent majority can restore law, order, civil debate, thoughtful reflection on our complex history, and rational resolution of these thorny problems.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

Why Face Masks *continued from page 1*

N95-masked health-care workers (HCW) were significantly more likely to experience headaches. Face mask use in HCW was not demonstrated to provide benefit in terms of cold symptoms or getting colds.

Cowling, B. et al. (2010) "Face masks to prevent transmission of influenza virus: A systematic review," Epidemiology and Infection, 138(4), 449-456. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/epidemiology-and-infection/article/face-masks-to-prevent-transmission-of-influenza-virus-a-systematic- review/64D368496EBDE0AFCC6639CCC9D8BC05

None of the studies reviewed showed a benefit from wearing a mask, in either HCW or community members in households (H). See summary Tables 1 and 2 therein.

bin-Reza et al. (2012) "The use of masks and respirators to prevent transmission of influenza: a systematic review of the scientific evidence," Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses 6(4), 257–267. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00307.x

"There were 17 eligible studies. … None of the studies established a conclusive relationship between mask/respirator use and protection against influenza infection."

Smith, J.D. et al. (2016) "Effectiveness of N95 respirators versus surgical masks in protecting health care workers from acute respiratory infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis," CMAJ Mar 2016 https://www.cmaj.ca/content/188/8/567

"We identified six clinical studies … . In the meta-analysis of the clinical studies, we found no significant difference between N95 respirators and surgical masks in associated risk of (a) laboratory-confirmed respiratory infection, (b) influenza-like illness, or (c) reported work-place absenteeism."

Offeddu, V. et al. (2017) "Effectiveness of Masks and Respirators Against Respiratory Infections in Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," Clinical Infectious Diseases, Volume 65, Issue 11, 1 December 2017, Pages 1934–1942, https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/11/1934/4068747

Self-reported assessment of clinical outcomes was prone to bias. Evidence of a protective effect of masks or respirators against verified respiratory infection (VRI) was not statistically significant".

Radonovich, L.J. et al. (2019) "N95 Respirators vs Medical Masks for Preventing Influenza Among Health Care Personnel: A Randomized Clinical Trial," JAMA. 2019; 322(9): 824–833. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2749214

"Among 2862 randomized participants, 2371 completed the study and accounted for 5180 HCW-seasons. … Among outpatient health care personnel, N95 respirators vs medical masks as worn by participants in this trial resulted in no significant difference in the incidence of laboratory-confirmed influenza."

Long, Y. et al. (2020) "Effectiveness of N95 respirators versus surgical masks against influenza: A systematic review and meta-analysis," J Evid Based Med. 2020; 1- 9. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/jebm.12381

"A total of six RCTs involving 9,171 participants were included. There were no statistically significant differences in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza, laboratory-confirmed respiratory viral infections, laboratory-confirmed respiratory infection, and influenza-like illness using N95 respirators and surgical masks. Meta-analysis indicated a protective effect of N95 respirators against laboratory-confirmed bacterial colonization (RR = 0.58, 95% CI 0.43-0.78). The use of N95 respirators compared with surgical masks is not associated with a lower risk of laboratory-confirmed influenza."

Conclusion Regarding That Masks Do Not Work

No RCT study with verified outcome shows a benefit for HCW or community members in households to wearing a mask or respirator. There is no such study. There are no exceptions.

Likewise, no study exists that shows a benefit from a broad policy to wear masks in public (more on this below).

Furthermore, if there were any benefit to wearing a mask, because of the blocking power against droplets and aerosol particles, then there should be more benefit from wearing a respirator (N95) compared to a surgical mask, yet several large meta-analyses, and all the RCT, prove that there is no such relative benefit.

Masks and respirators do not work.

Precautionary Principle Turned on Its Head with Masks

In light of the medical research, therefore, it is difficult to understand why public-health authorities are not consistently adamant about this established scientific result, since the distributed psychological, economic, and environmental harm from a broad recommendation to wear masks is significant, not to mention the unknown potential harm from concentration and distribution of pathogens on and from used masks. In this case, public authorities would be turning the precautionary principle on its head (see below).

Physics and Biology of Viral Respiratory Disease and of Why Masks Do Not Work

In order to understand why masks cannot possibly work, we must review established knowledge about viral respiratory diseases, the mechanism of seasonal variation of excess deaths from pneumonia and influenza, the aerosol mechanism of infectious disease transmission, the physics and chemistry of aerosols, and the mechanism of the so-called minimum-infective-dose.

In addition to pandemics that can occur anytime, in the temperate latitudes there is an extra burden of respiratory-disease mortality that is seasonal, and *continued on page 12*

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PROJECT

The Week That Was July 11, 2020

Quote of the Week:

"No amount of experimentation can ever prove me right; a single experiment can prove me wrong."
– Albert Einstein

Number of the Week: *55% to 34% with no change in gross amount.*

This Week:

By Ken Haapala, President, Science and Environmental Policy Project (SEPP)

July Summary: Discussed in the previous three TWTWs, Richard Lindzen's paper, "An oversimplified picture of the climate behavior based on a single process can lead to distorted conclusions," contained nothing new, but provided an excellent basis for understanding what we know with reasonable certainty, what we suspect, and what we know is incorrect about climate change, the greenhouse effect, temperature trends, climate modeling, ocean chemistry, and sea level rise. Describing this knowledge, or lack thereof, will probably take two or three installments to complete in TWTW, but it may be a valuable reference in the future that can be modified as needed.

The guiding principle is expressed by Einstein in the quotation above and amplified by Feynman in his lectures. Test hypotheses against all relevant physical data, experimental and observational. If the hypothesis is wrong, its wrong. But you cannot prove it right.

Unfortunately, scientists in a number of organizations, such as the Union of Concerned Scientists, confuse hypothesis testing with cherry picking – the selection of data that supports the hypothesis, ignoring the rest. The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and its followers use this erroneous procedure by ignoring forty years of atmospheric temperature trends which show that whatever greenhouse gas warming is occurring is not dangerous.

Carbon dioxide (CO2) has many roles in life on this planet. It is vital that any government policy in curtailing human emissions of CO2 be based on full recognition of these roles and their relative importance. These roles include photosynthesis and the greenhouse effect. Both can influence climate. TWTW will attempt to discuss these roles as objectively as possible.

As stated in the June 27 TWTW, Lindzen wrote:

"The 'consensus' assessment of this system is today the following:

"In this complex multifactor system, the climate (which, itself, consists in many variables – especially the temperature difference between the equator and the poles) is described by just one variable, the global averaged temperature change, and is controlled by the 1—2% perturbation [deviation] in the energy budget due to a single variable (any single variable) among many variables of comparable importance. We go further and designate CO2 as the sole control. Although we are not sure of the budget for this variable, we know precisely what policies to implement in order to control it.

"How did such a naïve seeming picture come to be accepted, not just by the proponents of the issue, but also by most skeptics?" To which the paper adds: "After all, we spend much of our effort arguing about global temperature records, climate sensitivity, etc. In brief, we are guided by this line of thought."

Lindzen thinks the focusing on CO2 is not productive in addressing climate change and needs to be corrected. He reviews what is generally accepted about the climate system stating [edited from the original with direct quotations in italics]:

1. The core of the system consists in two turbulent fluids (the atmosphere and oceans) interacting with each other.

2. The two fluids are on a rotating planet that is differentially [unevenly] heated by the sun and unevenly absorbing the solar warming. Solar rays directly hit the equator and skim the earth at the poles resulting in uneven heating, which drives the circulation of the atmosphere. The result is heat transport from the equator towards the poles (meridional).

3. The earth's climate system is never in equilibrium. [Boldface added]

4. In addition to the oceans, the atmosphere is interacting with a hugely irregular land surface distorting the airflow, causing planetary scale waves, which are generally not accurately described in climate models.

5. A vital component of the atmosphere is water in its liquid, solid, and vapor phases, and the changes in phases have immense dynamic consequences. Each phase affects incoming and outgoing radiation differently. Substantial heat is released when water vapor condenses, driving thunder clouds. Further, clouds consist of water in the form of fine droplets and ice crystals. Normally, these are suspended by rising air currents, but when these grow large enough, they fall as rain and snow. The energies involved in phase changes are important, as well as the fact that both water vapor and clouds strongly affect radiation.

"The two most important greenhouse substances by far are water vapor and clouds. Clouds are also important reflectors of sunlight. These matters are discussed in detail in the IPCC WG1 reports, each of which openly acknowledge clouds as major sources of uncertainty in climate modeling." [Boldface added]

[However, the IPCC Summaries to Policymakers largely ignore these uncertainties.]

6. "The energy budget of this system involves the absorption and reemission of about 240 W/m2 [Watts per square meter]. Doubling CO2 involves a perturbation [deviation] a bit less than 2% to this budget (4 W/m2) So do changes in clouds and other features, and such changes are common. The earth receives about 340 W/m2 from the sun, but about 100 W/m2 is simply reflected back to space by both the earth's surface and, more importantly, by clouds. This would leave about 240 W/m2 that the earth would have to emit in order to establish balance. The sun radiates in the visible portion of the radiation spectrum because its temperature is about 6000 K. If the Earth had no atmosphere at all (but for purposes of argument still was reflecting 100 W/m2), it would have to radiate at a temperature of about 255 K, and, at this temperature, the radiation is mostly in the infrared."f

The oceans and the atmosphere introduce a host of complications including evaporation creating water vapor which strongly absorbs and emits radiation in the infrared.

"The water vapor essentially blocks infrared radiation from leaving the surface, causing the surface and (via conduction) the air adjacent to the surface to heat, and convection sets in. The combination of the radiative and the convective processes results in decreasing temperature with height [lapse rate]. To make matters more complicated, the amount of water vapor that the air can hold decreases rapidly as the temperature decreases. Above some height there is so little water vapor remaining that radiation from this level can now escape to space. It is at this elevated level (around 5 km) that the temperature must be about 255 K in order to balance incoming radiation. However, because the temperature decreases with height, the surface of the Earth now has to actually be warmer than 255 K. It turns out that it has to be about 288 K (which is indeed the average temperature of the earth's surface). The addition of other greenhouse gases (like CO2) increases further the emission level and causes an additional increase of the ground temperature. Doubling CO2 is estimated to be equivalent to a forcing of about 4W/m2 which is a little less than 2% of the net incoming 240 W/m2.

"The situation can actually be more complicated if upper-level cirrus clouds are present. They are very strong absorbers and emitters of infrared radiation and effectively block infrared radiation from below. Thus, when such clouds are present above about 5 km, their tops, rather than 5 km determine the emission level. This makes the ground temperature (i.e., the greenhouse effect) dependent on the cloud coverage.

"Many factors, including fluctuations of average cloud area and height, snow cover, ocean circulations, etc. commonly cause changes to the radiative budget comparable to that of doubling of CO2. For example, the net global mean cloud radiative effect is of the order of – 20 W/m2 (cooling effect). A 4 W/m2 forcing, from a doubling of CO2, therefore corresponds to only a 20% change in the net cloud effect. [Boldface added]

7. It is important to note that such a system will fluctuate with timescales ranging from seconds to millennia even in the absence of explicit forcing other than a steady sun. Much of the popular literature (on both sides of the climate debate) assumes that all changes must be driven by some external factor.

Even if the solar forcing were constant, the climate would vary. With the massive size of the oceans, such variations can involve timescales of millennia. Lindzen mentions the El Niño Southern Oscillation, which has a relatively short cycle, but for which we do not have a sufficiently long instrumental record to understand. The earth has other natural changes or oscillations that are not fully understood. The solar sunspot cycle lasts about 11 years, imperfectly.

"Restricting ourselves to matters that are totally uncontroversial does mean that the above description is not entirely complete, but it does show the heterogeneity, the numerous degrees of freedom, and the numerous sources of variability of the climate system."

After this review of the complexity of the climate system, Lindzen follows with the simplistic "consensus" assessment stated above.

It is important to note that the enormous complexity discussed above may take a thousand years to uncover. Further, these complexities that are internal to the earth do not include the complexities added by a changing sun, orbital changes of the Milankovitch cycles taking thousands of years, and changing intensity of high-energy cosmic rays hitting the globe as the solar system moves through the galaxy as suggested by the Svensmark Hypothesis, taking millions of years.

The next TWTW will continue with a summary of what we know with reasonable certainty about adding CO2 to the atmosphere. See links under Challenging the Orthodoxy (Lindzen's article not paywalled) and Article # 2 on earlier 20th century scientific beliefs about Mars.

Do Not Exaggerate: Writing in Master Resource, Robert Bradley brings up a 2009 article by Andrew Revkin, then a New York Times journalist. Though he did not agree with Revkin's views about climate change, Fred Singer, the late SEPP Chairman, respected Revkin. Bradley's essay demonstrates why. As the title of the article states: "In Climate Debate, Exaggeration Is a Pitfall."

Unfortunately, far too many writers and scientists have failed to heed this advice. The result is ignoring other views no matter how well founded they may be. See links under Communicating Better to the Public – Exaggerate, or be Vague?

The Science & Environmental Policy Project began in late 1990 as a research effort by atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer and a handful of graduate students working out of a small office in downtownWashington, D.C.The Project had two goals: (1) to gather material for a book on global environmental issues,and (2) to conduct a survey of scientists affiliated with the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine the extent of what was, even then, being touted as scientific "consensus" on global warming.

What the graduate students discovered with their survey convinced Dr. Singer to set his book aside and instead to circulate among senior members of the American Meteorological Society a "Statement by Atmospheric Scientists" on greenhouse warming, which was quickly endorsed by 46 leading climatologists and atmospheric physicists (and eventually by nearly 100). That statement, issued on February 27, 1992, drew widespread media attention and was cited more than 150 times in the press. It was the first inkling journalists had that there was no scientific consensus on the reality of a global warming. Indeed, there was raging debate.

The Science & Environmental Policy Project was incorporated in the state of Virginia in 1992, and established as a nonprofit, 501(c)3 educational organization in late 1993.Today, having expanded from that core of 46 scientists, it draws on an international network of some of the finest minds in science who donate their time to promoting sound, credible, peer-reviewed research as the only basis for the health and environmental policies that affect us all.

You can help in two ways:

1. Download SEPP reports, press releases, and articles and pass them on – to newspaper editors, journalists, educators, civic leaders, and others. Help stop the fearmongering and the distortion of science.This is the most important thing you can do.

2. Support SEPP with your tax-deductible contributions. The environmental pressure groups, which present so much wrong information, have a combined clout of more than $1 billion a year.The Science & Environmental Policy Project has had far-reaching impact on less than one-hundredth of one percent of that figure. It's money well and effectively spent.

Send your contributions to:

The Science & Environmental Policy Project
Attn: Fundraising
1600 South Eads Street, Suite #712-S
Arlington,VA 22202-2907
Tel/Fax 703-920-2744

And thank you.

Another giant of science has passed

Dennis Avery, coauthor of Unstoppable Global Warming – Every 1,500 Years, has died



By Paul Dreissen

Another giant of science, history and scholarship has left our world. Dennis T. Avery died June 21 at age 83. With the passing of this "gifted scholar and communicator, the world became a less interesting place," former Heartland Institute president and CEO Joe Bast commented.

Avery's career was indeed remarkable for its breadth and depth. Born in 1936 in Lansing, Michigan, he earned degrees in journalism and economics from Michigan State University and the University of Wisconsin, then worked for a variety of federal government agencies before retiring in 1989 as Senior Agricultural Analyst for the U.S. State Department. Among his many other awards and honors, he received the National Intelligence Medal of Achievement in 1983.

After moving to northwestern Virginia in 1990, he launched the Center for Global Food Issues and began his international consulting, lecturing and writing career. His farming operations practiced no-till agriculture, which utilizes biotech seeds and herbicides to help preserve soil structure and organisms, moisture, organic matter and nutrients, thereby improving drainage and soil biodiversity, while reducing erosion. Avery practiced and studied what he wrote about in his books and articles.

His 1995 book Saving the Planet with Pesticides and Plastic: The Environmental Triumph of High-Yield Farming was used in classrooms throughout the world. His 2007 New York Times bestseller, Unstoppable Global Warming: Every 1500 Years(coauthored by S. Fred Singer) became "the Bible of the fast-growing 'global warming skeptics' movement," Bast said. (Dr. Singer died in April 2020 at 95.) Avery's last manuscript, Climate and Collapse, will be published posthumously.

For many years he monitored developments in world food production, farm product demand, the safety and security of food supplies, and the sustainability of world agriculture. As a staff member of the President's National Advisory Commission on Food and Fiber, he wrote the Commission's landmark report, Food and Fiber for the Future. He traveled widely as a speaker, testified before Congress, appeared on many radio and television news programs, and was often quoted in publications like Time magazine, The Washington Post and The Farm Journal.

Dennis was a frequent speaker at climate change conferences hosted by The Heartland Institute (available on YouTube), contributed to the Climate Change Reconsidered series produced by the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), and traveled to Katowice, Poland to join other scholars in presenting contrarian, real-world opinions on climate change at the United Nations 24th Conference of the Parties (COP-24) in December 2018.

Countless people read his informative and entertaining articles on energy, environmental and agricultural issues, and on climate changes throughout history, as warmer periods helped civilizations to flourish, while little ice ages and droughts caused many to disappear.

I was honored to edit and distribute some of his columns, and delighted to hoist occasional beers with him while we discussed these topics and the sad decline of civil, civilized discourse and debate over them in recent years. As Joe Bast observed, "In an age when angry exchanges between partisans get much more attention than scholarship and debate, Dennis maintained a dignified but not silent presence. He was a true gentleman and scholar, willing to calmly and patiently explain complex issues, even as others tried to shout down or cancel opposing views" – or even prosecute and jail anyone presenting those views.

My family and I spent a glorious day on his farm, feeding and enjoying the animals, savoring a delicious dinner prepared by his delightful wife and Center for Global Food Issues associate Anne, and chatting on into the night about multiple topics. His friends remember him as "inquisitive, articulate, energetic, humble and well informed" – as much as for his "ready smile and quick dry wit." Dennis was admired and loved by his family and his many colleagues and friends around the United States and world. He revered history and conducted lengthy, painstaking research in search of the truth on every topic he addressed, even when truth was uncomfortable.

Dennis is survived by his wife Anne, sons Adam and Alex, daughter Amy, stepson Kevin, brother Lawrence, sister Carol, and five grandchildren. A celebration of his life will be held at a later date. Memorials may be given to Covenant Presbyterian Church (2001 North Coalter Street, Staunton, VA 24401) or a charity of choice in his name.

We will all miss him, but his amazing legacy will live on.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.



Dennis Avery *cont. from page 1*

myself in New York City in February 2008 at the Marriott Marquis in Times Square attending the first International Conference on Climate Change (ICCC) sponsored by the Heartland Institute. The very first session I attended featured Dr. Dennis Avery explaining the folly of biofuels from ethanol made from corn or switchgrass to biodiesel made from palm oil. His conclusions: Palm oil plantations wipe out orangutans and burning food for fuel makes no sense from any ecological perspective.

After his presentation I experienced the warmth of his personality when I approached him with some lingering questions and clarifications. We shared dining tables at several of the ensuing convention meals which revealed the endless depth of his personal genuineness and love of truth. I began to seek out and read many of his other works which are numerous and quite extensive in subject matter. But all of his writing tapestries contained the base fabric of clear, concise and easily understood prose colored and decorated with fully transparent data sets and reference support.

At the third ICCC held in Washington, DC in June 2009, I luckily found myself face to face with Dennis in the breakfast buffet line. He invited me to join him and a guest of his at their table. His guest turned out to be a newly elected member of the Australian parliament of the Family Values party. He came to the ICCC to find out the truth about CO2 and its actual role in the climate system. Strangely, once this gentleman found out I was just a regular guy with great interest in this subject and committed to seeing truth be expounded to the world about it, he began the 20 question game. Except it was probably 30. The fact that I wasn't some self proclaimed "climate expert" apparently made my opinions and conclusions quite valuable to him. When he returned to Australia this man ended up casting the deciding vote against implementing a carbon tax.

Dr. Dennis Avery's legacy lives on, like that of his co-author and others like Dr. Robert Carter and Dr. William Gray, who gifted the world collections of truth gems before they left us. Those of us left here committed to evangelizing the limited role carbon dioxide plays in the climate system and the folly of pursuing biofuels as large scale energy sources will utilize every wonderful piece of Dennis' intellectual efforts.

Thank you, Dennis, for all you have done for the world and all you have given us. And I personally thank you for your kindness and generosity, and for being the man that I am striving to be everyday.



"Homicide Detectives are investigating the murder of Marc Angelucci in Cedar Pines Park" from SBSD

HomeNews"Homicide Detectives are investigating the murder of Marc Angelucci in Cedar Pines Park" from SBSD

"Homicide Detectives are investigating the murder of Marc Angelucci in Cedar Pines Park" from SBSD

July 12, 2020 Malia Dietz News 0

Homicide Detectives are investigating the murder of Marc Angelucci in Cedar Pines ParkDATE/TIME: Saturday, July 11, 2020 4:03 p.m.

INCIDENT: Murder Investigation

LOCATION: 22400 Glenwood Drive, Cedar Pines Park (Twin Peaks jurisdiction)

VICTIM: Marc Angelucci, 52 years old, resident of Cedar Pines Park

SUSPECT: Unknown male

SUMMARY:

On Saturday, July 11, 2020 at 4:03 p.m. Twin Peaks deputies responded to a report of a shooting at 22400 Glenwood Drive. Upon arrival deputies found the victim, later identified as Marc Angelucci, unresponsive and suffering from apparent gunshot wounds. Medical aid also responded and Angelucci was pronounced deceased at the scene.

The investigation is ongoing. The motive for the shooting is unknown at this time.

Detectives are asking anyone that witnessed the shooting or has information to contact Detective Simon Demuri, Specialized Investigations Division – Homicide Detail at (909)387-3589. Callers can remain anonymous and contact WeTip at 800-78CRIME or www.wetip.com.

Refer: Detective Simon Demuri or Sergeant A.J. Gibilterra

Station: Specialized Investigations Division – Homicide Detail

Phone No. (909)387-3589

Case No. DR#052000948 H#2020-060

JOHN McMAHON, Sheriff-Coroner

San Bernardino County Sheriff-Coroner Department

Address/Location

SBSD – Twin Peaks Sheriff's Department

26010 CA-189

Twin Peaks, CA 92391

Contact

Emergency: 9-1-1

From My Cold,Dead Hands

The Second Amendment Under Assault



By Gary Wickert

On June 28, St. Louis residents Mark and Patricia McCloskey became folk heroes to many law-abiding American citizens when they confronted a violent Black Lives Matters mob on their private property. The McCloskeys were armed with a pistol and an AR-15 rifle. The trespassers broke down a security gate leading into the gated community and were on the McCloskey's property when they were confronted. It was a clear example of why our Founding Fathers elevated the private right to keep and bear arms higher than any other right besides free speech and freedom of religion. It is what generations of Americans have been taught to do when confronted with those who would threaten danger to our persons or property. The video of the confrontation when viral and the assault on the McCloskeys has only just begun. Shortly thereafter, the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department executed an unlawful search warrant and seized the couple's legally owned Colt .223 caliber AR-15.

Missouri's Castle Doctrine allows residents to use force against intruders, without the duty to retreat, based on the notion that your home is your "castle." This legal doctrine assumes that if an invader disrupts the sanctity of your home, they intend to do you harm and therefore you should be able to defend yourself and your property. Ignoring the law, St. Louis Circuit Attorney Kimberly Gardner, a liberal Democrat who has a history of attacking the police and releasing violent criminals, claims to have been "alarmed" by the incident and indicated she planned to "use the full power of Missouri law" to charge the St. Louis couple for a "violent assault." Her election campaign in 2016 was partially funded by liberal billionaire George Soros. Gardner is known for having release every single rioter and looter arrested during the recent rioting over the death of George Floyd.

The McCloskeys experience depicts precisely why the ownership of a semi-automatic weapon such as the AR-15 is both necessary and constitutionally legal. The once subtle threat to take guns from Americans is no longer subtle. Democratic presidential candidate Beto O'Rouke did not mince words when he said, "Hell yes, we are going to take your AR-15, your AK-47." Exactly how an O'Rourke Administration would seize 20 million such firearms wasn't exactly made clear. Congressman David Cicilline (D-RI) proudly announced he would propose an outright ban on any "semi-automatic firearm with a detachable magazine." While Democrats have never been particularly astute in their knowledge of firearms, Cicilline is probably unaware that his proposed ban would include most handguns, including small caliber pistols such as the Ruger LCP .380 ACP and other pistols that fit in the palm of your hand. New Jersey Senator Corey Booker favors a massive mandatory buyback of "assault weapons." But when Australia attempted such a ban and buyback in 1996, the result was removal of only approximately 20% of such guns from private ownership. Subsequent research revealed that the government-funded buyback of guns in Australia had no effect in reducing the number of firearm deaths. O'Rourke's plan was for a gun registry, licensing for every firearm, and removal of every assault rifle from the streets of America.

The warrant issued for the McCloskey's home and the seizure of their weapons, despite the fact that the guns were lawfully owned and lawfully used, is an example of the lengths the left will go to in order to remove scary-looking weapons from law-abiding citizens. The result, of course, is that only criminals (who normally do not respond well to buybacks or obey laws) will be armed. Politicians fall into one of two camps: those who trust the government and those who trust the people. The adage "When guns are outlawed, only outlaws will have guns," is attributable to Stanford law professor John Kaplan. Kaplan pointed out that when a law criminalizes behavior that its practitioners d not believe improper, the new outlaws lose respect for society and the law—especially when the number of new outlaws is extremely high, as with alcohol, marijuana, or gun prohibition. Banning guns would create millions of new criminals out of law-abiding citizens who believe they must possess the means to defend themselves and their property, new legislation notwithstanding.

Scary gun-hating liberals do not think through the real-life ramifications of their emotion-driven proposals. Gun law do not disarm criminals, they only disarm the law-abiding Mark and Patricia McCloskeys of the world. Gun-haters focus their rhetoric on the alleged evils of guns and gun owners, but they devote little attention to the particulars of devising an effective solution to gun violence. Legal, private gun ownership does much more to prevent gun violence than any law currently on the books. Disarming criminals would be impossible. There are between 100 and 140 million guns in the United States, a third of them handguns. According to David Hardy in "Critiquing the Case for Handgun Prohibition," the ratio of people who commit handgun crimes each year to handguns is 1:400 and that of handgun homicides to handguns is 1:3,600. Because the ratio of handguns to handgun criminals is so high, Hardy documents that the criminal supply would continue with barely an interruption. Even if 90% of American handguns disappeared, there would still be 40 left for every handgun criminal.

Current gun laws are plenty. No state allows people with recent violent felony convictions to purchase firearms. Yet the National Institute of Justice survey of prisoners, many of whom were repeat offenders, showed that 90% were able to buy a gun the moment they were released from prison—many within a few hours. If every gun in America disappeared instantly, the resupply for criminals would be swift and plenty. If small handguns were imported in the same physical volume as marijuana, 20 million would enter the country every year. The only people without guns would be the victims of crime.

Most Democrats strategically say that they do not want to take away our guns.

But their actions belie their words. A recently CNN headline read, "Democrats have spent years denying they'll take people's guns. Not anymore." They target "weapons designed to kill people." No definition of the weapons that fit this category is given. None is needed. They are all designed to kill people…and anything else they are pointed at. That is the purpose of weapons. The National Institute of Justice issued a grant to the American Sociological Association to survey the field of research on gun control. ASA president Peter Rossi began his work convinced of the need for strict national gun control. After looking at the data, however, Rossi and his University of Massachusetts colleagues James Wright and Kathleen Daly changed their minds. They concluded that there was no convincing proof that gun control curbs crime. The study also revealed that three-fifths of the prisoners surveyed said that they would not attack a potential victim who was known to be armed. Two-fifths of them had in the past opted not to commit a crime specifically because they thought the victim might have a gun. Criminals in states with higher civilian gun ownership rates worried the most about armed victims.

Private ownership of firearms has had a tremendous effect on gun violence in America. According to the Heritage Foundation and the National Crime Victimization Survey, violent crime in America is down and has been on the decline for decades. Suicides and illegally-owned guns are the principal public safety concern—not mass shootings. Over 50% of murders occur in 2% of the nation's 3,142 counties. There is no clear relationship between strict gun control legislation and homicide or violent crime rates. It turns out that concealed carry permit holders are not the problem, but they may be part of the solution. The Second Amendment is quite clear: "A well-regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed." And as the Supreme Court has clearly said, the right to bear arms for self-defense is the right of the people not the privilege of a few. If we are to live by the law, our first step must be to obey the Constitution.

MPS and Jim Crow

"But he that hateth his brother is in darkness, and walketh in darkness, and knoweth not whither he goeth, because that darkness hath blinded his eyes." I John 2:11

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

On June 30th, 2020 Black Racists and White-guilt Socialists have once again disavowed responsibility for their failures. Instead they blamed white people in other communities, many of whom had been driven out of their Milwaukee neighborhood by ethnic cleansing and street terrorism.

According to the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel's Annysa Johnson, "MPS board to begin discussions on desegregating schools in southeastern Wisconsin." That means, they are not satisfied with their jurisdiction, and are looking for new students to torture, new pockets to pick, and new schools to ruin. The MPS Board calls your gang-free school, "hyper-segregation" which is a lie. MJS continues, "The board unanimously passed a resolution last week calling on activists, elected officials and others to develop a regional plan to desegregate schools and reduce inequities among schools in the region." That means ramming your taxes sky-high to pay for the crime they mean to export. Board members have said, "it must also address the myriad factors that have created and maintained what is a white ring around a predominantly black and brown city — from housing and transportation to job creation and economic development." Never mind Black Lives Matter destroys economic development every summer. Milwaukee is a predominantly Black city because of black violence and black racism. Fifty years of bussing has led to every school in the MPS system being destroyed by black people.

"The purpose of this resolution is to really raise the ante, to publicly push school districts, municipalities, county boards to address how they're going to help end Jim Crow in metro Milwaukee." Jim Crow wasn't really here in Milwaukee, and ended in America in 1964. Check your calendars you illiterate socialist idiots. "Systematic, institutional racism that has been part of this region's history since the first white people came and took the land from Native Americans," said board member Bob Peterson. Do want the Potawatomie run MPS lefty Bob? They would probably do a better job than you. We are sorry you are ashamed of yourself Bob, but punish yourself, and leave the children alone.

The racist Sequanna Taylor said "it is time for well-meaning leaders and residents in surrounding communities to move beyond protests and proclamations and take actions that show they believe Black lives matter. For those individuals or organizations or boards who have stated that they stand with Black Lives Matter, or they stand with equity, we would like it to be seen in action, not just in words," said Taylor, who also sits on the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors.

According to MJS, "MPS board members believe that many people across Wisconsin and the country have come to those realizations in the weeks following the May 25 death of George Floyd in police custody in Minneapolis." Many cowards in Wisconsin are catering to violent Black Lives Matter criminals.

According to MJS, "Even within Milwaukee, because of white flight, open enrollment, school choice (School choice has an income cap, and isn't available to most white people.) and other factors, most Black students attend schools that are considered hypersegregated. That is, schools with 90% or more students of color." Those schools aren't hyper-segregated, they are hyper-ruined, by criminals their liberal allies. (90% people of color means that is share of the MPS responsibility by people of color is 90%, but complainers never take responsibility. You have yourselves to blame. Grow up.) The same hypocrites don't consider Howard University hyper-segregated, because it is Black people in charge of the segregation. Last year the socialists complained that "students of color" which are 90% of the student body make up 90% of the discipline problems, as if the little criminals were being picked on unduly.

Here is the deal MPS, when someone's son is mugged or their daughter is raped, they will move to Minocqua, or the North pole, or they will live in tree to get away from your crime. The Milwaukee County Constitution Party calls for Milwaukee Public Schools to be abolished, and those resources turned over to better teachers, who will educate children, and teach them to do something constructive, instead of nursing hate-filled grudges from 55 and 155 years ago.



Shameless Gore Goes Woke

By Craig Rucker

Never let a crisis go to waste.

As America confronts real issues of race, justice and civil order, global warming campaigners can't resist shamelessly glomming on.

CFACT's Marc Morano has detailed coverage at Climate Depot.

Here's Al Gore:

These issues are inextricably braided together...The one stupid trick that white supremacists have used for 150 years is to accentuate racial division in order to build support among lower-income, majority-white voters for a corporate agenda that actually hurts them. It does this by increasing the amount of pollution in their communities... The training is about a good deal more than simply learning the facts and figures and narratives that make up the slideshow. It will have a deep and sustained emphasis on the nexus between racism and diversity and the climate challenge... I'm optimistic that joining together the climate movement and the racial equality movement will give us strength in numbers that will ultimately lead to success.

Divide and conquer much?

Consider National Review's John O'Sullivan's first law:

"All organizations that are not actually right-wing will over time become left-wing."

Here's the Sierra Club, which could be the poster child for once venerable organizations that have been co-opted by the Left and radicalized:

We will never survive the climate crisis without ending white supremacy... Racism Is Killing the Planet: The ideology of white supremacy leads the way toward disposable people and a disposable natural world... When the United States pours carbon pollution into the air, knowing that people in countries that have contributed much less to the climate crisis will face the worst of the consequences, that is white supremacy... When big polluters try to buy our democracy so they can keep making money by devaluing the lives of people of color, that is white supremacy... When you come to see and understand these intersections between white supremacy and environmental destruction, you'll find yourself at a crossroads. That crossroads will force you to decide which side you're on.

Every person on Earth has a right to equal justice under law.

That's worth fighting for.

Keep your inaccurate climate computer models and inefficient, subsidized, "renewable" energy fortunes, and the energy poverty that flows from them, out of it.

Other Epidemic *cont. from page 1*

are not receiving commensurate scrutiny.

In Milwaukee, homicides have increased at a truly alarming pace, with the city already having nearly surpassed its murder count for all of 2019 in a little over half a year, backsliding to a level not seen in decades. Last week, five people were shot and killed in less than one day. In Madison, two more shooting deaths last week have similarly pushed the city well past its previous year's murder count.

The impact of the coronavirus outbreak has undoubtedly shaped this uptick: as individuals are confined to their homes, we tragically see more instances of domestic violence, with murders of family members and intimate partners more than doubling their share of Milwaukee homicides.

To be sure, this is not a problem that is unique to Wisconsin: around the United States, homicide and gun violence rates are spiking, with cities like Philadelphia, Chicago, New York, and Atlanta suffering from dramatic increases in their murder rates. Over the July 4th holiday weekend alone, those four cities saw 199 shootings lead to 37 deaths, with at least 6 children shot and killed. These tragic, unnecessary deaths only serve to compound the already unbearable number of lives lost to the ongoing coronavirus epidemic.

Over the past few months, crime and criminal justice issues have become central to our lives, yet little concrete action has been taken by our state government. We have seen the problem of violent crime growing; at the same time, people throughout Wisconsin and the nation have demanded increased accountability from law enforcement.

Earlier this year, my Republican colleagues and I introduced a set of proposals that provided a broad set of solutions to repairing our criminal justice system. These included bills aimed at protecting domestic violence victims; strengthening our gun laws; increasing accountability and transparency into the actions of prosecutors and judges; making it harder for repeat offenders to slip through the cracks; and ensuring the safety of witnesses who come forward to testify. Unfortunately, our counterparts on the other side of the aisle largely opposed these common-sense measures, with Governor Evers vetoing many of them. Moreover, many Democrats have even misguidedly called for defunding our police departments at a time when they are needed most.

I believe that ensuring the safety of our citizens is our government's paramount responsibility. However, we have clearly not done enough. We need to work towards providing our law enforcement officers with the resources they need to do the difficult jobs we ask of them. We can do this while at the same time expecting true accountability and transparency from our police departments. I am confident that, if we work together, there is a clear path forward towards a better Wisconsin, one that ensures both the safety of our communities and protects the well-being and dignity of every one of our citizens.

Statue Destroyed Of Famed Black Abolitionist Frederick Douglass On Anniversary Of Notable Speech

By Ryan Saavedra

A statue of famed black abolitionist Frederick Douglass was destroyed at Maplewood Park in Rochester, New York, over the weekend, which comes on the anniversary of a notable speech that Douglass delivered at an Independence Day event in the city in 1852.

"The base and lower part of the statue was damaged, as was a finger on the statue's left hand," the Rochester Democrat and Chronicle reported. "There is historical significance to the timing of the vandalism — though no one can now say whether the timing was mere happenstance — just as there is historical significance to the statue's very location. The Maplewood Park location includes Kelsey's Landing, where Douglass, Harriet Tubman, and others helped shuttle slaves to safety along the Underground Railroad."

Carvin Eison, who helped bring the statue to the city, told the local newspaper that the statue was too damaged to be repaired, but indicated that another statue would be created to take its place.

"Rochester Police are investigating damage done to a statue of Frederick Douglass in Maplewood Park," WXXI News reported. "It happened over the weekend, and police say that the statue was torn off its base, and left about 50 feet from its pedestal. The statue had been placed over the fence to Genesee River gorge and was leaning against the fence."

The destruction of the statue comes on the 168th anniversary of a notable speech that Douglass gave where he said, "The fact is, ladies and gentlemen, the distance between this platform and the slave plantation, from which I escaped, is considerable — and the difficulties to be overcome in getting from the latter to the former, are by no means slight. That I am here today is, to me, a matter of astonishment as well as of gratitude."

"This, for the purpose of this celebration, is the 4th of July," Douglass later added. "It is the birthday of your National Independence, and of your political freedom. This, to you, is what the Passover was to the emancipated people of God. It carries your minds back to the day, and to the act of your great deliverance; and to the signs, and to the wonders, associated with that act, and that day."

The destruction of the statue comes as far-left rioters have destroyed numerous historical statues and monuments in recent weeks, including "statues of former Presidents George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, Ulysses S. Grant," as well as the attempted destruction of a "statue of former President Andrew Jackson before being stopped by law enforcement," The Daily Wire reported. "Statues of the man who wrote "The Star-Spangled Banner," a notable person from the Catholic church, and a Holocaust memorial have also been destroyed in recent days."

This is a breaking news story, refresh the page for updates.

The Daily Wire, headed by bestselling author and popular podcast host Ben Shapiro, is a leading provider of conservative news, cutting through the mainstream media's rhetoric to provide readers with the most important, relevant, and engaging stories of the day. Get inside access to The Daily Wire by becoming a member.





GOVERNMENT CIRCUS

Our Nation and State

Dear Mayor, Senator or Representative,

We have already lost our culture, our schools and universities, government bureaucracy, the media, entertainment, and more! Now we are losing our history, our monuments, our law enforcement, and any sense of order. What are you saying? More importantly, what are you doing? PLEASE, PLEASE, give us a reason to support, let alone vote for you! Right now, there is no rational reason why we should.

WAKE UP!

Respectfully,

Gerry Buettner, Brookfield, WI

Take Back Our Country

By Cliff DeTemple

The time has come for the silent majority to stand up and be counted. It is time for our Republican leaders in this state to finally say "enough is enough." It is time to take back our education system. It is time to stand up and proudly say "ALL LIVES MATTER" and "AMERICA IS GREAT." It is time put a stop to the ridiculous "defund the police" movement that, believe it or not, is actually happening right now. How long will we sit back and watch good people have their lives destroyed because they had the audacity to say "all lives matter," while burning buildings, tearing down statues and blocking traffic is called "free speech?"

Governor Evers locked down the State of Wisconsin, and he did it in the most tyrannical fashion. He devastated small businesses while allowing corporate giants to flourish. He closed state parks and refused to open golf courses, although both would have encouraged safe social distancing while allowing citizens and families healthy outlets. Governor Evers decimated our job climate putting hundreds of thousands of people out of work and then sat on his hands while people could not get through to the unemployment office. Not a word from our leaders for months.

We need to put an end to the term "peaceful" protests because blocking traffic, vandalizing private businesses, and targeting citizens is not "petitioning the government for a redress of grievances." This is criminal extortion and a violation of our Constitutional rights. If our elected representatives to local, state, and federal government refuse to fight for us then "WE THE PEOPLE" must rise up and do it ourselves. I'm willing to take that fight to Washington on behalf of the people of Wisconsin's 5th Congressional District.

Cliff DeTemple is a candidate in the August 11th Republican Primary for Wisconsin's 5th Congressional District. www.detemple.us

Candidates for State Assembly

I attended an meeting at out MLK office last night and we have great candidates running for Assembly all over Milwaukee!

Helmut Fritz for Assembly

19 th District from Edgewood down to Oklahoma, Bayview against Brostoff

General 2 — Abie For Assembly

17th Assembly Crowley's vacated seat.

Is anyone running against Christine Siniki?

We have a Latino Marine in the 8th plus a few more including Orlando and Olle Cox We need to get the word out allover the city, like now!

Peter Gilbert



The Problem Needing Interposition of the Lesser Magistrate



By Dr. Wayne C. Sedlak Ph.D

On the night of March 11, 2020, the world changed. The NBA suspended its season. Now don't get me wrong. I like basketball but I don't watch it much. But one a multi-billion-dollar industry shuts down overnight, followed by ALL other multi-billion-dollar industries, then I think you agree the world is changed… drastically.

And not for the better.

Within days, orders from the Federal Government and states began to restrict the number of people who could gather. They closed schools. They closed millions of businesses. Unemployment skyrocketed past Great Depression numbers. The bond market is nearly collapsed, making credit in the future months a potential impossibility.

You've heard much about all of this already. What do we do about it?

If there was a bubonic plague like potential, then perhaps the remedy – lock downs – may have made some sense. BUT WE WERE LIED TO. THE PEOPLE WERE PANICKED INTO FALSE COMPLIANCE. Draconian methods of police attacks were made across the world. As this letter goes to the streets, a woman is being thrown to the ground by no less than five New York city cops and handcuffed. Her crime? She did not have her face fully covered. I thought that was only for Islamic nations. Not so.

But remember all this is based upon the following STATISTICAL MODELS of Neil Ferguson and the Imperial College of England, Dr. Birx, Dr. Fauci, and here in Wisconsin Dr. Andrea Palm with the ever-increasingly strident Gov. Tony Evers.





The Biden Center Caught Concealing Massive Amounts Of Money Coming From China

Move over Tara Reid we have a new scandal that is starting to take shape, the Biden Center at the University of Pennsylvania has been caught concealing dirty money. It has been alleged that the center has received tens of millions of dollars from China and failed to disclose it.

According to the report published by Campus Reform, The Biden Center at UPenn is under scrutiny over "gifts" from China that were not correctly disclosed to the federal government.

The center, named in honor of Democrat presidential candidate Joe Biden, accepted a $22 million gift from an anonymous Chinese donor. Federal law requires any foreign gift over $250,000 must be disclosed to the government. Whoever receives the gift must also disclose the identity of the donor.

The National Legal and Policy Center filed a complaint last week with the Department of Education over the University of Pennsylvania's Biden Center for Diplomacy and Global Engagement's failure to disclose these gifts from China.

Since 2017 alone, when the Biden Center opened and after Joe Biden announced he was running for President in April 2018, the university received over $70 million from China, of which $22 million were listed as "Anonymous." Federal law requires the disclosure of the source of all donations over $250,000. The complaint also requests a referral to the Department of Justice to file an enforcement action seeking compliance and to recoup all the costs of the investigation.

"From 2013-2019, the University of Pennsylvania received more than $67 million from China sources. More significantly, after the Penn Biden Center opened here in Washington, D.C., in February 2018, the China gifts poured in all the more, and continued after Biden announced his candidacy of the presidency on April 25, 2019," the complaint alleges.

UPenn spokesman Stephen MacCarthy told Campus Reform, "the University is in compliance with federal foreign gift disclosure requirements. As to their allegations regarding the connection of gifts to the Penn Biden Center, that is completely untrue. In fact, it is total nonsense. We have never solicited any gifts for the Penn Biden Center. Since its inception in 2017 there have been three unsolicited gifts (from two donors) which combined total $1,100. Neither of the donors were from China."

I think Mr. McCarthy was missing some zeros.



Joe Biden brags that he released 21 years of tax returns while in office. How come no one ever asks him how he ever afforded to buy this massive estate from the Dupont's while he was on a government salary for 50 years? #TrumpTaxReturns

No, Mr. Durham. We Need Your Report Before Election Day

By Roger L. Simon

Fox's Brooke Singman, who has been perspicacious in her coverage of the probe of the Russia probe, had a disturbing "exclusive" on July 9, with the headline: "Durham, under pressure to wrap up investigation, could 'punt' to after Election Day: source"

Wouldn't we love to know who her "source" or "sources" were? But never mind for the moment. The story begins:

"U.S. Attorney John Durham is under pressure to wrap up his investigation into the origins of the Russia probe by the end of the summer, but if he doesn't, he could wait to reveal his findings or initiate prosecutions until after the 2020 presidential election, Fox News has learned.

"Two sources familiar with Durham's investigation told Fox News this week that Durham is working expeditiously to try to finish the probe by the end of summer, but that several lines of investigation are not yet complete.

"'He believes it's critical to do them,' one source said. 'He is feeling more pressure to get this done and wrapped up.'

"The source also told Fox News that Durham "does not want this to be viewed political," and the closer it gets to November, Durham could "punt it to after the election."

Durham doesn't want his report "to be viewed political"? He must be dreaming. Nothing could be more political. It's inextricably so, no matter what he says or does or when he does it.

To wit, what Durham is said to be investigating is whether one or more of our most important law enforcement and intelligence agencies (Justice Department, FBI, CIA, State Department, and so forth) were hijacked and used illegally to prevent Donald Trump from being elected president and/or for undercutting his presidency and administration after he was.

What in the Sam Hill could be more political than that?

Yes, it would be a crime as well, but a crime for the most obvious and extreme political ends.

And if Durham does "punt" until after the 2020 election, it could become more political still, pushing our society to the edge of civil war and beyond.

Imagine this: Joe Biden wins the election and come January 2021, just before his inauguration, the Durham report comes out. Several major players in the aforementioned government agencies, household names at this point, are indicted.

These indictments go all the way to the top but not quite, leaving Obama and Biden unscathed, at least technically. (I am taking William Barr at his word that the former president and vice president aren't being investigated, although it will more than likely be clear that they aren't, shall we say, in the clear.)

How does Durham, or any reasonable person, expect the losers in the election, the tens of millions of Trump supporters, to react then?

With massive justifiable outrage is my guess.

They will do any number of things, from refusing to pay taxes, to acts of sabotage, to taking to the streets in a more militarily organized fashion than anything we are seeing today.

And, for that matter, how could Biden possibly govern with criminal indictments of his and Obama's previous administration all around him? Whatever moral authority he had would be gone.

In all, the country would start to disintegrate. You name the way.

No, Durham had better think twice about "punting" his report until after the election.

Of course, that's assuming Singman's "sources" are reliable. We have seen many instances where journalists' sources haven't been. The anonymous source is the rotten underbelly of journalism, frequently used for nefarious ends and often is the cause of rewards, such as a Pulitzer Prize, that seem ludicrous months or even weeks later.

I'm hoping this is the case here, but I wonder.

Whatever is true, Mr. Durham, please finish quickly, before the election. You owe it to the American public.

Roger L. Simon is an award-winning author, Oscar-nominated screenwriter and co-founder of PJ Media.

Views expressed in this article are the opinions of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Epoch Times.

Montana Teacher's Union Chooses "Actual Communist" As New President

The Montana Federation of Public Employees (MFPE) is the largest union in the Big Sky State, with over 25,000 members, with more than six-thousand working in taxpayer-funded jobs. Many of those 6,500 taxpayer-funded members are public school teachers who pay a portion of their salary to MFPE which offers exclusively Democrat and left-wing political endorsements. The organization's president chiefly serves the function of attacking Republican political candidates, who they perceive to have platforms contrary to the personal agenda of public employees who make their income from tax revenue.

The MFPE's new president is Amanda Curtis, a former state legislator and a high school biology teacher in Butte. She is also a failed candidate for statewide office. But Curtis has a new job – attacking Greg Gianforte and a roster of other Republican candidates from her new position at MFPE.

Do Montana's employees and public school teachers know that Amanda Curtis is an actual, real-life, card-carrying Communist? If not, they should.

Curtis' Marxist ties were first discovered when she was nominated for the United States Senate by the Montana Democratic Party in August of 2014. That's when it was discovered that she had just tagged herself in a photograph featuring a banner for "Industrial Workers of the World," a Communist organization.

Credit to these folks for the screenshot and additional information.

At the start of the "Red Scare" in 1946, the Industrial Workers of the World (IWW) passed a resolution differentiating themselves from Bolshevik communists on the grounds that they believed in democracy rather than dictatorships, but since then have embraced thoroughly Communist economic policies. And since the end of McCarthyism, the union has come back up 'above ground' for Communist causes. Their explicit, stated goal is to "end Capitalism."

Commonly known as "Wobblies," the activist union has ties to both socialist and anarchist movements. Their goals are to create "one big union and destroy capitalism." They were founded in 1905 by "Big Bill Hayworth," an atheist and Communist. The organization supports radical groups like Earth First, the Black Panthers, and Palestinian terrorists. Their most prominent member is Marxist thought-leader, Noam Chomsky.

Curtis' husband was listed as the Butte delegate for the Wobblies and she was listed as their primary legislative contact during her term in the Montana legislature.

- Advertisement -

Curtis is a member of the "Two Rivers Branch of the Industrial Worker's of the World" branch in Montana and this is their stated goal:

The Two Rivers General Membership Branch consists of members of the Industrial Workers of the World, a.k.a. Wobblies, from across the state of Montana (Missoula, Hamilton, Butte, and Billings). We are working to organize the people of Montana into the One Big Union to end wage slavery and eventually end the capitalist system.

And who is Curtis working to elect as a part of her presidency at the union? She's working to elect current Lt. Governor Mike Cooney as the next governor of Montana and is opposing Greg Gianforte.

Curtis recently said of Gianforte, "Greg Gianforte is, I believe, the second richest man in Congress, and can self fund his own candidacy, just like he self funds his own school and just as he funds other extremist political campaigns."

Please note: The Communist says that Greg Gianforte supports extremists. If irony is not dead, it is endangered.

She went on, "Because of that, and because of his track record and his voting record, Montana MFPE members voted this spring to condemn his candidacy, and our members have never voted to condemn a candidacy before."

Montana voters should be aware that when they see this type of fear-mongering campaign against Greg Gianforte it's being orchestrated by actual Communists who want to end Capitalism. And Montana's public employees – especially schoolteachers – need to know that their union dollars are going to support this kind of misinformation.



On Behalf *continued from pg 4*

outstanding scientist, good man, and friend of mine, Roger Pielke, Jr., a lifelong progressive Democrat and environmentalist who testified in favor of carbon regulations. Why did they do that? Because his research proves natural disasters aren't getting worse.

But then, last year, things spiraled out of control.

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez said "The world is going to end in twelve years if we don't address climate change." Britain's most high-profile environmental group claimed "Climate Change Kills Children."

The world's most influential green journalist, Bill McKibben, called climate change the "greatest challenge humans have ever faced" and said it would "wipe out civilizations."

Mainstream journalists reported, repeatedly, that the Amazon was "the lungs of the world," and that deforestation was like a nuclear bomb going off.

As a result, half of the people surveyed around the world last year said they thought climate change would make humanity extinct. And in January, one out of five British children told pollsters they were having nightmares about climate change.

Whether or not you have children you must see how wrong this is. I admit I may be sensitive because I have a teenage daughter. After we talked about the science she was reassured. But her friends are deeply misinformed and thus, understandably, frightened.

I thus decided I had to speak out. I knew that writing a few articles wouldn't be enough. I needed a book to properly lay out all of the evidence.

And so my formal apology for our fear-mongering comes in the form of my new book, Apocalypse Never: Why Environmental Alarmism Hurts Us All.

It is based on two decades of research and three decades of environmental activism. At 400 pages, with 100 of them endnotes, Apocalypse Never covers climate change, deforestation, plastic waste, species extinction, industrialization, meat, nuclear energy, and renewables.

Some highlights from the book:

Factories and modern farming are the keys to human liberation and environmental progress

The most important thing for saving the environment is producing more food, particularly meat, on less land

The most important thing for reducing air pollution and carbon emissions is moving from wood to coal to petroleum to natural gas to uranium

100% renewables would require increasing the land used for energy from today's 0.5% to 50%

We should want cities, farms, and power plants to have higher, not lower, power densities Vegetarianism reduces one's emissions by less than 4%

Greenpeace didn't save the whales, switching from whale oil to petroleum and palm oil did

"Free-range" beef would require 20 times more land and produce 300% more emissions

Greenpeace dogmatism worsened forest fragmentation of the Amazon

The colonialist approach to gorilla conservation in the Congo produced a backlash that may have resulted in the killing of 250 elephants

Why were we all so misled?

In the final three chapters of Apocalypse Never I expose the financial, political, and ideological motivations. Environmental groups have accepted hundreds of millions of dollars from fossil fuel interests. Groups motivated by anti-humanist beliefs forced the World Bank to stop trying to end poverty and instead make poverty "sustainable." And status anxiety, depression, and hostility to modern civilization are behind much of the alarmism

Once you realize just how badly misinformed we have been, often by people with plainly unsavory or unhealthy motivations, it is hard not to feel duped.

Will Apocalypse Never make any difference? There are certainly reasons to doubt it.

The news media have been making apocalyptic pronouncements about climate change since the late 1980s, and do not seem disposed to stop.

The ideology behind environmental alarmsim — Malthusianism — has been repeatedly debunked for 200 years and yet is more powerful than ever.

But there are also reasons to believe that environmental alarmism will, if not come to an end, have diminishing cultural power.

The coronavirus pandemic is an actual crisis that puts the climate "crisis" into perspective. Even if you think we have overreacted, Covid-19 has killed nearly 500,000 people and shattered economies around the globe.

Scientific institutions including WHO and IPCC have undermined their credibility through the repeated politicization of science. Their future existence and relevance depends on new leadership and serious reform.

Facts still matter, and social media is allowing for a wider range of new and independent voices to outcompete alarmist environmental journalists at legacy publications.

Nations are reorienting toward the national interest and away from Malthusianism and neoliberalism, which is good for nuclear and bad for renewables.

The evidence is overwhelming that our high-energy civilization is better for people and nature than the low-energy civilization that climate alarmists would return us to.

And the invitations I received from IPCC and Congress late last year, after I published a series of criticisms of climate alarmism, are signs of a growing openness to new thinking about climate change and the environment.

Another sign is the response to my book from climate scientists, conservationists, and environmental scholars. "Apocalypse Never is an extremely important book," writes Richard Rhodes, the Pulitzer-winning author of The Making of the Atomic Bomb. "This may be the most important book on the environment ever written," says one of the fathers of modern climate science Tom Wigley.

"We environmentalists condemn those with antithetical views of being ignorant of science and susceptible to confirmation bias," wrote the former head of The Nature Conservancy, Steve McCormick. "But too often we are guilty of the same. Shellenberger offers 'tough love:' a challenge to entrenched orthodoxies and rigid, self-defeating mindsets. Apocalypse Never serves up occasionally stinging, but always well-crafted, evidence-based points of view that will help develop the 'mental muscle' we need to envision and design not only a hopeful, but an attainable, future."

That is all I that I had hoped for in writing it. If you've made it this far, I hope you'll agree that it's perhaps not as strange as it seems that a lifelong environmentalist, progressive, and climate activist felt the need to speak out against the alarmism.

They Don't Want *continued from pg 1*

putting it in the running for the front page of Reddit, a moderator removed the well-sourced story for violating the rule against "trolling or satire." There was nothing funny about what happened to her, nor did the post imply there was.

Over $45,000 has been raised on GoFundMe for her funeral and three year old son since the article was published on Saturday evening.

Doty Whitaker was walking by the canal in Indianapolis after a Fourth of July celebration with her fiance and two friends when they were approached by a group of people saying that "Black Lives Matter."

Her family and fiance have said that when Whitaker responded that "All Lives Matter" the mob grew very aggressive and pulled a gun on them. Her fiance, Jose Ramirez, pulled one out defensively and the situation seemed to cool down. Unfortunately, he says that the group went and hid and waited for them to walk by them again. When they did, they ambushed the couple and shot Whitaker multiple times.

The Gateway Pundit spoke to the young mother's sister and father for the original story and has maintained contact with them throughout the day.

"It's heartwrenching to watch my mother explain to my three year old nephew that mommy is an angel in heaven," Whitaker's sister Jennifer told TGP, adding that he asks "when we can go get her and bring her back."

The national media completely ignored the story. Local media downplayed the shooting as "the second in the canal in a week," lumping her story in with a black teenager who was shot while attempting an armed robbery. They reported it as "according to the victim's family, the shooting started with an argument over Black Lives Matter and language." They never mentioned what that language was, the simple phrase that got her killed.

Her story was going largely untold until TGP contacted her family to find out what really happened during the confrontation.

Her father, Robert Doty, confirmed to the Gateway Pundit that neither CNN nor Fox News has reached out for information about the case, despite the murderer still being on the loose.

"Why isn't anybody outraged about this?" Whitaker's grandfather asked in a Facebook post. "Is it that BLM was involved or that it was white young adults that [were] the victims?"

Jennifer told TGP that her sister was a Certified Nursing Assistant doing home health care and getting ready to go back to school to become a registered nurse. She hoped to have more children and was always looking to help others.

"Her son was her world, she had the kindest heart and was in no way racist towards anyone," Jennifer told TGP.

Police are still looking for suspects and have released a video from the area of the murder. Her fiance has provided descriptions of the monsters to law enforcement.

Anyone with information about the shootings is asked to call the IMPD Homicide Office at 317.327.3475 or Crime Stoppers of Central Indiana at 317.262.8477 or (TIPS).

Why Face Masks *cont. from page 5*

that is caused by viruses. For example, see the review of influenza by Paules and Subbarao (2017). This has been known for a long time, and the seasonal pattern is exceedingly regular. (Publisher's note: All links to source references to studies here forward are found at the end of this article.)

For example, see Figure 1 of Viboud (2010), which has "Weekly time series of the ratio of deaths from pneumonia and influenza to all deaths, based on the 122 cities surveillance in the US (blue line). The red line represents the expected baseline ratio in the absence of influenza activity," here:

The seasonality of the phenomenon was largely not understood until a decade ago. Until recently, it was debated whether the pattern arose primarily because of seasonal change in virulence of the pathogens, or because of seasonal change in susceptibility of the host (such as from dry air causing tissue irritation, or diminished daylight causing vitamin deficiency or hormonal stress). For example, see Dowell (2001).

In a landmark study, Shaman et al. (2010) showed that the seasonal pattern of extra respiratory-disease mortality can be explained quantitatively on the sole basis of absolute humidity, and its direct controlling impact on transmission of airborne pathogens.

Lowen et al. (2007) demonstrated the phenomenon of humidity-dependent airborne-virus virulence in actual disease transmission between guinea pigs, and discussed potential underlying mechanisms for the measured controlling effect of humidity.

The underlying mechanism is that the pathogen-laden aerosol particles or droplets are neutralized within a half-life that monotonically and significantly decreases with increasing ambient humidity. This is based on the seminal work of Harper (1961). Harper experimentally showed that viral-pathogen-carrying droplets were inactivated within shorter and shorter times, as ambient humidity was increased.

Harper argued that the viruses themselves were made inoperative by the humidity ("viable decay"), however, he admitted that the effect could be from humidity-enhanced physical removal or sedimentation of the droplets ("physical loss"): "Aerosol viabilities reported in this paper are based on the ratio of virus titre to radioactive count in suspension and cloud samples, and can be criticized on the ground that test and tracer materials were not physically identical."

The latter ("physical loss") seems more plausible to me, since humidity would have a universal physical effect of causing particle/droplet growth and sedimentation, and all tested viral pathogens have essentially the same humidity-driven "decay." Furthermore, it is difficult to understand how a virion (of all virus types) in a droplet would be molecularly or structurally attacked or damaged by an increase in ambient humidity. A "virion" is the complete, infective form of a virus outside a host cell, with a core of RNA or DNA and a capsid. The actual mechanism of such humidity-driven intra-droplet "viable decay" of a virion has not been explained or studied.

In any case, the explanation and model of Shaman et al. (2010) is not dependent on the particular mechanism of the humidity-driven decay of virions in aerosol/droplets. Shaman's quantitatively demonstrated model of seasonal regional viral epidemiology is valid for either mechanism (or combination of mechanisms), whether "viable decay" or "physical loss."

The breakthrough achieved by Shaman et al. is not merely some academic point. Rather, it has profound health-policy implications, which have been entirely ignored or overlooked in the current coronavirus pandemic.

In particular, Shaman's work necessarily implies that, rather than being a fixed number (dependent solely on the spatial-temporal structure of social interactions in a completely susceptible population, and on the viral strain), the epidemic's basic reproduction number (R0) is highly or predominantly dependent on ambient absolute humidity.

For a definition of R0, see HealthKnowlege-UK (2020): R0 is "the average number of secondary infections produced by a typical case of an infection in a population where everyone is susceptible." The average R0 for influenza is said to be 1.28 (1.19–1.37); see the comprehensive review by Biggerstaff et al. (2014).

In fact, Shaman et al. showed that R0 must be understood to seasonally vary between humid-summer values of just larger than "1" and dry-winter values typically as large as "4" (for example, see their Table 2). In other words, the seasonal infectious viral respiratory diseases that plague temperate latitudes every year go from being intrinsically mildly contagious to virulently contagious, due simply to the bio-physical mode *continued on page 16*

Short Takes

By Ken Van Doren

You have succeeded in life when all you really want is only what you really need.
-Vernon Howard

The only athletic sport I ever mastered was backgammon.
- Douglas William Jerrold

I had to live in the desert before I could understand the full value of grass in a green ditch.
- Ella Maillart

A man always has two reasons for doing anything: a good reason and the real reason.
- J. P. Morgan

Every great inspiration is but an experiment - though every experiment we know, is not a great inspiration.
- Charles Ives

My father always used to say that when you die, if you've got five real friends, then you've had a great life.
- Lee Iacocca

Be truthful, nature only sides with truth.
- Adolf Loos

"The future and success of America is not in this Constitution, but in the laws of God upon which this Constitution is founded."
-James Madison

What we observe is not nature itself, but nature exposed to our method of questioning.
- Werner Heisenberg

I am not a member of any organized political party. I am a Democrat.
- Will Rogers

We are not makers of history. We are made by history.
- Martin Luther King, Jr.

The only time my prayers are never answered is on the golf course.
- Billy Graham





THE GAME PAGE

Crossword Puzzler

ACROSS
1. Colorful (Scottish)
5. Ringlets
10. Not fast
14. Relating to aircraft
15. Disney mermaid
16. Adhesive strip
17. Den
18. Mediterranean woody annual plant
20. Stretchable
22. Celebrated
23. S
24. Rips
25. Inebriation
32. Relieves
33. Creepy
34. Caviar
37. Matured
38. Mountain lakes
39. Opera star
40. Not near
41. Cut
42. Entry permits
43. Compulsively
45. Very slow in tempo
49. Letter after sigma
50. Eternal
53. Peak
57. Pigmentation
59. Mentor
60. Historical periods
61. Coming and ____
62. Wanes
63. Articulates
64. Secret agents
65. Short run

DOWN
1. Cotton bundle
2. Genuine
3. Operatic solo
4. Exasperated
5. A loose shirt
6. Relating to urine
7. 18-wheeler
8. Focusing glass
9. Notch
10. Cubic meter
11. Language of ancient Rome
12. Chose
13. Noxious plants
19. Like the Vikings
21. Sounds of disapproval
25. Unable to hear
26. Indian music
27. End ___
28. Approaches
29. Audacity
30. Anagram of "Sneer"
31. Female sib
34. Ascend
35. Egg-shaped
36. Not difficult
38. Bar bill
39. Revealed
41. One who murmurs lovingly
42. Ampule
44. Insect wounds
45. Shoestrings
46. Ancient Greek marketplace
47. Pass-the-baton race
48. Glossiness
51. Droops
52. Cease
53. Sharpen
54. Brass instrument
55. Spheres
56. Shove
58. 3 in Roman numerals



Soduko

Fill in the blank squares so that each row, each column and each 3-by-3 block contain all of the digits 1 thru 9.

	9	7		5		1		
1		5	4				6	
6							8	
5	2	8		6	9			1
		9				2		
7			1	2		9	5	8
	5							7
	4				6	8		3
		6		8		5	9	

Marching in the Wrong Parade: Antifa

"Deliver me, O LORD, from the evil man: preserve me from the violent man." Psalm 140:1

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

The socialists in the late 60's grew up. By the 1980's they were yuppies. Today's socialists, millennials, are not growing up. Next year they are turning 40, and can't figure out they were taught a load of nonsense by their public-school teachers.

According to the Anti-Defamation League, Antifa, "gained new prominence in the United States after the white supremacist Unite the Right rally in Charlottesville, VA, in August 2017." You are probably thinking that Antifa is the same recycled commie garbage like Acorn or Occupy. That is generally correct, but Antifa is less imaginative than their recent predecessors.

Joe Carter at the Acton Institute says, "Antifa activists define themselves and their cause almost exclusively by what they oppose: individuals or groups who they define as fascist." Russian veterans of WWII call the NAZI's "Fascists," not Germans, because there were two versions of socialism at war with one another for control. Today's commies call anyone they don't like fascist. Carter asserts, "The term antifa is commonly considered an abbreviation of "anti-fascist action." Originally an abbreviation for Antifaschistiske Aktion, a German communist movement from the 1930s." Dartmouth's Mark Bray says they are, "a model of resistance." Carter concurs, "When Antifa protestors show up at a rally, they are not doing so as a single group under structured leadership. Instead, they are part of multiple autonomous affinity groups."

When you wear uniforms and beat people up, that is generally viewed as a fascist tactic, however communists do the same thing. ADL says, "Images of these free speech protesters being beaten by black-clad and bandana-masked antifa provide right wing extremists with a powerful propaganda tool." Especially when the images are true, and the people being jumped aren't Nazis. Like when Antifa chants against fascism and against law enforcement in the same breath. They are what former Milwaukee County Sheriff Dave Clarke called, "cop-hating anarchists." ADL comments about the Antifa flag, "a logo with a double flag, usually in black and red." The anarchist flag that had been around since 1848. The German Antifa logo says, "Abolish Capitalism, Smash Racism."

ADL adds, "violent tactics include throwing projectiles, including bricks, crowbars, homemade slingshots, metal chains, water bottles, and balloons filled with urine and feces. They have deployed noxious gases, pushed through police barricades, and attempted to exploit any perceived weakness in law enforcement presence." Like wimpy Mayors who think criminals are teddy bears.

Antifa engages in doxing, posting opponents names and addresses in the hopes that some crazy Bernie Bro tries to assassinate them. Lesser consequences have been losing their jobs being asked to move, etc. The Rose City Antifa in Portland Oregon doxed the Asian "conservative" Andy Ngo. Andy doxed them back, and is suing them.

According to Wikipedia, "As of August 2017, approximately 200 groups existed, of varying sizes and levels of activity." Organizer Scott Crow said, "The idea in Antifa is that we go where they go. That hate speech is not free speech. We go to cause conflict, to shut them down where they are, because we don't believe that Nazis or fascists of any stripe should have a mouthpiece" CNN describes Antifa as known for property damage, Crow asserts that property destruction does not "equate to violence." That and Communists reject private property.

Antifa considers the GOP to be fascists. In April 2017, the Direct Action Alliance and the Oregon Students Empowered, threatened to disrupt the Avenue of Roses Parade, in Portland, after hearing that the Multnomah County GOP would participate. The parade was canceled. June 15, 2017, Antifa attacked the libertarian group Patriot Prayer for supporting professor Bret Weinstein. USA Today reported that one of them was wrestled to the ground by Patriot Prayer activists after being seen with a knife. January 20, 2018, Antifa protested "A Night for Freedom" hosted by libertarian Mike Cernovich, attacking exiting the venue, including a 56-year-old man taken to Bellevue Hospital. David Campbell, pled guilty to two counts of felony assault and was sentenced to 18 months in jail. November 2018, Smash Racism DC protested outside the home of Tucker Carlson. Chanting "We will fight, we know where you sleep at night!" and defacing his home. Support for Trump is racism, Don Lemon told them so. After that they doxed Carlson on twitter. February 2019, they marched through the adjacent village, burned a Klansman in effigy and chanted slogans such as "Good night, alt-right" and "Death to the Klan," before joining a civil rights rally held by the NAACP and the SPLC. Trump blamed Antifa for the George Floyd riots, and while they certainly showed up, the riots were led by Black Lives Matter.

We all know about Rosa Luxemburg and the "Rote-Soldantenbund" and the "Spartacusbund" which took over cities and tried to topple governments in Germany circa 1919 and how the Frei-corps fought them. After that is was street brawls with the SA. Today's Antifa, aren't real fighters, but millennial babies who are catered to, when they should be clobbered. They are brainwashed spear carriers who think the only thing wrong with the world is racism. They think only white people are guilty of racism. They take their marching orders from the Southern Poverty Law Center, who lists Christians as bigots along with the KKK. The SPLC website provides a blood list for these turds. Any real Mayor would have the police use tear gas, clubs, firehoses and rubber bullets on these people. If that isn't enough, live rounds, and flamethrowers.

The brats should die in fright. The Commune in Seattle should be cleaned out, by the Governor of Washington State. Seattle has abdicated government, the City Charter should be revoked, and the city broken up into smaller towns. That should be done in Milwaukee as well, where prosecutors are refusing to charge these violent criminals. Finally, the Milwaukee County Constitution Party recommends that lawful citizens exercise their right to bear arms, and practice at the range on a regular basis. Anyone who hits you with a chain or club deserves what he gets.

The Constitution Party of Wisconsin, a recognized political party, promotes the Biblical principles referred to in the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution. We promote these principles by guiding our members into positions of civil authority.

CPOW is:

- 100% Pro-Life-We will re-secure the Right to Life.
- 100% Pro-Family-One Man, One Woman marriage.
- 100% Pro-Gun-We reject any form of gun control.
- 100% Pro-Constitution-We will cut the federal government down to Constitutional size.
- 100% Pro-America-We favor American Liberty, America's economy and American energy for the American People.

Individual membership is $30.00 per person per year and Friend of the Party status is $20.00 per year.

This ad is sponsored by the
Milwaukee County Constitution Party Contact us at:
Milwaukee County Constitution Party
PO Box 070344
Milwaukee, WI 53207
rileyhood41@yahoo.com

Marching In the Wrong Parade: Black Lives Matter

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

When I look at the Black Lives Matter parades, I'm amazed to find many a person who passes for white, and yet he or more likely she is marching in a parade against their own community, and ultimately for their own destruction. Who and what are these people?

In my neighborhood, this year, the same homes flying rainbow fag flags are the same homes with Black Lives Matter signs, and pro-Islamic signs. BLM, Islam, and sodomy are vastly different, but they are clearly linked. What is the common denominator? These groups all want to destroy America on the fast track.

According to BLM, "#BlackLivesMatter was founded in 2013 in response to the acquittal of Trayvon Martin's murderer. Black Lives Matter Foundation, Inc is a global organization in the US, UK, and Canada, whose mission is to eradicate white supremacy and build local power to intervene in violence inflicted on Black communities by the state and vigilantes." In their view, Zimmerman should have not defended himself against the black criminal Trayvon Martin, and should have been found guilty for being a Hispanic with a "white" last name. BLM's mission is to eradicate all white people and the first step is to label all white people as white supremist, which has been happening for decades.

BLM likes fags, as long as they are black perverts, "We affirm the lives of Black queer and trans folks," and "folks with records," and "all Black lives along the gender spectrum." BLM is a black self-interest movement, "The call for Black lives to matter is a rallying cry for ALL Black lives striving for liberation." (Liberation is commie talk for socialism.)

BLM's 2020 roadshow is full blown, and any farfetched scenario will be used as a pretext to force their agenda, "BLM's #WhatMatters2020 will focus on issues concerning racial injustice, police brutality, criminal justice reform, Black immigration, economic injustice, LGBTQIA+ and human rights, environmental injustice, access to healthcare, access to quality education, and voting rights and suppression." In other words, socialism with a black face. Why not? Black men are more violent, not all; but as a community: who better to whip up into a riot?

BLM talks about voter registration for millennials and gen z, but I suspect that won't be taking any of their time. The Dems have the lock on that. They will also, "combat the increased threats of disinformation during this election cycle affecting BLM constituents and the public at large." Disinformation like the fact that they stand up for drug addicts and criminals. They also care about "Commonsense Gun Laws" By commonsense gun laws they mean white disarmament. While socialists mean disarming lawful citizens so they can impose tyranny and abet crime, this group sees everything through the lens of black supremacy.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that BLM doesn't speak and certainly doesn't act for all black people, even though in Milwaukee you hear no voices in opposition to them. Regarding people from other communities, you should have truthful information about them, before making any judgment call as to their character. BLM has no character. Regarding the silly white people who are spear carriers for BLM, they are socialists who have been brainwashed into self-loathing, seeking a perverted form of absolution. Somehow, they live in white majority areas, as opposed to moving into the inner core.

The Muslim Report: Boko Haram

But that which beareth thorns and briers is rejected, and is nigh unto cursing; whose end is to be burned." Hebrew 6:8

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Their name can mean, "Mouth of Holiness" or Mouth of the Forbidden," or as Barnabas-AID asserts, "Western Education is forbidden." This Islamic group was founded in 2002, inspired by 9/11. After their founder died in 2009, the group was led by a Muslim calling himself Abubakar Shekau. (The African version of Abu-Bakr al-Baghdadi) In 2014 Boko Haram kidnapped 276 Christian schoolgirls in Chibok, Nigeria.

They started by burning Churches and murdering Christians, which they still do, but in 2015 they openly pledged loyalty to ISIS. In 2016, they declared, they will "blow up every church and kill all the citizens of the cross." They are well armed, mobile, and hostile, and their attacks against African militaries are often successful. Tactically, they are the "desert dirt-bike version of the Viet Cong." Strategically, they are a part of the world-wide Jihad, placing the whole world under a Caliph and they are armed and equipped by wealthy Islamic financiers, from wealthy Islamic nations as part of the "Africa for Islam" strategy.

The Muslim Report: Burkina Faso

"Nay, in these things we are more than conquerors through him that loved us." Romans 8:37

Once known as Upper Volta, in 1984 they changed their name to Burkina Faso. The country has gold reserves, but it poor with a low life expectancy. It has an Islamic majority. Christians have been reduced to 30% of the population. The Jihad began in 2015, and in 2019 over 59 Christians have been murdered by Muslims at Churches and other Christian events. The violence backs up such flagrant threats as, "Stop doing the church services, turn to Islam, you and your congregation, or we will visit you and kill you." Muslim leaders have declared their intention of exterminating all Christians in the northern area of Burkina Faso.

According to a humanist group, Armed Conflict Location &Event Data Project, there were over 5,000 murders reported in Mali, Burkina Faso, Niger, Nigeria and Chad, between 11/18 and 3/19. Over 2000 were unarmed, mostly Christians murdered by Muslims. In Burkina Faso alone, 499 people were murdered in 124 crimes in the same period, according to the ACLEDP. In addition, our enemies at the UN say over 4000 schools are now shut down, and 900,000 children are not getting any education. Estimates of displaced people range as high as 4.2 Million refugees, for the 5 country area. The has plunged into famine, as the Muslim has destroyed agriculture and farmland.

Attacks from the Fulani, Boko Haram and various others with ties to ISIS and Al-Qaeda have created thousands of refugees. A Christian leader has tried to set up a relief station in the city of Kaya. The Pastor described the situation as, "Desperate and starving." The city of Kaya isn't safe, and according to one refugee pastor, "Please please please continue to pray that they will keep trusting the Lord" he adds, "dying or living is of very little importance, the important thing now is keeping faith."

On April 28th, 2019 Muslims murdered a Pastor and five members of his Congregation during a Sunday morning service in the village of Silgadji. All five

continued on page 18





The Muslim Report: Libya

"And they way of peace they have not known." Romans 3:17

By Riley J. Hood—Milwaukee County Constitution Party

Libya was poor, until oil was discovered in the 1950's. The Petro-profits went to the ruling elite. King Idris was pliable to Western interests, he was "only in it for the money."

Before America knew about Osama Bin-Laden, there was Colonel Quadafi. He was in power from 1969 until 2011. He got his start by overthrowing King Idris. In 1970 he closed our Wheelus Air Force base. In 1973 he occupied northern Chad. He did so again in 1980. In 1977 he fought a four-day border war with Egypt. In 1981, American planes shot down two Libyan jets, and we bombed Tripoli in 1986. He was an early financier of Islamic Jihad, using his oil revenue to supply Semtex to Hezbollah. He was behind blowing up German bars full of off duty American troops, and equipping the "Lockerbie terrorists."

The Reagan administration considered him a bogeyman. Amnesty International listed at least 25 dissident assassinations on foreign soil between 1980 and 1987. In 2011, the Arab Spring began. Not only did we arm that group of rebels, so did the French and the UK. French jets destroyed 5 Libyan tanks and 110 Tomahawk and other missiles were used in their support. In the end Quadafi was executed by his fellow Muslims, after begging for his life. The Colonel once said, there would be no problems in Beirut, if "everyone would convert to Islam." That philosophy failed him at the end of his life.

The Arab Spring produced the 2011 Constitution declaring Islam the State Religion, codifying Shariah, while still promising religious freedom. There has been Civil War between the UN backed Government of National Accord, (GNA) supported by Turkish and Syrian militants and the Libyan National Army. The Libyan Parliament doesn't recognize the GNA.

The Christians are mainly migrant workers. According to Barnabas AID, many West African immigrants, passing through Libya trying to immigrate to Europe, are being captured by Muslims and sold in a recently active slave market. According to the UN's International Organization for Migration, Libyan Islamic groups are kidnapping West African refugees who are stranded in country and selling them into slavery in accordance with Islamic tradition. UN Secretary General António Guterres calls this, "among the most egregious abuses of human rights."

On May 14th, 2018 the bodies of 20 of the 21 Christian Martyrs who were murdered by Muslims carrying out Jihad in 2015; were finally airlifted to Egypt. A Ghanaian Christian who was murdered with them, his body was exhumed. The bodies were recovered when the city of Sirte was retaken from ISIS control. On December 23rd ,2018 another mass grave was discovered, this was the 34 Ethiopian Christian Martyrs murdered by ISIS in 2015. Sirte was ruled by ISIS from 2015 until 2016, when other Islamic groups, armed by America defeated ISIS. Such are the results of the "Arab Spring." Those newer Rebel groups will become the enemy of tomorrow, because America won't take the offensive. Without Christianity, we are losing the "war of ideas." Secularism inspires atheists and perverts and no one else. Islam is trying to fill that "spiritual vacuum."

FIREARM TRAINING COURSES

Concealed Carry & Home Defense
Sat., August 1; 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM

Women's Handgun & Self Defense Fundamentals
Sat., August 8; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM

Handgun Fundamentals
Sat., August 15; 9:00 AM - 1:00 PM

Visit our website for more information & additional course offerings.

12730 W. Burleigh Rd
Brookfield, WI 53005
262-226-2900
info@wifirearms.com
★★★★★ Five-Star Confidence

Hours
Mon: Noon-9pm
Tues - Fri: 10am-9pm
Sat: 10am-6pm
Sun: 10am-4pm

wifirearms.com
An Indoor Sport Shooting & Training Complex
WISCONSIN FIREARMS WFTC TRAINING CENTER

Why Face Masks *cont. from page 12*

of transmission controlled by atmospheric humidity, irrespective of any other consideration.

Therefore, all the epidemiological mathematical modeling of the benefits of mediating policies (such as social distancing), which assumes humidity-independent R0 values, has a large likelihood of being of little value, on this basis alone. For studies about modeling and regarding mediation effects on the effective reproduction number, see Coburn (2009) and Tracht (2010).

To put it simply, the "second wave" of an epidemic is not a consequence of human sin regarding mask wearing and hand shaking. Rather, the "second wave" is an inescapable consequence of an air-dryness-driven many-fold increase in disease contagiousness, in a population that has not yet attained immunity.

If my view of the mechanism is correct (i.e., "physical loss"), then Shaman's work further necessarily implies that the dryness-driven high transmissibility (large R0) arises from small aerosol particles fluidly suspended in the air; as opposed to large droplets that are quickly gravitationally removed from the air.

Such small aerosol particles fluidly suspended in air, of biological origin, are of every variety and are everywhere, including down to virion-sizes (Despres, 2012). It is not entirely unlikely that viruses can thereby be physically transported over inter-continental distances (e.g., Hammond, 1989).

More to the point, indoor airborne virus concentrations have been shown to exist (in day-care facilities, health centers, and on-board airplanes) primarily as aerosol particles of diameters smaller than 2.5 μm, such as in the work of Yang et al. (2011):

"Half of the 16 samples were positive, and their total virus –3 concentrations ranged from 5800 to 37 000 genome copies m . On average, 64 per cent of the viral genome copies were associated with fine particles smaller than 2.5 μm, which can remain suspended for hours. Modeling of virus concentrations indoors suggested a source strength of 1.6 ± 1.2 × 105 genome copies m–3 air h–1 and a deposition flux onto surfaces of 13 ± 7 genome copies m–2 h–1 by Brownian motion. Over one hour, the inhalation dose was estimated to be 30 ± 18 median tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50), adequate to induce infection. These results provide quantitative support for the idea that the aerosol route could be an important mode of influenza transmission."

Such small particles (< 2.5 μm) are part of air fluidity, are not subject to gravitational sedimentation, and would not be stopped by long-range inertial impact. This means that the slightest (even momentary) facial misfit of a mask or respirator renders the design filtration norm of the mask or respirator entirely irrelevant. In any case, the filtration material itself of N95 (average pore size ~0.3–0.5 μm) does not block virion penetration, not to mention surgical masks. For example, see Balazy et al. (2006).

Mask stoppage efficiency and host inhalation are only half of the equation, however, because the minimal infective dose (MID) must also be considered. For example, if a large number of pathogen-laden particles must be delivered to the lung within a certain time for the illness to take hold, then partial blocking by any mask or cloth can be enough to make a significant difference.

If the MID is amply surpassed by the virions carried in a single aerosol particle able to evade mask-capture, then the mask is of no practical utility, which is the case.

To view complete article visit www.technocracy.news



888-236-8838
Wisconsin Stamping & Manufacturing
Your Idea. Our Ingenuity. A Perfect Match.
TUBULAR
Raschig Rings
Compression Limiters
Spacers
STAMPING
Progressive
Compound
Shallow Draw
WIRE FORMING
2D & 3D Forms
Guards
Thread Rolling
MACHINING
Milling
Swiss CNC Turning
Drill/Tap
FABRICATION
Welding
Laser Cutting
Assembly
CAREERS: HR@WISCONSINSTAMPING.COM
www.wisconsinstamping.com
N110 W13455 North Patton Court • Germantown, WI 53022

Howdy,

With the information on the graph, the Premier of Ontario decreed mask must be worn in all stores and NJ Gov. said outside as well. Trying to figure out how to eat in a restaurant without breaking some law??

The local hardware store gave me a mask. I tried to unfold it and one of the straps broke. This is going to save my life??

Thought of this. Full painters mask (tecchie) buy a hooded painter's outfit (Haz Mat suit), rubber gloves and troll around in stores. Need a sign on my back - give me some suggestions YOU CAN'T BE TOO SAFE or something like that.

Cheers

Masks useless for anyone with a beard like I. Assume new law of clean shaven next.

Alan L. Stewart, Smiths Falls, Ontario





American Policy Center

25 Years Leading the Fight for American Property Rights and Sovereignty

Help Us Stop the COVID-19 Trace Act

By Tom DeWeese

You and I are living in perhaps the most dangerous times in American history. Certainly we are experiencing the most concentrated attacks on our American liberty.

That's why I'm asking you to sign this urgent email to President Trump to call on him to stand strong against these forces of tyranny who are relentless in pushing their agenda to destroy our way of life.

Specifically, the email urges him to veto the TRACE Act (H.R.6666) if it passes Congress and reaches his desk. TRACE stands for Testing, Reaching And Contacting Everyone.

H.R. 6666 is the beginning of a major effort to enforce mandatory vaccinations, and it means government may come to your home and demand that you take a COVID-19 test. And, according to some experts on the issue, if you test positive, that could mean that government will make sure you stay at home.

What is not clear is how this would be enforced. And that should give you chills.

The TRACE Act is sponsored by Illinois Congressman Bobby Rush, a former member and founder of the Illinois chapter of the Black Panthers in 1968. Rush's mentor was 1960's radical Stokeley Carmichael, who coined the phrase "Burn Baby Burn" to incite violence in ghetto neighborhoods.

And the bill currently has 64 co-sponsors – all Democrats, and it's rushing though the House of Representatives.

The TRACE Act will provide federal grants to agencies and states for Contact Tracing and the creation of teams to carry it out.

The bill calls for $100 billion to be controlled by the Health and Human Services and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Those agencies will then distribute the money to local governments to carry our COVID-19 testing. Specifically the money will be spent to hire, train, and pay Contact Tracing teams to run mobile testing units going door to door.

Right now, even before the bill is law, several states, including Texas and Washington, are rushing to create their own Contact Tracing teams that may well number up to as many as 4,000 members in a state.

A Texas-based technology company called MTX Group is leading the way to create Contact Tracing apps to be used by health officials, airports, schools, and government officials to track our movements and our interactions with others.

Just as the name of the bill says, Testing, Researching and Contacting Everyone. It is the destruction of personal freedom and privacy rights, all in the name of a virus panic that has proven to be less dangerous than first thought.

And the forces behind it intend to keep it going as long as they can in a blatant power grab that affects every part of our lives.

One major push now is the possible creation of "Immunity Passports." This is already being seriously considered by the major airlines. The International Air Transportation Association (IATA) said "We foresee the need to collect more detailed passenger contact information which can be used for tracing purposes."

The IATA report went on to clearly say …"the data should be collected in electronic form and in advance of the passenger arriving at the airport including through eVisa and electronic authorization platforms."

And the MTX Group will lead the way in creating this technology. How long will it be before such a document is required for your kids to enter their school, for you to enter your place of work, or to get inside any store or salon?

And it will all be funded under Former Black Panther Bobbie Rush's Bill, H.R. 6666!

That's why President Trump must stand strong to block this destruction of American Freedom. He needs to know that you and I will support his veto.

With thousands of signed petitions to President Trump we can show a force of resistance to Nancy Pelosi and the Democrats behind this outrageous Bill.

That's why he needs to know you and I are behind him. Please let him know by signing this petition calling on him to veto H.R. 6666. I hope you will do it right now!

I intend to do everything I can to stop this outrageous Bill and expose these forces of tyranny who are using this Covid-19 to destroy our precious American freedoms. Please help me lead that fight with your financial support to American Policy Center (APC).

BREAKING: Hydroxychloroquine lowers Covid-19 death rate, study finds

by Sharyl Attkisson

The anti-malaria drug hydroxychloroquine helped lower the death rate in Covid-19 patients in the most recent study of the drug conducted by Henry Ford Health System.

Read more from Henry Ford Health System here.

Cardiologist Dr. William O'Neill, a medical director at the Henry Ford Health System in Detroit, Michigan, first spoke with "Full Measure" about the study while it was underway in May. The findings have just been published in the peer-reviewed International Journal of Infectious Diseases.

The large scale analysis examined 2,541 patients who had been hospitalized in six hospitals between March 10 and May 2, 2020.

More than twenty-six percent (26.4%) of patients who did not receive hydroxychloroquine died. Nearly seventy-four percent (73.6%) survived.

But among those who received hydroxychloroquine: 13% died and 87% survived.

One suggested concern flagged in previous studies of hydroxychloroquine did not materialize in the Henry Ford Health System Study: heart-related adverse events.

The positive results compared to some other studies of hydroxychoroquine could be attributed, in part, to the timing of treatment say the study's scientists. Ninety-one percent (91%) of the patients in the study were given hydroxychloroquine within 48 hours of admission.

The study patients were at least 18 years old with a median age of 64. Fifty-six percent (56%) were African-American.

The study also found that patients who received the antibiotic azithromycin alone, or a combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, had a slightly better survival rate than those not treated with the drugs.

The analysis found 22.4% of those treated only with azithromycin died and 77.6% survived. Twenty point one percent (20.1%) treated with a combination of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine died and 79.9% survived.

More than 26% (26.4%) of patients who were not treated with either medicine died and 73.6% survived.

"Our analysis shows that using hydroxychloroquine helped save lives," said neurosurgeon Dr. Steven Kalkanis, CEO, Henry Ford Medical Group and Senior Vice President and Chief Academic Officer of Henry Ford Health System. "As doctors and scientists, we look to the data for insight. And the data here is clear that there was benefit to using the drug as a treatment for sick, hospitalized patients."

The study scientists point out that other reports on hydroxychloroquine that had less positive, mixed, or negative results were of different populations; administered hydroxychloroquine later during illness; used different doses, and/or the studies were not peer-reviewed.

The findings have been highly analyzed and peer-reviewed. We attribute our findings that differ from other studies to early treatment, and part of a combination of interventions that were done in supportive care of patients, including careful cardiac monitoring. Our dosing also differed from other studies not showing a benefit of the drug. And other studies are either not peer reviewed, have limited numbers of patients, different patient populations or other differences from our patients."

Dr. Marcus Zervos, co-author of study, division head of Infectious Disease for Henry Ford Health System

President Trump has garnered extensive criticism in the media for saying that if hydroxychloroquine proves to work in treating coronavirus, it could be a game changer.

Henry Ford Health System is also studying to see if hydroxychloroquine can prevent coronavirus. That study is titled: "Will Hydroxychloroquine Impede or Prevent COVID-19" or "WHIP COVID-19."

The study is enrolling 3,000 healthcare and frontline workers, but scientists say they are having trouble getting enough test volunteers due, in part, to the bad publicity surrounding hydroxychloroquine in the media; and because the government stepped in to limit use of the drug for coronavirus after criticism and safety concerns.

"Currently, the drug should be used only in hospitalized patients with appropriate monitoring, and as part of study protocols, in accordance with all relevant federal regulations," says Dr. Marcus Zervos, co-author of study.

He adds that the possibility of a Covid-19 surge in the fall or sooner shows an urgency to identifying inexpensive and effective therapies and preventions. Hyrdoxychloroquine can cost just pennies where other potential treatments, such as remdesivir, can cost thousands of dollars.

Blaylock: Face Masks Pose Serious Risks To The Healthy

By Patrick Wood

With the advent of the so-called COVID-19 pandemic, we have seen a number of medical practices that have little or no scientific support as regards reducing the spread of this infection. One of these measures is the wearing of facial masks, either a surgical-type mask, bandana or N95 respirator mask.

When this pandemic began and we knew little about the virus itself or its epidemiologic behavior, it was assumed that it would behave, in terms of spread among communities, like other respiratory viruses. Little has presented itself after intense study of this virus and its behavior to change this perception.

This is somewhat of an unusual virus in that for the vast majority of people infected by the virus, one experiences either no illness (asymptomatic) or very little sickness. Only a very small number of people are at risk of a potentially serious outcome from the infection—mainly those with underlying serious medical conditions in conjunction with advanced age and frailty, those with immune compromising conditions and nursing home patients near the end of their lives. There is growing evidence that the treatment protocol issued to treating doctors by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), mainly intubation and use of a ventilator (respirator), may have contributed significantly to the high death rate in these select individuals.

By wearing a mask, the exhaled viruses will not be able to escape and will concentrate in the nasal passages, enter the olfactory nerves and travel into the brain.

Russell Blaylock, MD

As for the scientific support for the use of face mask, a recent careful examination of the literature, in which 17 of the best studies were analyzed, concluded that, " None of the studies established a conclusive relationship between mask/respirator use and protection against influenza infection."1 Keep in mind, no studies have been done to demonstrate that either a cloth mask or the N95 mask has any effect on transmission of the COVID-19 virus. Any recommendations, therefore, have to be based on studies of influenza virus transmission. And, as you have seen, there is no conclusive evidence of their efficiency in controlling flu virus transmission.

It is also instructive to know that until recently, the CDC did not recommend wearing a face mask or covering of any kind, unless a person was known to be infected, that is, until recently. Non-infected people need not wear a mask. When a person has TB we have them wear a mask, not the entire community of non-infected. The recommendations by the CDC and the WHO are not based on any studies of this virus and have never been used to contain any other virus pandemic or epidemic in history.

Now that we have established that there is no scientific evidence necessitating the wearing of a face mask for prevention, are there dangers to wearing a face mask, especially for long periods? Several studies have indeed found significant problems with wearing such a mask. This can vary from headaches, to increased airway resistance, carbon dioxide accumulation, to hypoxia, all the way to serious life-threatening complications.

There is a difference between the N95 respirator mask and the surgical mask (cloth or paper mask) in terms of side effects. The N95 mask, which filters out 95% of particles with a median diameter >0.3 μm2 , because it impairs respiratory exchange (breathing) to a greater degree than a soft mask, and is more often associated with headaches. In one such study, researchers surveyed 212 healthcare workers (47 males and 165 females) asking about presence of headaches with N95 mask use, duration of the headaches, type of headaches and if the person had preexisting headaches.2

They found that about a third of the workers developed headaches with use of the mask, most had preexisting headaches that were worsened by the mask wearing, and 60% required pain medications for relief. As to the cause of the headaches, while straps and pressure from the mask could be causative, the bulk of the evidence points toward hypoxia and/or hypercapnia as the cause. That is,

continued on page 22

King Coal Copes with Cold

By Viv Forbes

Before sunrise yesterday, the grass temperature in Washpool, "Sunny Queensland" was minus 1.7 deg.

There was no wind or sunshine. Wind turbines were becalmed and not even moonbeams energised our solar panels.

In that still, frosty darkness, green energy failed again. Not a watt came from becalmed wind "farms" or from subsidized solar panels cluttering many roofs (including ours). But we didn't need our diesel in the shed - we were saved by trusty Old King Coal, with maybe a dash of gas or hydro.

Reliable 24/7 generators provided pre-dawn power-by-wire for lights, heaters and coffee before we checked the frosty flats for new-born lambs.

Yet politicians, academics and the UN-ABC-BBC relentlessly push unreliable "green"energy, promising it will promote global cooling.

They should be careful what they wish for.

Neither sheep nor shepherds like frosty darkness.



Tragic triple whammy

By Craig Rucker

America's energy supply just received three devastating blows.

A federal district court shut down the Dakota Access Pipeline and ordered it drained of oil.

The Supreme Court excluded the Keystone XL Pipeline from an otherwise positive decision that a number of pipeline projects be "fast tracked."

Dominion Energy won a major court victory for its Atlantic Pipeline, then decided to scrap it anyway, deciding the delays and costs imposed by left-wing obstruction were just too much. Dominion's stock promptly plunged 11.3%.

In recent years we have been favored by a long-awaited energy renaissance, with American energy independence and security achieved at last. It is a tragedy of astounding proportions that tremendously well-financed efforts are continually underway nationwide to obstruct, delay, and in effect ruin America's energy infrastructure.

Energy Secretary Dan Brouillette issued a hard-hitting a statement about the Dakota Access decision that could apply to all three: "It is disappointing that, once again, an energy infrastructure project that provides thousands of jobs and millions of dollars in economic revenue has been shut down by the well-funded environmental lobby, using our Nation's court system to further their agenda. The Dakota Access Pipeline safely provides affordable and reliable American-produced crude oil across the Midwest, and has created approximately 10,000 jobs. In addition, the shutdown will eliminate millions of tax dollars paid by the pipeline each year that go towards schools, hospitals, and other community services in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, and Illinois."

The far Left, of course, is jubilant. Greenpeace climate director Janet Redmans said, "Three dangerous pipelines delayed within 24 hours should serve as a clear warning to any companies hoping to double down on dirty fossil fuel projects. For more than a decade now, a powerful movement has been taking on reckless oil and gas pipelines and fighting to put Indigenous rights, a just economy, and our environment before oil company profits... It is past time to leave fossil fuels in the ground and begin a just transition to a Green New Deal and 100 percent renewable energy."

Charming.

There is a new nihilism that stalks our land. Statues of civil rights leaders are being torn down, supposedly in the name of civil rights. Cries ring out to defund the police in communities most desperate for law and order. Clean, safe, efficient energy is blocked without regard to the hard fact that there is nothing available to replace it. Activists trumpet inefficient, intermittent wind and solar, ignorant to the fact that they are unable to meet our energy needs.

Wind and solar don't replace pipelines. Trucks, trains and tankers do -- albeit poorly.

Americans need to dig deep, fight back and defeat the Greens -- before they leave us all freezing in the dark.

Muslim Report *cont. from page 15*

were murdered behind the Church building execution style, after they refusing to deny Jesus Christ and say the shahada. The Muslims lit the pulpit ablaze, vandalized two motorcycles, stole the Pastor's property, and heading north towards Mali. A total of 56 Christians were murdered in the city that day.

In September 2019, 41 Christians were murdered by Muslims in the name of Allah in 5 separate attacks on the town of Kuongoussi. Thousands have fled, given the choice of "Flee, convert or die." In October, Muslims murdered another 36 Christians in the Bam, Sanmatenga and Soum provinces.

On November 12th, 2019 Jihadists murdered "Scores of Christians" in string of coordinated attacks. The murdered the last Christian leader in the government who spoke on the behalf. On December 1st, a Church in Komondjari was attacked by Muslims shouting "Allah Akbar," 5 boys and nine men were murdered. Shot during worship.

According to Barnabas-AID, 162 Christians have been murdered by Muslims from April of 2019 to the end of year. The Milwaukee County Constitution Party asserts that such actions are not an aberration, but are typical to Islam. Islam is an enemy out to conquer the world, it rules a quarter of the world already, and Islam must be destroyed.

End Subsidies *cont. from page 1*

innovative ideas for energy development. But now practically all of them are simply aiding and abetting the flow of money to companies and individuals no longer deserving to feed at the government trough.

Assuming governments don't do something foolish like trying to enable the damaging and hopelessly impractical Green New Deal, no one alive today will ever see energy shortages in America again. Powerful new technologies have allowed our nation to move from worrying about running out of oil to no longer having sufficient space to store all the oil and gas we are capable of developing.

While the last amounts expended on dozens of subsidy-type programs in the energy sector are near impossible to pin down, the programs developed long ago can give us an idea of wasted tax dollars. Obviously, many of them should have been phased out long ago but, as we all know, government programs rarely die. We will not attempt to uncover the breadth of all energy subsidies currently in operation but rather to make a case that it is time to end each and every one of them, no matter the technology.

First, there is the colossal waste of taxpayer monies on so called renewable energy, in particular, wind and solar power. These technologies are mature enough that subsidies to them should have ended long ago, leaving them to stand, or more likely fall, on their own in a competitive marketplace.

Without your federal and state tax benefits supporting them, there would be no solar or wind industry of any significance. The renewable portfolio mandates requiring utilities to invest in renewables force all ratepayers to subsidize them whether we want to or not.

The situation in Canada is also nonsensical. There, the federal government will spend more than $2.4 billion over the next four years to promote the production and use of "clean energy", especially solar and wind power. Samples of wind & solar subsidies in Canada include:

- R&D funding
- Funding for demonstration projects
- Grants, contributions and low-interest loans to suppliers or purchasers
- Preferential procurement practices
- Tax incentives (e.g., credits, deductions and exemptions that are not provided to other firms)
- Preferences granted through regulation
- Preferential, above-market utility rates, e.g., "feed-in-tariffs," often guaranteed for the life of the contract
- Restrictions on property and other taxes on solar and wind project sites

Economist Dr. Andrew Reed concluded that variable renewable energy "subsidies and other hidden costs would likely more than double the 'real' costs to society for wind and solar in Canada."

The U.S. states are even more aggressive in helping wind and solar. At the end of 2018, 29 states and the District of Columbia had renewable portfolio mandates collectively accounting for 63% of retail electricity costs. What this means is that these states require every electric utility to include a certain amount of wind and solar power in their energy mix regardless of the cost. And, of course, for every kilowatt-hour the utilities now produce from intermittent and expensive wind and solar plants, they must create an additional kilowatt-hour of capacity from reliable fossil fuels to account for the periods when the sun does not shine or the wind either does not blow or blows too hard (at which point, the blades must be feathered or they will break).

Electricity consumers across America are being severely punished by the cost of this energy that has yielded no benefit to the service they receive from the utility.

And all this in the name of protecting the environment, which, as Michael Moore demonstrated in his documentary, Planet of the Humans (over 8 million views on YouTube since April 21), is being severely damaged by these supposedly green energy sources.

Moving on to the even larger elephant in the room: historically, subsidies granted to the fossil fuel industry were designed to lower the cost of fossil fuel production and incentivize new domestic energy sources. Today, U.S. taxpayer dollars continue to fund many fossil fuel subsidies that are outdated, but remain embedded in the tax code. A sample of these include:

- Intangible Drilling Cost Deductions
- Percentage Depletion Deductions
- Non-Conventional Fuels Tax Credit
- Credit for Clean Coal Investment
- Foreign Tax Credits
- Master Limited Partnerships
- Domestic Manufacturing Deductions for oil
- Master Limited Partnerships
- Clean Energy for America Act

Add to these, financial gifts from the U.S. Export-Import Bank and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

Not all of the subsidies that oil, coal and natural gas industries receive are obvious, and this is part of the reason that they have been going on forever, long after we went from a nation thought to be running out of oil to one now awash in it.

In seeking fiscal reforms that have the potential to save taxpayer dollars, phasing out subsidies for the fossil fuel industry should be a priority for federal policy makers. While regulations that unnecessarily hamper fossil fuel development should continue to be cancelled, especially those that are founded on the nonsensical climate scare, subsidies aid an industry that is mature and well-established, with abundant private financing. Industry representatives argue that renewable energy gets orders of magnitude more in subsidies and, in support per kilowatt-hour generated, this is indeed true: solar and wind power get 326 and 69 times more in subsidies than coal, oil and natural gas per amount of energy generated.

But two wrongs do not make a right. Our nation no longer needs a red cent spent on energy subsidies when we are already the richest energy-producing nation on Earth.

Note: Portions of this article were excerpted from Climate Change Reconsidered II: Fossil Fuels (CCRII: Fossil Fuels), produced by the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) published by The Heartland Institute, with permission of the editors Joseph Bast and Diane Bast. The authors strongly recommend the book for a complete exposé of the fallacies behind the nation's energy subsidies.

Dr. Jay Lehr is Senior Policy Advisor with the Ottawa, Canada-based International Climate Science Coalition (ICSC). Tom Harris is Executive Director of ICSC.



Report renewable energy risks, too

If fossil fuel companies should disclose climate-related financial risks, so should renewables

By Paul Driessen

Joe Biden has drifted far to the left and made it clear that, if elected president, he would restrict or ban fracking, pipelines, federal onshore and offshore drilling, and use of oil, coal, natural gas, and thus our economy. He's selected Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez as his climate and energy advisor and is expected to choose an equally "progressive" woman of color as his running mate (and president-in-reality).

He may also employ federal financial regulations to slow or strangle fossil fuel companies' access to low-cost capital, further preventing them from producing oil, gas and coal. His official climate plan promises to require "public companies to disclose climate risks and the greenhouse gas emissions in their operations and supply chains." By compelling them to present a litany of climate and weather risks supposedly caused or worsened by fossil fuel emissions, the rules could sharply reduce lender and investor interest in those fuels and hasten the transition to wind, solar, battery and biofuel technologies.

Those risks exist primarily in highly unlikely worst-case scenarios generated by computer models that reflect claims that manmade carbon dioxide has replaced the sun and other powerful natural forces that have always driven Earth's climate (including multiple ice ages) and extreme weather. Actual data are often "homogenized" or otherwise manipulated to make the models appear more accurate than they are.

Models consistently predict average global temperatures 0.5 degrees C (0.9 F) higher than measured. The 12-year absence of Category 3-5 US-landfalling hurricanes is consistently ignored, as are the absence of any increase in tropical cyclones, the unprecedented absence of any violent tornadoes in 2018 – and the fact that violent twisters were far fewer during the last 35 years than during the 35 years before that.

However, pressure group mob politics and the refusal of climate alarmists to discuss model failures and contradictory scientific evidence would likely make these realities irrelevant in a Biden administration. That would have devastating consequences for a US economy struggling to recover from Covid-19 and compete in a world where Asian, African and other countries are not going to stop using fossil fuels to improve living standards, while they mine the raw materials and manufacture the wind turbines, solar panels, batteries and other equipment the USA would have to import under a Green New Deal (since no mining and virtually no manufacturing would be permitted or possible under Biden era regulations).

Replacing coal, gas and nuclear electricity, internal combustion vehicles, gas for home heating, and coal and gas for factories – and using batteries as backup power for seven windless, sunless days – would require some 8.5 billion megawatts. Generating that much electricity would require some 75 billion solar panels ... or 4 million 1.8-MW onshore wind turbines ... or 300,000 12-MW offshore wind turbines ... or a combination of those technologies – plus some 3.5 billion 100-kWh batteries ... hundreds of new transmission lines ... and mining and manufacturing on scales far beyond anything the world has ever seen.

That is not clean, green, renewable energy. It is ecologically destructive and completely unsustainable – financially, ecologically and politically. That means any company, community, bank, investor or pension fund venturing into "renewable energy" technologies would be taking enormous risks.

Once citizens, voters and investors begin to grasp (a) the quicksand foundations under alarmist climate models and forecasts; (b) the fact that African, Asian and even some European countries will only increasetheir fossil fuel use for decades to come; (c) the hundreds of millions of acres of US scenic and wildlife habitat lands that would be covered by turbines, panels, batteries, biofuel crops, power lines, and forests clear cut to supply biofuel power plants; and (d) the bird, bat and other animal species that would disappear under this onslaught – they will rebel. Renewable energy markets will be pummeled repeatedly.

Public backlash will intensify from growing outrage over child labor, near-slave labor, and minimal to nonexistent worker health and safety, pollution control and environmental reclamation regulations in foreign countries where materials are mined and "renewable" energy technologies manufactured. As the shift to GND energy systems brings increasing reliance on Chinese mining and manufacturing, sends electricity rates skyrocketing, kills millions of American jobs and causes US living standards to plummet, any remaining support for wind, solar and other "renewable" technologies will plummet or evaporate.

Pension funds and publicly owned companies should therefore be compelled to disclose the risks to their operations, supply chains, "renewable energy portfolio" mandates, subsidies, feed-in tariffs, profits, employees, valuation and very existence from embarking on or investing in renewable energy technologies or facilities. They should be compelled to fully analyze and report on every aspect of these risks.

The White House, Treasury Department, Securities and Exchange Commission, Federal Reserve, Committee on Financial Stability, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation and other relevant agencies should immediately require that publicly owned companies, corporate retirement plans and public pension funds evaluate and disclose at least the following fundamental aspects of "renewable" operations:

* How many wind turbines, solar panels, batteries, biofuel plants and miles of transmission lines will be required under various GND plans? Where will they go? Whose scenic and wildlife areas will be impacted? How will rural and coastal communities react to being made energy colonies for major cities?

* Will the same laws and court decisions apply to wind, solar, battery, biofuel and "renewable energy" transmission projects as have been applied to the Keystone, Atlantic Coast and Dakota Access pipelines?

* To what extent will policies, laws, regulations, court decisions, and citizen opposition, protests, legal actions and sabotage delay or block wind, solar, biofuel, battery, mining and transmission projects?

* How much concrete, steel, aluminum, copper, cobalt, lithium, rare earth elements and other materials will be needed for every project – and cumulatively? Where exactly will they come from? How many tons of overburden and ore will be removed and processed for every ton of metals and minerals?

* What per-project and cumulative fossil fuel use, CO2 and pollution emissions, land use impacts, water demands, family and community dislocations, and other impacts will result? Where will they occur?

* What wages will be paid? How much child labor will be involved? What labor, workplace safety, pollution control and other laws, regulations, standards and practices will apply in each country? How many illnesses, injuries and deaths will occur in the mines, processing plants and factories?

* What "responsible sourcing" laws apply for these materials, to ensure that all materials are obtained in compliance with US wage, child labor and environmental laws – and how much will they raise costs?

* For ethanol and biodiesel, how much acreage, water, fertilizer, pesticides and fossil fuels will be required? For power plant biofuel, how many forests will be cut down, and how long they will take to regrow? How many birds, bats and other wildlife will be displaced, killed or driven to extinction?

* What costs and materials will be required to convert existing home and commercial heating systems to all-electricity, upgrade electrical grids and systems for rapid electric vehicle charging, and address the intermittent, unpredictable, weather-dependent realities of Green New Deal energy sources?

* What price increases per kWh per annum will families, businesses, offices, farms, factories, hospitals, schools and other consumers face, as state and national electrical systems are converted to GND sources?

* How often and severely will wind and solar installations (and household solar panels linked to the grid) cause uncontrolled surges and power interruptions? How will they be protected against Trojan horse viruses and hackers installed or enabled by overseas manufacturers, perhaps especially in China?

* What economic, productivity and public health impacts will repeated power interruptions cause?

* How many solar panels, wind turbine blades, batteries and other components (numbers, tons and cubic feet) will have to be disposed of every year? How much landfill space and incineration will be required?

These issues illustrate the high risks associated with Green New Deal energy programs. They underscore why it is essential for lenders, investment companies, pension funds, manufacturers, utility companies and other industries to analyze, disclose and report renewable energy risks – and why significant penalties should be assessed for failing to do so or falsifying any pertinent information.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (www.CFACT.org) and author of books and articles on energy, environment, climate and human rights issues.

Your Note

Nancie Chmielewski,

As a practicing Roman Catholic and, as a 'Mexican-American,' I not only believe that you do not know your Catholic faith, but I also believe you are suffering from 'white guilt.' My father came to this country in the late 1930's from Mexico and he loved America and was a staunch Republican all his life because he knew what this country stood for, 'FREEDOM.'

Are you aware that in the 1920's, Mexican pheasants which included priests, men, women and children were slaughtered under the Banner of Communism? Presidente Polcarpo Calles without mercy killer, raped, hung and tortured pheasants by his military Government simply because they would not bow down to the alter of Communism! And you can call it Communism, Socialism, Marxism, Antifa and yes BLM !! Their goal is all the same, to change America into a Communist nation.

ALL lives matter! White, Brown, Red, Yellow, Black and most importantly, the baby in the womb! If you are so concerned about this Marxist BLM movement, why are you not marching for the defense of brown & black babies in the Womb? That probably does not fit your narrative because of your white Marxist guilt. You see Nancie, when you can kill another human being made in God's image in the womb, then you are free to kill anyone because "LIFE" no longer matters. That's why we as a society have been in the 'CULTURE of DEATH' for decades and only going to get worse so I highly suggest you begin to care for the 'most' vulnerable, the Baby in the Womb and the Elderly.

No Nancie, you don't know your faith. True faith means resisting the 'fake love' of this world. True Faith & True Love comes from Almighty God, not from this world.

We now have been separated by the Marxist American Terrorist Left in our Country by; Race, Class, and Sex and I refuse to call 'Sex,' gender. The same as I will not call Homosexuals, 'gay.' In reality they're Sodomites. And I refuse to call Pro-choice when its actually Abortion. This is how the left has been changing our Culture since the 1960's. If BLM really cared about black lives, they would be raising hell about all the killing's done by black on black's all over our Nation or the Aborting of black babies in the womb! Are you aware that Abortion clinics are mainly in black and hispanic neighborhoods? The hypocrisy on your side is unbelievable!

I suggest to thing to you Nancie;

Study the True history of Marxism/Socialism/Communism/Antifa and the movement behind the BLM & Antifa. If you don't have any books on real history, I have copious amounts and would gladly drop some of these books to you.

If you don't own a copy of the Catechism of the Catholic church, I can give you one where it will teach you the faith among other great Catholic books.

And I would highly suggest you wish our Great Nation, a happy birthday on July 4th and thank Almighty God that we are still (barely) hanging on to our Freedom.

Viva Cristo Rey !

In God we Trust,

Esperanza Maria Villasenor

I have been informed that the 'BLM' sign in front of the Catholic Church, 'Our Lady of Lourdes,' has been removed. We Christians need to be vigilant when stuff like this arises and to let these people know what they're actually promoting & supporting.

E Maria



Who Has More Legal Power *cont. from page 1*

can do that a human person can't, without serious penalties – rob the taxpayers without going to jail."

Corporations are not mentioned anywhere in the U.S. Constitution, yet, humans and corporations are both defined as legal persons under law, having similar rights. But there are two big differences that create huge disparity of power. Humans have limited lifespans; corporations can have perpetual lives. No matter how hard-hearted a human might be, the human still has a heart, conscience, some ethics and worry about an after-life. Corporations have none these weaknesses.

These distinctions bestow great powers on corporations that humans will never have. Corporations can plot and scheme forever, if so desired by their directors. Corporations can use collective resources to raise almost infinite amounts of money for economic, social, political or reputational purposes, including supporting friends for office; lobbying for government bailouts or gifts from their political friends.

Corporations can speak louder than humans since they have massive amounts of money to promote their speech, political views or defend unethical decisions, even sweat shops in Asia. Corporations can physically and emotionally harm humans but the worst that can happen to the corporation is a fine or their corporate seal is put in jail.

So, no one believes I am just picking on the business community; the legal definition of "person" includes corporations, associations, chambers of commerce, labor unions, foundations, think-tanks, and non-profits.

Why does "government" force us humans to compete with superhuman corporations for influencing how our government operates?

Corporations took power by magic

Corporations had few constitutional rights until the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, was ratified a few years after the civil war. The railroads used the Fourteenth Amendment to ensure that they were being treated equally under state tax law, since corporations were creatures of state law at that time.

In 1881, California imposed a special tax on railroads. The powerful Southern Pacific Railroad, partially owned by robber baron Leland Stanford, Jr., argued that, if the law gave slaves equal protection under the Constitution, it also applied to corporations, since they should be considered "persons." In a captivating 2018 article in "The Atlantic," Adam Winkler retells how the lawyer for the Southern Pacific, and former congressman on the committee drafting the Fourteenth Amendment, misrepresented the legislative history of the Amendment to the U.S. Supreme Court. The lawyer asserted the drafters substituted the word "persons" for "citizens" to expand the coverage of the amendment to corporations. A few years later the lawyers' representations were established as fraudulent but by that time corporations were legally recognized as persons.

Another article on how the Supreme Court recognized corporations as persons, asserts the court never decided the issue. Rather the court reporter on the case placed in the headnote to the decision, (a summary, not legally binding), that the court found the Fourteenth Amendment extended equal protection to corporations.

Whether corporations being recognized as persons comes about by improper legal shenanigans or by a group of government employees, (i.e. justices; sitting on an elevated bench, wearing black robes, feeling important, and acting as a super-legislature); the Constitution does not recognize corporations as persons. The recognition of corporate personhood came about through trickery or courts acting as super-legislatures. Unfortunately, for over a century corporation have been recognized as persons, very powerful persons that can control most of our political activities.

How have corporations taken advantage of their personhood?

There is no need to go back far in time to find examples of the power wielded by corporations. Three recent examples illustrate their massive influence over government.

1. In the Citizens United v. FEC, (2010), case the U.S. Supreme Court recognized that corporations have the same right as humans to spend money, even unlimited amounts, on behalf of candidates in political campaigns.

2. In the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, Congress lowered the taxes imposed on corporations from 35% to 21%, giving them a $320 billion dollars in new available cash.

3. In the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act of 2020, corporations did very well; a $425 billion loan fund to be allocated by Treasury, $75 billion for specific industries, $100 billion for hospitals, and a $350 billion small business emergency loan fund, with forgivable loans, for pledges of not laying off workers. Additionally, to ensure corporate liquidity, the Federal Reserve announced it will expand, without limit, its purchase of corporate bonds, Treasury and mortgage securities. A massive funding package with an estimated value of six trillion leverageable dollars.

Truly, having the ability to live in perpetuity and spend limitless amounts of money to influence politics, allows corporations to play the long-game against us and win.

Final question - what can humans do that corporations can't do?

In this David and Goliath fight, humans truly only have a slingshot, and a few pebbles, against the superhuman corporation which has money, power, surveillance equipment, influence with government and the power to put us on the street without a job. But there is one thing we can do

that corporations can never do. We can vote. Voting is the one legal, act of revolution, that our founders gave us that no one can take away from us and still form a legitimate government.

The power of a human person to control an artificial corporation, is the right to vote!

An earlier version of this article was published at www.ReformTheKakistocracy.com

William L. Kovacs is author of Reform the Kakistocracy: Rule by the Least Able or Least Principled Citizens and former senior vice president, U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Farrakhan Accuses Fauci And Bill Gates Of Plotting To 'Depopulate The Earth' With Coronavirus Vaccine

By Chuck Ross

Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan trended on Twitter on Saturday after he accused Dr. Anthony Fauci and Bill Gates of trying to "depopulate the Earth" through the development of vaccines aimed at ending the coronavirus pandemic.

Speaking at Nation of Islam headquarters in Chicago, Farrakhan urged African leaders and his followers to avoid accepting vaccines and medications developed by Western scientists.

"I say to my brothers and sisters in Africa, if they come up with a vaccine, be careful. Don't let them vaccinate you with their history of treachery through vaccines, through medication," Farrakhan said during the speech, which he gives annually on the Fourth of July.

"Do not take their medication," the 87-year-old firebrand continued.

This year's speech drew particular attention after Fox Soul, a division of 21st Century Fox, came under fire for planning to stream the event online. Farrakhan has a history of making anti-Semitic remarks.

Farrakhan proposed convening a team of virologists and epidemiologists to inspect whatever vaccines are developed to deal with the coronavirus.

He then pushed a popular but unfounded conspiracy theory that Fauci and Gates are working on a vaccine for the purposes of population control.

"They're making money now, plotting to give seven billion, five-hundred million people a vaccination.

Dr. Fauci, Bill Gates and Melinda — you want to depopulate the Earth. What the hell gave you that right? Who are you to sit down with your billion to talk about who can live, and who should die?" Farrakhan said.

"That's why your world is coming to an end quickly, because you have sentenced billions to death, but God is now sentencing you to the death that you are sentencing to others," he continued.

Farrakhan's remarks closely resemble those of anti-vaccination activists, as well as some conservatives who have leveled unfounded allegations about Fauci's handling of the coronavirus pandemic.

One popular conspiracy theory is that Fauci is prolonging the duration of the coronavirus pandemic by proposing a series of economic lockdowns and social distancing policies until a vaccine is developed.

Fauci testified to Congress on June 23 that he is hopeful that a vaccine will be developed by the end of the year.

Dr. Peter Hotez, a vaccine scientists at Baylor University, commented on Farrakhan's diatribe, noting that anti-vaccine demonstrations are being held in Texas on Saturday.

Hotez, who is working on a vaccine for coronavirus, has for years battled anti-vaccination activists who claim that vaccines are linked to autism in children.

As we say in Iowa, Even the blind hog gets the acorn once in a while." He's right. And for anyone who thinks its all "consipiracy theory", 1) Gates himself says this, 2) his father was the head of Planned Parenthood which has its very roots in eugenics specifically against blacks, (Margaret Sanger), and 3) The Gates Foundation is heavily invested in "population control" which is a euphemism. In sum, the vaccine industry has no concern for safety because they are 100% indemnified. They don't have to tell you what is in the vaccines because they control legislation, and the media. (Cite squalene, change in adjuvant reporting requirements etc.), and they are funded by people who are eugenecists (and probably psychopaths--the major symptom being lack of empathy). Makes me want to run out and get jabbed repeatedly. Dr. Lee

Fauci Must Go

It is time to know the truth. A form of hydroxychloroquine (chloroquine) was studied and showed promising results against the SARS-coronavirus in 2005, and in 2013 against MERS-coronavirus. Dr. Fauci was involved in both studies.

Now it is being used with some success against COVID-19. Yet, Dr. Fauci is telling the media there is no evidence this drug helps—in direct opposition to the research done by his own group and published 15 years ago. Instead, he is pushing a new drug owned by the same people who have already promised Dr. Fauci's group 100 million dollars or more.

As you will see, there is a reason why Dr. Fauci is not being truthful. Dr. Fauci needs to be fired. Sign our petition to President Trump to urge him to take action today. - Mat

One investigative reporter said Dr. Fauci has a history of putting personal profits and medical patents ahead of saving the lives of millions of people.

Today, the drug that Dr. Fauci is pushing is Remdesivir. It is created by Gilead Science, LLC. George Soros, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and the WHO are all owners in a subsidiary of this company according to Martin Geddes.

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has already promised to give 100 million dollars or more to the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the parent group of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of which Dr. Fauci is director. This means Dr. Fauci's group is getting 100 million dollars or more from the same people whose new, patent-pending drug he is pushing in the media, even though clinical studies show this drug will not save any lives.

We need to have transparency and honesty, now more than ever. But Dr. Fauci has refused to address any of these concerns. His office has remained strangely silent and even rebuffs reporters looking to get his side of the story.

It's time to fire Dr. Fauci.

Dr. Fauci is accused of having a pattern of putting profits over people.

Years ago, when he was overseeing HIV research, Dr. Judy Mikovits said he halted her research from being published. She said she had isolated the virus and her work would have saved lives. But Dr. Fauci didn't allow it to be published ... until a patent was pending based off her work. Only then did Fauci allow this doctor who has been called "one of the most accomplished scientists of her generation" to publish her groundbreaking research to help HIV treatments.

For people who died from HIV in the unnecessary interim caused by Dr. Fauci, their blood may be on his hands.

Now those same hands are clutching the helm of our nation. Dr. Fauci stands accused of hiding information from the public about HIV years ago. And today, he appears to be hiding information about his partner-institute's studies on forms of hydroxychloroquine fighting coronaviruses dating back 15 years ago. Why? Because his groups stand to gain hundreds of millions of dollars in funding.

There's something else to remember. Pharmaceutical companies that create vaccines are protected by federal law from lawsuits. Big Pharma is liable for harm resulting from the drugs it makes, but NOT for vaccines. Vaccines are a goldmine for these companies. And Dr. Fauci is tied at the hip with Bill Gates, who wants to vaccinate every person on the planet.

It's time to find a new medical voice to lead our nation. Sign our petition to Fire Fauci.

Our team has spent days, weeks, and even years gathering information to be able to make sense of what is going on in our nation today. We are excited to provide this information to you so that you can make a well-informed decision.

Dr. Fauci urgently needs to be removed from his position of power in America. Our staff members on Capitol Hill are working toward this goal. This campaign is only possible because of your help. We rely 100% on your donations to expose the truth and hold people in power accountable for their actions.

I was reading in the Psalms today, and Psalm 68:6 says, "...He leads out the prisoners to prosperity..." This is my prayer for our nation—that the pastors, business owners, and patriots who have been imprisoned both literally and figuratively will be freed, and our nation will be restored.

Humbly, Mat Staver, Chairman

Patriot News

Patriot Events and Activities

Please email alchem1@earthlink.net with your future events that are coming up.



Young mum dies from cancer after treatment is delayed due to coronavirus

A young mother died of cancer after chemotherapy, which she believed had been working, was delayed at the beginning of the COVID lockdown.

Kelly Smith, aged 31, died from bowel cancer on June 13th after a three and a half years of living with the disease.

The mother-of-one , whose funeral took place last week, told how she felt angry after her treatment, which she says was having positive results, was paused for 12 weeks at the start of the lockdown.

Read more at www.manchestereveningnews.co.uk

From: Tom Harris
icsc.tom.harris@gmail.com
Subject: amazing book - "Rules for Radical Conservatives: Beating the Left at Its Own Game to Take Back America"
Date: July 8, 2020 at 10:14:41 PM CDT
To: undisclosed-recipients:;

Please see https://www.amazon.ca/Rules-Radical-Conservatives-Beating-America/dp/B071HRP6ND/ref=sr_1_1?dchild=1&qid=1594263950&refinements=p_27%3ADavid+Kahane&s=books&sr=1-1 .

I am almost through the Audible version of the book.

It is exceptionally revealing to read or listen to this book to understand how those on the left of the political spectrum have taken over our institutions, governments and indeed most of the press AND, more importantly, how we can fight back successfully. While there is too much sarcasm in the book for my taste, it is nevertheless an essential read (or, in my case, listen, the reader is very good) for anyone concerned about the future of western democracies. I recommend it strongly.

Tom Harris

Lee at Gettysburg

The incident below, related by a Union army veteran in A. L. Long's Memoirs of Robert E. Lee, is said to have taken place on July 3, 1863, the last day of the Battle of Gettysburg. It speaks of an American brotherhood that, in the end, transcended that terrible war.

I was at the battle of Gettysburg myself. . . . I had been a most bitter anti-South man, and fought and cursed the Confederates desperately. I could see nothing good in any of them. The last day of the

fight I was badly wounded. A ball shattered my left leg. I lay on the ground not far from Cemetery Ridge, and as General Lee ordered his retreat he and his officers rode near me.

As they came along I recognized him, and, though faint from exposure and loss of blood, I raised up my hands, looked Lee in the face, and shouted as loud as I could, "Hurrah for the Union!"

The general heard me, looked, stopped his horse, dismounted, and came toward me. I confess that I at first thought he meant to kill me. But as he came up he looked down at me with such a sad expression upon his face that all fear left me, and I wondered what he was about. He extended his hand to me, and grasping mine firmly and looking right into my eyes, said, "My son, I hope you will soon be well."

If I live to be a thousand years I shall never forget the expression on General Lee's face. There he was, defeated, retiring from a field that had cost him and his cause almost their last hope, yet he stopped to say words like those to a wounded soldier of the opposition who had taunted him as he passed by. As soon as the general had left me I cried myself to sleep there upon the bloody ground.

How the USA PATRIOT Act redefines "Domestic Terrorism"

Section 802 of the USA PATRIOT Act (Pub. L. No. 107-52) expanded the definition of terrorism to cover ""domestic,"" as opposed to international, terrorism. A person engages in domestic terrorism if they do an act "dangerous to human life" that is a violation of the criminal laws of a state or the United States, if the act appears to be intended to: (i) intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or (iii) to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination or kidnapping. Additionally, the acts have to occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States and if they do not, may be regarded as international terrorism.

Section 802 does not create a new crime of domestic terrorism. However, it does expand the type of conduct that the government can investigate when it is investigating "terrorism." The USA PATRIOT Act expanded governmental powers to investigate terrorism, and some of these powers are applicable to domestic terrorism.

The definition of domestic terrorism is broad enough to encompass the activities of several prominent activist campaigns and organizations. Greenpeace, Operation Rescue, Vieques Island and WTO protesters and the Environmental Liberation Front have all recently engaged in activities that could subject them to being investigated as engaging in domestic terrorism.

One recent example is the Vieques Island protests, when many people, including several prominent Americans, participated in civil disobedience on a military installation where the United States government has been engaging in regular military exercises, which these protesters oppose. The protesters illegally entered the military base and tried to obstruct the bombing exercises.

Read more at www.aclu.org

This is from the ACLU so it does not have conservative basis.

The "BLM protesters" could be charged as domestic terrorists under the Patriot Act. This could include government officials that conspired by supporting their cause.

William O Writes, E Pluribus Unum







B	R	A	W		C	U	R	L	S		S	L	O	W
A	E	R	O		A	R	I	E	L		T	A	P	E
L	A	I	R		M	I	G	N	O	N	E	T	T	E
E	L	A	S	T	I	C		S	T	O	R	I	E	D
			E	S	S					R	E	N	D	S
D	R	U	N	K	E	N	N	E	S	S				
E	A	S	E	S		E	E	R	I	E		R	O	E
A	G	E	D		T	A	R	N	S		D	I	V	A
F	A	R		C	A	R	V	E		V	I	S	A	S
				O	B	S	E	S	S	I	V	E	L	Y
L	A	R	G	O					T	A	U			
A	G	E	L	E	S	S		H	I	L	L	T	O	P
C	O	L	O	R	A	T	I	O	N		G	U	R	U
E	R	A	S		G	O	I	N	G		E	B	B	S
S	A	Y	S		S	P	I	E	S		D	A	S	H

4	9	7	6	5	8	1	3	2
1	8	5	4	3	2	7	6	9
6	3	2	9	1	7	4	8	5
5	2	8	7	6	9	3	4	1
3	1	9	8	4	5	2	7	6
7	6	4	1	2	3	9	5	8
8	5	3	2	9	4	6	1	7
9	4	1	5	7	6	8	2	3
2	7	6	3	8	1	5	9	4

One Nation Under God

Memorial Day: Unique Holiday in America?

By Dr. Wayne C. Sedlak

Memorial Day is a holiday unlike any other in the history the world. It is a day of honoring our veterans who "stood in the gap" in the defense of their country against dictators, terrorists and maligning influences of all kinds. It is a day to remember those veterans whose service led to the ultimate sacrifice for their country.

However, there is a unique understanding that came with the origin of the holiday. Too often, writers compare the way Americans honor those who gave their lives for this nation with the way in which civilizations of the past such as the Romans and the Greeks, the Chinese, the French and so many others going back to the beginning of recorded history.

For example, during the Peloponnesian War, (431 B.C.-404 B.C.) Athenian leader Pericles offered a tribute to the fallen heroes that would still be fitting today. "Not only are they commemorated by columns and inscriptions, but there dwells also an unwritten memorial of them,graven not on stone but in the hearts of men."

But there really is no true basis for comparison. Rather, Memorial Day stands in CONTRAST to civilizations of the past who honored their soldiers in the performance of their duties. In fact, in many civilizations, like that of the Romans, they often worshiped their dead.

In this two-part series Vision Viewpoint honors sacrifices of the men and women of our Armed Services. And though this particular holiday had its origin in the immediate post-Civil War grieving of the nation, the holiday nonetheless unites its testimony with that which preceded even that terrible conflict.

We hope you enjoy this two-part series, as Vision Viewpoint honors the holiday which commemorates the service and sacrifice of those who have gone before.



Blaylock: Face Mask *cont. from page 17*

a reduction in blood oxygenation (hypoxia) or an elevation in blood C02 (hypercapnia). It is known that the N95 mask, if worn for hours, can reduce blood oxygenation as much as 20%, which can lead to a loss of consciousness, as happened to the hapless fellow driving around alone in his car wearing an N95 mask, causing him to pass out, and to crash his car and sustain injuries. I am sure that we have several cases of elderly individuals or any person with poor lung function passing out, hitting their head. This, of course, can lead to death.

A more recent study involving 159 healthcare workers aged 21 to 35 years of age found that 81% developed headaches from wearing a face mask.3 Some had pre-existing headaches that were precipitated by the masks. All felt like the headaches affected their work performance.

Unfortunately, no one is telling the frail elderly and those with lung diseases, such as COPD, emphysema or pulmonary fibrosis, of these dangers when wearing a facial mask of any kind—which can cause a severe worsening of lung function. This also includes lung cancer patients and people having had lung surgery, especially with partial resection or even the removal of a whole lung.

While most agree that the N95 mask can cause significant hypoxia and hypercapnia, another study of surgical masks found significant reductions in blood oxygen as well. In this study, researchers examined the blood oxygen levels in 53 surgeons using an oximeter. They measured blood oxygenation before surgery as well as at the end of surgeries.4 The researchers found that the mask reduced the blood oxygen levels (pa02) significantly. The longer the duration of wearing the mask, the greater the fall in blood oxygen levels.

The importance of these findings is that a drop in oxygen levels (hypoxia) is associated with an impairment in immunity. Studies have shown that hypoxia can inhibit the type of main immune cells used to fight viral infections called the CD4+ T-lymphocyte. This occurs because the hypoxia increases the level of a compound called hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), which inhibits T-lymphocytes and stimulates a powerful immune inhibitor cell called the Tregs. . This sets the stage for contracting any infection, including COVID-19 and making the consequences of that infection much graver. In essence, your mask may very well put you at an increased risk of infections and if so, having a much worse outcome.5,6,7

People with cancer, especially if the cancer has spread, will be at a further risk from prolonged hypoxia as the cancer grows best in a microenvironment that is low in oxygen. Low oxygen also promotes inflammation which can promote the growth, invasion and spread of cancers.8,9 Repeated episodes of hypoxia has been proposed as a significant factor in atherosclerosis and hence increases all cardiovascular (heart attacks) and cerebrovascular (strokes) diseases.10

There is another danger to wearing these masks on a daily basis, especially if worn for several hours. When a person is infected with a respiratory virus, they will expel some of the virus with each breath. If they are wearing a mask, especially an N95 mask or other tightly fitting mask, they will be constantly rebreathing the viruses, raising the concentration of the virus in the lungs and the nasal passages. We know that people who have the worst reactions to the coronavirus have the highest concentrations of the virus early on. And this leads to the deadly cytokine storm in a selected number.

It gets even more frightening. Newer evidence suggests that in some cases the virus can enter the brain.11,12 In most instances it enters the brain by way of the olfactory nerves (smell nerves), which connect directly with the area of the brain dealing with recent memory and memory consolidation. By wearing a mask, the exhaled viruses will not be able to escape and will concentrate in the nasal passages, enter the olfactory nerves and travel into the brain.13

It is evident from this review that there is insufficient evidence that wearing a mask of any kind can have a significant impact in preventing the spread of this virus. The fact that this virus is a relatively benign infection for the vast majority of the population and that most of the at-risk group also survive, from an infectious disease and epidemiological standpoint, by letting the virus spread through the healthier population we will reach a herd immunity level rather quickly that will end this pandemic quickly and prevent a return next winter. During this time, we need to protect the at-risk population by avoiding close contact, boosting their immunity with compounds that boost cellular immunity and in general, care for them.

One should not attack and insult those who have chosen not to wear a mask, as these studies suggest that is the wise choice to make.





VOICE OF JERUSALEM

Black Lives Matter's Anti-Semitic Platform

By Caroline Glick

Black Lives Matter, the revolutionary, anti-Semitic movement at the heart of the riots and the intellectual terror in the United States today first published its charter in August 2016. Jewish organizations from left to right condemned it for its slanderous accusation against Israel which it accused of committing "genocide: and claimed was an "apartheid" regime that had passed 50 discriminatory laws against Palestinians. BLM's charter also endorsed and joined the movement to the anti-Semitic boycott, divestment and sanctions operation against Israel and its American Jewish supporters.

Contemporaneous accounts of the American Jewish community's reonses were published in a number of Jewish publications. Tablet online magazine for instance noted that the far-left Truah organization, the Anti-Defamation League and Peter Beinart all condemned the platform.

Today, the original link for the platform published four years ago is out of commission.

I have been asked multiple times over the past several weeks for proof that the platform is still active and that it still says what it said in 2016. In response, a couple weeks ago, I published the following on my Facebook page. Following my column last Friday describing BLM's structural anti-Semitism, I have again been flooded with requests from people for documentation of my claims.

To satisfy demand, I am republishing my Facebook post here, where it will be easier to find and link to.

Here is the link to the platform. https://m4bl.org/

The sections on Israel can be found under the "Invest-Divest" link. https://m4bl.org/policy-platforms/invest-divest/

After you're on this link, download the document called "Cut Military Expenditures Brief."

Before I quote the precise passages in the paper that specifically discuss Israel, I want to note something newsworthy which has been largely overlooked to date. Recently, in response to the BLM calls to defund the police, Sen. Tom Cotton asked rhetorically whether their next demand would be to defund the U.S. military.

The answer is that this is already their demand. Its in their platform.

The brief, which opens by asserting, "America is an empire that uses war to expand territory and power," calls for the defunding of 50% of the U.S. military budget. The specific passage is quoted below, because it is followed by a demand to end military support for Israel.

It bears noting that BLM gained legitimacy after spurring rioting in Ferguson, MO in 2016 when then president Obama hosted them at the White House in February 2016. At the time, Obama lauded the group saying "They are much better organizers than I was when I was their age, and I am confident that they are going to take America to new heights."

The meeting lasted for four hours.

Here is a link to one write-up of the meeting: https://www.theguardian.com/…/black-lives-matter-meet-presi…

What is notable about the parts of the BLM platform that relate to Israel is that they don't appear in a separate section. This is significant because it drives home the fact that BLM's hostility towards the Jewish state and the civil right of American Jews to support Israel is part and parcel of its overall agenda, not an appendage that can be excised through dialogue. BLM views hatred of Jews and the Jewish state as integral to its hatred of America. Again, as I explained in my last column – The Silent American Jews, BLM's anti-Semitism is structural, not incidental. It is a feature, not a bug.

Here are the excerpts from the BLM platform that relate to Israel:

From the opening section titled "What is the problem?":

"The US military accounts for over 50 percent of discretionary federal funding, a total of 598.5 billion dollars spent annually…In addition, approximately 3 billion dollars in US aid is allocated to Israel, a state that practices systematic discrimination and has maintained a military occupation of Palestine for decades. Together with Egypt — Israel's most important regional ally — this figure represents nearly 75 percent of all US aid dollars."

"The US justifies and advances the global war on terror via its alliance with Israel and is complicit in the genocide taking place against the Palestinian people. The US requires Israel to use 75 percent of all the military aid it receives to buy US-made arms. Consequently, every year billions of dollars are funneled from US taxpayers to hundreds of arms corporations, who then wage lobbying campaigns pushing for even more foreign military aid. The results of this policy are twofold: it not only diverts much needed funding from domestic education and social programs, but it makes US citizens complicit in the abuses committed by the Israeli government. Israel is an apartheid state with over 50 laws on the books that sanction discrimination against the Palestinian people. Palestinian homes and land are routinely bulldozed to make way for illegal Israeli settlements. Israeli soldiers also regularly arrest and detain Palestinians as young as 4 years old without due process. Everyday, Palestinians are forced to walk through military checkpoints along the US-funded apartheid wall."

The platform then lists a serious of goals its implementation will achieve, under a section titled, "What does this solution do?"

Among its promised achievements:

"Cuts the US military budget by 50%, which will lead to the closure of over 800 US military bases [in] the US [and] around the world, the elimination of the sale of weaponry to violators of human rights, reduces the use and stockpiling of nuclear weapons and return all troops back from the current theaters of war."

Among the Federal actions the platform calls for, the first is:

"Build invest/divest campaigns that ends US aid to Israel's military industrial complex and any government with human rights violations."

Among the State action the platform demands:

"Fight the expanding number of Anti-BDS bills being passed in states around the country. This type of legislation not only harms the movement to end the Israeli occupation of Palestine, but it is a threat to the constitutional right to free speech and protest."

Its call for local action includes:

"Map out local infrastructure needs and pass resolutions calling for the necessary reinvestment and rebuilding efforts. Coordinate direct actions of solidarity with South Africa, Palestine, Columbia and liberation movements across the globe."

It bears noting that one of the organizations cited as working on BLM policy is Adalah – The Legal Center for Arab Minority Rights in Israel.

Another is The US Campaign to End the Occupation. Another is The American Friends Service Committee.

These are all groups that take leading roles in the anti-Semitic BDS campaigns in the US and worldwide which target Jewish Americans for sanction and ostracism for daring to support the Jewish state.

Lessons from the Book of Esther *"The Jews smote all their enemies with the stroke of the sword," Esther 9:5*

By Riley J. Hood-Milwaukee County Constitution Party

In Sunday school, children are taught cartoon stories about Esther being a beauty queen. Sometimes they may even get into the history of the persecutor, Haman. What is never mentioned is how the Jewish people did their part to defend themselves, after God had wrought their deliverance on a political level. That neglected topic is the basis of this article.

Haman sought to exterminate the Jews. In the Book of Esther, Chapter 3:8-14, Haman declared the Jews to be lawless, their existence troublesome; he offered to pay money into the royal treasury to have this "privileged," anti-government group eliminated. He obtained a royal decree. The orders went out and on the 13th of Adar; (the twelfth month) the pogrom would begin.

Esther risked her life to bring her royal petition to king Ahasuerus, (Chapter 5:1-4) inviting Haman to a banquet. Haman was confronted, condemned and hanged on his own gallows. (Chapter 7) The Queen was given a counter-decree, "Wherein the king granted the Jews which were in every city to gather themselves together, and to stand for their life, to destroy, to slay, and to cause to perish, all the power of the people and province that would assault them, both little ones and women, and to take a spoil of them for a prey, Upon one day in all the provinces of king Ahasuerus, namely upon the thirteenth day of the twelfth month, which is the month of Adar. " Esther 8:11-12

So, granted permission to defend themselves; how did they defend themselves? They defended themselves with the stroke of the sword. They destroyed 500 men in Shushan palace, (Esther 9:6), they slew Haman's ten sons, (Esther 9:8-10) the next day they slew 300 more at Shushan, (Esther 9:15) and Empire wide they slew 75,000 men (Esther 9:17). These events are the origin of the Jewish feast of Purim.

The Bible does command you to love your neighbor as yourself, Deuteronomy 19:18 and to even to love your enemies, Matthew 5:44, but there is no hard and fast pacifism in the Bible. The above events weren't triggered by a profane gesture or an idle threat; it was an armed response to persecution real and actual. God in His providence granted His people an imperial decree. God has provided something more lasting to His people who live in America, namely the Second Amendment to our US Constitution which secures your God-given right to self-defense. In America you can lawfully assert your right to bear arms, and yet modern "Hamans" are attempting to trample that right.

The Milwaukee County Constitution Party recommends that you assert your right by putting it into prior practice through range time, and well-maintained equipment, so you will be prepared if the time ever comes. Regarding permits, a God-given Right needs no prior permission, what the Jews in the Persian empire exercised was a privilege, however these and other verses of scripture, such as Luke 22:36 assert your right to self-defense.

The CPOW Platform declares, "The right to keep and bear arms is guaranteed by the Second Amendment to the Constitution; it may not properly be infringed upon or denied. The Constitution Party upholds the right of the citizen to keep and bear arms. We oppose attempts to prohibit ownership of guns by law-abiding citizens, and stand against all laws which would require the registration of guns or

I warned our community over 2 years ago to no avail and today I watched this even live on ZOOM. Just published on YouTube.

Anti-Semitism Within the Black Lives Matter Movement and The Arab/Muslim Trade in Black Slaves



AAPS news
The Voice for Private Physicians
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS

AAPS Sues FDA

While more than 100,000 American deaths have been attributed to COVID-19, 150 million doses of hydroxychloroquine, donated by manufacturers, are deteriorating in government warehouses. There is an aggressive campaign to portray HCQ at first as "unproven" and now as also "unsafe." Following the lead of the Food and Drug Administration's Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), which prohibits use of HCQ being stored in the Strategic National Stockpile (SNS) outside of hospitals, most states have placed unprecedented restrictions on physicians' ability to prescribe HCQ "off-label" for COVID-19 (tinyurl.com/y7oc65gn).

In its lawsuit (http://aapsonline.org/hcqsuit), filed in June in federal court in the Western District of Michigan, AAPS asks the court to enjoin the enforcement of the restrictions in the Mar 28 EUA; to make available and distribute promptly, for the benefit of the public, HCQ from the SNS; and to enjoin FDA, the Biomedical Advance Research & Development Authority (BARDA), and HHS from impeding the distribution, sale, or purchase of HCQ by members of the public during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Through a biased, improper process, FDA officials from prior administrations acted contrary to the wishes of President Donald Trump by arbitrarily limiting use of HCQ. Rick Bright, Ph.D., former head of BARDA, who is an outspoken critic of President Trump and is personally opposed to making HCQ widely available, distorted the agency process to unjustifiably limit access.

Bright is also biased by his pre-conceived opinion in favor of an expensive, proprietary antiviral medication developed by Gilead Sciences, remdesivir. He repeatedly advised HHS officials to acquire the existing doses, to secure future doses as they were produced, and to "on-shore" all steps of the remdesivir supply chain. These actions should have caused his recusal from the decision-making process about HCQ.

According to Bright's whistleblower complaint against the Trump Administration, Janet Woodcock, director of the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, also played a pivotal role in pushing for the EUA. Woodcock simultaneously occupied a top position in a public-private operation designed to approve new vaccines for COVID-19, and she reportedly communicated with a Wall Street analyst concerning such development.

A safe, inexpensive treatment or prophylactic would diminish demand for a new drug or vaccine.

Safety

HCQ has been approved as safe by the FDA for 65 years, and is safer than numerous medications that are widely available over-the-counter (OTC), including anti-depressants, sleeping pills, bronchodilators, Tylenol, and even aspirin.

The "safety profile" with respect to new uses of a medication previously approved by the FDA is virtually never studied, and there is no rational basis for delaying new uses of previously approved medication by requiring such studies.

Harvey A. Risch, professor of epidemiology at the Yale School of Public Health and Yale School of Medicine, stated: "Hydroxychloroquine+azithromycin has been used as standard-of-care in more than 300,000 older adults with multicomorbidities, with estimated proportion diagnosed with cardiac arrhythmias attributable to the medications 47/100,000 users, of which estimated mortality is <20%, 9/100,000 users." He noted that 10,000 Americans are dying each week from COVID-19. Five studies, he writes, including two controlled clinical trials, have demonstrated significant major outpatient treatment efficacy. He concluded that "these medications need to be widely available and promoted immediately for physicians" (Am J Epidemiol, May 27, 2020, https://tinyurl.com/y9yfb2h9).

Dr. Jon Giles, an epidemiologist and rheumatologist at Columbia University Department of Medicine, told National Public Radio (NPR) that HCQ is a "very, very safe drug; it's been used for over 75 years. When I give someone [HCQ], I don't get an ECG or do blood monitoring" (tinyurl.com/y7enya9w).

More than 25 articles since 1982 published in peer-reviewed medical journals have reported on the safety of HCQ, and these articles are included in the PubMed database.

Harms of Restricting Access to Prevention

Dr. Risch states that "an outpatient treatment that prevents hospitalization is desperately needed [for COVID-19]."

In addition to the preventable mortality and morbidity, devastating harm results from lockdowns and fear of acquiring an untreatable illness. The AAPS complaint states that irrational interference with the use of HCQ as a prophylaxis interferes with the political process, for example, national political conventions, and with rights to associate, assemble, and attend religious services.

Defendants violate the equal protection guarantee implicit in the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution by discriminating based on a patient's hospitalization status, illness status, and access to clinical trials.

Defendants lack the authority to override the discretion of a medical professional to prescribe off-label uses of FDA-approved drugs. The decision-making underlying the EUA was tainted by bias, and thus it is arbitrary and capricious. The Administrative Procedure Act directs courts to "hold unlawful and set aside agency action…found to be … contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege or immunity." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B).

Misinformation Keeps COVID-19 Alive

In countries that started using HCQ for prophylaxis and early treatment, death rates soon began to decrease, and the ratio of recoveries to deaths increased dramatically, e.g. in Cyprus, Costa Rica, Russia, Monaco, Algeria, Turkey, Israel, Italy, Peru, Honduras, Indonesia, United Arab Emirates, South Africa, and Portugal. See graphs on twitter.com; search @Covid19Crusher.

But WHO has now recommended that use of chloroquine (CQ) and HCQ for COVID-19 be suspended, and is also suspending their use in a global clinical trial called Solidarity (tinyurl.com/y935al4c). "What a difference one week makes in medical practice," opined Milton Packer, M.D., a consultant for many drug companies, who argues in MedPage Today that prescribing HCQ is "practicing politics" (tinyurl.com/yajxf9bx).

The sudden focus on safety stems largely from a heavily publicized Lancet article (https://tinyurl.com/ydg4j2td) that failed to confirm benefit and claimed decreased in-hospital survival and increased ventricular arrhythmias with CQ or HCQ.

Does Lancet practice politics? One can point to about 40 tweets by editor Richard Horton that appear to be anti-Trump, pro-China, or pro-WHO (twitter.com/richardhorton1).

From Apr 14, when the last patient was hospitalized, until May 22, when Trump pushed for lifting shutdowns, there were only 5 weeks for warp-speed publication. More than 120 researchers and medical professionals from around the world signed an open letter to the four coauthors and the editor with questions concerning the statistical analysis and data integrity (tinyurl.com/ycp94psp), including refusal to release codes or data and the lack of an ethics review (tinyurl.com/y82pw9c8). Lancet issued an "expression of concern" (tinyurl.com/y9zlw8fp), as did NEJM regarding the database used in an article that had three of the same authors as the Lancet article (tinyurl.com/yc6x6z9d).

The Lancet article cited an influential Brazilian study that found higher mortality together with more instances of QTc interval greater than 500 milliseconds in the "high-dosage" group of severely ill COVID-19 patients treated with CQ (JAMA 4/24/20, https://tinyurl.com/y98yv3ho). An open letter signed by about 25 Brazilian scientists, whose work has been cited more than 69,000 times, noted that lethal doses of CQ were given to "debilitated patients, many in severe conditions and with comorbidities." They criticized methodologic errors in this and other studies in prestigious journals, such as "randomizing" higher-risk patients to higher doses (https://tinyurl.com/yc8kjrgq).

"These studies indicate that some scientists either forgot how 'science' is done or that there is a huge effort to disprove, whatever it takes, that HCQ works," they conclude.

The study's disregard of ethical standards is under judicial investigation by the Ministério Público Federal (Portaria Nº40 de 20 de Abril de 2020), and is being followed by other branches of the Brazilian Government, states Prof. Paolo Marinho de Andrade Zanotto of the University of São Paulo in a letter to JAMA editor-in-chief Howard Bauchner, demanding retraction of the article.

If you wear the mask...you'll take the chip!



China Labor Camp Survivor Details Government Organ Harvesting

Speaking in front of the U.S. Capitol, Jennifer Zeng, a China labor camp survivor, details how she and her family were captured and tortured by the Chinese Communist Party. She also lays out how the Chinese government collects and maintains a database of blood samples and tissue types for harvesting human organs.

Listen to her story. She is trying to warn the liberal sheep as to what is ahead.

China Labor Camp Survivor Details Government Organ Harvesting



My Response to a Marxist "Politicized Medical 'Humanitarian…'"

By Dr. Wayne C Sedlak

Good Afternoon! My slogan is "Words are words, Promises are Promises, but Performance is Reality"

May you find that to be true today, and in every newsletter you receive.

I encourage your responses. Many times, you , my readers, have insights I may have missed. Thank you for taking the time.

Background: During this Covid-19 outbreak I was "threatened" by a Marxist Medical worker. How do I know she was a Marxist? Being an Internet deep Net Researcher (professionally, including 18 years as such in the health world), it wasn't difficult to trace her activities worldwide, including inside Marxist nations with which she was in agreement…and for whom she brought aid and revolutionary activity to the lands she visited. Her rhetoric was definitely Marxist as she self-consciously attacked me in private correspondence for my public teaching to call for opening the state, in rallies throughout Wisconsin. In other words, she is a politically motivated health worker. Welcome to the world of Politicized Medicine.

The reply (found at the link below) came in answer to her (false) presumption that, as a pastor, I shouldn't be involved in society (tragically, an assumption easily made by many people in our society). It was apparent she was taken aback by this answer below… as her response was only a typical abusive one-liner, an added threat, and a quick departure from the verbal confrontation.

This answer, however, was motivated by the NEED to provide us all with answers to the Covid-19 alleged pandemic threat…Below, I use northeast Africa (where I have been doing missionary work) as an example of forewarning and exposé. The region is reeling in apocalyptic upheaval now. Massive floods, Mega-locust plagues, double/triple digit inflation due to government expenditures (checks in the mail to everyone), almost 100% business ruin, utter dependency upon government (the new slavery), farm failure, starvation, civil strife with nations on the brink of civil war…